Research Article

On the Existence of Solutions for Dynamic Boundary Value Problems under Barrier Strips Condition

Hua Luo¹ and Yulian An²

¹ School of Mathematics and Quantitative Economics, Dongbei University of Finance and Economics, Dalian 116025, China

² Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Institute of Technology, Shanghai 200235, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Hua Luo, luohuanwnu@gmail.com

Received 24 November 2010; Accepted 20 January 2011

Academic Editor: Jin Liang

Copyright © 2011 H. Luo and Y. An. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

By defining a new terminology, scatter degree, as the supremum of graininess functional value, this paper studies the existence of solutions for a nonlinear two-point dynamic boundary value problem on time scales. We do not need any growth restrictions on nonlinear term of dynamic equation besides a barrier strips condition. The main tool in this paper is the induction principle on time scales.

1. Introduction

Calculus on time scales, which unify continuous and discrete analysis, is now still an active area of research. We refer the reader to [1–5] and the references therein for introduction on this theory. In recent years, there has been much attention focused on the existence and multiplicity of solutions or positive solutions for dynamic boundary value problems on time scales. See [6–17] for some of them. Under various growth restrictions on nonlinear term of dynamic equation, many authors have obtained many excellent results for the above problem by using Topological degree theory, fixed-point theorems on cone, bifurcation theory, and so on.

In 2004, Ma and Luo [18] firstly obtained the existence of solutions for the dynamic boundary value problems on time scales

$$x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = f(t, x(t), x^{\Delta}(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}, x(0) = 0, \quad x^{\Delta}(\sigma(1)) = 0$$
 (1.1)

under a barrier strips condition. A barrier strip *P* is defined as follows. There are pairs (two or four) of suitable constants such that nonlinear term f(t, u, p) does not change its sign on sets of the form $[0,1]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [-L,L] \times P$, where *L* is a nonnegative constant, and *P* is a closed interval bounded by some pairs of constants, mentioned above.

The idea in [18] was from Kelevedjiev [19], in which discussions were for boundary value problems of ordinary differential equation. This paper studies the existence of solutions for the nonlinear two-point dynamic boundary value problem on time scales

$$x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = f(t, x^{\sigma}(t), x^{\Delta}(t)), \quad t \in [a, \rho^{2}(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}, x^{\Delta}(a) = 0, \qquad x(b) = 0,$$
(1.2)

where \mathbb{T} is a bounded time scale with $a = \inf \mathbb{T}$, $b = \sup \mathbb{T}$, and $a < \rho^2(b)$. We obtain the existence of at least one solution to problem (1.2) without any growth restrictions on f but an existence assumption of barrier strips. Our proof is based upon the well-known Leray-Schauder principle and the induction principle on time scales.

The time scale-related notations adopted in this paper can be found, if not explained specifically, in almost all literature related to time scales. Here, in order to make this paper read easily, we recall some necessary definitions here.

A time scale \mathbb{T} is a nonempty closed subset of \mathbb{R} ; assume that \mathbb{T} has the topology that it inherits from the standard topology on \mathbb{R} . Define the forward and backward jump operators $\sigma, \rho : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ by

$$\sigma(t) = \inf\{\tau > t \mid \tau \in \mathbb{T}\}, \qquad \rho(t) = \sup\{\tau < t \mid \tau \in \mathbb{T}\}.$$
(1.3)

In this definition we put $\inf \emptyset = \sup \mathbb{T}$, $\sup \emptyset = \inf \mathbb{T}$. Set $\sigma^2(t) = \sigma(\sigma(t))$, $\rho^2(t) = \rho(\rho(t))$. The sets \mathbb{T}^k and \mathbb{T}_k which are derived from the time scale \mathbb{T} are as follows:

$$\mathbb{T}^{k} := \{ t \in \mathbb{T} : t \text{ is not maximal or } \rho(t) = t \},$$

$$\mathbb{T}_{k} := \{ t \in \mathbb{T} : t \text{ is not minimal or } \sigma(t) = t \}.$$
 (1.4)

Denote interval *I* on \mathbb{T} by $I_{\mathbb{T}} = I \cap \mathbb{T}$.

