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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study a periodic quasilinear parabolic equation with nonlinear convection terms and weakly nonlinear sources. Based on the theory of the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem, we establish the existence of periodic solutions when the domain of the solution is sufficiently small.


## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following periodic quasilinear parabolic equation with nonlinear convection terms and weakly nonlinear sources:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-D_{i}\left(a_{i j}(x, t, u) D_{j} u\right)+b(u) \cdot \nabla u=B(x, t, u)+h(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in Q_{T}  \tag{1.1}\\
& u(x, t)=0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times[0, T]  \tag{1.2}\\
& u(x, 0)=u(x, T), \quad x \in \Omega \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, Q_{T}=\Omega \times(0, T)$, and we assume that
(A1) $a_{i j}(\cdot, \cdot, u)=a_{j i}(\cdot, \cdot, u) \in C_{T}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$ and there exist two constants $0<\lambda \leq \Lambda$ such that

$$
\lambda|\xi|^{2} \leq a_{i j}(x, t, u) \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \leq \Lambda|\xi|^{2}, \quad \forall(x, t) \in Q_{T}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

(A2) $B(x, t, u)$ is Hölder continuous in $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, periodic in $t$ with a period $T$ and satisfies $B(x, t, u) u \leq b_{0}|u|^{\alpha+1}$ with constants $b_{0} \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.
(A3) $h(x, t) \in C_{T}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, \infty}(\Omega)\right), h(x, t)>0$ for $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, where $C_{T}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$ denotes the set of functions which are continuous in $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega$-periodic with respect to $t$.

The existence of periodic solutions for parabolic equations has been considered by several authors; see [1-12] and the references therein. As a work related to this paper, we refer to Nakao [10], in which the author considered the following parabolic equation:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta \beta(u)=B(x, t, u)+h(x, t),
$$

with Dirichlet boundary value conditions, where $B, h$ are periodic in $t$ with a period $\omega>0$, $\beta(u)$ satisfies $\beta^{\prime}(u)>0$ except for $u=0$ and $\beta(u)$ is fulfilled by $|u|^{m-1} u$ if $m>1$. Under the assumption that $B(x, t, u) u \leq b_{0}|u|$, Nakao established the existence of periodic solutions
by the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem. In [12], Zhou et al. considered the quasilinear parabolic equation with nonlocal terms. Based on the theory of Leray-Schauder's degree, the authors established the existence of nontrivial periodic solutions. In this paper, we consider the quasilinear parabolic equation (1.1) with weakly nonlinear sources and nonlinear convection terms. The convection term $b(u) \cdot \nabla u$ describes an effect of convection with a velocity field $b(u)$. Under a restrictive condition that the domain is sufficiently small, we establish the existence of periodic solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.3).

This paper is organized as follows. The definition of the generalized solution and a useful a priori estimate are presented in Section 2. Our main results will be given in Section 3.

## 2 Preliminaries

Our main efforts will focus on the discussion of generalized solutions since the regularity follows from a quite standard approach. Hence, we give the following definition of generalized solutions.

Definition 1 A function $u$ is said to be a generalized solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.3) if $u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C_{T}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{Q_{T}}\left(-u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}+a_{i j}(x, t, u) D_{i} u D_{j} \varphi-\beta(u) \cdot \nabla \varphi-B(x, t, u) \varphi-h(x, t) \varphi\right) d x d t=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varphi \in C^{1}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$ with $\varphi(x, 0)=\varphi(x, T)$ and $\left.\varphi\right|_{\partial \Omega \times(0, T)}=0$, where $\beta(u)=\left(\beta_{1}(u), \ldots, \beta_{N}(u)\right)$ and $\beta_{i}(u)=\int_{0}^{u} b_{i}(s) d s, i=1, \ldots, N$.

For convenience, we let $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{m, p}$ denote $L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ norms, respectively. First, we establish the following a priori estimate which plays an important role in the proof of the main results of this paper.

