Open Access

Higher-order Frobenius-Euler and poly-Bernoulli mixed-type polynomials

Dae San Kim¹ and Taekyun Kim^{2*}

*Correspondence: tkkim@kw.ac.kr ²Department of Mathematics, Kwangwoon University, Seoul, 139-701, Republic of Korea Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we consider higher-order Frobenius-Euler polynomials, associated with poly-Bernoulli polynomials, which are derived from polylogarithmic function. These polynomials are called higher-order Frobenius-Euler and poly-Bernoulli mixed-type polynomials. The purpose of this paper is to give various identities of those polynomials arising from umbral calculus.

1 Introduction

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda \neq 1$, the Frobenius-Euler polynomials of order α ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) are defined by the generating function to be

$$\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^t-\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n^{(\alpha)}(x|\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (\text{see } [1-5]).$$
(1.1)

When x = 0, $H_n^{(\alpha)}(\lambda) = H_n^{(\alpha)}(0|\lambda)$ are called the Frobenius-Euler numbers of order α . As is well known, the Bernoulli polynomials of order α are defined by the generating function to be

$$\left(\frac{t}{e^t-1}\right)^{\alpha} e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{B}_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (\text{see } [6-8]).$$

$$(1.2)$$

When x = 0, $\mathbb{B}_n^{(\alpha)} = \mathbb{B}_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$ is called the *n*th Bernoulli number of order α . In the special case, $\alpha = 1$, $\mathbb{B}_n^{(1)}(x) = B_n(x)$ is called the *n*th Bernoulli polynomial. When x = 0, $B_n = B_n(0)$ is called the *n*th ordinary Bernoulli number. Finally, we recall that the Euler polynomials of order α are given by

$$\left(\frac{2}{e^t+1}\right)^{\alpha} e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (\text{see } [9-13]).$$
(1.3)

When x = 0, $E_n^{(\alpha)} = E_n^{(\alpha)}(0)$ is called the *n*th Euler number of order α . In the special case, $\alpha = 1$, $E_n^{(1)}(x) = E_n(x)$ is called the *n*th ordinary Euler polynomial. The classical polylogarithmic function $Li_k(x)$ is defined by

$$Li_{k}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n^{k}} \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}) \text{ (see [7])}.$$
(1.4)



© 2013 Kim and Kim; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

As is known, poly-Bernoulli polynomials are defined by the generating function to be

$$\frac{Li_k(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}}e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(k)}(x)\frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (cf. [7]).$$
(1.5)

Let \mathbb{C} be the complex number field, and let \mathcal{F} be the set of all formal power series in the variable t over \mathbb{C} with

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k!} t^k \mid a_k \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$
(1.6)

Now, we use the notation $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{C}[x]$. In this paper, \mathbb{P}^* will be denoted by the vector space of all linear functionals on \mathbb{P} . Let us assume that $\langle L|p(x)\rangle$ be the action of the linear functional L on the polynomial p(x), and we remind that the vector space operations on \mathbb{P}^* are defined by $\langle L + M|p(x)\rangle = \langle L|p(x)\rangle + \langle M|p(x)\rangle$, $\langle cL|p(x)\rangle = c\langle L|p(x)\rangle$, where c is a complex constant in \mathbb{C} . The formal power series

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k!} t^k \in \mathcal{F}$$
(1.7)

defines a linear functional on \mathbb{P} by setting

 $\langle f(t)|x^n \rangle = a_n, \text{ for all } n \ge 0 \text{ (see [14, 15])}.$ (1.8)

From (1.7) and (1.8), we note that

$$\langle t^k | x^n \rangle = n! \delta_{n,k}$$
 (see [14, 15]), (1.9)

where $\delta_{n,k}$ is the Kronecker symbol.

