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## Abstract

In this paper, we consider higher-order Frobenius-Euler polynomials, associated with poly-Bernoulli polynomials, which are derived from polylogarithmic function. These polynomials are called higher-order Frobenius-Euler and poly-Bernoulli mixed-type polynomials. The purpose of this paper is to give various identities of those polynomials arising from umbral calculus.

## 1 Introduction

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda \neq 1$, the Frobenius-Euler polynomials of order $\alpha(\alpha \in \mathbb{R})$ are defined by the generating function to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} e^{x t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \quad(\text { see }[1-5]) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $x=0, H_{n}^{(\alpha)}(\lambda)=H_{n}^{(\alpha)}(0 \mid \lambda)$ are called the Frobenius-Euler numbers of order $\alpha$. As is well known, the Bernoulli polynomials of order $\alpha$ are defined by the generating function to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{t}{e^{t}-1}\right)^{\alpha} e^{x t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{B}_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \quad(\text { see }[6-8]) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $x=0, \mathbb{B}_{n}^{(\alpha)}=\mathbb{B}_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ is called the $n$th Bernoulli number of order $\alpha$. In the special case, $\alpha=1, \mathbb{B}_{n}^{(1)}(x)=B_{n}(x)$ is called the $n$th Bernoulli polynomial. When $x=0, B_{n}=B_{n}(0)$ is called the $n$th ordinary Bernoulli number. Finally, we recall that the Euler polynomials of order $\alpha$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{2}{e^{t}+1}\right)^{\alpha} e^{x t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \quad(\text { see }[9-13]) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $x=0, E_{n}^{(\alpha)}=E_{n}^{(\alpha)}(0)$ is called the $n$th Euler number of order $\alpha$. In the special case, $\alpha=1, E_{n}^{(1)}(x)=E_{n}(x)$ is called the $n$th ordinary Euler polynomial. The classical polylogarithmic function $L i_{k}(x)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L i_{k}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n^{k}} \quad(k \in \mathbb{Z}) \text { (see [7]). } \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As is known, poly-Bernoulli polynomials are defined by the generating function to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} e^{x t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n}^{(k)}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \quad(c f .[7]) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the complex number field, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all formal power series in the variable $t$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\left\{\left.f(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k}}{k!} t^{k} \right\rvert\, a_{k} \in \mathbb{C}\right\} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we use the notation $\mathbb{P}=\mathbb{C}[x]$. In this paper, $\mathbb{P}^{*}$ will be denoted by the vector space of all linear functionals on $\mathbb{P}$. Let us assume that $\langle L \mid p(x)\rangle$ be the action of the linear functional $L$ on the polynomial $p(x)$, and we remind that the vector space operations on $\mathbb{P}^{*}$ are defined by $\langle L+M \mid p(x)\rangle=\langle L \mid p(x)\rangle+\langle M \mid p(x)\rangle,\langle c L \mid p(x)\rangle=c\langle L \mid p(x)\rangle$, where $c$ is a complex constant in $\mathbb{C}$. The formal power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k}}{k!} t^{k} \in \mathcal{F} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a linear functional on $\mathbb{P}$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f(t) \mid x^{n}\right\rangle=a_{n}, \quad \text { for all } n \geq 0(\text { see }[14,15]) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.7) and (1.8), we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle t^{k} \mid x^{n}\right\rangle=n!\delta_{n, k} \quad(\text { see }[14,15]) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{n, k}$ is the Kronecker symbol.