Definition 1.1. If $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function and $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, then the delta derivative of f at the point t is defined to be the number $f^{\Delta}(t)$ (provided it exists) with the property that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood U of t such that

$$\left| f(\sigma(t)) - f(s) - f^{\Delta}(t)(\sigma(t) - s) \right| \leqslant \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s|$$
(1.5)

for all $s \in U$. The function f is called Δ -differentiable on \mathbb{T}^k if $f^{\Delta}(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$.

Definition 1.2. If $F^{\Delta} = f$ holds on \mathbb{T}^k , then we define the Cauchy Δ -integral by

$$\int_{s}^{t} f(\tau) \Delta \tau = F(t) - F(s), \quad s, t \in \mathbb{T}^{k}.$$
(1.6)

Lemma 1.3 (see [2, Theorem 1.16 (*SUF*)]). If f is Δ -differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, then

$$f(\sigma(t)) = f(t) + (\sigma(t) - t)f^{\Delta}(t).$$

$$(1.7)$$

Lemma 1.4 (see [18, Lemma 3.2]). Suppose that $f : [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}} \to \mathbb{R}$ is Δ -differentiable on $[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}^k$, then

- (i) *f* is nondecreasing on $[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ if and only if $f^{\Delta}(t) \ge 0$, $t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}^k$,
- (ii) *f* is nonincreasing on $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ if and only if $f^{\Delta}(t) \leq 0$, $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}^{k}$.

Lemma 1.5 (see [4, Theorem 1.4]). Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale with $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$. Then the induction principle holds.

Assume that, for a family of statements A(t), $t \in [\tau, +\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) $A(\tau)$ holds true.
- (2) For each $t \in [\tau, +\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ with $\sigma(t) > t$, one has $A(t) \Rightarrow A(\sigma(t))$.
- (3) For each $t \in [\tau, +\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ with $\sigma(t) = t$, there is a neighborhood U of t such that $A(t) \Rightarrow A(s)$ for all $s \in U$, s > t.
- (4) For each $t \in (\tau, +\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ with $\rho(t) = t$, one has A(s) for all $s \in [\tau, t)_{\mathbb{T}} \Rightarrow A(t)$.

Then A(t) is true for all $t \in [\tau, +\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Remark 1.6. For $t \in (-\infty, \tau]_{\mathbb{T}}$, we replace $\sigma(t)$ with $\rho(t)$ and $\rho(t)$ with $\sigma(t)$, substitute < for >, then the dual version of the above induction principle is also true.

By $C^2([a, b])$, we mean the Banach space of second-order continuous Δ -differentiable functions $x : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \to \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the norm

$$||x|| = \max\{|x|_{0}, |x^{\Delta}|_{0'} |x^{\Delta\Delta}|_{0}\},$$
(1.8)

where $|x|_0 = \max_{t \in [a,b]_T} |x(t)|$, $|x^{\Delta}|_0 = \max_{t \in [a,\rho(b)]_T} |x^{\Delta}(t)|$, $|x^{\Delta\Delta}|_0 = \max_{t \in [a,\rho^2(b)]_T} |x^{\Delta\Delta}(t)|$. According to the well-known Leray-Schauder degree theory, we can get the following theorem.

Lemma 1.7. Suppose that f is continuous, and there is a constant C > 0, independent of $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, such that ||x|| < C for each solution x(t) to the boundary value problem

$$x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = \lambda f(t, x^{\sigma}(t), x^{\Delta}(t)), \quad t \in [a, \rho^{2}(b)]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

$$x^{\Delta}(a) = 0, \qquad x(b) = 0.$$
 (1.9)

Then the boundary value problem (1.2) has at least one solution in $C^2([a, b])$.

Proof. The proof is the same as [18, Theorem 4.1].

2. Existence Theorem

To state our main result, we introduce the definition of *scatter degree*.

