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#### Abstract

By using an extension of Mawhin's continuation theorem and some analysis methods, the existence of a set with $2 k T$-periodic for a $n$-dimensional neutral Duffing differential systems, $(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime \prime}+\beta(t) x^{\prime}(t)+g(u(t-\gamma(t)))=p(t)$, is studied. Some new results on the existence of homoclinic solutions is obtained as a limit of a certain subsequence of the above set. Meanwhile, $C=\left[c_{i j}\right]_{n \times n}$ is a constant symmetrical matrix and $\beta(t)$ is allowed to change sign.
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## 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to consider a kind of neutral Duffing differential systems as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime \prime}+\beta(t) x^{\prime}(t)+g(u(t-\gamma(t)))=p(t), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta \in C^{1}(R, R)$ with $\beta(t+T) \equiv \beta(t), g \in C\left(R^{n}, R^{n}\right), p \in C\left(R, R^{n}\right)$, and $\gamma(t)$ is a continuous $T$-periodic function with $\gamma(t) \geq 0 ; T>0$ and $\tau$ are given constants; $C=\left[c_{i j}\right]_{n \times n}$ is a constant symmetrical matrix and $\beta(t)$ is allowed to change sign.

As is well known, a solution $u(t)$ of Eq. (1.1) is called homoclinic (to $O$ ) if $u(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $u^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$. In addition, if $u \neq 0$, then $u$ is called a nontrivial homoclinic solution.

Under the condition of $C=O$, system (1.1) transforms into a classic second-order Duffing equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\beta(t) x^{\prime}(t)+g(t, u(t-\gamma(t)))=p(t), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has been studied by Li et al. [1] and some new results on the existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions for (1.2) are obtained. Very recently, by using Mawhin's continuation theorem, $\mathrm{Du}[2]$ studied the following neutral differential equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime \prime}+\frac{d}{d t} \nabla F(u(t))+\nabla G(u(t))=e(t), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F \in C^{2}\left(R^{n}, R\right) ; G \in C^{1}\left(R^{n}, R\right) ; e \in C\left(R, R^{n}\right) ; C=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right), c_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $\tau$ are given constants, obtaining the existence of homoclinic solutions for (1.3).

In this paper, like in the work of Rabinowitz in [3], Izydorek and Janczewska in [4] and Tan and Xiao in [5], the existence of a homoclinic solution for (1.1) is obtained as a limit of a certain sequence of $2 k T$-periodic solutions for the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime \prime}+\beta(t) u^{\prime}(t)+g(u(t-\gamma(t)))=p_{k}(t), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in N, p_{k}: R \rightarrow R^{n}$ is a $2 k T$-periodic function such that

$$
p_{k}(t)= \begin{cases}p(t), & t \in\left[-k T, k T-\varepsilon_{0}\right),  \tag{1.5}\\ p\left(k T-\varepsilon_{0}\right)+\frac{p(-k T)-p\left(k T-\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(t-k T+\varepsilon_{0}\right), & t \in\left[k T-\varepsilon_{0}, k T\right]\end{cases}
$$

$\varepsilon_{0} \in(0, T)$ is a constant independent of $k$. However, the approaches to show $u^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$ are different from the corresponding ones used in the past and the existence of $2 k T$-periodic solutions to Eq. (1.4) is obtained by using an extension of Mawhin's continuation theorem, which is quite different from the approach of [3-5]. Furthermore, $C=\left[c_{i j}\right]_{n \times n}$ is a constant symmetrical matrix and $\beta(t)$ is allowed to change sign, different from the corresponding ones of [2].

## 2 Preliminary

Throughout this paper, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: R^{n} \times R^{n} \rightarrow R$ denotes the standard inner product, and $|\cdot|$ denotes the absolute value and the Euclidean norm on $R^{n}$. For each $k \in N$, let $C_{2 k T}=\left\{x \mid x \in C\left(R, R^{n}\right), x(t+2 k T) \equiv x(t)\right\}, C_{2 k T}^{1}=\left\{x \mid x \in C^{1}\left(R, R^{n}\right), x(t+2 k T) \equiv x(t)\right\}$ and $|x|_{0}=\max _{t \in[0,2 k T]}|x(t)|$. If the norms of $C_{2 k T}$ and $C_{2 k T}^{1}$ are defined by $\|\cdot\|_{C_{2 k T}}=|\cdot|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{C_{2 k T}^{1}}=\max \left\{|x|_{0},\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0}\right\}$, respectively, then $C_{2 k T}$ and $C_{2 k T}^{1}$ are all Banach spaces. Furthermore, for $\varphi \in C_{2 k T},\|\varphi\|_{r}=\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}|\varphi(t)|^{r} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, r>1$.
Define the linear operator

