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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial weak solutions for a class of nonlinear impulsive ( $q, p$ )-Laplacian dynamical systems. The key contributions of this paper lie in (i) Exploiting the least action principle, we deduce that the system we are interested in has at least one weak solution if the potential function has sub-( $q, p$ ) growth or ( $q, p$ ) growth; (ii) Employing a critical point theorem due to Ding (Nonlinear Anal. 25(11):1095-1113, 1995), we derive that the system involved has infinitely many weak solutions provided that the potential function is even.
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## 1 Introduction and main results

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{N},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle,|\cdot|\right)$ be the $N$-dimensional Euclidean space. For fixed $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$, set $B:=\{1,2, \ldots, l\}$ and $C:=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. If $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, let $\nabla f$ stand for the gradient operator. For a smooth function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, denote by $\nabla_{x_{1}} f$ and $\nabla_{x_{2}} f$ the gradient operator with respect to the first component and the second component, respectively. For a mapping $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f\left(t^{+}\right)$and $f\left(t^{-}\right)$mean the right-hand side limit and the left-land side limit at $t$, respectively. For functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, let $\Delta(f(g(t)))=f\left(g\left(t^{+}\right)\right)-f\left(g\left(t^{-}\right)\right)$.

In this paper, we consider a nonlinear system with impulsive effects on $\mathbb{H}^{N}:=\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ for any $p, q>1, \lambda>0, j \in B$, and $m \in C$,

$$
\begin{cases}-\frac{d\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)\right)}{d t}+\Phi_{q}\left(u_{1}(t)\right)=\lambda \nabla_{u_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), & \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T]  \tag{1.1}\\ -\frac{d\left(\Phi_{p}\left(\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right)\right)}{d t}+\Phi_{p}\left(u_{2}(t)\right)=\lambda \nabla_{u_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), & \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T], \\ \Delta\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)\right)=\nabla I_{j}\left(u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right), & \\ \Delta\left(\Phi_{p}\left(\dot{u}_{2}\left(s_{m}\right)\right)\right)=\nabla K_{m}\left(u_{2}\left(s_{m}\right)\right), & \end{cases}
$$

with the initial condition $\left(\dot{u}_{1}(0), \dot{u}_{2}(0)\right)=\left(u_{1}(0), u_{2}(0)\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{N}$ and the terminal condition $\left(\dot{u}_{1}(T), \dot{u}_{2}(T)\right)=(0,0) \in \mathbb{H}^{N}$, where $\Phi_{\mu}(z):=|z|^{\mu-2} z$ for any $\mu>1$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ; F: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times$ $\mathbb{H}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ;\left(t_{j}\right)_{j \in B}$ and $\left(s_{m}\right)_{m \in C}$ are impulsive times with $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{l}<t_{l+1}=T$,
$0=s_{0}<s_{1}<s_{2}<\cdots<s_{k}<s_{k+1}=T$, and for $j \in B$ and $m \in C, I_{j}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $K_{m}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuously differentiable.

For the nonlinear term $F:[0, T] \times \mathbb{H}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we assume that
(A1) For fixed $t \in[0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{H}^{N}, F(\cdot, x)$ is measurable and $F(t, \cdot)$ is continuously differentiable;
(A2) There exist $a_{1}, a_{2} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $b \in L^{1}\left([0, T] ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left[a_{1}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right)+a_{2}\left(\left|x_{2}\right|\right)\right] b(t), \\
& \left|\nabla F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left[a_{1}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right)+a_{2}\left(\left|x_{2}\right|\right)\right] b(t), \\
& \left|I_{j}\left(x_{1}\right)\right| \leq a_{1}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right), \quad\left|\nabla I_{j}\left(x_{1}\right)\right| \leq a_{1}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right), \quad j \in B, \\
& \left|K_{m}\left(x_{2}\right)\right| \leq a_{2}\left(\left|x_{2}\right|\right), \quad\left|\nabla K_{m}\left(x_{2}\right)\right| \leq a_{2}\left(\left|x_{2}\right|\right), \quad m \in C
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
For $N=1, p=q=2, F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=F\left(t, x_{1}\right)$, and $I_{j} \equiv 0(j \in B)$, system (1.1) reduces to the following second order impulsive differential equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)+u_{1}(t)=\lambda_{1} \nabla_{u_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t)\right), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0,+\infty),  \tag{1.2}\\
u_{1}^{\prime}(0)=u_{1}(0), \\
u_{1}^{\prime}(T)=0 \\
\Delta\left(u_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)=I_{j}\left(u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right), \quad j \in B .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Recently, Chen and Sun [2] investigated the following second order impulsive differential equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)+u_{1}(t)=\lambda f\left(t, u_{1}(t)\right), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0,+\infty),  \tag{1.3}\\
u_{1}^{\prime}\left(0^{+}\right)=g\left(u_{1}(0)\right), \\
u_{1}^{\prime}(+\infty)=0, \\
\Delta\left(u_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)=I_{j}\left(u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right), \quad j \in B,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R} ; \mathbb{R}\right), g, I_{j} \in C(\mathbb{R} ; \mathbb{R})$. In [2], the authors not only established the variational structure of equation (1.3) but also obtained that (1.3) enjoys three solutions by using an abstract critical point theorem taken from [3]. More precisely, they obtained the following theorem.