Let us consider $f_L(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle L|x^n \rangle}{k!} t^k$. Then we see that $\langle f_L(t)|x^n \rangle = \langle L|x^n \rangle$, and so $L = f_L(t)$ as linear functionals. The map $L \mapsto f_L(t)$ is a vector space isomorphism from \mathbb{P}^* onto \mathcal{F} . Henceforth, \mathcal{F} will denote both the algebra of formal power series in t and the vector space of all linear functionals on \mathbb{P} , and so an element f(t) of \mathcal{F} will be thought of as both a formal power series and a linear functional (see [14]). We shall call \mathcal{F} the umbral algebra. The umbral calculus is the study of umbral algebra. The order o(f(t)) of a nonzero power series f(t) is the smallest integer k, for which the coefficient of t^k does not vanish. A series f(t) is called a delta series if o(f(t)) = 1, and an invertible series if o(f(t)) = 0. Let $f(t), g(t) \in \mathcal{F}$. Then we have

$$\left\langle f(t)g(t)|p(x)\right\rangle = \left\langle f(t)|g(t)p(x)\right\rangle = \left\langle g(t)|f(t)p(x)\right\rangle \quad (\text{see [14]}). \tag{1.10}$$

For $f(t), g(t) \in \mathcal{F}$ with o(f(t)) = 1, o(g(t)) = 0, there exists a unique sequence $S_n(x)$ (deg $S_n(x) = n$) such that $\langle g(t)f(t)^k | S_n(x) \rangle = n! \delta_{n,k}$ for $n, k \ge 0$. The sequence $S_n(x)$ is called the Sheffer sequence for (g(t), f(t)), which is denoted by $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))$ (see [14, 15]). Let $f(t) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $p(t) \in \mathbb{P}$. Then we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle f(t) | x^k \rangle \frac{t^k}{k!}, \qquad p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle t^k | p(x) \rangle \frac{x^k}{k!}.$$
 (1.11)

From (1.11), we note that

$$p^{(k)}(0) = \langle t^k | p(x) \rangle = \langle 1 | p^{(k)}(x) \rangle.$$
(1.12)

By (1.12), we get

$$t^{k}p(x) = p^{(k)}(x) = \frac{d^{k}p(x)}{dx^{k}}$$
 (see [14, 15]). (1.13)

From (1.13), we easily derive the following equation

$$e^{yt}p(x) = p(x+y), \quad \langle e^{yt}|p(x)\rangle = p(y).$$
 (1.14)

For $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}$, $f(t) \in \mathcal{F}$, it is known that

$$\langle f(t)|xp(x)\rangle = \langle \partial_t f(t)|p(x)\rangle = \langle f'(t)|p(x)\rangle \quad (\text{see [14]}).$$
(1.15)

Let $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))$. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{g(\tilde{f}(x))}e^{y\tilde{f}(t)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(y)\frac{t^n}{n!} \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{C},$$
(1.16)

where $\bar{f}(t)$ is the compositional inverse of f(t) with $\bar{f}(f(t)) = t$, and

$$f(t)S_n(x) = nS_{n-1}(x)$$
 (see [14, 15]). (1.17)

The Stirling number of the second kind is defined by the generating function to be

$$(e^{t}-1)^{m} = m! \sum_{l=m}^{\infty} S_{2}(l,m) \frac{t^{m}}{m!} \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$
(1.18)

For $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), t)$, it is well known that

$$S_{n+1}(x) = \left(x - \frac{g'(t)}{g(t)}\right) S_n(x) \quad (n \ge 0) \text{ (see [14, 15])}.$$
(1.19)

Let $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t)), r_n(x) \sim (h(t), l(t))$. Then we have

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n C_{n,m} r_m(x),$$
(1.20)

where

$$C_{n,m} = \frac{1}{m!} \left\langle \frac{h(\bar{f}(t))}{g(\bar{f}(t))} l(\bar{f}(t))^m \middle| x^n \right\rangle \quad (\text{see } [14, 15]).$$
(1.21)

In this paper, we study higher-order Frobeniuns-Euler polynomials associated with poly-Bernoulli polynomials, which are called higher-order Frobenius-Euler and poly-Beroulli mixed-type polynomials. The purpose of this paper is to give various identities of those polynomials arising from umbral calculus.

