RESEARCH

Open Access

Approximate *-derivations on fuzzy Banach *-algebras

Sun Young Jang*

*Correspondence: jsym@ulsan.ac.kr Department of Mathematics, University of Ulsan, Ulsan, 680-749, South Korea

Abstract

In this paper, we establish functional equations of *-derivations and prove the stability of *-derivations on fuzzy Banach *-algebras. We also prove the superstability of *-derivations on fuzzy Banach *-algebras. **MSC:** 39B52; 47B47; 46L05; 39B72

Keywords: derivation; Cauchy equation; Jensen equation; fuzzy Banach *-algebra; stability; superstability

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach *-algebra. A linear mapping $\delta : D(\delta) \to \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a *derivation* on \mathcal{A} if $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, where $D(\delta)$ is a domain of δ and $D(\delta)$ is dense in \mathcal{A} . If δ satisfies the additional condition $\delta(a^*) = \delta(a)^*$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then δ is called a *-derivation on \mathcal{A} . It is well known that if \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra and $D(\delta)$ is \mathcal{A} , then the *-derivation δ is bounded. For several reasons, the theory of bounded derivations of C^* -algebras is very important in the theory of quantum mechanics and operator algebras [3, 4].

A functional equation is called *stable* if any function satisfying a functional equation "approximately" is near to a true solution of the functional equation. We say that a functional equation is *superstable* if every approximate solution is an exact solution of it.

In 1940, Ulam [24] proposed the following question concerning stability of group homomorphisms: *Under what condition is there an additive mapping near an approximately additive mapping?* Hyers [8] answered positively the problem of Ulam for the case where G_1 and G_2 are Banach spaces. A generalized version of the theorem of Hyers for an approximately linear mapping was given by ThM Rassias [20]. Since then, the stability problems of various functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors (for instances, [1, 2, 9, 10, 19, 20]). In particular, those of the important functional equations are the following functional equations:

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y),$$
(1.1)

$$2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = f(x) + f(y),\tag{1.2}$$

which are called the Cauchy equation and the Jensen equation, respectively. Every solution of the functional equations (1.1) and (1.2) is said to be an *additive mapping*.

Since Katsaras [14] introduced the idea of fuzzy norm on a linear space, several definitions for a fuzzy norm on a linear space have been introduced and discussed from different

© 2012 Jang; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



points of view [5–7]. We use the definition of fuzzy normed spaces given in [5, 17] to investigate the stability of derivation in the fuzzy Banach *-algebra setting. The stability of functional equations in fuzzy normed spaces was begun by [17], after then lots of results of fuzzy stability were investigated [11, 13, 16, 18].

Definition 1.1 [5, 17, 21] Let *X* be a real vector space. A function $N : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ is called a *fuzzy norm* on *X* if for all *x*, *y* \in *X* and all *s*, *t* $\in \mathbb{R}$,

- $(N_1) \ N(x,t) = 0 \text{ for } t \le 0;$
- (*N*₂) x = 0 if and only if N(x, t) = 1 for all t > 0;
- (*N*₃) $N(cx, t) = N(x, \frac{t}{|c|})$ if $c \neq 0$;
- $(N_4) \ N(x+y,s+t) \ge \min\{N(x,s),N(y,t)\};$
- (*N*₅) $N(x, \cdot)$ is a non-decreasing function of \mathbb{R} and $\lim_{t\to\infty} N(x, t) = 1$;
- (*N*₆) for $x \neq 0$, $N(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} .

The pair (X, N) is called a *fuzzy normed vector space*.

Furthermore, we can make (X, N) a fuzzy normed *-algebra if we add (N_7) and (N_8) as follows:

 $(N_7) \ N(xy,st) \ge \min\{N(x,s), N(y,t)\};$ $(N_8) \ N(x,t) = N(x^*,t).$

The properties and examples of fuzzy normed vector spaces, fuzzy algebras, and fuzzy norms are given in [17, 18, 22, 23].

Definition 1.2 [5, 17, 21] Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to *be convergent* or *converge* if there exists an $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} N(x_n - x, t) = 1$ for all t > 0. In this case, x is called the *limit* of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and we denote it by N- $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$.

Definition 1.3 [5, 17, 21] Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called *Cauchy* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each t > 0 there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_0$ and all p > 0, we have $N(x_{n+p} - x_n, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$.

It is well known that every convergent sequence in a fuzzy normed vector space is Cauchy. If each Cauchy sequence is convergent, then the fuzzy norm is said to be *complete* and the fuzzy normed vector space is called a *fuzzy Banach space*.

We say that a mapping $f : X \to Y$ between fuzzy normed vector spaces X and Y is continuous at a point $x_0 \in X$ if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to x_0 in X, then the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ converges to $f(x_0)$. If $f : X \to Y$ is continuous at each $x \in X$, then $f : X \to Y$ is said to be *continuous* on X.

In this paper, using the functional equation of *-derivations

$$f(\lambda a + b + cd) = \lambda f(a) + f(b) + f(c)d + cf(d)$$

introduced in [12] we prove fuzzy version of the stability of *-derivations associated to the Cauchy functional equation and the Jensen functional equation. We also prove the superstability of *-derivations on fuzzy Banach *-algebras.

2 Stability of *-derivations on fuzzy Banach *-algebras

In this section, let A be a fuzzy Banach *-algebra.