Definition 2.1. For a time scale \mathbb{T} , define the right direction scatter degree (RSD) and the left direction scatter degree (LSD) on \mathbb{T} by

$$r(\mathbb{T}) = \sup \left\{ \sigma(t) - t : t \in \mathbb{T}^k \right\},$$

$$l(\mathbb{T}) = \sup \left\{ t - \rho(t) : t \in \mathbb{T}_k \right\},$$
(2.1)

respectively. If $r(\mathbb{T}) = l(\mathbb{T})$, then we call $r(\mathbb{T})$ (or $l(\mathbb{T})$) the scatter degree on \mathbb{T} .

Remark 2.2. (1) If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, then $r(\mathbb{T}) = l(\mathbb{T}) = 0$. If $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z} := \{hk : k \in \mathbb{Z}, h > 0\}$, then $r(\mathbb{T}) = l(\mathbb{T}) = h$. If $\mathbb{T} = q^{\mathbb{N}} := \{q^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and q > 1, then $r(\mathbb{T}) = l(\mathbb{T}) = +\infty$. (2) If \mathbb{T} is bounded, then both $r(\mathbb{T})$ and $l(\mathbb{T})$ are finite numbers.

Theorem 2.3. Let $f : [a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Suppose that there are constants L_i , i = 1, 2, 3, 4, with $L_2 > L_1 \ge 0$, $L_3 < L_4 \le 0$ satisfying

 $\begin{array}{l} (H1) \ L_2 > L_1 + Mr(\mathbb{T}), \ L_3 < L_4 - Mr(\mathbb{T}), \\ (H2) \ f(t,u,p) \leq 0 \ for \ (t,u,p) \in [a,\rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [-L_2(b-a), -L_3(b-a)] \times [L_1,L_2], \ f(t,u,p) \geq 0 \\ for \ (t,u,p) \in [a,\rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [-L_2(b-a), -L_3(b-a)] \times [L_3,L_4], \end{array}$

where

$$M = \sup\{|f(t, u, p)| : (t, u, p) \in [a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [-L_2(b-a), -L_3(b-a)] \times [L_3, L_2]\}.$$
(2.2)

Then problem (1.2) has at least one solution in $C^2([a, b])$.

Remark 2.4. Theorem 2.3 extends [19, Theorem 3.2] even in the special case $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, our method to prove Theorem 2.3 is different from that of [19].

Remark 2.5. We can find some elementary functions which satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.3. Consider the dynamic boundary value problem

$$x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = -(x^{\Delta}(t))^{3} + h(t, x^{\sigma}(t), x^{\Delta}(t)), \quad t \in [a, \rho^{2}(b)]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

$$x^{\Delta}(a) = 0, \qquad x(b) = 0,$$

(2.3)

where $h(t, u, p) : [a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded everywhere and continuous. Suppose that $f(t, u, p) = -p^3 + h(t, u, p)$, then for $t \in [a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$

$$\begin{array}{l} f(t,u,p) \longrightarrow -\infty, & \text{if } p \longrightarrow +\infty, \\ f(t,u,p) \longrightarrow +\infty, & \text{if } p \longrightarrow -\infty. \end{array}$$

$$(2.4)$$

It implies that there exist constants L_i , i = 1, 2, 3, 4, satisfying (H1) and (H2) in Theorem 2.3. Thus, problem (2.3) has at least one solution in $C^2([a, b])$.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Define Φ : $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$\Phi(u) = \begin{cases} -L_2(b-a), & u \leq -L_2(b-a), \\ u, & -L_2(b-a) < u < -L_3(b-a), \\ -L_3(b-a), & u \geq -L_3(b-a). \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

For all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, suppose that x(t) is an arbitrary solution of problem

$$x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = \lambda f(t, \Phi(x^{\sigma}(t)), x^{\Delta}(t)), \quad t \in [a, \rho^{2}(b)]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

$$x^{\Delta}(a) = 0, \qquad x(b) = 0.$$
 (2.6)

We firstly prove that there exists C > 0, independent of λ and x, such that ||x|| < C. We show at first that

$$L_3 < x^{\Delta}(t) < L_2, \quad t \in [a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$
 (2.7)

Let $A(t) : L_3 < x^{\Delta}(t) < L_2$, $t \in [a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$. We employ the induction principle on time scales (Lemma 1.5) to show that A(t) holds step by step.