Let us consider $f_{L}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left\langle L \mid x^{n}\right\rangle}{k!} t^{k}$. Then we see that $\left\langle f_{L}(t) \mid x^{n}\right\rangle=\left\langle L \mid x^{n}\right\rangle$, and so $L=f_{L}(t)$ as linear functionals. The map $L \mapsto f_{L}(t)$ is a vector space isomorphism from $\mathbb{P}^{*}$ onto $\mathcal{F}$. Henceforth, $\mathcal{F}$ will denote both the algebra of formal power series in $t$ and the vector space of all linear functionals on $\mathbb{P}$, and so an element $f(t)$ of $\mathcal{F}$ will be thought of as both a formal power series and a linear functional (see [14]). We shall call $\mathcal{F}$ the umbral algebra. The umbral calculus is the study of umbral algebra. The order $o(f(t))$ of a nonzero power series $f(t)$ is the smallest integer $k$, for which the coefficient of $t^{k}$ does not vanish. A series $f(t)$ is called a delta series if $o(f(t))=1$, and an invertible series if $o(f(t))=0$. Let $f(t), g(t) \in \mathcal{F}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f(t) g(t) \mid p(x)\rangle=\langle f(t) \mid g(t) p(x)\rangle=\langle g(t) \mid f(t) p(x)\rangle \quad \text { (see [14]). } \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $f(t), g(t) \in \mathcal{F}$ with $o(f(t))=1, o(g(t))=0$, there exists a unique sequence $S_{n}(x)$ $\left(\operatorname{deg} S_{n}(x)=n\right)$ such that $\left\langle g(t) f(t)^{k} \mid S_{n}(x)\right\rangle=n!\delta_{n, k}$ for $n, k \geq 0$. The sequence $S_{n}(x)$ is called the Sheffer sequence for $(g(t), f(t))$, which is denoted by $S_{n}(x) \sim(g(t), f(t))$ (see [14, 15]). Let $f(t) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $p(t) \in \mathbb{P}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\langle f(t) \mid x^{k}\right\rangle \frac{t^{k}}{k!}, \quad p(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\langle t^{k} \mid p(x)\right\rangle \frac{x^{k}}{k!} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.11), we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{(k)}(0)=\left\langle t^{k} \mid p(x)\right\rangle=\left\langle 1 \mid p^{(k)}(x)\right\rangle . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{k} p(x)=p^{(k)}(x)=\frac{d^{k} p(x)}{d x^{k}} \quad(\text { see }[14,15]) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.13), we easily derive the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{y t} p(x)=p(x+y), \quad\left\langle e^{y t} \mid p(x)\right\rangle=p(y) . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}, f(t) \in \mathcal{F}$, it is known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f(t) \mid x p(x)\rangle=\left\langle\partial_{t} f(t) \mid p(x)\right\rangle=\left\langle f^{\prime}(t) \mid p(x)\right\rangle \quad \text { (see [14]). } \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S_{n}(x) \sim(g(t), f(t))$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{g(\bar{f}(x))} e^{y \bar{f}(t)}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n}(y) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \quad \text { for all } y \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{f}(t)$ is the compositional inverse of $f(t)$ with $\bar{f}(f(t))=t$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t) S_{n}(x)=n S_{n-1}(x) \quad(\text { see }[14,15]) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Stirling number of the second kind is defined by the generating function to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{m}=m!\sum_{l=m}^{\infty} S_{2}(l, m) \frac{t^{m}}{m!} \quad\left(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $S_{n}(x) \sim(g(t), t)$, it is well known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n+1}(x)=\left(x-\frac{g^{\prime}(t)}{g(t)}\right) S_{n}(x) \quad(n \geq 0)(\text { see }[14,15]) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S_{n}(x) \sim(g(t), f(t)), r_{n}(x) \sim(h(t), l(t))$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{n} C_{n, m} r_{m}(x), \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n, m}=\frac{1}{m!}\left\langle\left.\frac{h(\bar{f}(t))}{g(\bar{f}(t))} l(\bar{f}(t))^{m} \right\rvert\, x^{n}\right\rangle \quad(\text { see }[14,15]) . \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we study higher-order Frobeniuns-Euler polynomials associated with polyBernoulli polynomials, which are called higher-order Frobenius-Euler and poly-Beroulli mixed-type polynomials. The purpose of this paper is to give various identities of those polynomials arising from umbral calculus.