Theorem 2.1 Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^4 \to [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : \mathcal{A}^2 \to [0, \infty)$ be control functions such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a,b,c,d) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \varphi \left(2^n a, 2^n b, 2^n c, 2^n d \right) < \infty,$$
(2.1)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} 2^{-n} \psi \left(2^n a, 2^n b \right) = 0.$$
(2.2)

Suppose that $f : A \to A$ is a mapping with f(0) = 0 satisfying the followings:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N(f(\lambda a + b + cd) - \lambda f(a) - f(b) - f(c)d - cf(d), t\varphi(a, b, c, d)) = 1$$
(2.3)

uniformly on \mathcal{A}^4 *and for all* $\lambda \in \mathbb{T} := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N(f(a)^* - f(a^*), t\psi(a, a^*)) = 1$$
(2.4)

uniformly on A^2 . Then there exists a unique *-derivation δ on A satisfying

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N(f(a) - \delta(a), t\tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0, 0)) = 1$$
(2.5)

for all $a \in A$.

Proof Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ be given. Setting a = b, c = d = 0 and $\lambda = 1$ in (2.3), we can find some $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$N(f(2a) - 2f(a), t\varphi(a, a, 0, 0)) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

for all $a \in A$ and $t \ge t_0$. One can use induction to show that

$$N\left(f(2^{n}a) - 2^{n}f(a), t\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{n-k-1}\varphi(2^{k}a, 2^{k}a, 0, 0)\right) \ge 1 - \epsilon.$$
(2.6)

Let $t = t_0$ and put n = p then by replacing a with $2^n a$ in (2.6), we obtain

$$N\left(\frac{f(2^{n+p}a)}{2^{n+p}} - \frac{f(2^{n}a)}{2^{n}}, \frac{t_{0}}{2^{n+p}}\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} 2^{p-k-1}\varphi(2^{n+k}a, 2^{n+k}a, 0, 0)\right) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$
(2.7)

for all integers $n \ge 0$, $p \ge 0$. By the convergence of (2.1) there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{t_0}{2} \sum_{k=n}^{n+p-1} 2^{-k} \varphi (2^k a, 2^k a, 0, 0) \le \delta$$

for all $n \ge n_0$ and p > 0. Since the fuzzy norm $N(x, \cdot)$ is nondecreasing, we can have

$$N\left(\frac{f(2^{n+p}a)}{2^{n+p}} - \frac{f(2^na)}{2^n}, \delta\right)$$

$$\geq N\left(\frac{f(2^{n+p}a)}{2^{n+p}} - \frac{f(2^na)}{2^n}, \frac{t_0}{2^{n+p}}\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} 2^{p-k-1}\varphi(2^{n+k}a, 2^{n+k}a, 0, 0)\right) \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$
(2.8)

It follows from (2.8) and Definition 1.3 that the sequence $\{\frac{f(2^n a)}{2^n}\}$ is Cauchy. Due to the completeness of A, this sequence is convergent. Define

$$\delta(a) := N - \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n a)}{2^n}$$
(2.9)

for all $a \in A$. From the above equation, we have

$$\delta\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}a\right) = N - \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \frac{f(2^{n-k}a)}{2^{n-k}} = \frac{1}{2^{k}} \delta(a)$$
(2.10)

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, letting n = 0 and passing the limit $p \to \infty$ in (2.8), we get

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N(f(a) - \delta(a), t\tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0, 0)) = 1$$
(2.11)

for all $a \in A$. Putting c = d = 0 and replacing a and b by $2^n a$ and $2^n b$, respectively, in (2.3), there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$N(2^{-n}f(2^{n}(\lambda a+b)) - \lambda 2^{-n}f(2^{n}a) - 2^{-n}f(2^{n}b), t2^{-n}\varphi(2^{n}a, 2^{n}b, 0, 0)) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

for all $t \ge t_0$. Let $a, b \in A$. Temporarily fix t > 0. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} t\varphi(2^n a, 2^n b, 0, 0) = 0$, there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that

$$t\varphi\bigl(2^na,2^na,0,0\bigr)\leq\frac{2^nt}{4},$$

for all $n \ge n_0$. Hence, we have

$$N(\delta(\lambda a + b) - \lambda\delta(a) - \delta(b), t)$$

$$\geq \min\left\{N\left(\delta(\lambda a + b) - 2^{-n}f(2^n(\lambda a + b)), \frac{t}{4}\right), N\left(\lambda\delta(a) - \lambda 2^{-n}f(2^n a), \frac{t}{4}\right), N\left(\delta(b) - 2^{-n}f(2^n b), \frac{4}{t}\right), N\left(f(2^n(\lambda a + b)) - \lambda f(2^n a) - f(2^n b), \frac{2^n 4}{t}\right)\right\}$$

for all $n \ge n_0$ and t > 0. The first three terms on the second and third lines of the above inequality tend to 1 as $n \to \infty$. Furthermore, the last term is greater than

$$N(f(2^n(\lambda a+b)) - \lambda f(2^n a) - f(2^n b), t_0\varphi(2^n a, 2^n b, 0, 0)),$$

which is greater than or equal to $1 - \epsilon$. Therefore,

$$N(\delta(\lambda a + b) - \lambda\delta(a) - \delta(b), t) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

for all t > 0. It follows that $\delta(\lambda a + b) = \lambda \delta(a) + \delta(b)$ by (N_2) for all $a, b \in A$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Next, let $\lambda = \lambda_1 + i\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\gamma_1 = \lambda_1 - [\lambda_1]$ and $\gamma_2 = \lambda_2 - [\lambda_2]$, where $[\lambda]$ denotes

