

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Positive solutions of second-order linear difference equation with variable delays

Hajnalka Peics\*

\*Correspondence:  
peics@gf.uns.ac.rs  
Faculty of Civil Engineering,  
University of Novi Sad, Subotica,  
Serbia

## Abstract

In this paper we consider the second-order linear difference equations with variable delays

$$\Delta^2 a(n) + \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(n) a(n - k_i(n)) = 0, \quad n \geq n_0,$$

where  $n_0, n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{N}$  is the set of positive integers. Using the method of Riccati transform and the generalized characteristic equations, we give sufficient conditions for the existence of positive solutions.

**MSC:** Primary 39A11; secondary 39A12

**Keywords:** second-order linear difference equation; variable delays; existence; positive solutions; non-oscillatory solutions; sufficient conditions

## Introduction

In the past few years, oscillation and non-oscillation theory of second-order linear and nonlinear difference equations has attracted considerable attention, and we refer the reader to the papers of Diblik *et al.* [1], El-Sheik *et al.* [2], He [3], Huiqin and Zhen [4], Koplatadze and Kvinikadze [5], Krasznai *et al.* [6], Li *et al.* [7], Liu and Cheng [8], Medina and Pituk [9], Tang [10], Tang and Yu [11], Zhang [12], Zhang and Li [13], and the references therein. For comprehensive treatment, see the papers by Bastinec *et al.* [14–17], Čermak [18, 19], Deng [20], Došly and Fišnarová [21], Hille [22], Jaroš and Stavroulakis [23], Thandapani *et al.* [24], Yang [25] and the monographs [26, 27]. Some sharp conditions of Hille-type criterion on the existence of oscillatory and non-oscillatory solutions of second-order differential equations are given by Kusano *et al.* [28, 29], by Péics and Karsai [30], by Bastinec *et al.* [31] and by Berezansky *et al.* [32]. Some sufficient conditions of oscillation and non-oscillation of second-order difference equations can be found in the papers by Lei [33], by Li and Jiang [34], by Zhou and Zhang [35], and the references therein.

Consider the second-order delay differential equation of the form

$$x''(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t)x(t - \tau_i(t)) = 0 \tag{1}$$

for  $t_0 \leq t < T \leq \infty$ , where the following hypotheses are satisfied:

(H<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>)  $p_i \in C[[t_0, T), \mathbf{R}], i = 1, 2, \dots, m;$

(H<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>)  $\tau_i \in C[[t_0, T), \mathbf{R}^+], i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$

As a special case we can formulate the following results given in [30] for half-linear delay differential equations.

**Theorem A** (see Theorem 2 in [30]) *Assume that (H<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>) and (H<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>) hold and there exists a positive function  $\mu(t)$  for  $t \geq t_0$  such that*

$$\int_t^\infty \left[ \mu(t)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m |p_i(s)| \exp\left(\int_{s-\tau_i(s)}^s \mu(\xi) d\xi\right) \right] ds \leq \mu(t)$$

*holds for  $t$  large enough. Then equation (1) has a positive solution.*

**Theorem B** (see Corollary 2 in [30]) *Assume that (H<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>) and (H<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>) hold and the functions  $\tau_i(t)$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are bounded. If*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_t^\infty \sum_{i=1}^m |p_i(s)| ds \leq \frac{1}{4},$$

*then equation (1) has a positive solution.*

Consider the second-order difference equation of the form

$$\Delta^2 a(n) + P(n)a(n) = 0, \quad n \geq n_0, \tag{2}$$

where  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{N}$  is the set of positive integers and  $\{P(n)\}$  is a sequence of real numbers.

The following result is a sufficient condition for the existence of non-oscillatory solutions of equation (2) and it is demonstrated in the paper [35].