- (1) From the boundary condition $x^{\Delta}(a) = 0$ and the assumption of $L_3 < 0 < L_2$, A(a) holds.
- (2) For each $t \in [a, \rho(b))_{\mathbb{T}}$ with $\sigma(t) > t$, suppose that A(t) holds, that is, $L_3 < x^{\Delta}(t) < L_2$. Note that $-L_2(b-a) \leq \Phi(x^{\sigma}(t)) \leq -L_3(b-a)$; we divide this discussion into three cases to prove that $A(\sigma(t))$ holds.

Case 1. If $L_4 < x^{\Delta}(t) < L_1$, then from Lemma 1.3, Definition 2.1, and (*H*1) there is

$$x^{\Delta}(\sigma(t)) = x^{\Delta}(t) + x^{\Delta\Delta}(t)(\sigma(t) - t)$$

$$< L_1 + Mr(\mathbb{T})$$

$$< L_2.$$
 (2.8)

Similarly, $x^{\Delta}(\sigma(t)) > L_4 - Mr(\mathbb{T}) > L_3$.

Case 2. If $L_1 \le x^{\Delta}(t) < L_2$, then similar to Case 1 we have

$$x^{\Delta}(\sigma(t)) = x^{\Delta}(t) + x^{\Delta\Delta}(t)(\sigma(t) - t)$$

> $L_4 - Mr(\mathbb{T})$
> $L_3.$ (2.9)

Suppose to the contrary that $x^{\Delta}(\sigma(t)) \ge L_2$, then

$$\lambda f\left(t, \Phi(x^{\sigma}(t)), x^{\Delta}(t)\right) = x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = \frac{x^{\Delta}(\sigma(t)) - x^{\Delta}(t)}{\sigma(t) - t} > 0,$$
(2.10)

which contradicts (*H2*). So $x^{\Delta}(\sigma(t)) < L_2$.

Case 3. If $L_3 < x^{\Delta}(t) \le L_4$, similar to Case 2, then $L_3 < x^{\Delta}(\sigma(t)) < L_2$ holds. Therefore, $A(\sigma(t))$ is true.

- (3) For each $t \in [a, \rho(b))_{\mathbb{T}}$, with $\sigma(t) = t$, and A(t) holds, then there is a neighborhood U of t such that A(s) holds for all $s \in U$, s > t by virtue of the continuity of x^{Δ} .
- (4) For each $t \in (a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$, with $\rho(t) = t$, and A(s) is true for all $s \in [a, t)_{\mathbb{T}}$, since $x^{\Delta}(t) = \lim_{s \to t, s < t} x^{\Delta}(s)$ implies that

$$L_3 \le x^{\Delta}(t) \le L_2, \tag{2.11}$$

we only show that $x^{\Delta}(t) \neq L_2$ and $x^{\Delta}(t) \neq L_3$.

Suppose to the contrary that $x^{\Delta}(t) = L_2$. From

$$x^{\Delta}(s) < L_2, \quad s \in [a, t)_{\mathbb{T}},$$
 (2.12)

 $\rho(t) = t$, and the continuity of x^{Δ} , there is a neighborhood *V* of *t* such that

$$L_1 < x^{\Delta}(s) < L_2, \quad s \in [a, t)_{\mathbb{T}} \cap V.$$
 (2.13)

So $L_1 < x^{\Delta}(s) \le L_2$, $s \in [a,t]_{\mathbb{T}} \cap V$. Combining with $-L_2(b-a) \le \Phi(x^{\sigma}(s)) \le -L_3(b-a)$, $s \in [a,t]_{\mathbb{T}} \cap V$, we have from (*H*2), $x^{\Delta\Delta}(s) = \lambda f(s, \Phi(x^{\sigma}(s)), x^{\Delta}(s)) \le 0$, $s \in [a,t]_{\mathbb{T}} \cap V$. So from Lemma 1.4

$$x^{\Delta}(s) \ge x^{\Delta}(t) = L_2, \quad s \in [a, t]_{\mathbb{T}} \cap V.$$

$$(2.14)$$

This contradiction shows that $x^{\Delta}(t) \neq L_2$. In the same way, we claim that $x^{\Delta}(t) \neq L_3$.