the integer part of λ . Then $0 \le \gamma_i < 1$ ($1 \le i \le 2$). One can represent γ_i as $\gamma_i = \frac{\lambda_{i,1} + \lambda_{i,2}}{2}$ such that $\lambda_{i,j} \in \mathbb{T}$ ($1 \le i, j \le 2$). From (2.10), we infer that

$$\begin{split} \delta(\lambda x) &= \delta(\lambda_1 x) + \mathrm{i}\delta(\lambda_2 x) \\ &= \left([\lambda_1]\delta(x) + \delta(\gamma_1 x) \right) + \mathrm{i}\left([\lambda_2]\delta(x) + \delta(\gamma_2 x) \right) \\ &= \left([\lambda_1]\delta(x) + \frac{1}{2}\delta(\lambda_{1,1} x + \lambda_{1,2} x) \right) + \mathrm{i}\left([\lambda_2]\delta(x) + \frac{1}{2}\delta(\lambda_{2,1} x + \lambda_{2,2} x) \right) \\ &= \left([\lambda_1]\delta(x) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{1,1}\delta(x) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{1,2}\delta(x) \right) + \mathrm{i}\left([\lambda_2]\delta(x) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{2,1}\delta(x) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{2,2}\delta(x) \right) \\ &= \lambda_1\delta(x) + \mathrm{i}\lambda_2\delta(x) \\ &= \lambda\delta(x) \end{split}$$

for all $x \in A$. Hence, δ is \mathbb{C} -linear. Putting a = b = 0 and replacing c and d by $2^n c$ and $2^n d$, respectively, in (2.3), there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$N(2^{-2n}f(2^{2n}cd) - 2^{-2n}f(2^{n}c)(2^{n}d) - 2^{-2n}(2^{n}c)f(2^{n}d), t2^{-2n}\varphi(0,0,2^{n}c,2^{n}d)) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

for all $t \ge t_0$. Fix t(> 0) temporarily. By (2.1) there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that

$$t\varphi\big(0,0,2^nc,2^nd\big) \le \frac{2^{2n}t}{4}$$

for all $n \ge n_0$ and t > 0. We have

$$\begin{split} &N(\delta(cd) - \delta(c)d - c\delta(d), t) \\ &\geq \min\left\{N\left(\delta(cd) - 2^{-2n}f(2^{2n}cd), \frac{t}{4}\right), N\left(\delta(c)d - 2^{-2n}f(2^{n}c)(2^{n}d), \frac{t}{4}\right), \\ &N\left(c\delta(d) - 2^{-2n}(2^{n}c)f(2^{n}d), \frac{t}{4}\right), \\ &N\left(f(2^{2n}cd) - f(2^{n}c)(2^{n}d) - (2^{n}c)f(2^{n}d), \frac{2^{2n}4}{t}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq \min\left\{N\left(\delta(cd) - 2^{-2n}f(2^{2n}cd), \frac{t}{4}\right), N\left(\delta(c)d - 2^{-2n}f(2^{n}c)(2^{n}d), \frac{t}{4}\right), \\ &N\left(c\delta(d) - 2^{-2n}(2^{n}c)f(2^{n}d), \frac{t}{4}\right), \\ &N\left(c\delta(d) - 2^{-2n}(2^{n}c)f(2^{n}d), \frac{t}{4}\right), \\ &N\left(f(2^{2n}cd) - f(2^{n}c)(2^{n}d) - (2^{n}c)f(2^{n}d), t\varphi(0, 0, 2^{n}c, 2^{n}d))\right)\right\} \end{split}$$

for all $n \ge n_0$ and t > 0. From the above computation

$$\delta(cd) = \delta(c)d + c\delta(d) \tag{2.12}$$

for all $c, d \in A$. So it is a derivation on A. Moreover, it follows from (2.7) with n = 0 and (2.9) that $\lim_{t\to\infty} N(\delta(a) - f(a), t\tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0, 0)) = 1$ for all $a \in A$. It is well known that the

additive mapping δ satisfying (2.5) is unique (see [3] or [20]). Replacing *a* and a^* by $2^n a$ and $2^n a^*$, respectively, in (2.4) we can find $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$N(2^{-n}f(2^{n}a)^{*} - 2^{-n}f(2^{n}a^{*}), t2^{-n}\psi(2^{n}a, 2^{n}a^{*})) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

for all $a \in A$ and all $t > t_0$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} 2^{-n}\psi(2^na, 2^na^*) = 0$, there exists some $n_0 > 0$ such that $t\psi(2^na, 2^na^*) < \frac{t2^n}{2}$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Hence,

$$N(\delta(a)^{*} - \delta(a^{*}), t)$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ N\left(\delta(a)^{*} - 2^{-n} f(2^{n} a)^{*}, \frac{t}{4}\right), N\left(\delta(a^{*}) - 2^{-n} f(2^{n} a^{*}), \frac{t}{4}\right), N\left(f(2^{n} a)^{*} - f(2^{n} a^{*}), \frac{2^{n} t}{2}\right)\right\}.$$

The first two terms on the right-hand side of the above inequality tend to 1 as $n \to \infty$. Furthermore, the last term is greater than

$$N(f(2^{n}a)^{*}-f(2^{n}a^{*}),t\psi(2^{n}a,2^{n}a^{*})),$$

which is greater than or equal to $1 - \epsilon$. So, we have that $N(\delta(a)^* - \delta(a^*), t) > 1 - \epsilon$ for all t > 0. It follows from that $\delta(a^*) = \delta(a)^*$ for all $a \in A$. So, δ is a *-derivation on A.