Theoremm A ([2], Theorem 3.1) Suppose that
(H1) $g(u), I_{j}(u)$ are nondecreasing, and $g(u) u \geq 0, I_{j}(u) u \geq 0$ for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$;
(H2) There exist $a>0, l \in(0,2), b \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, and $c \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that

$$
F(t, u) \leq b(t)\left(a+|u|^{l}\right), \quad f(t, u) \leq c(t)|u|^{l-1}
$$

for a.e. $t \geq 0$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$, where $F(t, u):=\int_{0}^{u} f(t, s) d s$;
(H3) There exist $d, m, M>0$ such that

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{M^{2}}<m^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{l} \int_{0}^{m e^{-t_{j}}} I_{j}(s) d s+2 \int_{0}^{m} g(s) d s
$$

$$
\frac{M^{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \max _{|\xi| \leq d} F(t, \xi) d t}{d^{2}}<\frac{\int_{0}^{+\infty} F\left(t, m e^{-t}\right) d t}{m^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{l} \int_{0}^{m e^{-t_{j}}} I_{j}(s) d s+2 \int_{0}^{m} g(s) d s} .
$$

Then, for each

$$
\lambda \in\left[\frac{\frac{m^{2}}{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{l} \int_{0}^{m e^{-t_{j}}} I_{j}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{m} g(s) d s}{\int_{0}^{+\infty} F\left(t, m e^{-t}\right) d t}, \frac{d^{2}}{2 M^{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \max _{|\xi| \leq d} F(t, \xi) d t}\right]
$$

(1.3) has at least three classical solutions.

Also, Dai and Zhang [4] showed by using the least action principle that (1.3) has at least one solution if the potential function has subquadratic growth and, by taking advantage of the fountain theorem due to [5], that (1.3) has infinitely many solutions if the potential function is even.

To be precise, they obtained the following theorems.

Theoremm B ([4], Theorem 3.1) Suppose that
(S1) $\left(I_{j}\right)_{j \in B}$ and $g$ satisfy $\int_{0}^{u} I_{j}(s) d s \geq 0$ and $\int_{0}^{u} g(s) d s \geq 0, u \in \mathbb{R}$, respectively;
(S2) There exist $a>0, \alpha \in(1,2)$, and $b \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that

$$
F(t, u) \leq b(t)\left(a+|u|^{\alpha}\right)
$$

for a.e. $t \geq 0$ and all $u \in \mathbb{R}$.
Then, for $\lambda>0$, (1.3) has at least one classical solution.

Theoremm C ([4], Theorem 3.2) Besides (S1) above, for a.e. $t \geq 0$ and all $u \in \mathbb{R}$, assume that
(S3) There exist $\alpha \in(1,2)$ and $d \in L^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that

$$
F(t, u) \geq d(t)|u|^{\alpha} ;
$$

(S4) There exist $\gamma \in(0,1)$ and $h_{1}, h_{2} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that

$$
f(t, u) \leq h_{1}(t)|u|^{\gamma}+h_{2}(t) ;
$$

(S5) There exist $\gamma_{j}>\alpha-1, \theta>\alpha-1$, and $q_{j}, q>0, j \in B$, such that

$$
I_{j}(u) \leq q_{j}|u|^{\gamma_{j}}, \quad g(u) \leq q|u|^{\theta} ;
$$

(S6) $f(t, u), I_{j}(u)$, and $g(u)$ are odd about $u$.
Then, for any $\lambda>0$, (1.3) has infinitely many solutions.