## 2 Higher-order Frobenius-Euler polynomials, associated poly-Bernoulli polynomials

Let us consider the polynomials $T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)$, called higher-order Frobenius-Euler and polyBernoulli mixed-type polynomials, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} e^{x t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda \neq 1, r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
When $x=0, T_{n}^{(r, k)}(\lambda)=T_{n}^{(r, k)}(0 \mid \lambda)$ is called the $n$th higher-order Frobenius-Euler and poly-Bernoulli mixed type number.
From (1.16) and (2.1), we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \sim\left(g_{r, k}(t)=\left(\frac{e^{t}-\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{1-e^{-t}}{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}, t\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.17) and (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
t T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)=n T_{n-1}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1), we can easily derive the following equation

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) & =\sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda) B_{l}^{(k)}(x) \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) B_{l}^{(k)} . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By (1.16) and (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)=\frac{1}{g_{r, k}(t)} x^{n}=\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} x^{n} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [7], it is known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} x^{n}=\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(m+1)^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}(x-j)^{n} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) & =\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} x^{n} \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+1)^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r}(x-j)^{n} \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(m+1)^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} H_{n}^{(r)}(x-j \mid \lambda) . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By (1.1), we easily see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda)=\sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda) x^{l} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) & =\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(m+1)^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} \sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda)(x-j)^{l} \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{n}\left\{\binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+1)^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}\right\}(x-j)^{l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In [7], it is known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} x^{n}=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left\{\sum_{m=0}^{n-j} \frac{(-1)^{n-m-j}}{(m+1)^{k}}\binom{n}{j} m!S_{2}(n-j, m)\right\} x^{j} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.5) and (2.9), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) & =\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} x^{n} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left\{\sum_{m=0}^{n-j} \frac{(-1)^{n-m-j}}{(m+1)^{k}}\binom{n}{j} m!S_{2}(n-j, m)\right\}\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} x^{j} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left\{\sum_{m=0}^{n-j} \frac{(-1)^{n-m-j}}{(m+1)^{k}}\binom{n}{j} m!S_{2}(n-j, m)\right\} H_{j}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.8) and (2.10), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)=\sum_{l=0}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=l}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-j}(-1)^{n-m-j}\binom{n}{j}\binom{j}{l} \frac{m!}{(m+1)^{k}} H_{j-l}^{(r)}(\lambda) S_{2}(n-j, m)\right\} x^{l} .
$$

From (1.19) and (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n+1}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)=\left(x-\frac{g_{r, k}^{\prime}(t)}{g_{r, k}(t)}\right) T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{g_{r, k}^{\prime}(t)}{g_{r, k}(t)} & =\left(\log g_{r, k}(t)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\left(r \log \left(e^{t}-\lambda\right)-r \log (1-\lambda)+\log \left(1-e^{-t}\right)-\log L i_{k}\left(1-e^{t}\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =r+\frac{r \lambda}{e^{t} \lambda}+\left(\frac{t}{e^{t}-1}\right) \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)-L i_{k-1}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{t L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)} . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.11) and (2.12), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n+1}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)= & x T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-r T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-\frac{r \lambda}{1-\lambda}\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r+1} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} x^{n} \\
& -\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)-L i_{k-1}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{t\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}\left(\frac{t}{e^{t}-1}\right) x^{n} \\
= & (x-r) T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-\frac{r \lambda}{1-\lambda} T_{n}^{(r+1, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \\
& -\sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l} B_{n-l}\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)-L i_{k-1}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{t\left(1-e^{-t}\right)} x^{l} . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)-L i_{k-1}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} & =\frac{1}{1-e^{-t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{\left(1-e^{-t}\right)^{n}}{n^{k}}-\frac{\left(1-e^{-t}\right)^{n}}{n^{k-1}}\right\} \\
& =\left(\frac{1-e^{-t}}{2^{k}}-\frac{1-e^{-t}}{2^{k-1}}\right)+\cdots \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}-\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\right) t+\cdots \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