**Theorem C** (see Lemma 4 in [35]) *Assume that  $\{P(n)\}$  is a real sequence with  $P(n) \geq 0$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . If*

$$n \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty P_i(s) \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

*for all large  $n$ , then equation (2) has a non-oscillatory solution.*

Consider now the second-order difference equation with variable delays

$$\Delta^2 a(n) + \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(n)a(n - k_i(n)) = 0, \quad n \geq n_0, \tag{3}$$

where  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{N}$  is the set of positive integers and the following hypotheses are satisfied:

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $\{P_i(n)\}$  is a sequence of real numbers for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $n \geq n_0;$

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $\{k_i(n)\}$  is a sequence of positive integers such that  $k_i(n) \leq n$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $n \geq n_0.$

Let  $M \geq n_0$  be an arbitrary natural number and set

$$\mathbf{N}_M = \{n | n \in \mathbf{N}, n \geq M\}.$$

By a solution of equation (3) we mean a sequence of real numbers  $\{a(n)\}$  defined for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ , which satisfies equation (3) for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ . A nontrivial solution  $\{a(n)\}$  of equation (3) is said to be oscillatory if for every  $\nu > n_0$ , there exists an  $n \geq \nu$  such that  $a(n)a(n + 1) \leq 0$ . Otherwise, it is non-oscillatory. Thus, a non-oscillatory solution is either eventually positive or eventually negative.

The basic idea in the paper is to use the Riccati transformation technique by the substitution

$$\lambda(n) = \frac{\Delta a(n)}{a(n)},$$

where  $\{a(n)\}$  is a solution sequence of equation (3). This transformation leads to the generalization of the Riccati-type equation associated with equation (3). The aim of this paper is to prove theorems for the existence of positive solutions of equation (3), using the Riccati-type equation associated with equation (3). The obtained results are discrete analogues of results given for some differential equations and generalize results given for second-order linear difference equations with constant delays or without delays.

Let  $\mathbf{R}^\infty := \{\{\xi(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty : \xi(n) \in \mathbf{R}, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  and

$$\ell^\infty := \{\{\xi(n)\} \in \mathbf{R}^\infty : |\xi(n)| \leq \mu(n), n \in \mathbf{N}_M\},$$

where  $\{\mu(n)\}$  is a fixed positive bounded sequence.

Let  $\{x_p(n)\}$  denote the sequence of sequences defined for all natural numbers  $n \in [n_0, \infty)$  and  $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

**Theorem D** (Schauder-Tychonoff, see [36–38]) *Let  $F = \ell^\infty$ , and let  $\{\mu(n)\}$  be a fixed positive bounded sequence. Let  $S$  be a mapping of  $F$  into itself with the properties:*

- (i)  *$S$  is continuous in the sense that if  $x_p(n) \in F$  for all natural number  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $x_p(n) \rightarrow x(n)$ ,  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly on every compact subinterval of  $[n_0, \infty)$ , then  $Sx_p(n) \rightarrow Sx(n)$ ,  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly on every compact subinterval of  $[n_0, \infty)$ ;*
- (ii) *the sequences in the image set  $SF$  are bounded at every point of  $[n_0, \infty)$ .*

*Then the mapping  $S$  has at least one fixed point in  $F$ .*

### Main results

First, we apply the Riccati transformation and show the relationship between equation (3) and the Riccati-type equation (4).

**Lemma 1** *Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  hold. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) *Equation (3) has an eventually positive solution.*

(b) *There is a sequence  $\{\lambda(n)\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ , for some  $M \geq n_0$  such that  $\lambda(n) + 1 > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$  and  $\{\lambda(n)\}$  satisfies the Riccati-type equation*

$$\Delta\lambda(n) + \lambda(n+1)\lambda(n) + \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(n) \prod_{j=n-k_i(n)}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\lambda(j)+1} = 0 \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}_M. \tag{4}$$

*Proof* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Let  $\{a(n)\}$  be the solution of difference equation (3) and suppose, according to the hypotheses, that  $a(n) > 0$  for  $n \geq M \geq n_0$ . It will be shown that the sequence  $\{\lambda(n)\}$  defined by

$$\lambda(n) = \frac{\Delta a(n)}{a(n)}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}_M, \tag{5}$$

is a solution of the Riccati-type equation (4) for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ . Expressing  $\Delta a(n)$  from (5) and applying the difference operator to the transformed equality, we get that

$$\Delta a(n) = a(n)\lambda(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta[\Delta a(n)] = a(n)\lambda(n+1)\lambda(n) + a(n)\Delta\lambda(n). \tag{6}$$

