

*Research Article*

## **Eigenvalue Problems for Systems of Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems on Time Scales**

M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, and S. K. Ntouyas

Received 28 June 2007; Accepted 19 November 2007

Recommended by Kanishka Perera

Values of  $\lambda$  are determined for which there exist positive solutions of the system of dynamic equations,  $u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \lambda a(t)f(v(\sigma(t))) = 0$ ,  $v^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \lambda b(t)g(u(\sigma(t))) = 0$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]_{\mathbf{T}}$ , satisfying the boundary conditions,  $u(0) = 0 = u(\sigma^2(1))$ ,  $v(0) = 0 = v(\sigma^2(1))$ , where  $\mathbf{T}$  is a time scale. A Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point-theorem is applied.

Copyright © 2007 M. Benchohra et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### **1. Introduction**

Let  $\mathbf{T}$  be a time scale with  $0, \sigma^2(1) \in \mathbf{T}$ . Given an interval  $J$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , we will use the interval notation

$$J_{\mathbf{T}} := J \cap \mathbf{T}. \quad (1.1)$$

We are concerned with determining values of  $\lambda$  (eigenvalues) for which there exist positive solutions for the system of dynamic equations

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \lambda a(t)f(v(\sigma(t))) &= 0, & t \in [0, 1]_{\mathbf{T}}, \\ v^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \lambda b(t)g(u(\sigma(t))) &= 0, & t \in [0, 1]_{\mathbf{T}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

satisfying the boundary conditions

$$u(0) = 0 = u(\sigma^2(1)), \quad v(0) = 0 = v(\sigma^2(1)), \quad (1.3)$$

## 2 Advances in Difference Equations

where

- (a)  $f, g \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ ,
- (b)  $a, b \in C([0, \sigma(1)]_{\mathbb{T}}, [0, \infty))$ , and each does not vanish identically on any closed subinterval of  $[0, \sigma(1)]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,
- (c) all of  $f_0 := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (f(x)/x)$ ,  $g_0 := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (g(x)/x)$ ,  $f_\infty := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (f(x)/x)$ , and  $g_\infty := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (g(x)/x)$  exist as real numbers.

There is an ongoing flurry of research activities devoted to positive solutions of dynamic equations on time scales (see, e.g., [1–7]). This work entails an extension of the paper by Chyan and Henderson [8] to eigenvalue problems for systems of nonlinear boundary value problems on time scales. Also, in that light, this paper is closely related to the works of Li and Sun [9, 10].

On a larger scale, there has been a great deal of study focused on positive solutions of boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations. Interest in such solutions is high from a theoretical sense [11–15] and as applications for which only positive solutions are meaningful [16–19]. These considerations are cast primarily for scalar problems, but good attention has been given to boundary value problems for systems of differential equations [20–24].

The main tool in this paper is an application of the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point-theorem for operators leaving a Banach space cone invariant [12]. A Green function plays a fundamental role in defining an appropriate operator on a suitable cone.

### 2. Some preliminaries

In this section, we state the well-known Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point-theorem which we will apply to a completely continuous operator whose kernel,  $G(t, s)$ , is the Green function for

$$\begin{aligned} -y^{\Delta\Delta} &= 0, \\ y(0) &= 0 = y(\sigma^2(1)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Erbe and Peterson [6] have found that

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2(1)} \begin{cases} t(\sigma^2(1) - \sigma(s)), & \text{if } t \leq s, \\ \sigma(s)(\sigma^2(1) - t), & \text{if } \sigma(s) \leq t, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

from which

$$G(t, s) > 0, \quad (t, s) \in (0, \sigma^2(1))_{\mathbb{T}} \times (0, \sigma(1))_{\mathbb{T}}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$G(t, s) \leq G(\sigma(s), s) = \frac{\sigma(s)(\sigma^2(1) - \sigma(s))}{\sigma^2(1)}, \quad t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]_{\mathbb{T}}, s \in [0, \sigma(1)]_{\mathbb{T}}, \tag{2.4}$$

and it is also shown in [6] that

$$G(t, s) \geq kG(\sigma(s), s) = k \frac{\sigma(s)(\sigma^2(1) - \sigma(s))}{\sigma^2(1)}, \quad t \in \left[ \frac{\sigma^2(1)}{4}, \frac{3\sigma^2(1)}{4} \right]_{\mathbb{T}}, s \in [0, \sigma(1)]_{\mathbb{T}}, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$k = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{\sigma^2(1)}{4(\sigma^2(1) - \sigma(0))} \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

We note that a pair  $(u(t), v(t))$  is a solution of the eigenvalue problem (1.2), (1.3) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) a(s) f \left( \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \right) \Delta s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \sigma^2(1), \\ v(t) &= \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) b(s) g(u(\sigma(s))) \Delta s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \sigma^2(1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Values of  $\lambda$  for which there are positive solutions (positive with respect to a cone) of (1.2), (1.3) will be determined via applications of the following fixed point-theorem [12].