2 Higher-order Frobenius-Euler polynomials, associated poly-Bernoulli polynomials

Let us consider the polynomials $T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda)$, called higher-order Frobenius-Euler and poly-Bernoulli mixed-type polynomials, as follows:

$$\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^t-\lambda}\right)^r \frac{Li_k(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!},$$
(2.1)

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda \neq 1$, $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

When x = 0, $T_n^{(r,k)}(\lambda) = T_n^{(r,k)}(0|\lambda)$ is called the *n*th higher-order Frobenius-Euler and poly-Bernoulli mixed type number.

From (1.16) and (2.1), we note that

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) \sim \left(g_{r,k}(t) = \left(\frac{e^t - \lambda}{1 - \lambda}\right)^r \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{Li_k(1 - e^{-t})}, t\right).$$
(2.2)

By (1.17) and (2.2), we get

$$tT_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = nT_{n-1}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda).$$
(2.3)

From (2.1), we can easily derive the following equation

$$T_{n}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda) B_{l}^{(k)}(x)$$
$$= \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(x|\lambda) B_{l}^{(k)}.$$
(2.4)

By (1.16) and (2.2), we get

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{g_{r,k}(t)} x^n = \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^t - \lambda}\right)^r \frac{Li_k(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} x^n.$$
(2.5)

In [7], it is known that

$$\frac{Li_k(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}}x^n = \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{1}{(m+1)^k} \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} (x-j)^n.$$
(2.6)

Thus, by (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$T_{n}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} x^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+1)^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} (x-j)^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(m+1)^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j} H_{n}^{(r)}(x-j|\lambda).$$
(2.7)

By (1.1), we easily see that

$$H_{n}^{(r)}(x|\lambda) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda) x^{l}.$$
(2.8)

Therefore, by (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\begin{split} T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) &= \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{1}{(m+1)^k} \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda) (x-j)^l \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n \left\{ \binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda) \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(m+1)^k} \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} \right\} (x-j)^l. \end{split}$$

In [7], it is known that

$$\frac{Li_k(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}}x^n = \sum_{j=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} \frac{(-1)^{n-m-j}}{(m+1)^k} \binom{n}{j} m! S_2(n-j,m) \right\} x^j.$$
(2.9)

By (2.5) and (2.9), we get

$$T_{n}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} x^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} \frac{(-1)^{n-m-j}}{(m+1)^{k}} \binom{n}{j} m! S_{2}(n-j,m) \right\} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} x^{j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} \frac{(-1)^{n-m-j}}{(m+1)^{k}} \binom{n}{j} m! S_{2}(n-j,m) \right\} H_{j}^{(r)}(x|\lambda).$$
(2.10)

Therefore, by (2.8) and (2.10), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 *For* $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=l}^n \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} (-1)^{n-m-j} \binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{l} \frac{m!}{(m+1)^k} H_{j-l}^{(r)}(\lambda) S_2(n-j,m) \right\} x^l.$$

From (1.19) and (2.2), we have

$$T_{n+1}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \left(x - \frac{g_{r,k}'(t)}{g_{r,k}(t)}\right) T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda).$$
(2.11)

Now, we note that

$$\frac{g'_{r,k}(t)}{g_{r,k}(t)} = \left(\log g_{r,k}(t)\right)' \\
= \left(r\log(e^{t} - \lambda) - r\log(1 - \lambda) + \log(1 - e^{-t}) - \log Li_{k}(1 - e^{t})\right)' \\
= r + \frac{r\lambda}{e^{t}\lambda} + \left(\frac{t}{e^{t} - 1}\right) \frac{Li_{k}(1 - e^{-t}) - Li_{k-1}(1 - e^{-t})}{tLi_{k}(1 - e^{-t})}.$$
(2.12)