It follows from the first equality of (6) that

$$a(n+1) = a(n)(\lambda(n)+1) \quad \text{and} \quad a(n) = a(M) \prod_{j=M}^{n-1} (\lambda(j)+1) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}_M,$$

and hence the following equalities are valid:

$$\frac{a(n-k_i(n))}{a(n)} = \frac{a(M) \prod_{j=M}^{n-k_i(n)-1} (\lambda(j)+1)}{a(M) \prod_{j=M}^{n-1} (\lambda(j)+1)} = \prod_{j=n-k_i(n)}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\lambda(j)+1}. \tag{7}$$

By dividing both sides of difference equation (3) by  $a(n)$ , we obtain that

$$\frac{\Delta[\Delta a(n)]}{a(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(n) \frac{a(n-k_i(n))}{a(n)} = 0. \tag{8}$$

In virtue of the equalities (6) and (7), we obtain that

$$\frac{a(n)\lambda(n+1)\lambda(n) + a(n)\Delta\lambda(n)}{a(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(n) \prod_{j=n-k_i(n)}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\lambda(j)+1} = 0.$$

After reducing the first term, we get that

$$\lambda(n+1)\lambda(n) + \Delta\lambda(n) + \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(n) \prod_{j=n-k_i(n)}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\lambda(j)+1} = 0,$$

and we conclude that the sequence  $\{\lambda(n)\}$  satisfies the Riccati-type equation (4), and the first part of the proof is complete.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Let now  $\{\lambda(n)\}$  be a solution sequence of Riccati-type equation (4) for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$  such that  $\lambda(n) + 1 > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ . We show by direct substitution that the sequence defined by

$$a(n) = \prod_{j=M}^{n-1} (\lambda(j) + 1) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}_M$$

is the positive solution of difference equation (3). Completing the following transformations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta[\Delta a(n)] &= a(n)(\lambda(n+1)\lambda(n) + \Delta\lambda(n)) \\ &= a(n) \left( - \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(n) \prod_{j=n-k_i(n)}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\lambda(j) + 1} \right) \\ &= -a(n) \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(n) \frac{a(n-k_i(n))}{a(n)} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(n) a(n-k_i(n)) \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$  and the proof of Lemma 1 is complete. □

Now, we introduce an antidifference equation associated with the Riccati-type equation (4), and we show in the main results that it is very useful to study the antidifference equation instead of equation (4).

**Lemma 2** *Assume that conditions (H<sub>1</sub>) and (H<sub>2</sub>) hold. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(a) *There is a solution sequence  $\{\lambda(n)\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ , of the Riccati-type equation (4) for some  $M \geq n_0$  such that  $\lambda(n) + 1 > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$  and*

$$\sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(\ell) \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{\lambda(j) + 1} \right) < \infty. \tag{9}$$

(b) *There is a sequence  $\{\omega(n)\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ , for some  $M \geq n_0$  such that  $\omega(n) + 1 > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$  and*

$$\omega(n) = \sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \omega(\ell + 1)\omega(\ell) + \sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(\ell) \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{\omega(j) + 1} \right). \tag{10}$$

*Proof* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Let the sequence  $\{\lambda(n)\}$ , defined by formula  $\lambda(n) = \omega(n)$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ , be a solution of the Riccati-type equation (4) for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$  with the property (9). Let  $n \geq M$  be a natural number fixed arbitrarily, and let us sum up both sides of the Riccati-type equation (4) from  $n$  to  $M_1 - 1$ , where  $M_1 > n$ . Then we get the equality

$$\omega(M_1) - \omega(n) + \sum_{\ell=n}^{M_1-1} \omega(\ell + 1)\omega(\ell) + \sum_{\ell=n}^{M_1-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(\ell) \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{\omega(j) + 1} \right) = 0. \tag{11}$$

We claim that

$$\sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \omega(\ell + 1)\omega(\ell) < \infty. \tag{12}$$

To this end, assume the contrary statement that  $\sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \omega(\ell + 1)\omega(\ell) = \infty$ . Now, in view of (11) and the statement  $\sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \omega(\ell + 1)\omega(\ell) = \infty$ , there are natural numbers  $M_1$  and  $M^*$  large enough such that  $M_1 > M^*$  and