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach space, and let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be a cone in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Assume that  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are open subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $0 \in \Omega_1 \subset \overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ , and let*

$$T : \mathcal{P} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P} \quad (2.8)$$

be a completely continuous operator such that either

- (i)  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , and  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2$ , or
- (ii)  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , and  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2$ .

Then,  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\mathcal{P} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .

### 3. Positive solutions in a cone

In this section, we apply Theorem 2.1 to obtain solutions in a cone (i.e., positive solutions) of (1.2), (1.3). Assume throughout that  $[0, \sigma^2(1)]_{\mathbb{T}}$  is such that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \min \left\{ t \in T \mid t \geq \frac{\sigma^2(1)}{4} \right\}, \\ \omega &= \max \left\{ t \in T \mid t \leq \frac{3\sigma^2(1)}{4} \right\}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

both exist and satisfy

$$\frac{\sigma^2(1)}{4} \leq \xi < \omega \leq \frac{3\sigma^2(1)}{4}. \quad (3.2)$$

Next, let  $\tau \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$  be defined by

$$\int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau, s) a(s) \Delta s = \max_{t \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}} \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(t, s) a(s) \Delta s. \quad (3.3)$$

Finally, we define

$$l = \min_{s \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]_{\mathbb{T}}} \frac{G(\sigma(\omega), s)}{G(\sigma(s), s)}, \quad (3.4)$$

#### 4 Advances in Difference Equations

and let

$$m = \min \{k, l\}. \quad (3.5)$$

For our construction, let  $\mathcal{B} = \{x : [0, \sigma^2(1)]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$  with supremum norm  $\|x\| = \sup \{|x(t)| : t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]_{\mathbb{T}}\}$  and define a cone  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}$  by

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{B} \mid x(t) \geq 0 \text{ on } [0, \sigma^2(1)]_{\mathbb{T}}, \text{ and } x(t) \geq m\|x\|, \text{ for } t \in [\xi, \sigma(\omega)]_{\mathbb{T}} \right\}. \quad (3.6)$$

For our first result, define positive numbers  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  by

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &:= \max \left\{ \left[ m \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau, s) a(s) \Delta s f_{\infty} \right]^{-1}, \left[ m \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau, s) b(s) \Delta s g_{\infty} \right]^{-1} \right\}, \\ L_2 &:= \min \left\{ \left[ \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), s) a(s) \Delta s f_0 \right]^{-1}, \left[ \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), s) b(s) \Delta s g_0 \right]^{-1} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where we recall that  $G(\sigma(s), s) = \sigma(s)(\sigma^2(1) - \sigma(s))/\sigma^2(1)$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Assume that conditions (a), (b), and (c) are satisfied. Then, for each  $\lambda$  satisfying*

$$L_1 < \lambda < L_2, \quad (3.8)$$

*there exists a pair  $(u, v)$  satisfying (1.2), (1.3) such that  $u(x) > 0$  and  $v(x) > 0$  on  $(0, \sigma^2(1))_{\mathbb{T}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be as in (3.8). And let  $\epsilon > 0$  be chosen such that

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \left[ m \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau, s) a(s) \Delta s (f_{\infty} - \epsilon) \right]^{-1}, \left[ m \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau, s) b(s) \Delta s (g_{\infty} - \epsilon) \right]^{-1} \right\} &\leq \lambda, \\ \lambda \leq \min \left\{ \left[ \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), s) a(s) \Delta s (f_0 + \epsilon) \right]^{-1}, \left[ \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), s) b(s) \Delta s (g_0 + \epsilon) \right]^{-1} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Define an integral operator  $T : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  by

$$Tu(t) := \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) a(s) f \left( \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \right) \Delta s, \quad u \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (3.10)$$

By the remarks in Section 2, we seek suitable fixed points of  $T$  in the cone  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Notice from (a), (b), and (2.3) that, for  $u \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $Tu(t) \geq 0$  on  $[0, \sigma^2(1)]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Also, for  $u \in \mathcal{P}$ , we have from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &= \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) a(s) f \left( \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \right) \Delta s \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), s) a(s) f \left( \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \right) \Delta s \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

so that

$$\|Tu\| \leq \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), s) a(s) f \left( \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \right) \Delta s. \quad (3.12)$$

Next, if  $u \in \mathcal{P}$ , we have from (2.5), (3.5), and (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{t \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}} Tu(t) &= \min_{t \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}} \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) a(s) f \left( \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \right) \Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda m \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), s) a(s) f \left( \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \right) \Delta s \\ &\geq m \|Tu\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Consequently,  $T : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ . In addition, standard arguments show that  $T$  is completely continuous.