By (2.11) and (2.12), we get

$$T_{n+1}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = xT_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - rT_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - \frac{r\lambda}{1-\lambda} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^t-\lambda}\right)^{r+1} \frac{Li_k(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} x^n - \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^t-\lambda}\right)^r \frac{Li_k(1-e^{-t}) - Li_{k-1}(1-e^{-t})}{t(1-e^{-t})} \left(\frac{t}{e^t-1}\right) x^n = (x-r)T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - \frac{r\lambda}{1-\lambda}T_n^{(r+1,k)}(x|\lambda) - \sum_{l=0}^n {n \choose l} B_{n-l} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^t-\lambda}\right)^r \frac{Li_k(1-e^{-t}) - Li_{k-1}(1-e^{-t})}{t(1-e^{-t})} x^l.$$
(2.13)

It is easy to show that

$$\frac{Li_k(1-e^{-t})-Li_{k-1}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} = \frac{1}{1-e^{-t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1-e^{-t})^n}{n^k} - \frac{(1-e^{-t})^n}{n^{k-1}} \right\}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1-e^{-t}}{2^k} - \frac{1-e^{-t}}{2^{k-1}}\right) + \cdots$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{2^k} - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\right)t + \cdots$$
(2.14)

For any delta series f(t), we have

$$\frac{f(t)}{t}x^{n} = f(t)\frac{1}{n+1}x^{n+1}.$$
(2.15)

Thus, by (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15), we get

$$\begin{split} T_{n+1}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) &= (x-r)T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - \frac{r\lambda}{1-\lambda}T_n^{(r+1,k)}(x|\lambda) \\ &- \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} B_{n-l} \frac{1}{l+1} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^t-\lambda}\right)^r \frac{Li_k(1-e^{-t}) - Li_{k-1}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} x^{l+1} \\ &= (x-r)T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - \frac{r\lambda}{1-\lambda}T_n^{(r+1,k)}(x|\lambda) \\ &- \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{\binom{n}{l}}{l+1} B_{n-l} \left\{ T_{l+1}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - T_{l+1}^{(r,k-1)}(x|\lambda) \right\} \\ &= (x-r)T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - \frac{r\lambda}{1-\lambda}T_n^{(r+1,k)}(x|\lambda) \end{split}$$

$$-\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{l=1}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{l} B_{n+1-l} \{T_l^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - T_l^{(r,k-1)}(x|\lambda)\}$$

$$= (x-r)T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - \frac{r\lambda}{1-\lambda}T_n^{(r+1,k)}(x|\lambda)$$

$$-\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{l=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{l} B_{n+1-l} \{T_l^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - T_l^{(r,k-1)}(x|\lambda)\}$$

$$= (x-r)T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - \frac{r\lambda}{1-\lambda}T_n^{(r+1,k)}(x|\lambda)$$

$$-\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{l=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{l} B_l \{T_{n+1-l}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - T_{n+1-l}^{(r,k-1)}(x|\lambda)\}.$$
(2.16)

Therefore, by (2.16), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$T_{n+1}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = (x-r)T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - \frac{r\lambda}{1-\lambda}T_n^{(r+1,k)}(x|\lambda) - \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{l=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1}{l}B_l\left\{T_{n+1-l}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - T_{n+1-l}^{(r,k-1)}(x|\lambda)\right\}.$$

Remark 1 If r = 0, then we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(k)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{Li_k(1-e^{-t})}{(1-e^{-t})} e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n^{(0,k)}(x|\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$
(2.17)

Thus, by (2.17), we get $B_n^{(k)}(x) = T_n^{(0,k)}(x|\lambda)$. From (2.4), we have

$$txT_{n}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = t\left(x\sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda)B_{l}^{(k)}(x)\right)$$
$$= \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda)\left\{lxB_{l-1}^{(k)}(x) + B_{l}^{(k)}(x)\right\}$$
$$= nx\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{l} H_{n-l-l}^{(r)}(\lambda)B_{l}^{(k)}(x) + \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda)B_{l}^{(k)}(x)$$
$$= nxT_{n-1}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) + T_{n}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda).$$
(2.18)

