# RESEARCH

#### Advances in Difference Equations a SpringerOpen Journal

# **Open Access**

# Double almost lacunary statistical convergence of order $\alpha$

Ekrem Savaş\*

\*Correspondence: ekremsavas@yahoo.com Department of Mathematics, Istanbul Commerce University, Üsküdar, Istanbul, Turkey

## Abstract

In this paper, we define and study lacunary double almost statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$ . Further, some inclusion relations have been examined. We also introduce a new sequence space by combining lacunary double almost statistical convergence and Orlicz function.

MSC: Primary 40B05; secondary 40C05

**Keywords:** statistical convergence; Orlicz function; double statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$ ; lacunary statistical convergence; double almost statistical convergence

# **1** Introduction

The notion of convergence of a real sequence was extended to a statistical convergence by Fast [1] (see also Schoenberg [2]) as follows. If  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of natural numbers and  $K \subset \mathbb{N}$ , then K(m, n) denotes the cardinality of the set  $K \cap [m, n]$ . The upper and lower natural density of the subset K is defined by

$$\overline{d}(K) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \frac{K(1, n)}{n}$$
 and  $\underline{d}(K) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \frac{K(1, n)}{n}$ .

If  $\overline{d}(K) = \underline{d}(K)$ , then we say that the natural density of K exists, and it is denoted simply by d(K). Clearly  $d(K) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{K(1,n)}{n}$ .

A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  of real numbers is said to be statistically convergent to *L* if for arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ , the set  $K(\epsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \ge \epsilon\}$  has a natural density zero.

Statistical convergence turned out to be one of the most active areas of research in summability theory after the works of Fridy [3] and Šalát [4]. For some very interesting investigations concerning statistical convergence, one may consult the papers of Cakalli [5], Miller [6], Maddox [7] and many others, where more references on this important summability method can be found.

On the other hand, in [8, 9], a different direction was given to the study of statistical convergence, where the notion of statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$  was introduced by replacing *n* by  $n^{\alpha}$  in the denominator in the definition of statistical convergence. It was observed in [8] that the behaviour of this new convergence was not exactly parallel to that of statistical convergence, and some basic properties were obtained. One can also see [10] for related works.

In this paper, we define and study lacunary double almost statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$ . Also some inclusion relations have been examined.

© 2013 Savaş; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Let  $w_2$  be the set of all real or complex double sequences. By the convergence of a double sequence, we mean the convergence on the Pringsheim sense, that is, double sequence  $x = (x_{ij})$  has a Pringsheim limit L, denoted by P-lim x = L, provided that given  $\epsilon > 0$ , and there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{ij} - L| < \epsilon$  whenever  $i, j \ge N$ . We shall describe such an x more briefly as '*P*-convergent' (see, [11]). We denote by  $c_2$  the space of *P*-convergent sequences. A double sequence  $x = (x_{ij})$  is bounded if  $||x|| = \sup_{i,j\ge 0} |x_{ij}| < \infty$ . Let  $l_2^{\infty}$  and  $c_2^{\infty}$  be the set of all real or complex bounded double sequences and the set bounded and convergent double sequences, respectively. Moricz and Rhoades [12] defined the almost convergence of double sequence as follows:  $x = (x_{ij})$  is said to be almost convergent to a number L if

$$P-\lim_{p,q\to\infty}\sup_{m,n}\left|\frac{1}{(p+1)(q+1)}\sum_{i=m}^{m+p}\sum_{j=n}^{n+q}x_{ij}-L\right|=0,$$

that is, the average value of  $(x_{ij})$  taken over any rectangle

$$D = \{(i, j) : m \le i \le m + p, n \le j \le n + q\},\$$

tends to *L* as both *p* and *q* tend to  $\infty$ , and this convergence is uniform in *m* and *n*. We denote the space of almost convergent double sequence by  $\hat{c}_2$ , as

$$\hat{c}_2 = \left\{ x = (x_{ij}) : \lim_{k,l \to \infty} \left| t_{klpq}(x) - L \right| = 0, \text{ uniformly in } p, q \right\},\$$

where

$$t_{klpq}(x) = \frac{1}{(k+1)(l+1)} \sum_{i=p}^{k+p} \sum_{j=q}^{l+q} x_{ij}.$$

The notion of almost convergence for single sequences was introduced by Lorentz [13] and some others.