$$
A: C_{T} \rightarrow C_{T}, \quad[A x](t)=x(t)-C x(t-\tau) .
$$

Lemma 2.1 [6] Suppose that $\Omega$ is an open bounded set in $X$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:
[ $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ ] For each $\lambda \in(0,1)$, the equation

$$
(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime \prime}+\lambda \beta(t) u^{\prime}(t)+\lambda g(u(t-\gamma(t)))=\lambda p_{k}(t)
$$

has no solution on $\partial \Omega$.
[ $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ ] The equation

$$
\Delta(a):=\frac{1}{2 k T} \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left[g(a)-p_{k}(t)\right] d t=0
$$

has no solution on $\partial \Omega \cap R^{n}$.
$\left[\mathrm{A}_{3}\right]$ The Brouwer degree

$$
d_{B}\left\{\Delta, \Omega \cap R^{n}, 0\right\} \neq 0
$$

Equation (1.4) has a $2 k T$-periodic solution in $\bar{\Omega}$.

Lemma 2.2 [7] If set $P_{T}=\{x \mid x \in C(R, R), x(t+T) \equiv x(t)\}$ and $A_{0}: P_{T} \rightarrow P_{T},\left[A_{0} x\right](t)=$ $x(t)-c x(t)$, where $c \in R$ is a constant with $|c| \neq 1$, then operator $A_{0}$ has continuous inverse $A_{0}^{-1}$ on $P_{T}$, satisfying

$$
\left[A_{0}^{-1} f\right](t)= \begin{cases}\sum_{j \geq 0} c^{j} f(t-j \tau), & |c|<1, \forall f \in P_{T} \\ -\sum_{j \geq 1} c^{-j} f(t+j \tau), & |c|>1, \forall f \in P_{T}\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2.3 [5] If $u: R \rightarrow R^{n}$ is continuously differentiable on $R, a>0, \mu>1$, and $p>1$ are constants, then for every $t \in R$, the following inequality holds:

$$
|u(t)| \leq(2 a)^{-\frac{1}{\mu}}\left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a}|u(s)|^{\mu} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}+a(2 a)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
$$

This lemma is a special case of Lemma 2.2 in [5].

Lemma 2.4 [6] Suppose that $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ are eigenvalues of matrix C. If $\left|c_{i}\right| \neq 1$ ( $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n)$, then $A$ has a continuous bounded inverse with the following relationships:
(1) $\left\|A^{-1} f\right\| \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{11-\mid c_{i} \|}\right)\|f\|, \forall f \in C_{T}$,
(2) $\int_{0}^{T}\left|\left(A^{-1} f\right)(t)\right|^{p} d t \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{T}|f(t)|^{p} d t, \forall f \in C_{T}, p \geq 1$, where

$$
\alpha= \begin{cases}\max \left(\frac{1}{\left(1-\mid c_{i}\right)^{2}}\right), & p=2, \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left(1-\left.\left|c_{i}\right|\right|^{2 p}\right.}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}, & p \in[1,2), \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1-\left|c_{i}\right|^{q}}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}, & p \in[2,+\infty),\end{cases}
$$

$q$ is a constant with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
(3) $(A x)^{\prime}=A x^{\prime}, \forall x \in C_{T}^{1}$.

Lemma 2.5 [7] Let $s \in C(R, R)$ with $s(t+\omega) \equiv s(t)$ and $s(t) \in[0, \omega], \forall t \in R$. Suppose $p \in$ $(1,+\infty),|s|_{0}=\max _{t \in[0, \omega]} s(t)$ and $u \in C^{1}(R, R)$ with $u(t+\omega) \equiv u(t)$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{\omega}|u(t)-u(t-s(t))|^{p} d t \leq|s|_{0}^{p} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t
$$

Throughout this paper, we suppose in addition that $c_{m}=\max \left\{\left|c_{i}\right|\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ are eigenvalues of matrix $C$ with $\left|c_{i}\right| \neq 1$ and let $\beta_{L}^{\prime}=\min \left|\beta^{\prime}(t)\right|$, $\beta_{M}=\max |\beta(t)|, \forall t \in[0, T]$.