Theorem 2.2 Suppose that $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping with f(0) = 0 for which there exist functions $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^4 \to [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : \mathcal{A}^2 \to [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\varphi}(a, b, c, d) &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \varphi \left(2^{-n} a, 2^{-n} b, 2^{-n} c, 2^{-n} d \right) < \infty, \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} 2^n \psi \left(2^{-n} a, 2^{-n} b \right) &= 0, \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} N \left(f \left(\lambda a + b + c d \right) - \lambda f(a) - f(b) - f(c) d - c f(d), t \varphi(a, b, c, d) \right) &= 1, \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} N \left(f (a)^* - f(a)^*, t \psi \left(a, a^* \right) \right) &= 1 \end{split}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $a, b, c, d \in A$. Then there exists a unique *-derivation δ on A satisfying

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} N\big(f(a)-\delta(a),t\tilde{\varphi}(a,a,0,0)\big)=1$$

for all $a \in A$.

3 Stability of *-derivations associated to the Jensen equation

The stability of the Jensen equation has been studied first by Kominek and then by several other mathematicians: ([15]). In this section, we study the stability of *-derivation associated to the Jensen equation in a fuzzy Banach *-algebra A.

Theorem 3.1 Let \mathcal{A} be a fuzzy Banach *-algebra. Suppose that $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping with f(0) = 0 for which there exist functions $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^2 \to [0, \infty)$ and $\psi_i : \mathcal{A}^2 \to [0, \infty)$ $(1 \le i \le i \le j \le n)$.

2) such that

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(a,b) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^{-n} \varphi\left(3^n a, 3^n b\right) < \infty,$$
(3.1)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} 3^{-n} \psi_i \left(3^n a, 3^n b \right) = 0 \quad (1 \le i \le 2),$$
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N \left(2f \left(\frac{\lambda a + \lambda b}{2} \right) - \lambda f(a) - \lambda f(b), t\varphi(a, b) \right) = 1, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N(f(a^*) - f(a)^*, t\psi_1(a, a^*)) = 1,$$
(3.3)

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N(f(ab) - af(b) - f(a)b, t\psi_2(a, b)) = 1$$
(3.4)

for all $a, b \in A$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Then there exists a unique *-derivation δ on A satisfying

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N\left(f(a) - \delta(a), \frac{t}{3}\left(\tilde{\varphi}(a, -a) + \tilde{\varphi}(-a, 3a)\right)\right) = 1$$
(3.5)

for all $a \in A$.

Proof Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ be given. Letting $\lambda = -1$ and b = -a in (3.2), we can find some $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$N(f(a) + f(-a), t\varphi(a, -a)) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

for all $a \in A$ and $t \ge t_0$. Letting $\lambda = 1$ and replacing a and b by -a and 3a, respectively, in (3.2), we get also $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that

$$N(2f(a) - f(-a) - f(3a), t\varphi(-a, 3a)) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

for all $a \in A$ and $t \ge t_1$. Thus,

$$N\left(f(a) - \frac{1}{3}f(3a), \frac{t}{3}(\varphi(a, -a) + \varphi(-a, 3a))\right)$$

$$\geq \min\left\{N\left(\frac{1}{3}(f(a) + f(-a)), \frac{t}{3}\varphi(a, -a)\right), \frac{t}{3}\varphi(a, -a)\right),$$

$$N\left(\frac{1}{3}(2f(a) - f(-a) - f(3a)), \frac{t}{3}\varphi(-a, 3a)\right)\right\} \geq 1 - \epsilon$$
(3.6)

for all $a \in A$. Replace *a* by $3^n a$ in (3.6)

$$N\left(\frac{f(3^{n}a)}{3^{n}}-\frac{f(3^{n+1}a)}{3^{n+1}},\frac{t}{3^{n+1}}(\varphi(3^{n}a,-3^{n}a)+\varphi(-3^{n}a,3^{n+1}a))\right)\geq 1-\epsilon.$$

Given $\delta > 0$, there exists an integer $n_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{t}{3}\sum_{j=m}^{n-1}3^{-j}(\varphi(3^{j}a,-3^{j}a)+\varphi(-3^{j}a,3^{j+1}a))\leq\delta$$

for all $n \ge m \ge n_0$.

So, we have

$$N\left(\frac{1}{3^n}f(3^n a) - \frac{1}{3^m}f(3^m a),\delta\right)$$
(3.7)

$$\geq N\left(\frac{1}{3^{n}}f(3^{n}a) - \frac{1}{3^{m}}f(3^{m}a), \frac{t}{3}\sum_{j=m}^{n-1} 3^{-j}(\varphi(3^{j}a, -3^{j}a) + \varphi(-3^{j}a, 3^{j+1}a))\right)$$
(3.8)

$$\geq \min_{m \leq j \leq n-1} \left\{ N\left(\frac{1}{3^{j}}f\left(3^{j}a\right) - \frac{1}{3^{j+1}}f\left(3^{j+1}a\right), \frac{t}{3}\left(\varphi\left(3^{j}a, -3^{j}a\right) + \varphi\left(-3^{j}a, 3^{j+1}a\right)\right)\right) \right\} \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