Now, from the definitions of  $f_0$  and  $g_0$ , there exists  $H_1 > 0$  such that

$$f(x) \leq (f_0 + \epsilon)x, \quad g(x) \leq (g_0 + \epsilon)x, \quad 0 < x \leq H_1. \quad (3.14)$$

Let  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\|u\| = H_1$ . We first have from (2.4) and choice of  $\epsilon$ , for  $0 \leq s \leq \sigma(1)$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r &\leq \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(r), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(r), r) b(r) (g_0 + \epsilon) u(r) \Delta r \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(r), r) b(r) \Delta r (g_0 + \epsilon) \|u\| \\ &\leq \|u\| = H_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

As a consequence, we next have from (2.4) and choice of  $\epsilon$ , for  $0 \leq t \leq \sigma^2(1)$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &= \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) a(s) f \left( \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \right) \Delta s \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), s) a(s) (f_0 + \epsilon) \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \Delta s \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), s) a(s) (f_0 + \epsilon) H_1 \Delta s \\ &\leq H_1 = \|u\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

So,  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ . If we set

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|x\| < H_1\}, \quad (3.17)$$

then

$$\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1. \quad (3.18)$$

6 Advances in Difference Equations

Next, from the definitions of  $f_\infty$  and  $g_\infty$ , there exists  $\overline{H}_2 > 0$  such that

$$f(x) \geq (f_\infty - \epsilon)x, \quad g(x) \geq (g_\infty - \epsilon)x, \quad x \geq \overline{H}_2. \quad (3.19)$$

Let

$$H_2 = \max \left\{ 2H_1, \frac{\overline{H}_2}{m} \right\}. \quad (3.20)$$

Let  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\|u\| = H_2$ . Then,

$$\min_{t \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}} u(t) \geq m\|u\| \geq \overline{H}_2. \quad (3.21)$$

Consequently, from (2.5) and choice of  $\epsilon$ , for  $0 \leq s \leq \sigma(1)$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r &\geq \lambda \int_\xi^\omega G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \\ &\geq \lambda \int_\xi^\omega G(\tau, r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \\ &\geq \lambda \int_\xi^\omega G(\tau, r) b(r) (g_\infty - \epsilon) u(r) \Delta r \\ &\geq m\lambda \int_\xi^\omega G(\tau, r) b(r) (g_\infty - \epsilon) \Delta r \|u\| \\ &\geq \|u\| = H_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

And so, we have from (2.5) and choice of  $\epsilon$  that

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(\tau) &= \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\tau, s) a(s) f \left( \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \right) \Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\tau, s) a(s) (f_\infty - \epsilon) \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\tau, s) a(s) (f_\infty - \epsilon) H_2 \Delta s \\ &\geq mH_2 > H_2 = \|u\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Hence,  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$ . So, if we set

$$\Omega_2 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|x\| < H_2\}, \quad (3.24)$$

then

$$\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2. \quad (3.25)$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 to (3.18) and (3.25), we obtain that  $T$  has a fixed point  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$ . As such, and with  $v$  being defined by

$$v(t) = \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t,s)b(s)g(u(\sigma(s)))\Delta s, \quad (3.26)$$

the pair  $(u, v)$  is a desired solution of (1.2), (1.3) for the given  $\lambda$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Prior to our next result, we introduce another hypothesis.

(d)  $g(0) = 0$ , and  $f$  is an increasing function.

We now define positive numbers  $L_3$  and  $L_4$  by

$$\begin{aligned} L_3 &:= \max \left\{ \left[ m \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau,s)a(s)\Delta s f_0 \right]^{-1}, \left[ m \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau,s)b(s)\Delta s g_0 \right]^{-1} \right\}, \\ L_4 &:= \min \left\{ \left[ \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s),s)a(s)\Delta s f_{\infty} \right]^{-1}, \left[ \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s),s)b(s)\Delta s g_{\infty} \right]^{-1} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Assume that conditions (a)–(d) are satisfied. Then, for each  $\lambda$  satisfying*

$$L_3 < \lambda < L_4, \quad (3.28)$$

*there exists a pair  $(u, v)$  satisfying (1.2), (1.3) such that  $u(x) > 0$  and  $v(x) > 0$  on  $(0, \sigma^2(1))_{\mathbb{T}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be as in (3.28). And let  $\epsilon > 0$  be chosen such that