Applying t on both sides of Theorem 2.3, we get

$$(n+1)T_{n}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = nxT_{n-1}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) + T_{n}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - rnT_{n-1}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - \frac{rn\lambda}{1-\lambda}T_{n-1}^{(r+1,k)}(x|\lambda) - \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{l=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{l}B_{l}\left\{(n+1-l)T_{n-l}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - (n+1-l)T_{n-l}^{(r,k-1)}(x|\lambda)\right\}.$$
(2.19)

Thus, by (2.19), we have

$$(n+1)T_{n}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) + n\left(r - \frac{1}{2} - x\right)T_{n-1}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) + \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} \binom{n}{l}B_{n-l}T_{l}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda)$$
$$= -\frac{r\lambda n}{1-\lambda}T_{n-1}^{(r+1,k)}(x|\lambda) + \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l}B_{n-l}T_{l}^{(r,k-1)}(x|\lambda).$$
(2.20)

Therefore, by (2.20), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4 *For* $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *with* $n \ge 2$ *, we have*

$$\begin{split} &(n+1)T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) + n\left(r - \frac{1}{2} - x\right)T_{n-1}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) + \sum_{l=0}^{n-2}\binom{n}{l}B_{n-l}T_l^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) \\ &= -\frac{r\lambda n}{1-\lambda}T_{n-1}^{(r+1,k)}(x|\lambda) + \sum_{l=0}^n\binom{n}{l}B_{n-l}T_l^{(r,k-1)}(x|\lambda). \end{split}$$

From (1.14) and (2.5), we note that

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(y|\lambda) = \left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^t - \lambda}\right)^r \frac{Li_k(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} e^{yt} \left| x^n \right\rangle \right.$$
$$= \left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^t - \lambda}\right)^r \frac{Li_k(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} e^{yt} \left| xx^{n-1} \right\rangle.$$
(2.21)

By (1.15) and (2.21), we get

$$T_{n}^{(r,k)}(y|\lambda) = \left\langle \partial_{t} \left(\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda} \right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} e^{yt} \right) \left| x^{n-1} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \left(\partial_{t} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda} \right)^{r} \right) \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} e^{yt} \left| x^{n-1} \right\rangle$$

$$+ \left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda} \right)^{r} \left(\partial_{t} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} \right) e^{yt} \left| x^{n-1} \right\rangle$$

$$+ \left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda} \right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} \partial_{t} e^{yt} \left| x^{n-1} \right\rangle \right\rangle.$$

$$(2.22)$$

Therefore, by (2.22), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5 *For* $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \ge 1$, we have

$$\begin{split} T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) &= (x-r)T_{n-1}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) - \frac{r\lambda}{1-\lambda}T_{n-1}^{(r+1,k)}(x|\lambda) \\ &+ \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left\{ (-1)^{n-1-l} \binom{n-1}{l} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1-l} (-1)^m \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+2)^k} S_2(n-1-l,m) \right\} H_l^{(r)}(x-1|\lambda). \end{split}$$

Now, we compute $\langle (\frac{1-\lambda}{e^t-\lambda})^r Li_k(1-e^{-t})|x^{n+1}\rangle$ in two different ways.