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega(M_1) + \sum_{\ell=M^*}^{M_1-1} \omega(\ell + 1)\omega(\ell) \\ &= \omega(n) - \sum_{\ell=n}^{M^*-1} \omega(\ell + 1)\omega(\ell) - \sum_{\ell=n}^{M_1-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(\ell) \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{\omega(j) + 1} \right) \leq -1 \end{aligned}$$

for  $M_1 > M^* \geq n$ , or, equivalently,

$$-\omega(M_1) \geq \sum_{\ell=M^*}^{M_1-1} \omega(\ell + 1)\omega(\ell) + 1.$$

Because of the substitution (5), it follows that

$$-\frac{a(M_1 + 1)}{a(M_1)} = -\omega(M_1) - 1 \geq \sum_{\ell=M^*}^{M_1-1} \omega(\ell + 1)\omega(\ell).$$

Since  $M_1 > M$  is an arbitrary large number, then the inequality

$$\sum_{\ell=M^*}^{M_1-1} \omega(\ell + 1)\omega(\ell) < 0$$

holds. If  $M_1 \rightarrow \infty$ , then the inequality  $\sum_{\ell=M^*}^{\infty} \omega(\ell + 1)\omega(\ell) < 0$  must hold, which contradicts the assumption

$$\sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \omega(\ell + 1)\omega(\ell) = \infty.$$

We now let  $M_1 \rightarrow \infty$  in (11). Using inequalities (12) and (9), we find that  $\omega(M_1)$  tends to a finite limit  $\omega_{\infty}$ . But  $\omega_{\infty}$  must be zero because in the other case inequality (12) would fail to hold. These argumentations complete the first part of the proof.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Assume that there is a sequence  $\{\omega(n)\}$  which satisfies equation (10) for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ , where  $M \geq n_0$  is an arbitrary natural number such that  $\omega(n) + 1 > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ . Applying the difference operator to both sides of equation (10), we show that the sequence  $\{\lambda(n)\}$ , defined by formula  $\lambda(n) = \omega(n)$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ , is a solution of the Riccati-type equation (4) for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ , and it satisfies assumption (9). The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

Now we can formulate the main theorem.

**Theorem 1** *Assume that conditions (H<sub>1</sub>) and (H<sub>2</sub>) hold. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) *There exists a natural number  $M \geq n_0$  and there exist the real sequences  $\{\beta(n)\}$  and  $\{\gamma(n)\}$  such that  $-1 < \beta(n) \leq \gamma(n)$ ,  $\sup_n \gamma(n) < \infty$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ ,*

$$\sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m |P_i(\ell)| \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{\beta(j)+1} \right) < \infty \tag{13}$$

and such that

$$\beta(n) \leq \xi(n) \leq \gamma(n) \text{ implies that } \beta(n) \leq S\xi(n) \leq \gamma(n) \tag{14}$$

for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$  and for real sequences  $\{\xi(n)\}$ , where

$$S\xi(n) = \sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \xi(\ell+1)\xi(\ell) + \sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(\ell) \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{\xi(j)+1} \right). \tag{15}$$

- (b) *There exists a real solution sequence  $\{\omega(n)\}$  of equation (10) which satisfies the inequality  $\beta(n) \leq \omega(n) \leq \gamma(n)$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ .*

*Proof* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): We have to show that equation (10) has a solution sequence  $\{\omega(n)\}$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ . To this end, using Theorem D, we prove that operator  $S$ , defined by (15), has a fixed point  $\{\omega(n)\}$ , which is a solution sequence of equation (10), and obviously satisfies the estimate  $\beta(n) \leq \omega(n) \leq \gamma(n)$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ .

Let now  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be natural numbers such that  $M \leq M_1 < M_2 < \infty$ . Then the set