Recently, by applying the least action principle and saddle point theorem, [6-8] investigated the existence of periodic solutions for the following dynamical systems:

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{d}{d t} \Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)=\nabla_{u_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), & \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T],  \tag{1.4}\\ \frac{d}{d t} \Phi_{p}\left(\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right)=\nabla_{u_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), & \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T], \\ u_{1}(0)-u_{1}(T)=\dot{u}_{1}(0)-\dot{u}_{1}(T)=0, & \\ u_{2}(0)-u_{2}(T)=\dot{u}_{2}(0)-\dot{u}_{2}(T)=0 & \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{d}{d t} \Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)+\nabla_{u_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)=0, & \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T],  \tag{1.5}\\ \frac{d}{d t} \Phi_{p}\left(\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right)+\nabla_{u_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)=0, & \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T], \\ u_{1}(0)-u_{1}(T)=\dot{u}_{1}(0)-\dot{u}_{1}(T)=0, & \\ u_{2}(0)-u_{2}(T)=\dot{u}_{2}(0)-\dot{u}_{2}(T)=0, & \end{cases}
$$

respectively. Subsequently, by variational approach, Yang and Chen [9, 10] discussed the existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions for the following two classes of nonlinear ( $q, p$ )-Laplacian dynamical systems with impulsive effects:

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{d\left(\rho_{1}(t) \Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)\right)}{d t}-\rho_{2}(t) \Phi_{\lambda}\left(u_{1}(t)\right)+\nabla_{u_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)=0, & \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T],  \tag{1.6}\\ \frac{d\left(\gamma_{1}(t) \Phi_{p}\left(u_{2}(t)\right)\right)}{d t}-\gamma_{2}(t) \Phi_{\eta}\left(u_{2}(t)\right)+\nabla_{u_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)=0, & \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T], \\ u_{1}(0)-u_{1}(T)=\dot{u}_{1}(0)-\dot{u}_{1}(T)=0, \\ u_{2}(0)-u_{2}(T)=\dot{u}_{2}(0)-\dot{u}_{2}(T)=0, & \\ \Delta\left(\rho_{1}\left(t_{j}\right) \Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)\right)=\nabla I_{j}\left(u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right), \quad j \in B, \\ \Delta\left(\gamma_{1}\left(s_{m}\right) \Phi_{p}\left(\dot{u}_{2}\left(s_{m}\right)\right)\right)=\nabla K_{m}\left(u_{2}\left(s_{m}\right)\right), \quad m \in C, & \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)\right)}{d t}=\nabla_{u_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T],  \tag{1.7}\\
\frac{d\left(\Phi_{p}\left(\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right)\right)}{d t}=\nabla_{u_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T], \\
u_{1}(0)-u_{1}(T)=\dot{u}_{1}(0)-\dot{u}_{1}(T)=0, \\
u_{2}(0)-u_{2}(T)=\dot{u}_{2}(0)-\dot{u}_{2}(T)=0, \\
\Delta\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)\right)=\nabla I_{j}\left(u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right), \quad j \in B, \\
\Delta\left(\Phi_{p}\left(\dot{u}_{2}\left(s_{m}\right)\right)\right)=\nabla K_{m}\left(u_{2}\left(s_{m}\right)\right), \quad m \in C,
\end{array}\right.
$$

respectively, where $p, q, \lambda, \eta>1$ and $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in C\left([0, T] ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.
Motivated by [2, 4, 6-10], in this paper, we are interested in the existence and multiplicity of a nontrivial weak solution for system (1.1) by using the least action principle and a critical point theorem due to Ding [1]. To be precise, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 1.1 Suppose that
(HIK1) For $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{l} I_{j}\left(x_{1}\right) \geq 0, \quad \sum_{m=1}^{k} K_{m}\left(x_{2}\right) \geq 0
$$

(HF1) There exist $\alpha_{1} \in[0, q), \alpha_{2} \in[0, p), a_{1}>0$, and $d_{1} \in L^{1}\left([0, T] ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that

$$
F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \leq d_{1}(t)\left(a_{1}+\left|x_{1}\right|^{\alpha_{1}}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{\alpha_{2}}\right), \quad \forall\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

Then, for each $\lambda>0$, system (1.1) has at least one weak solution in $X_{q} \times X_{p}$, where, for $s>1$,

$$
X_{s}=\left\{u:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid u \text { is absolutely continuous and } \dot{u} \in L^{s}[0, T]\right\} .
$$