Lemma 1 Let $u$ be a solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-D_{i}\left(a_{i j}(x, t, u) D_{j} u\right)+b(u) \cdot \nabla u=\sigma B(x, t, u)+\sigma h(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in Q_{T},  \tag{2.2}\\
& u(x, t)=0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times[0, T],  \tag{2.3}\\
& u(x, 0)=u(x, T), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\sigma \in[0,1]$, then there exists a positive constant $R$ independent of $\sigma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}<R \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

when the measure of $\Omega$ is small enough.
Proof Suppose $u$ is a solution of the problem (2.2)-(2.4). Multiplying equation (2.2) by $|u|^{p} u(p \geq 0)$ and integrating the resulting relation over $\Omega$, noticing that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} b(u) \cdot \nabla u|u|^{p} u d x & =\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_{i}(u)|u|^{p} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} d x=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{u} b_{i}(s)|s|^{p} s d s\right)_{x_{i}} d x \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{u} b_{i}(s)|s|^{p} s d s\right) \cos \left(\mathrm{n}, x_{i}\right) d x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where n is the outer normal to $\partial \Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p+2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}|u(t)|^{p+2} d x-\int_{\Omega} D_{i}\left(a_{i j}(x, t, u) D_{j} u\right)|u(t)|^{p} u(t) d x \\
& \quad \leq b_{0} \int_{\Omega}|u(t)|^{p+\alpha+1} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u(t)|^{p} u(t) h d x . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The second term of the left-hand side in the above integral equality can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\Omega} D_{i}\left(a_{i j}(x, t, u) D_{j} u\right)|u(t)|^{p} u(t) d x & =(p+1) \int_{\Omega}|u(t)|^{p} a_{i j}(x, t, u) D_{j} u D_{i} u d x \\
& \geq \frac{4 \lambda(p+1)}{(p+2)^{2}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left[|u(t)|^{\frac{p}{2}} u(t)\right]\right|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u(t)|^{p} u(t) h d x \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|u(t)|^{p+2} d x\right)^{\frac{p+1}{p+2}}\left(\int_{\Omega} h^{p+2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p+2}}
$$

Hence, from (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\|u(t)\|_{p+2}^{p+2}+C_{1}\left\|\nabla\left(|u(t)|^{\frac{p}{2}} u(t)\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C_{2}(p+2)\left(\|u(t)\|_{p+\alpha+1}^{p+\alpha+1}+\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+1}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are positive constants independent of $u(t), p$.
If $0 \leq \alpha<1$, by Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}|u(t)|^{p+\alpha+1} d x & \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|u(t)|^{p+2} d x\right)^{\frac{p+\alpha+1}{p+2}}|\Omega|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p+2}} \\
& \leq \max \left\{1,|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}\|u(t)\|_{p+2}^{p+\alpha+1} \\
& =\max \left\{1,|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}\|u(t)\|_{p+2}^{(p+2) \alpha}\|u(t)\|_{p+2}^{(p+1)(1-\alpha)} \\
& \leq\|u(t)\|_{p+2}^{p+2}+C\|u(t)\|_{p+2}^{p+1} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Combined with (2.7), it yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\|u(t)\|_{p+2}^{p+2}+C_{1}\left\|\nabla\left(|u(t)|^{\frac{p}{2}} u(t)\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C_{2}(p+2)\left(\|u(t)\|_{p+2}^{p+2}+\|u(t)\|_{p+2}^{p+1}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha=1$, from (2.7) we can get (2.9) directly.
Set

$$
u_{k}(t)=|u(t)|^{\frac{p_{k}}{2}} u(t), \quad p_{k}=2^{k}-2(k=1,2, \ldots),
$$

then $p_{k}=2 p_{k-1}+2$. From (2.9), we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+C_{1}\left\|\nabla u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C_{2}\left(p_{k}+2\right)\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+C_{2}\left(p_{k}+2\right)\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2\left(p_{k}+1\right)}{p_{k}+2}}
$$