A double sequence *x* is called *strongly double almost convergent* to a number *L* if

$$P-\lim_{k,l\to\infty}\frac{1}{(k+1)(l+1)}\sum_{i=p}^{k+p}\sum_{j=q}^{l+q}|x_{ij}-L|=0, \text{ uniformly in } p,q.$$

By  $[\hat{c}_2]$ , we denote the space of strongly almost convergent double sequences.

The notion of strong almost convergence for single sequences has been introduced by Maddox [7].

The idea of statistical convergence was extended to double sequences by Mursaleen and Edely [14]. More recent developments on double sequences can be found in [8, 15–18]. For the single sequences; statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$  and strongly *p*-Cesàro summability of order  $\alpha$  introduced by Çolak [9]. Quite recently, in [10], Çolak and Bektaş generalized this notion by using de la Valée-Poussin mean.

Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  be a two-dimensional set of positive integers, and let  $K_{m,n}$  be the numbers of (i, j) in K such that  $i \leq n$  and  $j \leq m$ .

Then the lower asymptotic density of *K* is defined as

$$P-\liminf_{m,n}\frac{K_{m,n}}{mn}=\delta_2(K).$$

In the case when the sequence  $(\frac{K_{m,n}}{mn})_{m,n=1,1}^{\infty,\infty}$  has a limit, we say that *K* has a natural density and is defined as

$$P-\lim_{m,n}\frac{K_{m,n}}{mn}=\delta_2(K)$$

For example, let  $K = \{(i^2, j^2) : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of natural numbers. Then

$$\delta_2(K) = P - \lim_{m,n} \frac{K_{m,n}}{mn} \le P - \lim_{m,n} \frac{\sqrt{m}\sqrt{n}}{mn} = 0$$

(*i.e.*, the set *K* has a double natural density zero).

Mursaleen and Edely [14] presented the notion of a statistical convergence for the double sequence  $x = (x_{ij})$  as follows: A real double sequence  $x = (x_{ij})$  is said to be statistically convergent to *L*, provided that for each  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$P-\lim_{m,n}\frac{1}{mn}\left|\left\{(i,j):i\leq m \text{ and } j\leq n, |x_{ij}-L|\geq \epsilon\right\}\right|=0.$$

We now write the following definition.

The double statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$  is defined as follows. Let  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  be given. The sequence  $(x_{ij})$  is said to be statistically convergent of order  $\alpha$  if there is a real number *L* such that

$$P-\lim_{mn\to\infty}\frac{1}{(mn)^{\alpha}}|\{i\leq m \text{ and } j\leq n: |x_{ij}-L|\geq\epsilon\}|=0$$

for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , in this, case we say that x is double statistically convergent of order  $\alpha$  to L. In this case, we write  $S_2^{\alpha}$ -lim  $x_{ij} = L$ . The set of all double statistically convergent sequences of order  $\alpha$  will be denoted by  $S_2^{\alpha}$ . If we take  $\alpha = 1$  in this definition, we can have the previous definition.

By a lacunary  $\theta = (k_r)$ ; r = 0, 1, 2, ..., where  $k_0 = 0$ , we shall mean an increasing sequence of nonnegative integers with  $k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . The intervals determined by  $\theta$  will be denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$ . The ratio  $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$  will be denoted by  $q_r$ .

Fridy and Orhan [19] introduced the idea of lacunary statistical convergence for single sequence as follows.

The number sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is said to be lacunary statistically convergent to the number  $\ell$  if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n}\frac{1}{h_r}\Big|\big\{k\in I_r:|x_i-L|\geq\epsilon\big\}\big|=0.$$

In this case, we write  $S_{\theta}$ -lim<sub>*i*</sub>  $x_i = \ell$ , and we denote the set of all lacunary statistically convergent sequences by  $S_{\theta}$ .

**Definition 1.1** By a double lacunary  $\theta_{rs} = \{(k_r l_s)\}, r, s = 0, 1, 2, ..., where <math>k_0 = 0$  and  $l_0 = 0$ , we shall mean two increasing sequences of nonnegative integers with

$$h_r = k_r - k_{k-1} \to \infty$$
 as  $r \to \infty$ 

and

$$h_s = l_s - l_{s-1} \to \infty$$
 as  $s \to \infty$ .