Remark 1.1 There exist examples satisfying Theorem 1.1. For example, let $q=4, p=3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{j}\left(x_{1}\right)=\left(\left|x_{1}\right|+c_{1}\right)^{\xi_{1}} \quad(j \in B), \quad K_{m}\left(x_{2}\right)=\ln \left(\left|x_{2}\right|+c_{2}\right)^{\xi_{2}} \quad(m \in C) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}>0$, and for all $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sin t\left|x_{1}\right|^{3}+\cos t\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}
$$

or

$$
F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=t^{2} \ln \left(1+\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{e^{t}\left|x_{2}\right|^{4}}{1+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}} .
$$

Theorem 1.2 In addition to (HIK1), we assume that
(HF2) There exist $a_{2}>0$ and $d_{2} \in L^{1}\left([0, T] ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that

$$
F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \leq d_{2}(t)\left(a_{2}+\left|x_{1}\right|^{q}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{p}\right), \quad \forall\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

Then, for each $0<\lambda<\min \left\{\frac{1}{q\left(D_{0}(q)\right)^{9}}, \frac{1}{p\left(D_{0}(p)\right)^{p}}\right\}$, (1.1) has at least one weak solution in $X_{q} \times X_{p}$, where

$$
D_{0}(s):=\left(T^{-\frac{1}{s-1}}+\frac{(s-1) T}{2^{\frac{s}{s-1}} \cdot(2 s-1)}\right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}}, \quad s=p, q .
$$

Remark 1.2 There exist examples satisfying Theorem 1.2. For example, let $q=4, p=3$, and $I_{j}, K_{m}$ defined by (1.8). For all $t \in[0, T]$, let

$$
F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=e^{t}\left|x_{1}\right|^{4}+\sin t\left|x_{2}\right|^{3},
$$

or

$$
F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=t^{2}\left|x_{1}\right|^{3} \ln \left(1+\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}\right)+\left(t^{3}+1\right)\left|x_{2}\right|^{3} .
$$

Theorem 1.3 Along with (HIK1) and (HF2), for $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, j \in B, m \in C$, and $t \in[0, T]$, we suppose that
(HIK2) There exist $v_{1} \geq q, \nu_{2} \geq q$, and $\delta_{0}>0$ such that

$$
I_{j}\left(x_{1}\right) \leq d_{3}\left|x_{1}\right|^{\nu_{1}}, \quad K_{m}\left(x_{2}\right) \leq d_{4}\left|x_{2}\right|^{\nu_{2}}, \quad|x| \leq \delta_{0} ;
$$

(HIK3) $I_{j}\left(x_{1}\right)=I_{j}\left(-x_{1}\right), K_{m}\left(x_{2}\right)=K_{m}\left(-x_{2}\right), I_{j}(0)=0, K_{m}(0)=0$;
(HF3) There exist $\mu_{1} \in(1, q), \mu_{2} \in(1, p), d_{5}>0$, and $\delta_{1}>0$ such that

$$
F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \geq d_{5}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|^{\mu_{1}}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{\mu_{2}}\right), \quad\left|x_{1}\right| \leq \delta_{1},\left|x_{2}\right| \leq \delta_{1} ;
$$

(HF5) $F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=F\left(t,-x_{1},-x_{2}\right), F(t, 0,0) \equiv 0$.
Then, for each $0<\lambda<\min \left\{\frac{1}{q\left(D_{0}(q)\right)^{q}}, \frac{1}{p\left(D_{0}(p)\right)^{p}}\right\}$, (1.1) has infinitely many weak solutions in $X_{q} \times X_{p}$.

Remark 1.3 There exist examples satisfying Theorem 1.3. For example, let $q=4, p=3$, and

$$
I_{j}\left(x_{1}\right)=c_{3}\left|x_{1}\right|^{5} \quad(j \in B), \quad K_{m}\left(x_{2}\right)=c_{4}\left|x_{2}\right|^{4} \quad(m \in C),
$$

where $c_{3}, c_{4}>0$. For all $t \in[0, T]$, let

$$
F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(e^{t}+1\right)\left|x_{1}\right|^{3}+\left(t^{2}+1\right)\left|x_{2}\right|^{2} .
$$

If we take $v_{1}=4.5, v_{2}=3.5, \mu_{1}=3.5$, and $\mu_{2}=2.5$, it is easy to see that the example satisfies Theorem 1.3.