$$\mathbf{N}_{1,2} = \{n | n \in \mathbf{N}, M_1 \leq n \leq M_2\}$$

is an arbitrary compact subset of the set  $\mathbf{N}_M$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} L &:= \max_{M \leq n \leq M_2} \sum_{i=1}^m |P_i(n)|, & G &:= \sup_{n \geq M} \gamma(n), \\ B &:= \min_{M \leq n \leq M_2} \beta(n), & K &:= \max_{i=1}^m \max_{M \leq n \leq M_2} k_i(n), \\ K_B &:= \begin{cases} \max_{i=1}^m \max_{M \leq n \leq M_2} k_i(n), & -1 < B \leq 0, \\ \min_{i=1}^m \min_{M \leq n \leq M_2} k_i(n), & B > 0, \end{cases} \\ K_G &:= \begin{cases} \max_{i=1}^m \max_{M \leq n \leq M_2} k_i(n), & G > 1, \\ \min_{i=1}^m \min_{M \leq n \leq M_2} k_i(n), & G < 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$F := \{ \{ \xi(n) \} \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty} : |\xi(n)| \leq \mu(n), n \in \mathbf{N}_M \}, \text{ where } \mu(n) = \max_{n \geq n_0} \{ |\gamma(n)|, 1 \}.$$

It follows from assumptions (13) and (14) that the operator  $S$ , defined for  $\{ \xi(n) \} \in F$ , satisfies the inequality  $\sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \xi(\ell+1)\xi(\ell) < \infty$  and maps  $F$  to  $F$ . It follows immediately from assumption (14) that the sequences in the image set  $SF$  are uniformly bounded on any subset of  $\mathbf{N}_M$ .

Let the sequence of sequences  $\{\xi_p(n)\} \in F$  tend to the sequence  $\{\xi(n)\}$ ,  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly on any finite interval of  $N_M$ , which means this convergence is uniform for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}_{1,2}$ .

In virtue of the following transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} & |\xi(\ell + 1)\xi(\ell) - \xi_p(\ell + 1)\xi_p(\ell)| \\ &= |\xi(\ell + 1)\xi(\ell) - \xi_p(\ell + 1)\xi(\ell) + \xi_p(\ell + 1)\xi(\ell) - \xi_p(\ell + 1)\xi_p(\ell)| \\ &\leq |\xi(\ell + 1) - \xi_p(\ell + 1)| |\xi(\ell)| + |\xi(\ell) - \xi_p(\ell)| |\xi_p(\ell + 1)|, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$\sum_{\ell=n}^{M_2} |\xi(\ell + 1)\xi(\ell) - \xi_p(\ell + 1)\xi_p(\ell)| \leq 2G \sum_{\ell=n}^{M_2+1} |\xi(\ell) - \xi_p(\ell)|,$$

and also we obtain that the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{\xi(j) + 1} - \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{\xi_p(j) + 1} &= \frac{\prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} (\xi_p(j) + 1) - \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} (\xi(j) + 1)}{\prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} (\xi(j) + 1)(\xi_p(j) + 1)} \\ &\leq \frac{((K - 1)G^{KG-1} + 1) \sum_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} |\xi(\ell) - \xi_p(\ell)|}{\prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} (\beta(j) + 1)(\beta^p(j) + 1)} \\ &\leq \frac{(KG^{KG} + 1) \sum_{j=\ell-K}^{\ell-1} |\xi(\ell) - \xi_p(\ell)|}{(B + 1)^{2KB}} \end{aligned}$$

are valid. Using the previous inequalities, we can get the following transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} & |S\xi(n) - S\xi_p(n)| \\ &\leq \lim_{M_2 \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{\ell=n}^{M_2} |\xi(\ell + 1)\xi(\ell) - \xi_p(\ell + 1)\xi_p(\ell)| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\ell=n}^{M_2} \sum_{i=1}^m |P_i(\ell)| \left( \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{\xi(j) + 1} - \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{\xi_p(j) + 1} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{M_2 \rightarrow \infty} \left( 2G \sum_{\ell=n}^{M_2+1} |\xi(\ell) - \xi_p(\ell)| + \frac{L(KG^{KG} + 1)}{(B + 1)^{2KB}} \sum_{\ell=n}^{M_2} \sum_{j=\ell-K}^{\ell-1} |\xi(\ell) - \xi_p(\ell)| \right). \end{aligned}$$

The uniform convergence of the sequence  $\xi_p(n) \rightarrow \xi(n)$ ,  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}_{1,2}$  implies that

$$|\xi(n) - \xi_p(n)| < \delta \quad \text{for sufficiently large } p \text{ and } n \in \mathbf{N}_{1,2}.$$