On the one hand,

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda} \right)^{r} Li_{k} (1-e^{-t}) \left| x^{n+1} \right\rangle \\
= \left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda} \right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k} (1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} \left| (1-e^{-t}) x^{n+1} \right\rangle \\
= \left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda} \right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k} (1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} \left| x^{n+1} - (x-1)^{n+1} \right\rangle \\
= \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{m} (-1)^{n-m} \left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda} \right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k} (1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} \left| x^{m} \right\rangle \\
= \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{m} (-1)^{n-m} \langle 1|T_{m}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) \rangle \\
= \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{m} (-1)^{n-m} T_{m}^{(r,k)}(\lambda). \tag{2.23}$$

On the other hand, we get

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} Li_{k}(1-e^{-t}) \left| x^{n+1} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle Li_{k}(1-e^{-t}) \left| \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} x^{n+1} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \int_{0}^{t} \left(Li_{k}(1-e^{-s})\right)^{\prime} ds \left| H_{n+1}^{(r)}(x|\lambda) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \int_{0}^{t} e^{-s} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-s})}{(1-e^{-s})} ds \left| H_{n+1}^{(r)}(x|\lambda) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{n} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{l} \binom{l}{m} (-1)^{l-m} B_{m}^{(k-1)} \right) \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \int_{0}^{t} s^{l} ds \left| H_{n+1}^{(r)}(x|\lambda) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{l} \binom{l}{m} (-1)^{l-m} \frac{B_{m}^{(k-1)}}{(l+1)!} \left\langle t^{l+1} | H_{n+1}^{(r)}(x|\lambda) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{l} \binom{l}{m} \binom{n+1}{l+1} (-1)^{l-m} B_{m}^{(k-1)} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda). \end{split}$$
(2.24)

Therefore, by (2.23) and (2.24), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6 For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{m} (-1)^{n-m} T_m^{(r,k)}(\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{l} (-1)^{l-m} \binom{l}{m} \binom{n+1}{l+1} B_m^{(k-1)} H_{n-l}^{(r)}(\lambda). \end{split}$$

Now, we consider the following two Sheffer sequences:

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) \sim \left(\left(\frac{e^t - \lambda}{1 - \lambda}\right)^r \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{Li_k(1 - e^{-t})}, t \right),$$

$$\mathbb{B}^{(s)} \sim \left(\left(\frac{e^t - 1}{t}\right)^s, t \right),$$
(2.25)

where $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda \neq 1$. Let us assume that

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^n C_{n \cdot m} \mathbb{B}_m^{(s)}(x).$$
(2.26)

By (1.21) and (2.26), we get

$$C_{n,m} = \frac{1}{m!} \left\langle \left(\frac{e^{t}-1}{t}\right)^{s} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} t^{m} \left| x^{n} \right\rangle \right. \\ = \frac{1}{m!} \left\langle \left(\frac{e^{t}-1}{t}\right)^{s} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} \left| t^{m}x^{n} \right\rangle \right. \\ = \binom{n}{m} \left\langle \left(\frac{e^{t}-1}{t}\right)^{s} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} \left| x^{n-m} \right\rangle \right. \\ = \binom{n}{m} \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \frac{s!}{(l+s)!} S_{2}(l+s,s) \left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} \left| t^{l}x^{n-m} \right\rangle \right. \\ = \binom{n}{m} \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \frac{s!l!}{(l+s)!} \frac{(n-m)_{l}}{l!} S_{2}(l+s,s) \left\langle 1|T_{n-m-l}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) \right\rangle \\ = \binom{n}{m} \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \frac{\binom{n-m}{l}}{\binom{s+l}{l}} S_{2}(l+s,s) T_{n-m-l}^{(r,k)}(\lambda).$$

$$(2.27)$$

Therefore, by (2.26) and (2.27), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7 *For* $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^n \left\{ \binom{n}{m} \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \frac{\binom{n-m}{l}}{\binom{s+l}{l}} S_2(l+s,s) T_{n-m-l}^{(r,k)}(\lambda) \right\} \mathbb{B}_m^{(s)}(x).$$