## 2 Variational structure and some preliminaries

For $u \in X_{s}$ with $s=q, p$, define

$$
\|u\|_{X_{s}}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{s} d t+\int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{s} d t\right)^{1 / s} .
$$

Set

$$
\|u\|_{s}:=\left(\int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{s} d t\right)^{1 / s} \quad \text { and } \quad\|u\|_{\infty}:=\max _{t \in[0, T]}|u(t)|
$$

Set $X:=X_{q} \times X_{p}$ and define the norm $\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|_{X}=\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}$. Obviously, $X$ is a reflexive Banach space. Let

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{u:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid u \text { is continuous }\right\} .
$$

$X_{s}$ embeds into $\mathcal{C}$ continuously and, according to [11], Lemma 2.4,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq D_{0}(s)\|u\|_{X_{s}} \quad \text { for any } u \in X_{s} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 ([12], Proposition 1.2) If $u_{k}$ converges to $u$ weakly, then $u_{k}$ uniformly converges to $u$ on $[0, T]$.

If $u \in X_{s}$, then $u$ is absolutely continuous, whereas $\dot{u}$ need not be continuous. Hence, it is possible that $\Delta \Phi_{s}(\dot{u}(t))=\Phi_{s}\left(\dot{u}\left(t^{+}\right)\right)-\Phi_{s}\left(\dot{u}\left(t^{-}\right)\right) \neq 0$, which leads to impulse effects.

Following the idea [13], multiplying by $v_{1} \in X_{q}$ on both sides of the first equation in (1.1) and integrating from 0 to $T$ yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left[-\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)+\left|u_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} u_{1}(t)-\lambda \nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)\right] v_{1}(t) d t=0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v_{1}$ is continuous, $v_{1}\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)=v_{1}\left(t_{j}^{+}\right)=v_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)$. Combining $\dot{u}_{1}(T)=0$ with $\dot{u}_{1}(0)=u_{1}(0)$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\frac{d\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)\right.}{d t}, v_{1}(t)\right) d t \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{l} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}}\left(\frac{d\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)\right)}{d t}, v_{1}(t)\right) d t \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{l}\left[\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}\left(t_{j+1}^{-}\right)\right), v_{1}\left(t_{j+1}^{-}\right)\right)-\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}\left(t_{j}^{+}\right)\right), v_{1}\left(t_{j}^{+}\right)\right)\right] d t \\
&-\sum_{j=0}^{l} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}}\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right), \dot{v}_{1}(t)\right) d t \\
&=\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(T)\right), v_{1}(T)\right)-\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(0)\right), v_{1}(0)\right) \\
&-\sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(\Delta \Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right), v_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)-\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right), \dot{v}_{1}(t)\right) d t \\
&=-\left(\Phi_{q}\left(u_{1}(0)\right), v_{1}(0)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(\Delta \Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right), v_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)-\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right), \dot{v}_{1}(t)\right) d t \\
&=-\left(\Phi_{q}\left(u_{1}(0)\right), v_{1}(0)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(\nabla I_{j}\left(u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right), v_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)-\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right), \dot{v}_{1}(t)\right) d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

which, together with (2.2), further leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Phi_{q}\left(u_{1}(0)\right), v_{1}(0)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(\nabla I_{j}\left(u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right), v_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right), \dot{v}_{1}(t)\right) d t \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2}\left(u_{1}(t), v_{1}(t)\right) d t-\lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), v_{1}(t)\right) d t=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously, for any $v_{2} \in X_{p}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Phi_{p}\left(u_{2}(0)\right), v_{2}(0)\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{k}\left(\nabla K_{m}\left(u_{2}\left(t_{m}\right)\right), v_{2}\left(t_{m}\right)\right)+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi_{p}\left(\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right), \dot{v}_{2}(t)\right) d t \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{2}(t)\right|^{p-2}\left(u_{2}(t), v_{2}(t)\right) d t-\lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), v_{2}(t)\right) d t=0
\end{aligned}
$$

With the two equalities above in hand, we present the notion of weak solutions for (1.1).