If we use the following form of  $\delta$ :

$$\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{C(M_2 + 2)}, \quad \text{where } C = 2G + \frac{LK(KG^{KG} + 1)}{(B + 1)^{2KB}},$$



we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |S\xi(n) - S\xi_p(n)| &\leq \lim_{M_2 \rightarrow \infty} \left( 2G\delta(M_2 + 2 - n) + \frac{L(KG^{K_G} + 1)}{(B + 1)^{2K_B}} K\delta(M_2 + 1 - n) \right) \\ &< \lim_{M_2 \rightarrow \infty} \left( 2G + \frac{L(KG^{K_G} + 1)}{(B + 1)^{2K_B}} K \right) \delta(M_2 + 2) \\ &= \lim_{M_2 \rightarrow \infty} C \frac{\varepsilon}{C(M_2 + 2)} (M_2 + 2) = \lim_{M_2 \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_{1,2}$ , if  $p$  is sufficiently large. Thus,  $S\xi_p(n) \rightarrow S\xi(n)$ ,  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly on any finite subset  $N_M$ .

We obtained that the conditions of the Schauder-Tychonoff theorem are satisfied, and hence the mapping  $S$  has at least one fixed point  $\{\omega(n)\}$  in  $F$ . Moreover, because of the equality  $\omega(n) = S\omega(n)$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ , we conclude that  $\{\omega(n)\}$  is the solution sequence of equation (10) with the property that  $\beta(n) \leq \omega(n) \leq \gamma(n)$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ . The first part of the proof is complete.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): If  $\{\omega(n)\}$  is a solution sequence of (10), then taking  $\beta(n) = \gamma(n) = \omega(n)$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ , the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied because of the fact that  $\omega(n) = S\omega(n)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

### Existence of positive solutions

Let the sequence  $\{\mu(n)\}$  be such that  $0 < \mu(n) < 1$ , and set  $\beta(n) = -\mu(n)$  and  $\gamma(n) = \mu(n)$  in Theorem 1. Now we can formulate the conditions for the existence of positive solutions of equation (3).

**Theorem 2** Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  hold and there exists a positive sequence  $\{\mu(n)\}$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$  for some natural number  $M \geq n_0$  such that  $0 < \mu(n) < 1$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$  and

$$\sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \left( \mu(\ell + 1)\mu(\ell) + \sum_{i=1}^m |P_i(\ell)| \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{1 - \mu(j)} \right) \leq \mu(n) \tag{16}$$

holds for  $n$  large enough. Then equation (3) has a positive solution  $\{a(n)\}$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}_M$ .

*Proof* Let the sequence  $\{\mu(n)\}$  be given such that the conditions of the theorem hold. Since  $0 < \mu(n) < 1$ , hence  $-1 < -\mu(n) < 0$  and  $0 < 1 - \mu(n) < 1$ . We show that the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied with  $\beta(n) = -\mu(n)$  and  $\gamma(n) = \mu(n)$  for  $n$  large enough.

Let  $\{\xi(n)\}$  be a real sequence such that  $|\xi(n)| \leq \mu(n)$ . Because of the property that  $-\mu(n) \leq \xi(n) \leq \mu(n)$ , the inequality

$$1 - \mu(n) \leq \xi(n) + 1 \quad \text{implies that} \quad \frac{1}{\xi(n) + 1} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \mu(n)} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}_M.$$

Because of assumption (16) and some transformations, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |S\xi(n)| &\leq \sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \left( |\xi(\ell + 1)||\xi(\ell)| + \sum_{i=1}^m |P_i(\ell)| \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{\xi(j) + 1} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \left( \mu_{\ell+1}\mu(\ell) + \sum_{i=1}^m |P_i(\ell)| \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{1 - \mu(j)} \right) \leq \mu(n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in virtue of Theorem 1, equation (3) has a positive solution and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 1** The result of Theorem 2 is the discrete analogue of the result presented in Theorem A and generalizes the result given in [39] for first-order linear difference equations with variable delays.