Hence, $A(t) : L_3 < x^{\Delta}(t) < L_2, t \in [a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$, holds. So

$$\left|x^{\Delta}\right|_{0} < C_{1} := \max\{-L_{3}, L_{2}\}.$$
 (2.15)

From Definition 1.2 and Lemma 1.3, we have for $t \in [a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(\rho(b)) - \int_{t}^{\rho(b)} x^{\Delta}(s) \Delta s \\ &= x(b) - x^{\Delta}(\rho(b)) (b - \rho(b)) - \int_{t}^{\rho(b)} x^{\Delta}(s) \Delta s. \end{aligned}$$
(2.16)

There are, from x(b) = 0 and (2.7),

$$x(t) < -L_3(b - \rho(b)) - L_3(\rho(b) - t) \le -L_3(b - a),$$

$$x(t) > -L_2(b - \rho(b)) - L_2(\rho(b) - t) \ge -L_2(b - a)$$
(2.17)

for $t \in [a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$. In addition,

$$-L_2(b-a) < x(b) = 0 < -L_3(b-a).$$
(2.18)

Thus,

$$-L_2(b-a) < x(t) < -L_3(b-a), \quad t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}},$$
(2.19)

that is,

$$|x|_0 < C_1(b-a). \tag{2.20}$$

Moreover, by the continuity of f, the equation in (2.6), (2.7) and the definition of Φ

$$\left|x^{\Delta\Delta}\right|_{0} < M, \tag{2.21}$$

where *M* is defined in (2.2). Now let $C = \max\{C_1, C_1(b - a), M\}$. Then, from (2.15), (2.20), and (2.21),

$$\|x\| < C. (2.22)$$

Note that from (2.19) we have

$$-L_2(b-a) < x^{\sigma}(t) < -L_3(b-a), \quad t \in [a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}'}$$
(2.23)

that is, $\Phi(x^{\sigma}(t)) = x^{\sigma}(t), t \in [a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$. So *x* is also an arbitrary solution of problem

$$x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = \lambda f(t, x^{\sigma}(t), x^{\Delta}(t)), \quad t \in [a, \rho^{2}(b)]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

$$x^{\Delta}(a) = 0, \qquad x(b) = 0.$$
 (2.24)

According to (2.22) and Lemma 1.7, the dynamic boundary value problem (1.2) has at least one solution in $C^2([a, b])$.

3. An Additional Result

Parallel to the definition of delta derivative, the notion of nabla derivative was introduced, and the main relations between the two operations were studied in [7]. Applying to the dual

version of the induction principle on time scales (Remark 1.6), we can obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.1. Let $g : [\sigma(a), b]_{\mathbb{T}} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Suppose that there are constants I_i , i = 1, 2, 3, 4, with $I_2 > I_1 \ge 0$, $I_3 < I_4 \le 0$ satisfying

$$(S1) I_2 > I_1 + Nl(\mathbb{T}), I_3 < I_4 - Nl(\mathbb{T}),$$

$$(S2) g(t, u, p) \ge 0 \text{ for } (t, u, p) \in [\sigma(a), b]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [I_3(b-a), I_2(b-a)] \times [I_1, I_2], g(t, u, p) \le 0 \text{ for } (t, u, p) \in [\sigma(a), b]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [I_3(b-a), I_2(b-a)] \times [I_3, I_4],$$

where

$$N = \sup\{|g(t, u, p)| : (t, u, p) \in [\sigma(a), b]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [I_3(b-a), I_2(b-a)] \times [I_3, I_2]\}.$$
 (3.1)

Then dynamic boundary value problem

$$x^{\nabla\nabla}(t) = g(t, x^{\rho}(t), x^{\nabla}(t)), \quad t \in [\sigma^{2}(a), b]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

$$x(a) = 0, \qquad x^{\nabla}(b) = 0$$
(3.2)

has at least one solution.