From (1.3) and (2.1), we note that

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) \sim \left(\left(\frac{e^t - \lambda}{1 - \lambda}\right)^r \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{Li_k(1 - e^{-t})}, t \right),$$

$$E_n^{(r,s)}(x) \sim \left(\left(\frac{e^t + 1}{2}\right)^s, t \right),$$
(2.28)

where $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

By the same method, we get

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{2^s} \sum_{m=0}^n \left\{ \binom{n}{m} \sum_{j=0}^s \binom{s}{j} T_{n-m}^{(r,k)}(j) \right\} E_m^{(s)}(x).$$
(2.29)

From (1.1) and (2.1), we note that

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) \sim \left(\left(\frac{e^t - \lambda}{1 - \lambda}\right)^r \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{Li_k(1 - e^{-t})}, t \right),$$

$$H_n^{(s)}(x|\mu) \sim \left(\left(\frac{e^t - \mu}{1 - \mu}\right)^s, t \right),$$

(2.30)

where $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda \neq 1$, $\mu \neq 1$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Let us assume that

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^n C_{n,m} H_m^{(s)}(x|\mu).$$
(2.31)

By (1.21) and (2.31), we get

$$C_{n,m} = \frac{1}{m!} \left\langle \left(\frac{e^{t} - \mu}{1 - \mu}\right)^{s} \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{e^{t} - \lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1 - e^{-t})}{1 - e^{-t}} t^{m} \Big| x^{n} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{(1 - \mu)^{s}} \left\langle \left(e^{t} - \mu\right)^{s} \Big| \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{e^{t} - \lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1 - e^{-t})}{1 - e^{-t}} x^{n - m} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{(1 - \mu)^{s}} \sum_{j=0}^{s} \binom{s}{j} (-\mu)^{s - j} \left\langle e^{jt} \right| T_{n - m}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{(1 - \mu)^{s}} \sum_{j=0}^{s} \binom{s}{j} (-\mu)^{s - j} T_{n - m}^{(r,k)}(j|\lambda). \qquad (2.32)$$

Therefore, by (2.31) and (2.32), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8 *For* $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{(1-\mu)^s} \sum_{m=0}^n \left\{ \binom{n}{m} \sum_{j=0}^s \binom{s}{j} (-\mu)^{s-j} T_{n-m}^{(r,k)}(j|\lambda) \right\} H_m^{(s)}(x|\mu).$$

It is known that

$$T_{n}^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) \sim \left(\left(\frac{e^{t} - \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^{r} \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{Li_{k}(1 - e^{-t})}, t \right),$$

$$(x)_{n} \sim (1, e^{t} - 1).$$
(2.33)

Let

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^n C_{n,m}(x)_m.$$
(2.34)

Then, by (1.21) and (2.34), we get

$$C_{n,m} = \frac{1}{m!} \left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} \left(e^{t}-1\right)^{m} \middle| x^{n} \right\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_{2}(l+m,m)}{(l+m)!} \left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} \middle| t^{m+l} x^{n} \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \frac{S_2(l+m,m)}{(l+m)!} (n)_{m+l} \left(1 \left| \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^t - \lambda} \right)^r \frac{Li_k(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} x^{n-m-l} \right) \right.$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \binom{n}{l+m} S_2(l+m,m) T_{n-m-l}^{(r,k)}(\lambda).$$
(2.35)

Therefore, by (2.34) and (2.35), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9 *For* $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ *, we have*

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \binom{n}{l+m} S_2(l+m,m) T_{n-m-l}^{(r,k)}(\lambda) \right\} (x)_m.$$

Finally, we consider the following two Sheffer sequences:

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) \sim \left(\left(\frac{e^t - \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^r \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{Li_k(1 - e^{-t})}, t \right),$$

$$x^{[n]} \sim (1, 1 - e^{-t}),$$

(2.36)

where $x^{[n]} = x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1)$.