for all nonnegative integers n, m with $n \ge m \ge n_0$ and all $a \in A$. It follows from Definition 1.3 that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{3^n}f(3^na)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $a \in A$. Since A is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{3^n}f(3^na)\}$ is convergent. So, one can define the mapping $\delta : A \to A$ by

$$\delta(a) = N - \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} f(3^n a)$$
(3.9)

for all $a \in A$. If we put $\lambda = 1$ and replace a, b with $3^n a$, $3^n b$, respectively, in (3.2), we can find some $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$N\left(2f\left(3^{n}\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-f\left(3^{n}a\right)-f\left(3^{n}b\right),3^{-n}t\varphi\left(3^{n}a,3^{n}b\right)\right)\geq 1-\epsilon$$

for all $t \ge t_0$. Fix t > 0 temporarily. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} 3^{-n}\varphi(3^na, 3^nb) = 0$, there is some $n_0 > 0$ such that $t\varphi(3^na, 3^nb) < \frac{3^nt}{4}$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Then we have

$$N\left(2\delta\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \delta(a) - \delta(b), t\right)$$

$$\geq \min\left\{N\left(2\delta\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{3^{n}}2f\left(3^{n}\frac{a+b}{2}\right), \frac{t}{4}\right), N\left(\delta(a) - \frac{f(3^{n}a)}{3^{n}}, \frac{t}{4}\right), N\left(\delta(b) - \frac{f(3^{n}b)}{3^{n}}, \frac{t}{4}\right), N\left(2f\left(3^{n}\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - f\left(3^{n}a\right) - f\left(3^{n}b\right), \frac{3^{n}t}{4}\right)\right\}$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and t > 0. The first three terms on the second and third lines of the above inequality tend to 1 as $n \to \infty$. Furthermore, the last term is greater than

$$N\left(2f\left(3^{n}\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-f\left(3^{n}a\right)-f\left(3^{n}b\right),t\varphi\left(3^{n}a,3^{n}b\right)\right),$$

which is greater than or equal to $1 - \epsilon$.

So, we have

$$N\left(2\delta\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\delta(a)-\delta(b),t\right)\geq 1-\epsilon$$

for all t > 0. By the definition of fuzzy norm, we have

$$2\delta\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) = \delta(a) + \delta(b) \tag{3.10}$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Since f(0) = 0, we have $\delta(0) = 0$. Putting b = 0 in (3.10), we get $2\delta(\frac{a}{2}) = \delta(a)$ for each $a \in A$ and, therefore, $\delta(a) + \delta(b) = 2\delta(\frac{a+b}{2}) = \delta(a+b)$ for all $a, b \in A$. Moreover, letting m = 0 and passing the limit $n \to \infty$ in (3.8), we get

$$N\bigg(f(a) - \delta(a), \frac{t}{3}\big(\tilde{\varphi}(a, -a) + \tilde{\varphi}(-a, 3a)\big)\bigg) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

for all $a \in A$. So, we have Eq. (3.5). It is known that such an additive mapping δ is unique. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Replacing both a and b in (3.2) by $3^n a$ and dividing the both sides of the obtained inequality by 3^n , there exists some $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$N(3^{-n}f(\lambda 3^n a) - \lambda 3^{-n}f(3^n a), 3^{-n}t\varphi(3^n a, 3^n a)) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

for all $a \in A$ and all $t \ge t_0$. Fix t > 0 temporarily. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} 3^{-n}\phi(3^n a, 3^n b) = 0$, there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that $3^{-n}\phi(3^n a, 3^n b) \le \frac{t}{2}$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

If we consider the following inequality

$$N(\delta(\lambda a) - \lambda \delta(a), t)$$

$$\geq \min\left\{N\left(\delta(\lambda a) - 3^{-n}f(\lambda 3^{n}a), \frac{t}{4}\right), N\left(\lambda \delta(a) - 3^{-n}f(\lambda 3^{n}a), \frac{t}{4}\right), \\ N\left(3^{-n}f(\lambda 3^{n}a) - 3^{-n}f(\lambda 3^{n}a), \frac{t}{2}\right)\right\},$$

then the first two terms on the second line of the above inequality tend to 1 as $n \to \infty$ and the last term is greater than

$$N(3^{-n}f(\lambda 3^{n}a) - \lambda 3^{-n}f(3^{n}a), 3^{-n}t\varphi(3^{n}a, 3^{n}a)),$$

which is greater than or equal to $1 - \epsilon$. So, we can get $\delta(\lambda a) = \lambda \delta(a)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ by the similar discussion in the proof Theorem 2.1. Replacing both a and a^* in (3.3) by $3^n a$ and $3^n a^*$, and then dividing the both sides of the obtained inequality by 3^n , we find some $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$N(3^{-n}f(3^{n}a)^{*} - 3^{-n}f(3^{n}a^{*}), t3^{-n}\psi_{1}(3^{n}a, 3^{n}a^{*})) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

for all $t \ge t_0$. Fix t > 0 temporarily. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} 3^{-n}\psi_1(3^n a, 3^n a^*) = 0$, there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that $3^{-n}t\psi_1(3^n a, 3^n a^*) \le \frac{t}{2}$ for all $n \ge n_0$. We consider the following inequality:

$$N(\delta(a^{*}) - \delta(a)^{*}, t)$$

$$\geq \min\left\{N\left(\delta(a^{*}) - 3^{-n}f(3^{n}a^{*}), \frac{t}{4}\right), N\left(\delta(a)^{*} - 3^{-n}f(3^{n}a)^{*}, \frac{t}{4}\right), N\left(3^{-n}f(3^{n}a^{*}) - 3^{-n}f(3^{n}a)^{*}, \frac{t}{2}\right)\right\}.$$

