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## 1 Introduction and main results

In the following, we use the standard notations of Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions (see [1-3]). For any given nonconstant meromorphic function $f(z)$, we recall the hyper order of $f(z)$ defined as follows (see [3]):

$$
\rho_{2}(f):=\underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r} .
$$

Denote by $S(r, f)$ any quantity satisfying $S(r, f)=o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, possibly outside of a set of $r$ with a finite logarithmic measure. A meromorphic function $a(z)$ is said to be a small function of $f(z)$ if $T(r, a)=S(r, f)$. In what follows, we use $S(f)$ to denote the set of all small functions of $f(z)$.
For two meromorphic functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, and $a \in S(f) \cup S(g) \cup\{\infty\}$, we say that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ share $a$ CM when $f(z)-a$ and $g(z)-a$ have the same zeros counting multiplicity.

For a nonzero complex constant $c \in \mathbb{C}, f(z+c)$ is called a shift of $f(z)$. And a difference monomial of type $\prod_{i=1}^{m} f^{n_{i}}\left(z+c_{i}\right)$ is called a difference product of $f(z)$, where $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m} \in \mathbb{N}$.
A difference polynomial of $f(z)$ is a finite sum of difference products of $f(z)$, with all coefficients being small functions of $f(z)$. In the following, we mainly consider a linear difference polynomial of $f(z)$ of the form

$$
L(z, f)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(z) f\left(z+c_{i}\right),
$$

where $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \in \mathbb{C}, a_{1}(z), \ldots, a_{n}(z) \in S(f)$.

It is well known that the difference operators of $f(z)$ are defined as follows:

$$
\Delta_{c} f(z)=f(z+c)-f(z) \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta_{c}^{n} f(z)=\Delta_{c}^{n-1}\left(\Delta_{c} f(z)\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2
$$

In particular, $\Delta_{c}^{n} f(z)=\Delta^{n} f(z)$ for the case $c=1$. We point out that a difference operator is just a special linear difference polynomial of $f(z)$ such that the sum of its coefficients equals 0 .

The subject on the uniqueness of the entire function $f(z)$ sharing values with its derivative $f^{\prime}(z)$ was initiated by Rubel and Yang [4]. For a nonconstant entire function $f(z)$, they proved that $f(z) \equiv f^{\prime}(z)$ provided that $f(z)$ and $f^{\prime}(z)$ share two distinct finite values CM.

Recently, a number of papers have focused on the Nevanlinna theory with respect to difference operators; see, e.g., the papers [5, 6] by Chiang and Feng and [7, 8] by Halburd and Korhonen. Then, many authors started to investigate the uniqueness of meromorphic functions sharing values or small functions with their shifts (see, e.g., [9-14]) or difference operators (see, e.g., $[9,12]$ ). The following Theorem A is indeed a corollary of Theorem 2.1 in [10] and Theorem 2 in [11].

Theorem A $([10,11])$ Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of finite order, let $c \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $a_{1}, a_{2} \in S(f)$ be two distinct periodic functions with period c. If $f(z)$ and $f(z+c)$ share $a_{1}$, $a_{2}, \infty C M$, then $f(z)=f(z+c)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem B below is Theorem 1.2 in [9], while Theorem C is Theorem 1.1 in [12].

Theorem B ([9]) Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function such that its order of growth $\rho(f)$ is not an integer or infinite, and let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ be a constant such that $f(z+c) \not \equiv f(z)$. If $\Delta_{f} f(z)$ and $f(z)$ share three distinct values $a, b, \infty C M$, then $f(z+c)=2 f(z)$.

Theorem C ([12]) Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant entire function offinite order, $c \in \mathbb{C}$, and $n$ be a positive integer. Suppose that $f(z)$ and $\Delta_{c}^{n} f(z)$ share two distinct finite values $a, b C M$ and one of the following cases is satisfied:
(i) $a b=0$;
(ii) $a b \neq 0$ and $\rho(f) \notin \mathbb{N}$.

Then $f(z) \equiv \Delta_{c}^{n} f(z)$.

Remark 1 The methods in [9] and [12] are quite different. Due to a result of Ozawa [15] (he proved that for any given $\rho \in[1, \infty)$, there exists a periodic entire function of order $\rho$ ), Chen and Yi [9] and Li and Gao [12] gave some examples to show the existence of functions satisfying the conditions of Theorem B and Theorem C respectively.

Considering Theorems A-C, due to some ideas of [9] and [12], we obtain the following result with a quite simple proof.

Theorem 1.1 Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of hyper order $\rho_{2}(f)<1$, let $L(z, f)$ be a difference polynomial of $f(z)$, and let $a, b \in S(f)$ be two distinct meromorphic functions. Suppose that $f(z)$ and $L(z, f)$ share $a, b, \infty C M$ and one of the following cases holds:
(i) $L(z, a)-a=L(z, b)-b \equiv 0$;
(ii) $L(z, a)-a \equiv 0$ or $L(z, b)-b \equiv 0$, and $N(r, f)<\lambda T(r, f)$ for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$;
(iii) $\rho(f) \notin \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$.