Let us assume that

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^n C_{n,m} x^{[m]}.$$
(2.37)

Then, by (1.21) and (2.37), we get

$$C_{n,m} = \frac{1}{m!} \left\{ \left(\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda} \right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} \left(1-e^{-t} \right)^{m} \middle| x^{n} \right\} \\ = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l} S_{2}(l+m,m)}{(l+m)!} \left\{ \left(\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda} \right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} \middle| t^{m+l} x^{n} \right) \\ = \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \frac{(-1)^{l} S_{2}(l+m,m)}{(l+m)!} (n)_{m+l} \left\langle 1 \middle| \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda} \right)^{r} \frac{Li_{k}(1-e^{-t})}{1-e^{-t}} x^{n-m-l} \right\rangle \\ = \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} (-1)^{l} \binom{n}{l+m} S_{2}(l+m,m) T_{n-m-l}^{(r,k)}(\lambda).$$
(2.38)

Therefore, by (2.37) and (2.38), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10 For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \ge 0$, we have

$$T_n^{(r,k)}(x|\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} (-1)^l \binom{n}{l+m} S_2(l+m,m) T_{n-m-l}^{(r,k)}(\lambda) \right\} x^{[m]}.$$

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the manuscript and typed, read, and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Sogang University, Seoul, 121-742, Republic of Korea. ²Department of Mathematics, Kwangwoon University, Seoul, 139-701, Republic of Korea.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant, funded by the Korea government (MOE) (No. 2012R1A1A2003786).

Received: 11 July 2013 Accepted: 6 August 2013 Published: 20 August 2013

References

- 1. Araci, S, Acikgoz, M: A note on the Frobenius-Euler numbers and polynomials associated with Bernstein polynomials. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 22(3), 399-406 (2012)
- Kim, T: An identity of the symmetry for the Frobenius-Euler polynomials associated with the fermionic *p*-adic invariant *q*-integrals on Z_p. Rocky Mt. J. Math. **41**(1), 239-247 (2011)
- Kim, T: Identities involving Frobenius-Euler polynomials arising from non-linear differential equations. J. Number Theory 132(1), 2854-2865 (2012)
- 4. Ryoo, C: A note on the Frobenius-Euler polynomials. Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. 14(4), 495-501 (2011)
- Ryoo, CS, Agarwal, RP: Exploring the multiple Changhee q-Bernoulli polynomials. Int. J. Comput. Math. 82(4), 483-493 (2005)
- Kim, DS, Kim, T, Kim, YH, Lee, SH: Some arithmetic properties of Bernoulli and Euler numbers. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 22(4), 467-480 (2012)
- 7. Kim, DS, Kim, T: Poly-Bernoulli polynomials arising from umbral calculus (communicated)
- Kim, T: Power series and asymptotic series associated with the q-analog of the two-variable p-adic L-function. Russ. J. Math. Phys. 12(2), 186-196 (2005)
- 9. Can, M, Cenkci, M, Kurt, V, Simsek, Y: Twisted Dedekind type sums associated with Barnes' type multiple Frobenius-Euler *I*-functions. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **18**(2), 135-160 (2009)
- Ding, D, Yang, J: Some identities related to the Apostol-Euler and Apostol-Bernoulli polynomials. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 20(1), 7-21 (2010)
- Kim, T, Choi, J: A note on the product of Frobenius-Euler polynomials arising from the *p*-adic integral on Z_p. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 22(2), 215-223 (2012)
- 12. Kurt, B, Simsek, Y: On the generalized Apostol-type Frobenius-Euler polynomials. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2013, 1 (2013)
- Simsek, Y, Yurekli, O, Kurt, V: On interpolation functions of the twisted generalized Frobenius-Euler numbers. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 15(2), 187-194 (2007)
- 14. Roman, S: The Umbral Calculus. Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 111. Academic Press, New York (1984)
- 15. Roman, S, Rota, G-C: The umbral calculus. Adv. Math. 27(2), 95-188 (1978)

doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-251

Cite this article as: Kim and Kim: Higher-order Frobenius-Euler and poly-Bernoulli mixed-type polynomials. Advances in Difference Equations 2013 2013:251.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com