Let us denote  $k_{rs} = k_r l_s$ ,  $h_{rs} = h_r \bar{h}_s$  and the intervals determined by  $\theta_{rs}$  will be denoted by  $I_{rs} = \{(k, l) : k_{r-1} < k \le k_r \text{ and } l_{s-1} < l \le l_s\}$ ,  $q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$ ,  $\bar{q}_s = \frac{l_s}{l_{s-1}}$ , and  $q_{rs} = q_r \bar{q}_s$ . We will denote the set of all double lacunary sequences by  $\mathbf{N}_{\theta_{rs}}$ .

Let  $K \subseteq N \times N$  have double lacunary density  $\delta_2^{\theta}(K)$  if

$$P-\lim_{rs} \frac{1}{h_{rs}} \Big| \{ (k,l) \in I_{rs} : (k,l) \in K \} \Big|$$

exists.

**Example 1** Let  $\theta = \{(2^r - 1, 3^s - 1)\}$  and  $K = \{(k, 2l) : k, l \in N \times N\}$ . Then  $\delta_2^{\theta}(K) = 0$ . But it is obvious that  $\delta_2(K) = 1/2$ .

In 2005, Patterson and Savaş [17] studied double lacunary statistical convergence by giving the definition for complex sequences as follows.

**Definition 1.2** Let  $\theta_{rs}$  be a double lacunary sequence; the double number sequence *x* is  $S^2_{\theta}$ -convergent to *L*, provided that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$P-\lim_{rs}\frac{1}{h_{rs}}\left|\left\{(k,l)\in I_{rs}:|x_{kl}-L|\geq\epsilon\right\}\right|=0$$

In this case, write  $S^2_{\theta}$ -lim x = L or  $x_{kl} \xrightarrow{P} L(S^2_{\theta})$ .

More investigation in this direction and more applications of double lacunary and double sequences can be found in [20–22] and [23].

#### 2 Main results

In this section, we define lacunary double almost statistically convergent sequences of order  $\alpha$ . Also we shall prove some inclusion theorems.

We now have the following.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  be given. The sequence  $x = (x_{ij}) \in w_2$  is said to be  $\hat{S}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}$ -statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$  if there is a real number *L* such that

$$P-\lim_{rs}\frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\alpha}}\left|\left\{(k,l)\in I_{rs}:\left|t_{klpq}(x)-L\right|\geq\epsilon\right\}\right|=0,\quad\text{uniformly in }p,q,$$

where  $h_{rs}^{\alpha}$  denote the  $\alpha$ th power  $(h_{rs})^{\alpha}$  of  $h_{rs}$ . In case  $x = (x_{ij})$  is  $\hat{S}_{\theta_{rs}}^{\alpha}$ -statistically convergent of order  $\alpha$  to L, we write  $\hat{S}_{\theta_{rs}}^{\alpha}$ -lim  $x_{ij} = L$ . We denote the set of all  $\hat{S}_{\theta_{rs}}^{\alpha}$ -statistically convergent sequences of order  $\alpha$  by  $\hat{S}_{\theta_{rs}}^{\alpha}$ .

We know that the  $\hat{S}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}$ -statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$  is well defined for  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , but it is not well defined for  $\alpha > 1$  in general. It is easy to see by taking  $x = (x_{ij})$  as fixed.

**Definition 2.2** Let  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  be any real number, and let *t* be a positive real number. A sequence *x* is said to be strongly  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t)$ -summable of order  $\alpha$ , if there is a real number *L* such that

$$P-\lim_{rs}\frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\alpha}}\sum_{(k,l)\in I_{rs}}\left|t_{klpq}(x)-L\right|^{t}=0, \quad \text{uniformly in } p,q.$$

If we take  $\alpha = 1$ , the strong  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t)$ -summability of order  $\alpha$  reduces to the strong  $\hat{w}_{\theta_{rs}}(t)$ -summability.

We denote the set of all strongly  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t)$ -summable sequence of order  $\alpha$  by  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t)$ .

We now state the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** If  $0 < \alpha \le \beta \le 1$ , then  $\hat{S}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}} \subset \hat{S}^{\beta}_{\theta_{rs}}$ .

*Proof* Let  $0 < \alpha \le \beta \le 1$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\beta}}\left|\left\{(k,l)\in I_{rs}:\left|t_{klpq}(x)-L\right|\geq\epsilon\right\}\right|\leq\frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\alpha}}\left|\left\{(k,l)\in I_{rs}:\left|t_{klpq}(x)-L\right|\geq\epsilon\right\}\right|$$

for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , and finally, we have that  $\hat{S}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}} \subset \hat{S}^{\beta}_{\theta_{rs}}$ . This proves the result.