By the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2} \leq C\left\|\nabla u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\theta}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{1}^{1-\theta}, \quad \text { with } \theta=\frac{N}{N+2} \in(0,1) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noticing $\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{1}=\left\|u_{k-1}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}$, by (2.10) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq & -C_{1}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{\theta}}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{1}^{\frac{2(\theta-1)}{\theta}}+C_{2}\left(p_{k}+2\right)\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +C_{2}\left(p_{k}+2\right)\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2\left(p_{k}+1\right)}{p_{k}+2}} \\
\leq & -C_{1}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{\theta}}\left\|u_{k-1}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{4(\theta-1)}{\theta}}+C_{2}\left(p_{k}+2\right)\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +C_{2}\left(p_{k}+2\right)\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2\left(p_{k}+1\right)}{p_{k}+2}} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $\lambda_{k}=\max \left\{1, \sup _{t}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq & \left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2\left(p_{k}+1\right)}{p_{k}+2}}\left\{-C_{1}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{\theta}-\frac{2\left(p_{k}+1\right)}{p_{k}+2}} \lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{4(\theta-1)}{\theta}}\right. \\
& \left.+C_{2}\left(p_{k}+2\right)\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{p_{k}+2}}+C_{2}\left(p_{k}+2\right)\right\} . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we estimate $\left(p_{k}+2\right)\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{p_{k}+2}}$. By Young's inequality,

$$
a b \leq \epsilon a^{p^{\prime}}+\epsilon^{-\frac{q^{\prime}}{p^{\prime}}} \frac{1}{q^{\prime}}\left(\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p^{\prime}}} b^{q^{\prime}},
$$

where $p^{\prime}>1, q^{\prime}>1, \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{p_{k}+2}}, \quad b=p_{k}+2, \quad \epsilon=\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{4(\theta-1)}{\theta}}, \\
& p^{\prime}=l_{k}=\frac{p_{k}+2}{\theta}-p_{k}-1=\frac{\left(p_{k}+m+1\right)(N+2)}{N}-p_{k}-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{k}+2\right)\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{p_{k}+2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{\theta-}-\frac{2\left(p_{k}+1\right)}{p_{k}+2}} \lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{4(\theta-1)}{\theta-1}}+C\left(p_{k}+2\right)^{\frac{l_{k}}{l_{k}-1}} \lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{4(1-\theta)}{\theta\left(l_{k}-1\right)}} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} l_{k}=+\infty$. Denote

$$
a_{k}=\frac{l_{k}}{l_{k}-1}, \quad b_{k}=\frac{4(1-\theta)}{\theta\left(l_{k}-1\right)} .
$$

From (2.12), (2.13), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2\left(p_{k}+1\right)}{p_{k}+2}}\left\{-\frac{C_{1}}{2}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{\theta}-\frac{2\left(p_{k}+1\right)}{p_{k}+2}} \lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{4(\theta-1)}{\theta}}+C_{2}\left(p_{k}+2\right)^{a_{k}} \lambda_{k-1}^{b_{k}}+C_{2}\left(p_{k}+2\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(p_{k}+2\right) \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{p_{k}+2}} \\
& \quad \leq-\frac{C_{1}}{2}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{\theta-\frac{2\left(p_{k}+1\right)}{p_{k}+2}}} \lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{4(\theta-1)}{\theta}}+C_{1}\left(p_{k}+2\right)^{a_{k}} \lambda_{k-1}^{b_{k}}+C_{2}\left(p_{k}+2\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The periodicity of $u_{k}(t)$ implies that there exists $t^{\prime}$ such that $\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2}$ takes its maximum and the left-hand side of (2.14) vanishes. Then we have

$$
\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2} \leq\left\{C\left[\left(p_{k}+2\right)+\left(p_{k}+2\right)^{a_{k}} l_{k-1}^{b_{k}}\right] \lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{4(1-\theta)}{\theta}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{c_{k}}}
$$

where

$$
c_{k}=\frac{2}{\theta}-\frac{2\left(p_{k}+1\right)}{p_{k}+2}=\frac{2 l_{k}}{p_{k}+2} .
$$

Since $\lambda_{k-1} \geq 1(k=1,2, \cdot)$, it follows that

$$
\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2} \leq\left\{C\left(p_{k}+2\right)^{a_{k}} \lambda_{k-1}^{b_{k}+\frac{4(1-\theta)}{\theta}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{c_{k}}}=\left\{C\left(p_{k}+2\right)^{a_{k}}\right\}^{\frac{p_{k}+2}{2 k_{k}}} \lambda_{k-1}^{\frac{4(1-\theta)\left(p_{k}+2\right)}{2\left(l_{k}-1\right) \theta}} .
$$