Then we get $\delta(a^*) = \delta(a)^*$ for all $a \in A$. For the derivation property, replacing both a and b in (3.4) by $3^n a$ and $3^n b$, we can find some $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$N\left(\frac{f(3^{2n}ab)}{3^{2n}} - \frac{3^{n}af(3^{n}b)}{3^{2n}} - \frac{f(3^{n}a)(3^{n}b)}{3^{2n}}, 3^{-n}t\psi_{2}(3^{n}a, 3^{n}b)\right) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

for all $t \ge t_0$. By (3.4), there exists $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $3^{-n}t\psi_2(3^na, 3^nb) \le \frac{t}{4}$ for all $n \ge n_0$ and t > 0. We can get $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ from the following computation:

$$\begin{split} &N\left(\delta(ab) - a\delta(b) - \delta(a)b, t\right) \\ &\geq \min\left\{N\left(\delta(ab) - \frac{f(3^{2n}ab)}{3^{2n}}, \frac{t}{4}\right), N\left(a\delta(b) - \frac{3^n af(3^n b)}{3^{2n}}, \frac{t}{4}\right), \\ &N\left(\delta(a)b - \frac{f(3^n a)(3^n b)}{3^{2n}}, \frac{t}{4}\right), N\left(\frac{f(3^{2n}ab)}{3^{2n}} - \frac{3^n af(3^n b)}{3^{2n}} - \frac{f(3^n a)(3^n b)}{3^{2n}}, \frac{t}{4}\right)\right\}. \end{split}$$

Hence, δ is the *-derivation on \mathcal{A} that we want.

4 Superstability of *-derivations

In this section, we prove the superstability of *-derivations on a fuzzy Banach *-algebras. More precisely, we introduce the concept of (ψ, φ) -approximate *-derivation and show that any (ψ, φ) -approximate *-derivation is just a *-derivation.

Definition 4.1 Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a *-normed algebra and $s \in \{-1, 1\}$. Let $\delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a mapping for which there exist a function $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$, and functions $\psi_i : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ $(1 \le i \le 3)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-s} \psi_i(n^s a, b) = \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-s} \psi_i(a, n^s b) = 0 \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A})$$

$$\tag{4.1}$$

such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N(\varphi(a)b - a\delta(b), t\psi_1(a, b)) = 1,$$
(4.2)

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N(\varphi(a)cd - a(\delta(c)d - c\delta(d)), t\psi_2(a, cd)) = 1,$$
(4.3)

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N(a\delta(b)^* - \varphi(a)b^*, t\psi_3(a, b)) = 1$$

$$(4.4)$$

for all *a*, *b*, *c*, *d* $\in A$. Then δ is called a (ψ , φ)-approximate *-derivation on A.

Theorem 4.2 Let A be a fuzzy Banach *-algebra with approximate unit. Then any (ψ, φ) -approximate *-derivation δ on A is a *-derivation.

Proof We assume that (4.1) holds. An arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ is given. Let $a, b \in A$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $t_0 > 0$ by (4.2) such that

$$N(n^{-s}(n^{s}b\delta(\lambda a) - \varphi(n^{s}b)\lambda a), n^{-s}t\psi_{1}(n^{s}b,\lambda a)) \ge 1 - \epsilon,$$

$$N(n^{-s}(\varphi(n^{s}b)\lambda a - \lambda n^{s}b\delta(a)), n^{-s}t|\lambda|\psi_{1}(n^{s}b,a)) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

for all $t \ge t_0$. Fix t > 0 temporarily. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-s}\psi_1(n^s a, b) = \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-s}\psi_1(a, n^s b) = 0$, there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that $tn^{-s}\psi_1(n^s b, \lambda a) \le \frac{t}{2}$ and $n^{-s}t|\lambda|\psi_1(n^s b, a) \le \frac{t}{2}$ for all $n \ge n_0$ and t > 0. We have

$$N(b(\delta(\lambda a) - \lambda \delta(a)), t)$$

= $N(n^{-s}(n^{s}b\delta(\lambda a) - \varphi(n^{s}b)\lambda a + \varphi(n^{s}b)\lambda a - \lambda n^{s}b\delta(a)), t)$

$$\geq \min\left\{N\left(n^{-s}(n^{s}b\delta(\lambda a) - \varphi(n^{s}b)\lambda a), \frac{t}{2}\right), N\left(n^{-s}(\varphi(n^{s}b)\lambda a - \lambda n^{s}b\delta(a)), \frac{t}{2}\right)\right\}$$