**Theorem 2.2** For any lacunary sequences  $\theta$ ,  $\hat{S}_2^{\alpha} \subseteq \hat{S}_{\theta_{rs}}^{\alpha}$ , if  $\liminf q_r > 1$  and  $\liminf \bar{q}_s > 1$ .

*Proof* Suppose that  $\liminf q_r^{\alpha} > 1$  and  $\liminf q_s^{\alpha} > 1$ ,  $\liminf q_r^{\alpha} = \alpha_1$  and  $\liminf q_s^{\alpha} = \alpha_2$ , say. Write  $\beta_1 = (\alpha_1 - 1)/2$  and  $\beta_2 = (\alpha_2 - 1)/2$ . Then there exist a positive integer  $r_0$  and  $s_0$  such that  $q_r^{\alpha} \ge 1 + \beta_1$  for  $r \ge r_0$  and  $q_s \ge 1 + \beta_2$  for  $s \ge s_0$ . Hence for  $r \ge r_0$ , and  $s \ge s_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{rs}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{(k_r l_s)^{\alpha}} &= 1 - \left(\frac{k_{r-1}^{\alpha}}{k_r^{\alpha}}\right) \times 1 - \left(\frac{l_{s-1}^{\alpha}}{l_s^{\alpha}}\right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_r^{\alpha}}\right) \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_s^{\alpha}}\right) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{(1+\beta_1)} \times 1 - \frac{1}{(1+\beta_2)} \\ &= \frac{\beta_1}{1+\beta_1} \times \frac{\beta_2}{1+\beta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Take any  $(x_{kl}) \in \hat{S}_2^{\alpha}$ , and  $\hat{S}_2^{\alpha} - \lim_{(k,l)\to\infty} x_{kl} = L$ , say. We prove that  $\hat{S}_{\theta_{rs}}^{\alpha} - \lim_{(k,l)\to\infty} x_{kl} = L$ . Then for  $r \ge r_0$  and  $s \ge s_0$ , we have

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{(k_r l_s)^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ k \le k_r, l \le l_s : \left| t_{klpq}(x) - L \right| \ge \epsilon \right\} \right| \\ & \ge \frac{1}{(k_r l_s)^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ (k, l) \in I_{rs} : \left| t_{klpq}(x) - L \right| \ge \epsilon \right\} \right| \end{split}$$

.

$$=h_{r_{s}}^{\alpha}\frac{1}{(k_{r}l_{s})^{\alpha}}\frac{1}{h_{r_{s}}^{\alpha}}\big|\big\{(k,l)\in I_{r_{s}}:\big|t_{klpq}(x)-L\big|\geq\epsilon\big\}\big|$$
  
$$\geq\frac{\beta_{1}}{1+\beta_{1}}\times\frac{\beta_{2}}{1+\beta_{2}}\frac{1}{h_{r_{s}}^{\alpha}}\big|\big\{(k,l)\in I_{r_{s}}:\big|t_{klpq}(x)-L\big|\geq\epsilon\big\}\big|.$$

Therefore,  $\hat{S}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}$ -lim<sub>(k,l) \to \infty</sub> x(k, l) = L.

**Remark 2.1** The converse of this result is true for  $\alpha = 1$ . However, for  $\alpha < 1$  it is not clear, and we leave it as an open problem.

**Theorem 2.3** For any double lacunary sequence  $\theta_{rs}$ ,  $\hat{S}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}} \subseteq \hat{S}^{\alpha}_2$  if  $\limsup_r q^{\alpha}_r < \infty$  and  $\limsup_{s} q_s^{\alpha} < \infty.$ 

*Proof* Suppose that  $\limsup_{r} q_r^{\alpha} < \infty$  and  $\limsup_{s} q_s^{\alpha} < \infty$ . Then there exists H > 0 such that  $q_r^{lpha} < H$  and  $q_s^{lpha} < H$  for all r and s. Suppose that  $x_{kl} \to L(S_{ heta_{rs}})$  and