Definition 2.1 For any $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in X_{q} \times X_{p}$, if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Phi_{q}\left(u_{1}(0)\right), v_{1}(0)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(\nabla I_{j}\left(u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right), v_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right), \dot{v}_{1}(t)\right) d t \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2}\left(u_{1}(t), v_{1}(t)\right) d t-\lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), v_{1}(t)\right) d t=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Phi_{p}\left(u_{2}(0)\right), v_{2}(0)\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{k}\left(\nabla K_{m}\left(u_{2}\left(t_{m}\right)\right), v_{2}\left(t_{m}\right)\right)+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi_{p}\left(\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right), \dot{v}_{2}(t)\right) d t \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{2}(t)\right|^{p-2}\left(u_{2}(t), v_{2}(t)\right) d t-\lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), v_{2}(t)\right) d t=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

then $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in X_{q} \times X_{p}$ is called a weak solution of (1.1).

For $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in X_{q} \times X_{p}$, define the functional $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u)= & \varphi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t-\lambda \int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right) d t \\
& +\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{l} I_{j}\left(u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{k} K_{m}\left(u_{2}\left(s_{m}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{q}\left|u_{1}(0)\right|^{q}+\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{2}(0)\right|^{p} \\
= & \phi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)+\psi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right):= & \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t-\lambda \int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right) d t \\
& +\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t \\
& +\frac{1}{q}\left|u_{1}(0)\right|^{q}+\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{2}(0)\right|^{p}, \\
\psi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right):= & \sum_{j=1}^{l} I_{j}\left(u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{k} K_{m}\left(u_{2}\left(s_{m}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of (A1) and (A2), by following the argument of [12], Theorem 1.4, one has $\phi \in$ $C^{1}\left(X_{q} \times X_{p}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. Thanks to continuous differentiability of $\left(I_{j}\right)_{j \in B}$ and $\left(K_{m}\right)_{m \in C}$, we have $\psi \in$ $C^{1}\left(X_{q} \times X_{p}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. As a consequence, $\varphi \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and, for all $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in X_{q} \times X_{p}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
&= \int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi_{q}\left(\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right), \dot{v}_{1}(t)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi_{p}\left(\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right), \dot{v}_{2}(t)\right) d t \\
&+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi_{q}\left(u_{1}(t)\right), v_{1}(t)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi_{p}\left(u_{2}(t)\right), v_{2}(t)\right) d t \\
&+\left(\Phi_{q}\left(u_{1}(0)\right), v_{1}(0)\right)+\left(\Phi_{p}\left(u_{2}(0)\right), v_{2}(0)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), v_{1}(t)\right) d t-\lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), v_{2}(t)\right) d t \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(\nabla I_{j}\left(u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right), v_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{k}\left(\nabla K_{m}\left(u_{2}\left(s_{m}\right)\right), v_{2}\left(s_{m}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2.1 shows that the critical point of $\varphi$ is the weak solution of system (1.1). The following lemma plays a crucial role in achieving the critical point of $\varphi$.

Lemma 2.2 ([14]) Assume that $\varphi \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ is bounded from below (above) and satisfies the (PS) condition. Then

$$
c=\inf _{u \in E} \varphi(u) \quad\left(c=\sup _{u \in E} \varphi(u)\right)
$$

is a critical value of $\varphi$.

Lemma 2.3 ([1]) Let E be an infinite dimensional Banach space, and let $\varphi \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ with $\varphi(0)=0$ be even and satisfy $(P S)$. If $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$, where $E_{1}$ is finite dimensional, and $\varphi$ satisfies that
$\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \varphi$ is bounded from above on $E_{2}$;
$\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ for each finite dimensional subspace $\tilde{E} \subset E$, there are positive constants $\rho=\rho(\tilde{E})$ and $\sigma=\sigma(\tilde{E})$ such that $\varphi \geq 0$ on $B_{\rho} \cap \tilde{E}$ and $\left.\varphi\right|_{\partial B_{\rho} \cap \tilde{E}} \geq \sigma$, where $B_{\rho}=\{x \in E ;\|x\| \leq \rho\}$,
then $\varphi$ possesses infinitely many nontrivial critical points.