Then $f(z) \equiv L(z, f)$.

Example 1 We give two examples for Theorem 1.1.
(1) For the cases (i) and (ii): Let $f(z)=e^{z \log 3}$ and $L(z, f)=\Delta f(z)-f(z)=f(z+1)-2 f(z)$. Then $L(z, f)=f(z)$, and hence for $a=0$ and any given $b \in S(f), f(z)$ and $L(z, f)$ share $a, b, \infty$ CM.
(2) For the case (iii): Let $f(z)=g(z) e^{z \log 3}$ and $L(z, f)=\Delta f(z)-f(z)=f(z+1)-2 f(z)$, where $g(z)$ is a periodic entire function with period 1 such that $\rho(g) \in(1, \infty) \backslash \mathbb{N}$. Then $L(z, f)=f(z)$, and hence for any given $a, b \in S(f), f(z)$ and $L(z, f)$ share $a, b, \infty$ CM.

For one CM shared value case, Li and Gao [12] proved the following results.

Theorem $\mathbf{D}([12])$ Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant entire function of finite order $\rho(f), \eta \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f(z)$ and $f(z+\eta)$ share one finite value a $C M$, and for a finite value $b \neq a, f(z)-b$ and $f(z+\eta)-b$ have $\max \{1,[\rho(f)]-1\}$ distinct common zeros of multiplicity $\geq 2$, then $f(z) \equiv$ $f(z+\eta)$.

Theorem E ([12]) Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant entire function of finite order $\rho(f), \eta \in \mathbb{C}$, and $n$ be a positive integer. Iff $(z)$ and $\Delta_{n}^{n} f(z)$ share one finite value a $C M$, and for a finite value $b \neq a, f(z)-b$ and $\Delta_{n}^{n} f(z)-b$ have $\max \{1,[\rho(f)]\}$ distinct common zeros of multiplicity $\geq 2$, then $f(z) \equiv \Delta_{\eta}^{n} f(z)$.

To generalize Theorems D and E, we prove Theorem 1.2 below.

Theorem 1.2 Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function offinite order $\rho(f)$, let $L(z, f)$ be a difference polynomial off $(z)$, and let $a, b \in S(f)$ be two distinct meromorphic functions. Suppose that $f(z)$ and $L(z, f)$ share $a, \infty C M$ and $f(z)-b$ and $L(z, f)-b$ have $m=\max \{1,[\rho(f)]\}$ distinct common zeros of multiplicity $\geq 2$, denoted by $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{m}$, such that $a\left(z_{i}\right) \neq b\left(z_{i}\right)$. Then $f(z) \equiv L(z, f)$.

Example 2 Let $f(z)=g^{2}(z) e^{z \log 3}$ and $L(z, f)=\Delta f(z)-f(z)=f(z+1)-2 f(z)$, where $g(z)$ is a periodic entire function with period 1 such that $\rho(g) \in(1, \infty) \backslash \mathbb{N}$. Then $L(z, f)=f(z)$, and hence for any given $a \in S(f)$ and $b=0, f(z)$ and $L(z, f)$ share $a, \infty$ CM.

Remark 2 Chen and Yi [9] (resp. Li and Gao [12]) conjectured that the condition on the order of growth of $f(z)$ in Theorem B (resp. Theorem C) could be omitted. The same conjecture should be made for Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

## 2 Lemmas

Lemma 2.1 ([16]) Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of hyper order $\rho_{2}(f)=\varsigma<1, c \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\varepsilon>0$. Then

$$
m\left(r, \frac{f(z+c)}{f(z)}\right)=o\left(\frac{T(r, f)}{r^{1-\zeta-\varepsilon)}}\right)=S(r, f)
$$

possibly outside of a set of $r$ with a finite logarithmic measure.

The following lemma is a Clunie-type lemma [17] for the difference-differential polynomials of a meromorphic function $f$, which is a finite sum of products of $f$, derivatives of $f$, and of their shifts, with all the coefficients being small functions of $f$. It can be proved by applying Lemma 2.1 with a similar reasoning as in [18] and stated as follows.

Lemma 2.2 ([18]) Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of hyper order $\rho_{2}(f)<1$ and $P(z, f)$, $Q(z, f)$ be two difference-differential polynomials off. If

$$
f^{n} P(z, f)=Q(z, f)
$$

holds and if the total degree of $Q(z, f)$ in $f$ and its derivatives and their shifts is $\leq n$, then $m(r, P(z, f))=S(r, f)$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Since $f(z)$ and $L:=L(z, f)$ share the value $a, b, \infty$ CM, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L-a}{f-a}=e^{p} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L-b}{f-b}=e^{q}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=p(z), q=q(z)$ are entire functions such that $\max \left\{\rho\left(e^{p}\right), \rho\left(e^{q}\right)\right\} \leq \rho_{2}(f)$.
It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{q}-e^{p}\right) f=a-b+b e^{q}-a e^{p} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $e^{p} \equiv e^{q}$, then from (3.3) we obtain