Since

$$N\left(n^{-s}\left(n^{s}b\delta(\lambda a)-\varphi(n^{s}b)\lambda a\right),\frac{t}{2}\right)\geq N\left(n^{-s}\left(n^{s}b\delta(\lambda a)-\varphi(n^{s}b)\lambda a\right),tn^{-s}\psi_{1}\left(n^{s}a,b\right)\right)$$

and

$$N\left(n^{-s}(\varphi(n^{s}b)\lambda a - \lambda n^{s}b\delta(a)), \frac{t}{2}\right) \geq N\left(n^{-s}(\varphi(n^{s}b)\lambda a - \lambda n^{s}b\delta(a)), tn^{-s}|\lambda|\psi_{1}(n^{s}b, a)\right),$$

it leads us to have a conclusion that $N(b(\delta(\lambda a) - \lambda \delta(a)), t) \ge 1 - \epsilon$ for all t > 0. Therefore, $b(\delta(\lambda a) - \lambda \delta(a)) = 0$ for all $b \in A$ by (N_2) . Let $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an approximate unit of A. If we replace b with $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$, then we have

$$e_i(\delta(\lambda a) - \lambda \delta(a)) = 0$$

for all $i \in I$. So we conclude that $\delta(\lambda a) = \lambda \delta(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Next, we are going to prove the additivity of δ . By (4.2), there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$N(n^{-s}(n^{s}c\delta(a+b)-\varphi(n^{s}c)(a+b)), n^{-s}t\psi_{1}(n^{s}c,a+b)) \ge 1-\epsilon,$$

$$N(n^{-s}(n^{s}c\delta(a)-\varphi(n^{s}c)a), n^{-s}t\psi_{1}(n^{s}c,a)) \ge 1-\epsilon,$$

and

$$N(n^{-s}(n^{s}c\delta(b)-\varphi(n^{s}c)b),n^{-s}t\psi_{1}(n^{s}c,b)) \geq 1-\epsilon$$

for all $t \ge t_0$. Fix t > 0 temporarily. By (4.1), we can find $n_0 > 0$ such that $n^{-s}t\psi_1(n^s c, a + b) \le \frac{t}{3}$, $n^{-s}t\psi_1(n^s c, a) \le \frac{t}{3}$, and $n^{-s}t\psi_1(n^s c, b) \le \frac{t}{3}$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

For the additivity, we can have

$$\begin{split} N(c(\delta(a+b)-\delta(a)-\delta(b)),t) \\ &= N(n^{-s}(n^{s}c\delta(a+b)-\varphi(n^{s}c)(a+b)) \\ &+ n^{-s}(n^{s}c\delta(a)-\varphi(n^{s}c)a) + n^{-s}(n^{s}c\delta(b)-\varphi(n^{s}c)b),t) \\ &\geq \min\left\{N\left(n^{-s}(n^{s}c\delta(a+b)-\varphi(n^{s}c)(a+b)),\frac{t}{3}\right), N\left(n^{-s}(n^{s}c\delta(a)-\varphi(n^{s}c)a),\frac{t}{3}\right), \\ &N\left(n^{-s}(n^{s}c\delta(b)-\varphi(n^{s}c)b),\frac{t}{3}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq \min\left\{N\left(n^{-s}(n^{s}c\delta(a+b)-\varphi(n^{s}c)(a+b)),n^{-s}t\psi_{1}(n^{s}c,a+b)\right), \end{split}$$

$$N(n^{-s}(n^{s}c\delta(a) - \varphi(n^{s}c)a), n^{-s}t\psi_{1}(n^{s}c, a)),$$
$$N(n^{-s}(n^{s}c\delta(b) - \varphi(n^{s}c)b), n^{-s}t\psi_{1}(n^{s}c, b))\}.$$

Since all terms of the final inequality of the above inequality are larger than $1 - \epsilon$, we can have $N(c(\delta(a + b) - \delta(a) - \delta(b)), t) > 1 - \epsilon$ for all t > 0. We can get $c(\delta(a + b) - \delta(a) - \delta(b)) = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in A$ by (N_2) . By using the approximate unit of A, we have that $\delta(a + b) = \delta(a) + \delta(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$. Next, we are going to show the derivation property of δ . From (4.2) and (4.1), there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$N(n^{-s}(n^{s}z\delta(ab) - \varphi(n^{s}z)(ab)), n^{-s}t\psi_{1}(n^{s}z, ab)) \ge 1 - \epsilon,$$

$$N(n^{-s}(\varphi(n^{s}z)ab - n^{s}z(\delta(a)b + a\delta(b))), n^{-s}t\psi_{2}(n^{s}z, ab)) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

for all $t \ge t_0$. By (4.1), we can find $n_0 > 0$ such that $n^{-s}t\psi_1(n^sz, ab) \le \frac{t}{2}$ and $n^{-s}t\psi_2(n^sz, ab) \le \frac{t}{2}$ for all $n \ge n_0$. The following computation

$$\begin{split} &N(z(\delta(ab) - \delta(a)b - a\delta(b)), t) \\ &\geq \min\left\{N\left(n^{-s}(n^{s}z\delta(ab) - \varphi(n^{s}z)(ab)), \frac{t}{2}\right), \\ &N\left(n^{-s}(\varphi(n^{s}z)ab - n^{s}z(\delta(a)b + a\delta(b))), \frac{t}{2}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq \min\left\{N\left(n^{-s}(n^{s}z\delta(ab) - \varphi(n^{s}z)(ab)), n^{-s}t\psi_{1}(n^{s}z, ab)\right), \\ &N\left(n^{-s}(\varphi(n^{s}z)ab - n^{s}z(\delta(a)b + a\delta(b))), n^{-s}t\psi_{2}(n^{s}z, ab))\right\} \geq 1 - \epsilon \end{split}$$

yields that $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$. By (4.2) and (4.4) there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$N(n^{-s}(n^{s}z\delta(a^{*}) - \varphi(n^{s}z)a^{*}), n^{-s}t\psi_{1}(n^{s}z, a^{*})) \ge 1 - \epsilon,$$