## 3 Proofs of theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1 It follows from (HIK1), (HF1), and (2.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u)= & \varphi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t-\lambda \int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right) d t \\
& +\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{l} I_{j}\left(u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{k} K_{m}\left(u_{2}\left(s_{m}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{q}\left|u_{1}(0)\right|^{q}+\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{2}(0)\right|^{p} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{q}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{q}+\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{p}-\lambda \int_{0}^{T} d_{1}(t)\left(a_{1}+\left|u_{1}(t)\right|^{\alpha_{1}}+\left|u_{2}(t)\right|^{\alpha_{2}}\right) d t \\
\geq & \frac{1}{q}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{q}+\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{p}-\lambda\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\infty}^{\alpha_{1}} \int_{0}^{T} d_{1}(t) d t \\
& -\lambda\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{\infty}^{\alpha_{2}} \int_{0}^{T} d_{1}(t) d t-\lambda a_{1} \int_{0}^{T} d_{1}(t) d t \\
\geq & \frac{1}{q}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{q}+\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{p}-\lambda\left(D_{0}(q)\right)^{\alpha_{1}}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{\alpha_{1}} \int_{0}^{T} d_{1}(t) d t \\
& -\lambda\left(D_{0}(p)\right)^{\alpha_{2}}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{\alpha_{2}} \int_{0}^{T} d_{1}(t) d t-\lambda a_{1} \int_{0}^{T} d_{1}(t) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Owing to $\alpha_{1} \in[0, q)$ and $\alpha_{2} \in[0, p)$, we readily obtain that $\varphi(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., $\varphi$ satisfies the coercive condition on $X$. So $\varphi$ is bounded below on $X$.

Hereinafter, we claim that $\varphi$ satisfies the (PS) condition. If $\left\{\varphi\left(u_{1 n}, u_{2 n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded and $\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{1 n}, u_{2 n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists a positive constant $D_{1}$ such that

$$
\left|\varphi\left(u_{1 n}, u_{2 n}\right)\right| \leq D_{1}, \quad\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{1 n}, u_{2 n}\right)\right\| \leq D_{1}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Since $\varphi$ satisfies a coercive condition on $X$, we infer that $\left\|u_{1 n}\right\|_{X_{q}}$ and $\left\|u_{2 n}\right\|_{X_{p}}$ is bounded. Next, in light of the reflexive property of $X_{s}$, there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}=\left(u_{1 n}, u_{2 n}\right)\right\}$, such that

$$
u_{1 n} \rightharpoonup u_{1} \quad \text { on } X_{q}, \quad u_{2 n} \rightharpoonup u_{2} \quad \text { on } X_{p}
$$

Thus, Lemma 2.1 gives that

$$
u_{1 n} \rightarrow u_{1} \quad \text { in } C\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad u_{2 n} \rightarrow u_{2} \quad \text { in } C\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

Following the argument in [15-17], we can derive that $\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{X} \rightarrow 0$, where $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$. Consequently, $\varphi$ satisfies the (PS) condition. Thus, with the help of Lemma 2.2, we deduce that $\varphi$ has at least one critical point on $X$. Hence system (1.1) has at least one solution on $X$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 By (HIK1), (HF2), and (2.1), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(u)= & \varphi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t-\lambda \int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right) d t \\
& +\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{l} I_{j}\left(u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{k} K_{m}\left(u_{2}\left(s_{m}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{q}\left|u_{1}(0)\right|^{q}+\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{2}(0)\right|^{p} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{q}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{q}+\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{p}-\lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left[d_{2}(t)\left(a_{2}+\left|u_{1}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|u_{2}(t)\right|^{p}\right)\right] d t \\
\geq & \frac{1}{q}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{q}+\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{p}-\lambda\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\infty}^{q} \int_{0}^{T} d_{2}(t) d t \\
& -\lambda\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{\infty}^{p} \int_{0}^{T} d_{2}(t) d t-\lambda a_{2} \int_{0}^{T} d_{2}(t) d t \\
\geq & \frac{1}{q}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{q}+\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{p}-\lambda\left(D_{0}(q)\right)^{q}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{q} \int_{0}^{T} d_{2}(t) d t \\
& -\lambda\left(D_{0}(p)\right)^{p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{p} \int_{0}^{T} d_{2}(t) d t-\lambda a_{2} \int_{0}^{T} d_{2}(t) d t . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of $\lambda<\min \left\{\frac{1}{q\left(D_{0}(q)\right)^{q}}, \frac{1}{p\left(D_{0}(p)\right)^{p}}\right\}$, one has $\varphi(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow \infty$, that is, $\varphi$ satisfies the coercive condition on $X$. Hence $\varphi$ is bounded below on $X$. By carrying out a similar argument to derive Theorem 1.1, we get that system (1.1) has at least one solution in $X$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Keep in mind that $\varphi$ and $-\varphi$ have the same critical points. Let $\Theta=$ $-\varphi$. In the sequel, we aim at verifying that all conditions in Lemma 2.3 are fulfilled by $\Theta$. In fact, from (HIK3) and (HF5), we find that $\Theta$ is even and $\Theta(0)=0$. Taking (HIK1), (HF2), and (3.1) into account, we obtain that $\Theta(u) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\Theta$ is bounded above on $X$ so that $\Theta$ satisfies $\left(\varphi_{1}\right)$ in Lemma 2.3.