 $N_{rs} = |\{(k, l) \in I_{rs} : |t_{klpq}(x) - L| \ge \epsilon\}|$ 

by the definition of  $x_{kl} \to L(S_{\theta_{rs}})$  given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $r_0, s_0 \in N$  such that  $\frac{N_{rs}}{h_{rs}^{rs}} < \epsilon$  for all  $r > r_0$  and  $s > s_0$ . Let

$$M := \max\{N_{rs} : 1 \le r \le r_0 \text{ and } 1 \le s \le s_0\}.$$

Let *n* and *m* be such that  $k_{r-1} < m \le k_r$  and  $l_{s-1} < n \le l_s$ . Therefore, we obtain the following:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{(mn)^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ k \le m \text{ and } l \le n : \left| t_{klpq}(x) - L \right| \ge \epsilon \right\} \right| \\ &\le \frac{1}{(k_{r-1}l_{s-1})^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ k \le k_{r} \text{ and } l \le l_{s} : \left| t_{klpq}(x) - L \right| \ge \epsilon \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{(k_{r-1}l_{s-1})^{\alpha}} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1,1}^{r,s} N_{i,j} \right\} \\ &\le \frac{Mr_{0}s_{0}}{(k_{r-1}l_{s-1})^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{(k_{r-1}l_{s-1})^{\alpha}} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=r_{0}+1,r_{0}+1}^{r,s} N_{i,j} \right\} \\ &\le \frac{Mr_{0}s_{0}}{(k_{r-1}l_{s-1})^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{(k_{r-1}l_{s-1})^{\alpha}} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=r_{0}+1,r_{0}+1}^{r,s} \frac{N_{i,j}h_{i,j}^{\alpha}}{h_{i,j}^{\alpha}} \right\} \\ &\le \frac{Mr_{0}s_{0}}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} + \frac{1}{(k_{r-1}l_{s-1})^{\alpha}} \left( \sup_{i,j\ge r_{0},r_{0}} \frac{N_{i,j}}{h_{i,j}^{\alpha}} \right) \left\{ \sum_{i,j=r_{0}+1,r_{0}+1}^{r,s} h_{i,j}^{\alpha} \right\} \\ &\le \frac{Mr_{0}s_{0}}{(k_{r-1}l_{s-1})^{\alpha}} + \epsilon \left\{ \sum_{i,j=r_{0}+1,r_{0}+1}^{r,s} h_{i,j}^{\alpha} \right\} \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $0 < \alpha \le \beta \le 1$  and t be a positive real number, then  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t) \subseteq \hat{w}^{\beta}_{\theta_{rs}}(t)$ .

*Proof* Let  $x = (x_{ij}) \in \hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t)$ . Then given  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $0 < \alpha \le \beta \le 1$  and a positive real number *t* we write

$$\frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\beta}}\sum_{(k,l)\in I_{rs}}\left|t_{klpq}(x)-L\right|^{t}\leq \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\alpha}}\sum_{(k,l)\in I_{rs}}\left|t_{klpq}(x)-L\right|^{t},$$

and we get that  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t) \subseteq \hat{w}^{\beta}_{\theta_{rs}}(t)$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 2.4, we have the following.

**Corollary 2.1** Let  $0 < \alpha \le \beta \le 1$  and t be a positive real number. Then:

- (i) If  $\alpha = \beta$ , then  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t) = \hat{w}^{\beta}_{\theta_{rs}}(t)$ .
- (ii)  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t) \subseteq \hat{w}_{\theta_{rs}}(t)$  for each  $\alpha \in (0,1]$  and  $0 < t < \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be fixed real numbers such that  $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$  and  $0 < t < \infty$ . If a sequence is a strongly  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t)$ -summable sequence of order  $\alpha$ , to L, then it is  $\hat{S}^{\beta}_{\theta_{rs}}$ -statistically convergent of order  $\beta$ , to L, i.e.,  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t) \subset \hat{S}^{\beta}_{\theta_{rs}}$ .

*Proof* For any sequence  $x = (x_{ij})$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , we write

$$\begin{split} \sum_{(k,l)\in I_{rs}} \left| t_{klpq}(x) - L \right|^t &= \sum_{\substack{(k,l)\in I_{rs} \\ |t_{klpq}(x) - L| \geq \epsilon}} \left| t_{klpq}(x) - L \right|^t + \sum_{\substack{(k,l)\in I_{rs} \\ |t_{klpq}(x) - L| < \epsilon}} \left| t_{klpq}(x) - L \right|^t \\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{(k,l)\in I_{rs} \\ |t_{klpq}(x) - L| \geq \epsilon}} \left| t_{klpq}(x) - L \right|^t \geq \left| \left\{ (k,l)\in I_{rs} : \left| t_{klpq}(x) - L \right| \geq \epsilon \right\} \right| \cdot \epsilon^t \end{split}$$