Now we would like to find the sequence  $\{\mu(n)\}$  in the form  $\mu(n) = \frac{A}{n}$ , where  $A$  is some constant. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \mu(\ell+1)\mu(\ell) &= \sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \frac{A^2}{\ell(\ell+1)} = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\ell=n}^M \frac{A^2}{\ell(\ell+1)} = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\ell=n}^M \left( \frac{A^2}{\ell} - \frac{A^2}{\ell+1} \right) \\ &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{A^2}{n} - \frac{A^2}{n+1} + \frac{A^2}{n+1} - \frac{A^2}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{A^2}{M} - \frac{A^2}{M+1} \right) = \frac{A^2}{n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{1-\mu(j)} = \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{1-\frac{A}{j}} = \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{j}{j-A},$$

condition (16) takes the form

$$\sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m |P_i(\ell)| \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{j}{j-A} \right) \leq \frac{A}{n} - \frac{A^2}{n}.$$

Choosing  $A$  such that the function  $f(A) = A - A^2$  takes the maximum value, we obtain  $A = \frac{1}{2}$  and we can formulate the following corollary of Theorem 2.

**Corollary 1** Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  hold and

$$n \sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m |P_i(\ell)| \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{2j}{2j-1} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4} \tag{17}$$

holds for  $n$  large enough. Then equation (3) has an eventually positive solution.

In particular, if the sequences of delays  $\{k_i(n)\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) are bounded by  $K$ , we have

$$\sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m |P_i(\ell)| \prod_{j=\ell-k_i(\ell)}^{\ell-1} \frac{2j}{2j-1} \right) \leq \left( \frac{2(n-K)}{2(n-K)-1} \right)^K \sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m |P_i(\ell)| \right)$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{2(n-K)}{2(n-K)-1} \right)^K = 1.$$

Hence, we obtain the following non-oscillation criterion.

**Corollary 2** *Assume that conditions  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  hold and the sequences of delays  $\{k_i(n)\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) are bounded. If*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^m |P_i(\ell)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad (18)$$

*holds, then equation (3) has an eventually positive solution.*

**Remark 2** The result of Corollary 2 is the discrete analogue of the result presented in Theorem B and at the same time generalizes the result given in Theorem C for second-order linear difference equations with variable delays.

#### Competing interests

The author declares that she has no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

Since there is one author, she completed all tasks necessary for the article.

#### Acknowledgements

The research is supported by the Serbian Ministry of Science, Technology and Development for Scientific Research Grant no. III44006. The author thanks the referees for the valuable comments.

Received: 18 September 2012 Accepted: 8 March 2013 Published: 29 March 2013

#### References

1. Diblík, J, Ružičková, M, Šmarda, Z, Šuta, Z: Asymptotic convergence of the solutions of a dynamic equation on discrete time scales. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 580750 (2012)
2. El-Sheikh, MMA, Abd Alla, MH, El-Maghrabi, EM: Oscillation and nonoscillation of nonlinear second order difference equations. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **21**(1-2), 203-214 (2006)
3. He, XZ: Oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of second order nonlinear difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **175**, 482-498 (1993)
4. Huiqin, C, Zhen, J: Oscillation criteria of solution for a second order difference equations with forced term. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2000**, Article ID 171234 (2000)
5. Koplatadze, R, Kvinikadze, G: Necessary conditions for the existence of positive solutions of second-order linear difference equations and sufficient conditions for the oscillation of solutions. *Nonlinear Oscil.* **12**(2), 184-198 (2009)
6. Krasznai, B, Györi, I, Pituk, M: Positive decreasing solutions of higher-order nonlinear difference equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2010**, Article ID 973432 (2010)
7. Li, WT, Fan, XL, Zhong, C: On unbounded positive solutions of second-order difference equations with a singular nonlinear term. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **246**, 80-88 (2000)
8. Liu, B, Cheng, SS: Nonoscillatory solutions of a second-order difference equation of Poincaré type. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **204**, 482-493 (1996)
9. Medina, R, Pituk, M: Positive solutions of second order nonlinear difference equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22**, 679-683 (2009)
10. Tang, XH: Bounded oscillation of second order delay difference equations of unstable type. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **44**, 1147-1156 (2002)
11. Tang, XH, Yu, JS: Oscillation of delay difference equations in a critical state. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **13**, 9-15 (2000)
12. Zhang, BG: Oscillation and asymptotic behavior for second-order difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **173**, 58-68 (1993)
13. Zhang, Z, Li, Q: Oscillation theorems for second-order advanced functional difference equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **36**(6), 11-18 (1998)
14. Baštinec, J, Diblík, J, Šmarda, Z: Existence of positive solutions of discrete linear equations with a single delay. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **16**(9), 1047-1056 (2010)
15. Baštinec, J, Diblík, J, Šmarda, Z: An explicit criterion for the existence of positive solutions of the linear delayed equation  $\dot{x}(t) = -c(t)x(t - \tau(t))$ . *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2011**, Article ID 561902 (2011)
16. Baštinec, J, Diblík, J: One case of appearance of positive solutions of delayed discrete equations. *Appl. Math.* **48**(6), 429-436 (2003)
17. Baštinec, J, Diblík, J: Subdominant positive solutions of the discrete equation  $\Delta u(k+n) = -p(k)u(k)$ . *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **6**, 461-470 (2004)
18. Čermak, J: On the related asymptotics of delay differential and difference equations. *Dyn. Syst. Appl.* **14**(3-4), 419-430 (2005)
19. Čermak, J: Asymptotic bounds for linear difference systems. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2010**, Article ID 182696 (2010)
20. Deng, J: A note of oscillation of second-order nonlinear difference equation with continuous variable. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **280**, 188-194 (2003)