For any delta series $f(t)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(t)}{t} x^{n}=f(t) \frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n+1}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)= & (x-r) T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-\frac{r \lambda}{1-\lambda} T_{n}^{(r+1, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \\
& -\sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l} B_{n-l} \frac{1}{l+1}\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)-L i_{k-1}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} x^{l+1} \\
= & (x-r) T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-\frac{r \lambda}{1-\lambda} T_{n}^{(r+1, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \\
& -\sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{\binom{n}{l}}{l+1} B_{n-l}\left\{T_{l+1}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-T_{l+1}^{(r, k-1)}(x \mid \lambda)\right\} \\
= & (x-r) T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-\frac{r \lambda}{1-\lambda} T_{n}^{(r+1, k)}(x \mid \lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{l=1}^{n+1}\binom{n+1}{l} B_{n+1-l}\left\{T_{l}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-T_{l}^{(r, k-1)}(x \mid \lambda)\right\} \\
= & (x-r) T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-\frac{r \lambda}{1-\lambda} T_{n}^{(r+1, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \\
& -\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1}{l} B_{n+1-l}\left\{T_{l}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-T_{l}^{(r, k-1)}(x \mid \lambda)\right\} \\
= & (x-r) T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-\frac{r \lambda}{1-\lambda} T_{n}^{(r+1, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \\
& -\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1}{l} B_{l}\left\{T_{n+1-l}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-T_{n+1-l}^{(r, k-1)}(x \mid \lambda)\right\} . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.16), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n+1}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)= & (x-r) T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-\frac{r \lambda}{1-\lambda} T_{n}^{(r+1, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \\
& -\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1}{l} B_{l}\left\{T_{n+1-l}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-T_{n+1-l}^{(r, k-1)}(x \mid \lambda)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1 If $r=0$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n}^{(k)}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}=\frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{\left(1-e^{-t}\right)} e^{x t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{n}^{(0, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (2.17), we get $B_{n}^{(k)}(x)=T_{n}^{(0, k)}(x \mid \lambda)$.
From (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
t x T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) & =t\left(x \sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda) B_{l}^{(k)}(x)\right) \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda)\left\{l x B_{l-1}^{(k)}(x)+B_{l}^{(k)}(x)\right\} \\
& =n x \sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{l} H_{n-1-l}^{(r)}(\lambda) B_{l}^{(k)}(x)+\sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda) B_{l}^{(k)}(x) \\
& =n x T_{n-1}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)+T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying $t$ on both sides of Theorem 2.3, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
(n+1) & T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \\
= & n x T_{n-1}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)+T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-r n T_{n-1}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-\frac{r n \lambda}{1-\lambda} T_{n-1}^{(r+1, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \\
& -\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1}{l} B_{l}\left\{(n+1-l) T_{n-l}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-(n+1-l) T_{n-l}^{(r, k-1)}(x \mid \lambda)\right\} . \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by (2.19), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(n & +1) T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)+n\left(r-\frac{1}{2}-x\right) T_{n-1}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)+\sum_{l=0}^{n-2}\binom{n}{l} B_{n-l} T_{l}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \\
& =-\frac{r \lambda n}{1-\lambda} T_{n-1}^{(r+1, k)}(x \mid \lambda)+\sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l} B_{n-l} T_{l}^{(r, k-1)}(x \mid \lambda) . \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.20), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4 For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n & +1) T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)+n\left(r-\frac{1}{2}-x\right) T_{n-1}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)+\sum_{l=0}^{n-2}\binom{n}{l} B_{n-l} T_{l}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \\
& =-\frac{r \lambda n}{1-\lambda} T_{n-1}^{(r+1, k)}(x \mid \lambda)+\sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l} B_{n-l} T_{l}^{(r, k-1)}(x \mid \lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (1.14) and (2.5), we note that

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(y \mid \lambda) & =\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} e^{y t} \right\rvert\, x^{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} e^{y t} \right\rvert\, x x^{n-1}\right\rangle \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

By (1.15) and (2.21), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(y \mid \lambda)= & \left\langle\left.\partial_{t}\left(\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} e^{y t}\right) \right\rvert\, x^{n-1}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle\left.\left(\partial_{t}\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r}\right) \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} e^{y t} \right\rvert\, x^{n-1}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r}\left(\partial_{t} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}}\right) e^{y t} \right\rvert\, x^{n-1}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} \partial_{t} e^{y t} \right\rvert\, x^{n-1}\right\rangle \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.22), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5 For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)= & (x-r) T_{n-1}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)-\frac{r \lambda}{1-\lambda} T_{n-1}^{(r+1, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \\
& +\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\left\{(-1)^{n-1-l}\binom{n-1}{l} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1-l}(-1)^{m} \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+2)^{k}} S_{2}(n-1-l, m)\right\} H_{l}^{(r)}(x-1 \mid \lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we compute $\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right) \right\rvert\, x^{n+1}\right\rangle$ in two different ways.