and so that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\alpha}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{rs}} \left| t_{klpq}(x) - L \right|^{t} &\geq \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ (k,l) \in I_{rs} : \left| t_{klpq}(x) - L \right| \geq \epsilon \right\} \right| \cdot \epsilon^{t} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\beta}} \left| \left\{ (k,l) \in I_{rs} : \left| t_{klpq}(x) - L \right| \geq \epsilon \right\} \right| \cdot \epsilon^{t}, \end{split}$$

this shows that if  $x = (x_{ij})$  is strongly  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t)$ -summable sequence of order  $\alpha$  to L, then it is  $\hat{S}^{\beta}_{\theta_{rs}}$ -statistically convergent of order  $\beta$  to L. This completes the proof.

We have the following.

**Corollary 2.2** Let  $\alpha$  be fixed real numbers such that  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  and  $0 < t < \infty$ .

- (i) If a sequence is strongly  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t)$ -summable sequence of order  $\alpha$  to L, then it is  $\hat{S}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}$ -statistically convergent of order  $\alpha$  to L, i.e.,  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t) \subset \hat{S}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}$ .
- (ii)  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}(t) \subset \hat{S}_{\theta_{rs}}$ , for  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .

## 3 New sequence space

In this section, we study the inclusion relations between the set of  $\hat{S}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}$ -statistical convergent sequences of order  $\alpha$  and strongly  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}[M, t]$ -summable sequences of order  $\alpha$  with respect to an Orlicz function M.

The study of Orlicz sequence spaces was initiated with a certain specific purpose in Banach space theory. Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [24] investigated Orlicz sequence spaces in more detail, and they proved that every Orlicz sequence space  $l_M$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $l_p$  ( $1 \le p < \infty$ ). The Orlicz sequence spaces are the special cases of Orlicz spaces studied in [25]. Orlicz spaces find a number of useful applications in the theory of nonlinear integral equations. Whereas the Orlicz sequence spaces are the generalization of  $l_p$  spaces, the  $l_p$ -spaces find themselves enveloped in Orlicz spaces [26].

Recall in [25] that an Orlicz function  $M : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is continuous, convex, nondecreasing function such that M(0) = 0 and M(x) > 0 for x > 0, and  $M(x) \to \infty$  as  $x \to \infty$ .

An Orlicz function *M* is said to satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all values of *u*, if there exists K > 0 such that  $M(2u) \le KM(u)$ ,  $u \ge 0$ .

In the later stage different classes of Orlicz sequence spaces were introduced and studied by Parashar and Choudhary [27], Savaş [28–33] and many others.

**Definition 3.1** Let *M* be an Orlicz function,  $t = (t_{kl})$  be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers, and let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  be any real number. Now, we write

$$\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}[M,t] = \left\{ x = (x_{kl}) : P\text{-}\lim_{rs} \frac{1}{h^{\alpha}_{rs}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{rs}} \left[ \frac{M(|t_{klpq}(x) - L|)}{\rho} \right]^{t_{kl}} = 0,$$
  
uniformly in  $p,q$ , for some  $L$  and  $\rho > 0 \right\}.$ 

If  $x \in \hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}[M, t]$ , then we say that x is strongly double almost lacunary summable of order  $\alpha$  with respect to the Orlicz function M.

If we consider various assignments of M,  $\theta_{rs}$  and t in the sequence spaces above, we are granted the following:

- (1) If M(x) = x,  $\theta = 2^{rs}$ , and  $t_{k,l} = 1$  for all (k, l) then  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{w}}[M, t] = [\hat{w}^{\alpha}]$ .
- (2) If  $t_{k,l} = 1$  for all (k, l), then  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}[M, t] = \hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}[M]$ .
- (3) If  $t_{k,l} = 1$  for all (k, l) and  $\theta = 2^{rs}$ , then  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}[M, t] = \hat{w}^{\alpha}[M]$ .
- (4) If  $\theta = 2^{rs}$ , then  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta}[M, t] = \hat{w}^{\alpha}[M, t]$ .

In the followings theorems, we shall assume that  $t = (t_{kl})$  is bounded and  $0 < h = \inf_{kl} t_{kl} \le t_{kl} \le \sup_{kl} t_{kl} = H < \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $\alpha, \beta \in (0,1]$  be real numbers such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , and let M be an Orlicz function, then  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{p_x}}[M,t] \subset \hat{S}^{\beta}_{\theta_{p_x}}$ .