$$N(n^{-s}(\varphi(n^{s}z)a^{*} - n^{s}z\delta(a)^{*}), n^{-s}t\psi_{3}(n^{s}z, a)) \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

for all $t \ge t_0$. For fixing t > 0 temporarily, there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that $n^{-s}t\psi_1(n^{-s}z, a^*) \le \frac{t}{2}$ and $n^{-s}t\psi_3(n^sz, a) \le \frac{t}{2}$ for $n \ge n_0$. From the following computation

$$\begin{split} &N(z(\delta(a^{*}) - \delta(a)^{*}), t) \\ &= N(n^{-s}(n^{s}z\delta(a^{*}) - \varphi(n^{s}z)a^{*}) + n^{-s}(\varphi(n^{s}z)a^{*} - n^{s}z\delta(a)^{*}), t) \\ &\geq \min\left\{N\left(n^{-s}(n^{s}z\delta(a^{*}) - \varphi(n^{s}z)a^{*}), \frac{t}{2}\right), N\left(n^{-s}(\varphi(n^{s}z)a^{*} - n^{s}z\delta(a)^{*}), \frac{t}{2}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq \min\{N(n^{-s}(n^{s}z\delta(a^{*}) - \varphi(n^{s}z)a^{*}), n^{-s}t\psi_{1}(n^{-s}z, a^{*})), \\ &N(n^{-s}(\varphi(n^{s}z)a^{*} - n^{s}z\delta(a)^{*}), n^{-s}t\psi_{3}(n^{s}z, a))\} > 1 - \epsilon \end{split}$$

we can have $N(z(\delta(a^{*}) - \delta(a)^{*}), t) > 1 - \epsilon$ for all t > 0. By (N_2) and using approximate unit $\delta(a^{*}) = \delta(a)^{*}$ for all $a \in A$. Thus, δ is a *-derivation on A.

Competing interests

Author declares that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the editor Prof. Wong and two referees for their valuable comments. And the author was partially supported by the Research Fund, University of Ulsan 2011.

Received: 16 March 2012 Accepted: 20 July 2012 Published: 3 August 2012

References

- 1. Adam, M: On the stability of some quadratic functional equation. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 4(1), 50-59 (2011)
- 2. Aoki, T: On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 2, 64-66 (1950)
- 3. Bratteli, O, Kishimoto, A, Robinson, DW: Approximately inner derivations. Math. Scand. 103, 141-160 (2008)
- Bratteli, O: Derivation, Dissipation and Group Actions on C^{*}-Algebras. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1229. Springer, Berlin (1986)
- 5. Bag, T, Samanta, SK: Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces. J. Fuzzy Math. 11, 687-705 (2003)
- 6. Felbin, C: Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear space. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 48, 239-248 (1992)
- 7. Gähler, W, Gähler, S: Contributions to fuzzy normed linear space. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 106, 201-224 (1999)
- 8. Hyers, DH: On the stability of the linear functional equation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 27, 222-224 (1941)
- 9. Hyers, DH, Isac, G, Rassias, ThM: Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables. Birkhäuser, Basel (1998)
- Jang, SY, Park, C, Kenary, HA: Fixed points and fuzzy stability of functional equations related to inner product. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 2012, Article ID jnaa-00109 (2012). doi:10.5899/2012/jnaa-00109
- 11. Jang, SY, Saadati, R, Park, C: Fuzzy approximate of homomorphisms, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 14(5), 841-883 (2012)
- Jang, SY, Park, C: Approximate *-derivations and approximate quadratic *-derivations on C^{*}-algebras. J. Inequal. Appl. 2011. 55 (2011)
- Jang, SY, Park, C, Ghasemi, K, Ghaleh, SG: Fuzzy n-Jordan *-homomorphisms in induced fuzzy C*-algebras. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2012, 42 (2011)
- 14. Katsaras, AK: Fuzzy topological vector spaces II. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 12, 143-154 (1984)
- 15. Kominek, Z: On a local stability of the Jensen functional equation. Demonstratio Math. 22, 499-507 (1989)
- 16. Mirmostafaee, AK: Perturbation of generalized derivations in fuzzy Menger normed algebras. Fuzzy Sets Syst. **195**, 107-117 (2012)
- 17. Mirmostafaee, AK, Moslehian, MS: Fuzzy version of Ulsam-Rassias theorem. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 159, 720-729 (2008)
- Mirmostafaee, AK, Mirzavaziri, M, Moslehian, MS: Fuzzy stability of the Jensen functional equation. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 159, 730-738 (2008)
- Miura, T, Hirasawa, G, Takahasi, S-E: A perturbation of ring derivations on Banach algebras. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 319, 522-530 (2006)
- 20. Rassias, ThM: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 72, 297-300 (1978)
- 21. Saadati, R, Vaezpour, SM: Some results fuzzy Banach spaces. J. Appl. Math. Comput. 17(1-2), 475-484 (2005)
- 22. Thakur, R, Samanta, SK: Fuzzy Banach algebra. J. Fuzzy Math. 18(3), 687-696 (2010)
- 23. Thakur, R, Samanta, SK: Fuzzy Banach algebra with Felbin's type fuzzy norm. J. Fuzzy Math. 18(4), 943-954 (2010)
- 24. Ulam, SM: Problems in Modern Mathematics, Chapter VI, Science ed., Wiley, New York (1940)

doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2012-132

Cite this article as: Jang: Approximate *-derivations on fuzzy Banach *-algebras. Advances in Difference Equations 2012 2012:132.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com