$$
(a-b)\left(1-e^{p}\right)=0 .
$$

Since $a-b \not \equiv 0$, we get $e^{p} \equiv 1$ and hence finish our proof from (3.1).
Next, we assume that $e^{p} \not \equiv e^{q}$ and complete our proof in three steps.
Step 1. We prove the case (i): $L(z, a)-a=L(z, b)-b \equiv 0$. From (3.1), we get from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(r, e^{p}\right)=m\left(r, e^{p}\right)=m\left(r, \frac{L-a}{f-a}\right)=m\left(r, \frac{L(z, f-a)}{f-a}\right)=S(r, f) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have $T\left(r, e^{q}\right)=S(r, f)$. Now, we can deduce a contradiction from (3.3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, f) & =T\left(r, \frac{a-b+b e^{q}-a e^{p}}{e^{q}-e^{p}}\right) \\
& \leq 2\left(T(r, a)+T(r, b)+T\left(r, e^{p}\right)+T\left(r, e^{p}\right)\right)+S(r, f)=S(r, f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2. We prove the case (iii): $\rho(f) \notin \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$. In this case, $p(z), q(z)$ are polynomials, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \{\operatorname{deg} p(z), \operatorname{deg} q(z)\} \leq[\rho(f)]<\rho(f) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.3), we obtain

$$
T(r, f)=T\left(r, \frac{a-b+b e^{q}-a e^{p}}{e^{q}-e^{p}}\right) \leq 2 T\left(r, e^{p}\right)+2 T\left(r, e^{q}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

which gives $\rho(f) \leq \max \{\operatorname{deg} p(z), \operatorname{deg} q(z)\}$, a contradiction to (3.5).
Step 3. We prove the case (ii): $L(z, a)-a \equiv 0$ or $L(z, b)-b \equiv 0$, and $N(r, f)<\lambda T(r, f)$ for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $L(z, a)-a \equiv 0$ and hence (3.4) still holds.

Differentiating (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$
L^{\prime} f-f^{\prime} L-p^{\prime} f L=a\left(L^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right)+a^{\prime}(f-L)-a p^{\prime}(f+L)+p^{\prime} a^{2}
$$

and

$$
L^{\prime} f-f^{\prime} L-q^{\prime} f L=b\left(L^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right)+b^{\prime}(f-L)-b q^{\prime}(f+L)+p^{\prime} b^{2} .
$$

Combining two equations above, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1} f L=A_{2}\left(L^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right)+A_{3} f+A_{4} L+A_{5} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}=p^{\prime}-q^{\prime}, A_{2}=b-a, A_{3}=b^{\prime}-a^{\prime}+a p^{\prime}+b q^{\prime}, A_{4}=a^{\prime}-b_{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime}+b q^{\prime}, A_{5}=q^{\prime} b^{2}-p^{\prime} a^{2}$.
Notice that the right-hand side of (3.6) is a difference-differential polynomial of $f$ with degree in $f$, its derivatives and their shifts being $\leq 1$. Then from Lemma 2.2 and its remark, we have $m(r, L)=S(r, f)$. Considering this, with (3.1) and (3.4), we obtain

$$
m(r, f)=m\left(r, \frac{L-a}{e^{p}}+a\right) \leq m\left(r, e^{p}\right)+m(r, L)+2 m(r, a)+S(r, f)=S(r, f)
$$

and hence $T(r, f)=N(r, f)+m(r, f)=N(r, f)+S(r, f)$, which contradicts the condition $N(r, f)<\lambda T(r, f)$ for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Since $f(z)$ and $L(z, f)$ share $a$ CM, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L(z, f)-a}{f(z)-a}=e^{p}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is a polynomial such that $\operatorname{deg} p(z) \leq \max \{1,[\rho(f)]\}=m$.
It follows from (4.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime}(z, f)-a^{\prime}=\left(f^{\prime}(z)-a^{\prime}\right) e^{p}+p^{\prime}(f(z)-a) e^{p} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each point $z_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, satisfying the assumption in Theorem 1.2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z_{i}\right)=L\left(z_{i}, f\right)=b\left(z_{i}\right) \neq a\left(z_{i}\right), \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)-b^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)=L^{\prime}\left(z_{i}, f\right)-b^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)=0, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (4.1) and (4.3), we see that $e^{p\left(z_{i}\right)}=1$. Then we can obtain from (4.2) and (4.4) that $p^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)=0$. By assumption, $p^{\prime}(z)$ has at least $m$ zeros. This means that $p^{\prime}(z) \equiv 0$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(z, f)-a=c(f(z)-a) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some nonconstant $c$. For the point $z_{1}$ such that $L\left(z_{1}, f\right)=f\left(z_{1}\right)=b\left(z_{1}\right) \neq a\left(z_{1}\right)$, we get from (4.5) that $c=1$ and hence prove that $f(z) \equiv L(z, f)$.
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