Noticing that $\frac{p_{k}+2}{\left(l_{k}-1\right) \theta}=\frac{1}{1-\theta}$ and $\frac{p_{k}+2}{2 l_{k}}$ are bounded, we have

$$
\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2} \leq C 2^{k a^{\prime}} \lambda_{k-1}^{2},
$$

where $a^{\prime}$ is a positive constant independent of $k$. That is,

$$
\ln \left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2} \leq \ln l_{k} \leq \ln C+k \ln A+2 \ln l_{k-1}
$$

where $A=2^{a^{\prime}}>1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln \left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{2} & \leq \ln C \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} 2^{i}+2^{k-1} \ln \lambda_{1}+\ln A\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}(k-j) 2^{j}\right) \\
& \leq\left(2^{k-1}-1\right) \ln C+2^{k-1} \ln \lambda_{1}+f(k) \ln A
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
f(k)=2^{k+1}-2^{k-1}-k-2 .
$$

That is,

$$
\|u(t)\|_{p_{k}+2} \leq\left\{C^{2^{k-1}} \lambda_{1}^{2^{k-1}} A^{f(k)}\right\}^{\frac{2}{p_{k}+2}} .
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq C \lambda_{1}^{2} \leq C\left(\max \left\{1, \sup _{t}\|u(t)\|_{2}\right\}\right)^{2} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate $\|u(t)\|_{2}$, we set $p=0$. From (2.9), we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+C_{1}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \leq C_{2}\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+C_{2}\|u(t)\|_{2}
$$

According to the Poincaré inequality, we have

$$
C_{p}\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}
$$

where $C_{p}$ is a positive constant which depends only on $N$ and the measure of $\Omega$ and becomes very large when $|\Omega|$ becomes small. Then

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+C_{1} C_{p}\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \leq C_{2}\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+C_{2}\|u(t)\|_{2}
$$

So, when $|\Omega|$ is sufficiently small, we have $C_{1} C_{p}>C_{2}$. Then by Young's inequality, we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+C\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \leq C
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $u$. By the periodicity of $u$, we have

$$
\|u(t)\|_{2} \leq R,
$$

where $R$ is a positive constant independent of $\sigma$. Combining the above inequality with (2.15), we obtain (2.5). The proof is completed.

## 3 The main results

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 If (A1), (A2) and (A3) hold, then the problem (1.1)-(1.3) admits at least one periodic solution $u$.

Proof First, we define a map by considering the following problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-D_{i}\left(a_{i j}(x, t, u) D_{j} u\right)+b(u) \cdot \nabla u=f(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in Q_{T}  \tag{3.1}\\
& u(x, t)=0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times[0, T]  \tag{3.2}\\
& u(x, 0)=u(x, T), \quad x \in \Omega \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f(x, t)$ is a given function in $C_{T}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$. It follows from a standard argument similar to [10] that the problem (3.1)-(3.3) admits a unique solution. So, we can define a map $T: C_{T}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right) \rightarrow C_{T}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$ by $u=T f$ and the map $u=T f$ is compact and continuous. In fact, by the method in [9], we can infer that $\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}$ is bounded if $f \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $u, \nabla u \in$ $C^{\alpha}\left(\overline{Q_{T}}\right)$ for some $\alpha>0$. Then (by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem) the compactness of the map $T$ comes from $\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}$ and the Hölder continuity of $u$. The continuity of the map $T$ comes from the Hölder continuity of $\nabla u$.

Let $\Phi(u)=B(x, t, u)+h(x, t)$, by (A2)-(A3) and the above arguments, we see that $T(\sigma \Phi)$ is a complete continuous map for $\sigma \in[0,1]$. By Lemma 1 , we can see that any fixed point $u$ of the map $T(\sigma \Phi)$ satisfies

$$
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq C,
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $\sigma$. Then, by the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem [13], we conclude that the problem (1.1)-(1.3) admits a periodic solution $u$. The proof is complete.
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