On the one hand,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right) \right\rvert\, x^{n+1}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} \right\rvert\,\left(1-e^{-t}\right) x^{n+1}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} \right\rvert\, x^{n+1}-(x-1)^{n+1}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\sum_{m=0}^{n}\binom{n+1}{m}(-1)^{n-m}\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} \right\rvert\, x^{m}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\sum_{m=0}^{n}\binom{n+1}{m}(-1)^{n-m}\left\langle 1 \mid T_{m}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\sum_{m=0}^{n}\binom{n+1}{m}(-1)^{n-m} T_{m}^{(r, k)}(\lambda) . \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right) \right\rvert\, x^{n+1}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\left\langle L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right) \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} x^{n+1}\right.\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\left\langle\int_{0}^{t}\left(L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-s}\right)\right)^{\prime} d s \mid H_{n+1}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\left\langle\left.\int_{0}^{t} e^{-s} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-s}\right)}{\left(1-e^{-s}\right)} d s \right\rvert\, H_{n+1}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\sum_{l=0}^{n}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{l}\binom{l}{m}(-1)^{l-m} B_{m}^{(k-1)}\right) \frac{1}{l!}\left\langle\int_{0}^{t} s^{l} d s \mid H_{n+1}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{l}\binom{l}{m}(-1)^{l-m} \frac{B_{m}^{(k-1)}}{(l+1)!}\left\langle t^{l+1} \mid H_{n+1}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{l}\binom{l}{m}\binom{n+1}{l+1}(-1)^{l-m} B_{m}^{(k-1)} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda) . \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.23) and (2.24), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6 For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=0}^{n}\binom{n+1}{m}(-1)^{n-m} T_{m}^{(r, k)}(\lambda) \\
& \quad=\sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{l}(-1)^{l-m}\binom{l}{m}\binom{n+1}{l+1} B_{m}^{(k-1)} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we consider the following two Sheffer sequences:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \sim\left(\left(\frac{e^{t}-\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{1-e^{-t}}{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}, t\right), \\
& \mathbb{B}^{(s)} \sim\left(\left(\frac{e^{t}-1}{t}\right)^{s}, t\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda \neq 1$. Let us assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)=\sum_{m=0}^{n} C_{n \cdot m} \mathbb{B}_{m}^{(s)}(x) . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.21) and (2.26), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{n, m} & =\frac{1}{m!}\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{e^{t}-1}{t}\right)^{s}\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} t^{m} \right\rvert\, x^{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{m!}\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{e^{t}-1}{t}\right)^{s}\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} \right\rvert\, t^{m} x^{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\binom{n}{m}\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{e^{t}-1}{t}\right)^{s}\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} \right\rvert\, x^{n-m}\right\rangle \\
& =\binom{n}{m} \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \frac{s!}{(l+s)!} S_{2}(l+s, s)\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} \right\rvert\, t^{l} x^{n-m}\right\rangle \\
& =\binom{n}{m} \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \frac{s!l!}{(l+s)!} \frac{(n-m)_{l}}{l!} S_{2}(l+s, s)\left\langle 1 \mid T_{n-m-l}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)\right\rangle \\
& =\binom{n}{m} \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \frac{\binom{n-m}{l}}{\binom{s+l}{l}} S_{2}(l+s, s) T_{n-m-l}^{(r, k)}(\lambda) . \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.26) and (2.27), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7 For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)=\sum_{m=0}^{n}\left\{\binom{n}{m} \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \frac{\binom{n-m}{l}}{\binom{s+l}{l}} S_{2}(l+s, s) T_{n-m-l}^{(r, k)}(\lambda)\right\} \mathbb{B}_{m}^{(s)}(x) .
$$

From (1.3) and (2.1), we note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \sim\left(\left(\frac{e^{t}-\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{1-e^{-t}}{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}, t\right), \\
& E_{n}^{(r, s)}(x) \sim\left(\left(\frac{e^{t}+1}{2}\right)^{s}, t\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
By the same method, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)=\frac{1}{2^{s}} \sum_{m=0}^{n}\left\{\binom{n}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{s}\binom{s}{j} T_{n-m}^{(r, k)}(j)\right\} E_{m}^{(s)}(x) . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.1) and (2.1), we note that