*Proof* Let  $x \in \hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta}[M, t]$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and  $\sum_{1}$  and  $\sum_{2}$  denote the sums over  $(k, l) \in I_{rs}$ ,  $|t_{klpq}(x) - L| \ge \epsilon$  and  $(k, l) \in I_{rs}$ ,  $|t_{klpq}(x) - L| < \epsilon$ , respectively. Since  $h^{\alpha}_{rs} \le h^{\beta}_{rs}$  for each r, s we write

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\alpha}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{rs}} \left[ \frac{M(|t_{klpq}(x) - L|)}{\rho} \right]^{t_{kl}} \\ &= \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\alpha}} \left[ \sum_{1} \left[ \frac{M(|t_{klpq}(x) - L|)}{\rho} \right]^{t_{kl}} + \sum_{2} \left[ \frac{M(|t_{klpq}(x) - L|)}{\rho} \right]^{t_{kl}} \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\beta}} \left[ \sum_{1} \left[ \frac{M(|t_{klpq}(x) - L|)}{\rho} \right]^{t_{kl}} + \sum_{2} \left[ \frac{M(|t_{klpq}(x) - L|)}{\rho} \right]^{t_{kl}} \right] \end{split}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\beta}} \left[ \sum_{1} \left[ M(\epsilon/\rho) \right] \right]^{t_{kl}}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\beta}} \sum_{1} \min(\left[ M(\epsilon_{1}) \right]^{h}, \left[ M(\epsilon_{1}) \right]^{H}), \quad \epsilon_{1} = \frac{\epsilon}{\rho}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\beta}} \left| \left\{ (k, l) \in I_{rs} : \left| t_{klpq}(x) - L \right| \geq \epsilon \right\} \right| \min(\left[ M(\epsilon_{1}) \right]^{h}, \left[ M(\epsilon_{1}) \right]^{H}).$$

Since  $x \in \hat{w}_{\theta_{rs}}^{\alpha}[M,t]$ , the left hand side of the inequality above tends to zero as  $r, s \to \infty$ uniformly in p, q. Hence the right hand side tends to zero as  $r, s \to \infty$  uniformly in p, q, and, therefore,  $x \in \hat{S}_{\theta_{rs}}^{\beta}$ . This proves the result.

**Corollary 3.1** Let  $\alpha \in (0,1]$  and M be an Orlicz function, then  $\hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}[M,t] \subset \hat{S}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}$ .

We finally prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2** Let M be an Orlicz function, and let  $x = (x_{ij})$  be a bounded sequence, then  $\hat{S}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}} \subset \hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}[M, t].$ 

*Proof* Suppose that  $x \in \ell_2^{\infty}$  and  $\hat{S}_{\theta_{rs}}^{\alpha}$ -lim  $x_{ij} = L$ . Since  $x \in \ell_2^{\infty}$ , then there is a constant K > 0 such that  $|t_{klpq}(x)| \le K$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we write for all p, q

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\alpha}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{rs}} \left[ M\left(\frac{|t_{klpq}(x) - L|}{\rho}\right) \right]^{r_{kl}} &= \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\alpha}} \sum_{1} \left[ M\left(\frac{|t_{klpq}(x) - L|}{\rho}\right) \right]^{r_{kl}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\alpha}} \sum_{2} \left[ M\left(\frac{|t_{klpq}(x) - L|}{\rho}\right) \right]^{r_{kl}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\alpha}} \sum_{1} \max\left\{ \left[ M\left(\frac{K}{\rho}\right) \right]^{h}, \left[ M\left(\frac{K}{\rho}\right) \right]^{H} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\alpha}} \sum_{2} \left[ M\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\rho}\right) \right]^{t_{kl}} \\ &\leq \max\left\{ \left[ M(T) \right]^{h}, \left[ M(T) \right]^{H} \right\} \\ &\times \frac{1}{h_{rs}^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ (k,l) \in I_{rs} : |t_{klpq}(x) - L| \ge \epsilon \right\} \right| \\ &+ \max\left\{ \left[ M(\epsilon_{1}) \right]^{h}, \left[ M(\epsilon_{1}) \right]^{H} \right\}, \quad \frac{K}{\rho} = T, \frac{\epsilon}{\rho} = \epsilon_{1}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $x \in x \in \hat{w}^{\alpha}_{\theta_{rs}}[M, t]$ . This proves the result.

#### **Competing interests**

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

#### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referees for their help and useful discussions.