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \left[ m \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau,s)a(s)\Delta s (f_0 - \epsilon) \right]^{-1}, \left[ m \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau,s)b(s)\Delta s (g_0 - \epsilon) \right]^{-1} \right\} &\leq \lambda, \\ \lambda &\leq \min \left\{ \left[ \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s),s)a(s)\Delta s (f_{\infty} + \epsilon) \right]^{-1}, \left[ \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s),s)b(s)\Delta s (g_{\infty} + \epsilon) \right]^{-1} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Let  $T$  be the cone preserving, completely continuous operator that was defined by (3.10).

From the definitions of  $f_0$  and  $g_0$ , there exists  $H_1 > 0$  such that

$$f(x) \geq (f_0 - \epsilon)x, \quad g(x) \geq (g_0 - \epsilon)x, \quad 0 < x \leq H_1. \quad (3.30)$$

Now,  $g(0) = 0$ , and so there exists  $0 < H_2 < H_1$  such that

$$\lambda g(x) \leq \frac{H_1}{\int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s),s)b(s)\Delta s}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq H_2. \quad (3.31)$$

Choose  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\|u\| = H_2$ . Then, for  $0 \leq s \leq \sigma(1)$ , we have

$$\lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s),r)b(r)g(u(\sigma(r)))\Delta r \leq \frac{\int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s),r)b(r)H_1\Delta r}{\int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s),s)b(s)\Delta s} \leq H_1. \quad (3.32)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Tu(\tau) &= \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\tau, s) a(s) f \left( \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \right) \Delta s \\
 &\geq \lambda \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau, s) a(s) (f_0 - \epsilon) \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \Delta s \\
 &\geq \lambda \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau, s) a(s) (f_0 - \epsilon) \lambda \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau, r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \Delta s \\
 &\geq \lambda \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau, s) a(s) (f_0 - \epsilon) \lambda m \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau, r) b(r) (g_0 - \epsilon) \|u\| \Delta r \Delta s \\
 &\geq \lambda \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau, s) a(s) (f_0 - \epsilon) \|u\| \Delta s \\
 &\geq \lambda m \int_{\xi}^{\omega} G(\tau, s) a(s) (f_0 - \epsilon) \|u\| \Delta s \geq \|u\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

So,  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$ . If we put

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|x\| < H_2\}, \tag{3.34}$$

then

$$\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1. \tag{3.35}$$

Next, by definitions of  $f_{\infty}$  and  $g_{\infty}$ , there exists  $\bar{H}_1$  such that

$$f(x) \leq (f_0 - \epsilon)x, \quad g(x) \leq (g_0 - \epsilon)x, \quad x \geq \bar{H}_1. \tag{3.36}$$

There are two cases: (a)  $g$  is bounded, and (b)  $g$  is unbounded.

For case (a), suppose  $N > 0$  is such that  $g(x) \leq N$  for all  $0 < x < \infty$ . Then, for  $0 \leq s \leq \sigma(1)$  and  $u \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$\lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), r) b(r) g(u(\sigma(r))) \Delta r \leq N \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(r), r) b(r) \Delta r. \tag{3.37}$$

Let

$$M = \max \left\{ f(x) \mid 0 \leq x \leq N \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(r), r) b(r) \Delta r \right\}, \tag{3.38}$$

and let

$$H_3 > \max \left\{ 2H_2, M \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), s) a(s) \Delta s \right\}. \tag{3.39}$$

Then, for  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\|u\| = H_3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Tu(t) &\leq \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), s) a(s) M \Delta s \\
 &\leq H_3 = \|u\|
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$



so that  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ . If

$$\Omega_2 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|x\| < H_3\}, \quad (3.41)$$

then

$$\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2. \quad (3.42)$$

For case (b), there exists  $H_3 > \max\{2H_2, \overline{H}_1\}$  such that  $g(x) \leq g(H_3)$ , for  $0 < x \leq H_3$ . Similarly, there exists  $H_4 > \max\{H_3, \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(r), r)b(r)g(H_3)\Delta r\}$  such that  $f(x) \leq f(H_4)$ , for  $0 < x \leq H_4$ . Choosing  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\|u\| = H_4$  we have by (d) that