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) & \sim\left(\left(\frac{e^{t}-\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{1-e^{-t}}{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}, t\right) \\
H_{n}^{(s)}(x \mid \mu) & \sim\left(\left(\frac{e^{t}-\mu}{1-\mu}\right)^{s}, t\right) \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda \neq 1, \mu \neq 1, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
Let us assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)=\sum_{m=0}^{n} C_{n, m} H_{m}^{(s)}(x \mid \mu) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.21) and (2.31), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{n, m} & =\frac{1}{m!}\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{e^{t}-\mu}{1-\mu}\right)^{s}\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} t^{m} \right\rvert\, x^{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{\binom{n}{m}}{(1-\mu)^{s}}\left\langle\left(e^{t}-\mu\right)^{s} \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} x^{n-m}\right.\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{\binom{n}{m}}{(1-\mu)^{s}} \sum_{j=0}^{s}\binom{s}{j}(-\mu)^{s-j}\left\langle e^{j t} \mid T_{n-m}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{\binom{n}{m}}{(1-\mu)^{s}} \sum_{j=0}^{s}\binom{s}{j}(-\mu)^{s-j} T_{n-m}^{(r, k)}(j \mid \lambda) . \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.31) and (2.32), we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 2.8 For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)=\frac{1}{(1-\mu)^{s}} \sum_{m=0}^{n}\left\{\binom{n}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{s}\binom{s}{j}(-\mu)^{s-j} T_{n-m}^{(r, k)}(j \mid \lambda)\right\} H_{m}^{(s)}(x \mid \mu)
$$

It is known that

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \sim\left(\left(\frac{e^{t}-\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{1-e^{-t}}{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}, t\right),  \tag{2.33}\\
& (x)_{n} \sim\left(1, e^{t}-1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)=\sum_{m=0}^{n} C_{n, m}(x)_{m} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (1.21) and (2.34), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n, m} & =\frac{1}{m!}\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}}\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{m} \right\rvert\, x^{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_{2}(l+m, m)}{(l+m)!}\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} \right\rvert\, t^{m+l} x^{n}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \frac{S_{2}(l+m, m)}{(l+m)!}(n)_{m+l}\left\langle 1 \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} x^{n-m-l}\right.\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{n-m}\binom{n}{l+m} S_{2}(l+m, m) T_{n-m-l}^{(r, k)}(\lambda) . \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.34) and (2.35), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9 For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)=\sum_{m=0}^{n}\left\{\sum_{l=0}^{n-m}\binom{n}{l+m} S_{2}(l+m, m) T_{n-m-l}^{(r, k)}(\lambda)\right\}(x)_{m} .
$$

Finally, we consider the following two Sheffer sequences:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda) \sim\left(\left(\frac{e^{t}-\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{1-e^{-t}}{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}, t\right),  \tag{2.36}\\
& x^{[n]} \sim\left(1,1-e^{-t}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $x^{[n]}=x(x+1) \cdots(x+n-1)$.
Let us assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)=\sum_{m=0}^{n} C_{n, m} x^{[m]} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (1.21) and (2.37), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{n, m} & =\frac{1}{m!}\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)^{m} \right\rvert\, x^{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l} S_{2}(l+m, m)}{(l+m)!}\left\langle\left.\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} \right\rvert\, t^{m+l} x^{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \frac{(-1)^{l} S_{2}(l+m, m)}{(l+m)!}(n)_{m+l}\left\langle 1 \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{L i_{k}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-t}} x^{n-m-l}\right.\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{n-m}(-1)^{l}\binom{n}{l+m} S_{2}(l+m, m) T_{n-m-l}^{(r, k)}(\lambda) . \tag{2.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.37) and (2.38), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10 For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0$, we have

$$
T_{n}^{(r, k)}(x \mid \lambda)=\sum_{m=0}^{n}\left\{\sum_{l=0}^{n-m}(-1)^{l}\binom{n}{l+m} S_{2}(l+m, m) T_{n-m-l}^{(r, k)}(\lambda)\right\} x^{[m]} .
$$
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