21. Došly, O, Fišnarová, S: Linearized Riccati technique and (non-)oscillation criteria for half-linear difference equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2008**, Article ID 438130 (2008)
22. Hille, E: Non-oscillation theorems. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **64**, 234-252 (1948)
23. Jaroš, J, Stavroulakis, IP: Oscillation tests for delay equations. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **29**(1), 197-207 (1999)
24. Thandapani, E, Ravi, K, Graef, JR: Oscillation and comparison theorems for half-linear second-order difference equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **42**, 953-960 (2001)
25. Yang, X: Nonoscillation criteria for second-order nonlinear differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **131**, 125-131 (2002)
26. Agarwal, RP: *Difference Equations and Inequalities, Theory, Methods and Applications*. Dekker, New York (2000)
27. Agarwal, RP, Bohner, M, Grace, SR, O'Regan, D: *Discrete Oscillation Theory*. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, New York (2005)
28. Kusano, T, Naito, Y, Ogata, A: Strong oscillation and nonoscillation of quasilinear differential equations of second order. *Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst.* **1**(2), 1-10 (1994)
29. Kusano, T, Yoshida, N: Nonoscillation theorems for a class of quasilinear differential equations of second order. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **189**, 115-127 (1995)
30. Péics, H, Karsai, J: Existence of positive solutions of halflinear delay differential equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **323**, 1201-1212 (2006)
31. Baštinec, J, Berezansky, L, Diblík, J, Šmarda, Z: A final result on the oscillation of solutions of the linear discrete delayed equation  $\Delta x(n) = -p(n)x(n-k)$  with a positive coefficient. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2011**, Article ID 586328 (2011)
32. Berezansky, L, Diblík, J, Šmarda, Z: Positive solutions of second-order delay differential equations with a damping term. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **60**(5), 1332-1342 (2010)
33. Lei, C: Remarks on oscillation of second-order linear difference equation. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **215**, 2855-2857 (2009)
34. Li, X, Jiang, J: Oscillation of second-order linear difference equations. *Math. Comput. Model.* **35**, 983-990 (2002)
35. Zhou, Y, Zhang, BG: Oscillation of delay difference equations in a critical state. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **39**, 71-80 (2000)
36. Brooks, RM, Schmitt, K: The contraction mapping principle and some applications. In: *Monograph 09*, San Marcos: Electron. J. Differential Equations, Department of Mathematics, Texas State University - San Marco 2009:90
37. Coppel, WA: *Stability and Asymptotic Behavior of Differential Equations*. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Heath (1965)
38. Istrătescu, VI: *Fixed Point Theory. An Introduction*. Reidel, Dordrecht (1981)
39. Péics, H: Applications of generalized characteristic equation of linear delay difference equations. *Mat. Vesn.* **50**, 31-36 (1998)

doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-82

**Cite this article as:** Peics: Positive solutions of second-order linear difference equation with variable delays. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2013 2013:82.

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---