Assume that $\tilde{X} \subset X$ is finite-dimensional. For any $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in \tilde{X}=\tilde{X}_{q} \times \tilde{X}_{p}$, where $\tilde{X}_{q} \subset$ $X_{q}$ and $\tilde{X}_{p} \subset X_{p}$, we deduce that $\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\mu_{1}}$ is equivalent to $\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}$, and $\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{\mu_{2}}$ is equivalent to $\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}$. Hence there exist constants $d_{6}, d_{7}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\mu_{1}} \geq d_{6}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}, \quad\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{\mu_{2}} \geq d_{7}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\rho_{0}=\min \left\{\frac{\min \left\{\delta_{0}, \delta_{1}\right\}}{D_{0}(q)}, \frac{\min \left\{\delta_{0}, \delta_{1}\right\}}{D_{0}(p)}\right\}$. For any $\rho \in\left(0, \rho_{0}\right)$, if $\|u\|_{X}=\rho$, then $\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq$ $D_{0}(q)\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}} \leq D_{0}(q) \rho \leq \min \left\{\delta_{0}, \delta_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \leq D_{0}(p)\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{q}} \leq D_{0}(p) \rho \leq \min \left\{\delta_{0}, \delta_{1}\right\}$. Thus it follows from (HIK2), (HF3), (3.2), and Hölder's inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(u)= & -\varphi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \\
= & -\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t-\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t+\lambda \int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right) d t \\
& -\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t-\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{l} I_{j}\left(u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)-\sum_{m=1}^{k} K_{m}\left(u_{2}\left(s_{m}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{q}\left|u_{1}(0)\right|^{q}-\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{2}(0)\right|^{p} \\
\geq & -\frac{1}{q}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{q}-\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{p}+\lambda d_{5} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|u_{1}(t)\right|^{\mu_{1}}+\left|u_{2}(t)\right|^{\mu_{2}}\right) d t \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{l} d_{3}\left|u_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)\right|^{\nu_{1}}-\sum_{m=1}^{k} d_{4}\left|u_{2}\left(s_{m}\right)\right|^{\nu_{2}}-\frac{1}{q}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\infty}^{q}-\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{\infty}^{p} \\
\geq & -\frac{1}{q}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{q}-\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{p}+\lambda d_{5} d_{6}^{\mu_{1}}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{\mu_{1}}+\lambda d_{5} d_{7}^{\mu_{2}}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{\mu_{2}} \\
& -l d_{3}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\infty}^{\nu_{1}}-k d_{4}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{\infty}^{\nu_{2}} \\
& -\frac{1}{q}\left(D_{0}(q)\right)^{q}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{q}-\frac{1}{p}\left(D_{0}(p)\right)^{p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{p} \\
\geq & -\frac{1}{q}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{q}-\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{p}+\lambda d_{5} d_{6}^{\mu_{1}}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{\mu_{1}}+\lambda d_{5} d_{7}^{\mu_{2}}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{\mu_{2}} \\
& -l d_{3}\left(D_{0}(q)\right)^{\nu_{1}}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{\nu_{1}}-k d_{4}\left(D_{0}(p)\right)^{v_{2}}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{\nu_{2}} \\
& -\frac{1}{q}\left(D_{0}(q)\right)^{q}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X_{q}}^{q}-\frac{1}{p}\left(D_{0}(p)\right)^{p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{X_{p}}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observing that $\mu_{1} \in(1, q)$ and $\mu_{2} \in(1, p)$, we take sufficiently small $\rho \in\left(0, \rho_{0}\right)$ such that $\Theta(u) \geq 0$ on $B_{\rho} \cap \tilde{X}$ and $\Theta(u)>0$ on $\partial B_{\rho} \cap \tilde{X}$. Therefore, $\Theta$ satisfies $\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ in Lemma 2.3. Then, according to Lemma 2.3, $\Theta$ has infinitely many critical points in $X$ so that (1.1) has infinitely many solutions in $X$.
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