Received: 27 April 2013 Accepted: 22 July 2013 Published: 21 August 2013

#### References

- 1. Fast, H: Sur la convergence statistique. Collog. Math. 2, 241-244 (1951)
- 2. Schoenberg, IJ: The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods. Am. Math. Mon. 66, 361-375 (1959)
- 3. Fridy, JA: On statistical convergence. Analysis 5, 301-313 (1985)
- 4. Šalát, T: On statistical convergence of real numbers. Math. Slovaca 30, 139-150 (1980)
- 5. Cakalli, H: A study on statistical convergence. Funct. Anal. Approx. Comput. 1(2), 19-24 (2009)
- Miller, HI: A measure theoretical subsequence characterization of statistical convergence. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 347(5), 1811-1819 (1995)
- 7. Maddox, IJ: On strong almost convergence. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 85(2), 345-350 (1979)
- 8. Bhunia, S, Das, P, Pal, S: Restricting statistical convergence. Acta Math. Hungar. 134(1,2), 153-161 (2012)
- Colak, R: Statistical convergence of order α. In: Modern Methods in Analysis and Its Applications, pp. 121-129. Anamaya Pub., New Delhi (2010)
- 10. Colak, R, Bektas, CA: λ-Statistical convergence of order α. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B 31(3), 953-959 (2011)
- Pringsheim, A: Zur theorie der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen. Math. Ann. 53, 289-321 (1900)
   Móricz, F, Rhoades, BE: Almost convergence of double sequences and strong regularity of summability matrices. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 104, 283-294 (1988)
- 13. Lorentz, GG: A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences. Acta Math. 80, 167-190 (1948)
- 14. Mursaleen, M, Edely, OH: Statistical convergence of double sequences. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 288(1), 223-231 (2003)
- Basarir, M, Konca, S: On some lacunary almost convergent double sequence spaces and Banach limits. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2012, Article ID 426357 (2012)
- Cakan, C, Altaylý, B, Coskun, H: Double lacunary density and lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences. Studia Sci. Math. Hung. 47(1), 35-45 (2010)
- 17. Patterson, RF, Savaş, E: Lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences. Math. Commun. 10, 55-61 (2000)
- 18. Savaş, E: Double almost statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$ . Adv. Differ. Equ. **2013**, 62 (2013)
- 19. Fridy, JA, Orhan, C: Lacunary statistical convergence. Pac. J. Math. 160, 43-51 (1993)
- Savaş, E, Patterson, RF: Some double lacunary sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions. Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 35(1), 103-110 (2011)
- Savaş, E: Remark on double lacunary statistical convergence of fuzzy numbers. J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 11(1), 64-69 (2009)
- Savaş, E, Patterson, RF: Double sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions. Iran. J. Sci. Technol., Trans. A, Sci. 31(2), 183-188 (2007)
- Savaş, E, Patterson, RF: Double σ-convergence lacunary statistical sequences. J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 11(4), 610-615 (2009)
- 24. Lindenstrauss, J, Tzafriri, L: On Orlicz sequence spaces. Isr. J. Math. 101, 379-390 (1971)
- 25. Krasnoselskii, MA, Rutisky, YB: Convex Function and Orlicz Spaces. Noordhoff, Groningen (1961)
- 26. Kamthan, PK, Gupta, M: Sequence Spaces and Series. Dekker, New York (1980)
- 27. Parashar, SD, Choudhury, B: Sequence space defined by Orlicz function. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 25(14), 419-428 (1994)
- Savaş, E: (A, λ)-Double sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function and double statistical convergence. Comput. Math. Appl. 55(6), 1293-1301 (2008)
- Savaş, E, Savaş, R: Some λ-sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 34(12), 1673-1680 (2003)
- Savaş, E: On some new double lacunary sequences spaces via Orlicz function. J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 11(3), 423-430 (2009)
- 31. Savaş, E, Savaş, R: Some sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions. Arch. Math. 40(1), 33-40 (2004)
- Savaş, E, Patterson, RF: (σλ)-Double sequence spaces via Orlicz function. J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 10(1), 101-111 (2008)
   Savaş, E, Patterson, RF: Double sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions. Iran. J. Sci. Technol., Trans. A, Sci. 31(2),

#### doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-254

183-188 (2007)

**Cite this article as:** Savaş: **Double almost lacunary statistical convergence of order** *α*. Advances in Difference Equations 2013 **2013**:254.