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &\leq \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s)a(s)f\left(\lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(r), r)b(r)g(H_3)\Delta r\right)\Delta s \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s)a(s)f(H_4)\Delta s \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(\sigma(s), s)a(s)\Delta s(f_\infty + \epsilon)H_4 \\ &\leq H_4 = \|u\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

and so  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ . For this case, if we let

$$\Omega_2 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|x\| < H_4\}, \quad (3.44)$$

then

$$\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2. \quad (3.45)$$

In either cases, application of part (ii) of Theorem 2.1 yields a fixed point  $u$  of  $T$  belonging to  $\mathcal{P} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ , which in turn yields a pair  $(u, v)$  satisfying (1.2), (1.3) for the chosen value of  $\lambda$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

## References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, "Triple solutions to boundary value problems on time scales," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 7–11, 2000.
- [2] D. R. Anderson, "Eigenvalue intervals for a second-order mixed-conditions problem on time scale," *International Journal of Nonlinear Differential Equations*, vol. 7, pp. 97–104, 2002.
- [3] D. R. Anderson, "Eigenvalue intervals for a two-point boundary value problem on a measure chain," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 141, no. 1-2, pp. 57–64, 2002.
- [4] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2001.
- [5] C. J. Chyan, J. M. Davis, J. Henderson, and W. K. C. Yin, "Eigenvalue comparisons for differential equations on a measure chain," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, no. 35, pp. 7 pp., 1998.
- [6] L. H. Erbe and A. Peterson, "Positive solutions for a nonlinear differential equation on a measure chain," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 32, no. 5-6, pp. 571–585, 2000.
- [7] Z. He, "Double positive solutions of boundary value problems for  $p$ -Laplacian dynamic equations on time scales," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 84, no. 4, pp. 377–390, 2005.

- [8] C. J. Chyan and J. Henderson, "Eigenvalue problems for nonlinear differential equations on a measure chain," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 245, no. 2, pp. 547–559, 2000.
- [9] W.-T. Li and H.-R. Sun, "Multiple positive solutions for nonlinear dynamical systems on a measure chain," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 162, no. 2, pp. 421–430, 2004.
- [10] H.-R. Sun, "Existence of positive solutions to second-order time scale systems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 131–145, 2005.
- [11] L. H. Erbe and H. Wang, "On the existence of positive solutions of ordinary differential equations," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 120, no. 3, pp. 743–748, 1994.
- [12] D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, vol. 5 of *Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, Boston, Mass, USA, 1988.
- [13] J. Henderson and H. Wang, "Positive solutions for nonlinear eigenvalue problems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 208, no. 1, pp. 252–259, 1997.
- [14] L. Hu and L. Wang, "Multiple positive solutions of boundary value problems for systems of nonlinear second-order differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 335, no. 2, pp. 1052–1060, 2007.
- [15] J. R. L. Webb, "Positive solutions of some three point boundary value problems via fixed point index theory," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 4319–4332, 2001.
- [16] R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, and P. J. Y. Wong, *Positive Solutions of Differential, Difference and Integral Equations*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1999.
- [17] J. R. Graef and B. Yang, "Boundary value problems for second order nonlinear ordinary differential equations," *Communications in Applied Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 273–288, 2002.
- [18] G. Infante, "Eigenvalues of some non-local boundary-value problems," *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 75–86, 2003.
- [19] G. Infante and J. R. L. Webb, "Loss of positivity in a nonlinear scalar heat equation," *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 249–261, 2006.
- [20] J. Henderson and H. Wang, "Nonlinear eigenvalue problems for quasilinear systems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 49, no. 11–12, pp. 1941–1949, 2005.
- [21] J. Henderson and H. Wang, "An eigenvalue problem for quasilinear systems," *The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 215–228, 2007.
- [22] R. Ma, "Multiple nonnegative solutions of second-order systems of boundary value problems," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 1003–1010, 2000.
- [23] H. Wang, "On the number of positive solutions of nonlinear systems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 281, no. 1, pp. 287–306, 2003.
- [24] Y. Zhou and Y. Xu, "Positive solutions of three-point boundary value problems for systems of nonlinear second order ordinary differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 320, no. 2, pp. 578–590, 2006.

M. Benchohra: Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Sidi Bel Abbès, BP 89,  
22000 Sidi Bel Abbès, Algeria  
Email address: benchohra@univ-sba.dz

J. Henderson: Department of Mathematics, Baylor University, Waco, TX 76798, USA  
Email address: johnny\_henderson@baylor.edu

S. K. Ntouyas: Department of Mathematics, University of Ioannina, 45110 Ioannina, Greece  
Email address: sntouyas@uoi.gr