Remark 3.2. According to Theorem 3.1, the dynamic boundary value problem related to the nabla derivative

$$x^{\nabla\nabla}(t) = (x^{\nabla}(t))^{3} + k(t, x^{\rho}(t), x^{\nabla}(t)), \quad t \in [\sigma^{2}(a), b]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

$$x(a) = 0, \qquad x^{\nabla}(b) = 0$$
(3.3)

has at least one solution. Here $k(t, u, p) : [\sigma(a), b]_{\mathbb{T}} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded everywhere and continuous.

Acknowledgments

H. Luo was supported by China Postdoctoral Fund (no. 20100481239), the NSFC Young Item (no. 70901016), HSSF of Ministry of Education of China (no. 09YJA790028), Program for Innovative Research Team of Liaoning Educational Committee (no. 2008T054), and Innovation Method Fund of China (no. 2009IM010400-1-39). Y. An was supported by 11YZ225 and YJ2009-16 (A06/1020K096019).

References

- R. P. Agarwal and M. Bohner, "Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 35, no. 1-2, pp. 3–22, 1999.
- [2] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2001.
- [3] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, Eds., Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2003.

- [4] S. Hilger, "Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 18, no. 1-2, pp. 18–56, 1990.
- [5] B. Kaymakcalan, V. Lakshmikantham, and S. Sivasundaram, *Dynamic Systems on Measure Chains*, vol. 370 of *Mathematics and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1996.
- [6] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, "Triple solutions to boundary value problems on time scales," Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 7–11, 2000.
- [7] F. M. Atici and G. S. Guseinov, "On Green's functions and positive solutions for boundary value problems on time scales," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 141, no. 1-2, pp. 75–99, 2002, Special issue on "Dynamic equations on time scales", edited by R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner and D. O'Regan.
- [8] M. Bohner and H. Luo, "Singular second-order multipoint dynamic boundary value problems with mixed derivatives," Advances in Difference Equations, vol. 2006, Article ID 54989, 15 pages, 2006.
- [9] C. J. Chyan and J. Henderson, "Twin solutions of boundary value problems for differential equations on measure chains," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 141, no. 1-2, pp. 123–131, 2002, Special issue on "Dynamic equations on time scales", edited by R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner and D. O'Regan.
- [10] L. Erbe, A. Peterson, and R. Mathsen, "Existence, multiplicity, and nonexistence of positive solutions to a differential equation on a measure chain," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 113, no. 1-2, pp. 365–380, 2000.
- [11] C. Gao and H. Luo, "Positive solutions to nonlinear first-order nonlocal BVPs with parameter on time scales," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2011, Article ID 198598, 15 pages, 2011.
- [12] J. Henderson, "Multiple solutions for 2m order Sturm-Liouville boundary value problems on a measure chain," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 417–429, 2000.
- [13] W.-T. Li and H.-R. Sun, "Multiple positive solutions for nonlinear dynamical systems on a measure chain," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 162, no. 2, pp. 421–430, 2004.
- [14] H. Luo and R. Ma, "Nodal solutions to nonlinear eigenvalue problems on time scales," Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 773–784, 2006.
- [15] H.-R. Sun, "Triple positive solutions for *p*-Laplacian *m*-point boundary value problem on time scales," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 1736–1741, 2009.
- [16] J.-P. Sun and W.-T. Li, "Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for second-order time scale systems," Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, vol. 68, no. 10, pp. 3107–3114, 2008.
- [17] D.-B. Wang, J.-P. Sun, and W. Guan, "Multiple positive solutions for functional dynamic equations on time scales," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 1433–1440, 2010.
- [18] R. Ma and H. Luo, "Existence of solutions for a two-point boundary value problem on time scales," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 150, no. 1, pp. 139–147, 2004.
- [19] P. Kelevedjiev, "Existence of solutions for two-point boundary value problems," Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 217–224, 1994.