For convenience, we list the following assumptions which will be used to study the existence of homoclinic solutions to Eq. (1.1) in Section 3.
[ $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ ] There are constants $L>0$ and $m>0$ such that

$$
\left|g\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{2}\right)\right| \leq L\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|, \quad \text { for all } x_{1}, x_{2} \in R^{n}
$$

and

$$
\langle(E-C) x, g(x)\rangle \leq-m|x|^{2}, \quad \text { for all } x \in R^{n}
$$

$\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right] p \in C\left(R, R^{n}\right)$ is a bounded function with $p(t) \neq O=(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{\top}$ and

$$
B:=\left(\int_{R}|p(t)|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\sup _{t \in R}|p(t)|<+\infty
$$

Remark 2.1 [8] From (1.5), we see that $\left|p_{k}(t)\right| \leq \sup _{t \in R}|p(t)|$. So if assumption $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$ holds, for each $k \in \mathbf{N},\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|p_{k}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}<B$.

## 3 Main results

In order to investigate the existence of $2 k T$-periodic solutions to system (1.4), we need to study some properties of all possible $2 k T$-periodic solutions to the following system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x(t)-C x(t-\tau))^{\prime \prime}+\lambda \beta(t) x^{\prime}(t)+\lambda g(x(t-\gamma(t)))=\lambda p_{k}(t), \quad \lambda \in(0,1] . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, let $\Sigma \subset C_{2 k T}^{1}$ represent the set of all the $2 k T$-periodic solutions to system (3.1).

Theorem 3.1 Suppose assumptions $\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\right]-\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$ hold, $\beta_{L}^{\prime}>-2 m$, and

$$
\frac{\alpha\left[c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} L\left(|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)+L|\gamma|_{0}+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{M}\right]^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta_{L}^{\prime}+m\right)}<1,
$$

then for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, if $u \in \Sigma$, then there are positive constants $A_{0}, A_{1}, \rho_{0}$, and $\rho_{1}$ which are independent of $k$ and $\lambda$, such that

$$
\|u\|_{2} \leq A_{0}, \quad\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq A_{1}, \quad|u|_{0} \leq \rho_{0}, \quad\left|u^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \rho_{1}
$$

Proof For each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, if $u \in \Sigma$, then $u$ must satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime \prime}+\lambda \beta(t) u^{\prime}(t)+\lambda g(u(t-\gamma(t)))=\lambda p_{k}(t), \quad \lambda \in(0,1] . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq. (3.2) by $[A u](t)$ and integrating on the interval $[-k T, k T]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle[A u](t), \beta(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t+\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\langle[A u](t), g(u(t-\gamma(t)))\rangle d t \\
& \quad=\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle[A u](t), p_{k}(t)\right\rangle d t . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, $\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle u(t), \beta(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-k T}^{k T} \beta^{\prime}(t) u^{2}(t) d t$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle[A u](t), p_{k}(t)\right\rangle d t \\
& \quad=-\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\lambda \frac{1}{2} \int_{-k T}^{k T} \beta^{\prime}(t) u^{2}(t) d t+\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left\langle C u^{\prime}(t-\tau), \beta(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t \\
& \quad+\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\langle u(t), g(u(t-\gamma(t)))-g(u(t))\rangle d t+\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\langle u(t), g(u(t))\rangle d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\langle C u(t-\tau), g(u(t-\gamma(t)))-g(u(t-\tau))\rangle d t \\
& -\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\langle C u(t-\tau), g(u(t-\tau))\rangle d t \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and from (3.4) and $\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\right]$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta_{L}^{\prime}+m\right)\|u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq \\
& \quad \lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|\left\langle C u(t-\tau), \beta(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle\right| d t \\
& \quad+\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}|\langle u(t), g(u(t-\gamma(t)))-g(u(t))\rangle| d t \\
& \quad+\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}|\langle C u(t-\tau), g(u(t-\gamma(t)))-g(u(t-\tau))\rangle| d t  \tag{3.5}\\
& \quad+\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|\left\langle A u(t), p_{k}(t)\right\rangle\right| d t .
\end{align*}
$$

By using $\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\right]$ and Lemma 2.5, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-k T}^{k T}|\langle u(t), g(u(t-\gamma(t)))-g(u(t))\rangle| d t \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}|u(t)|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}|g(u(t-\gamma(t)))-g(u(t))|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \leq L|\gamma|_{0}\|u\|_{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

In a similar way as in the proof of (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-k T}^{k T}|\langle C u(t-\tau), g(u(t-\gamma(t)))-g(u(t-\tau))\rangle| d t \leq c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} L\left(|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)\|u\|_{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|\left\langle[A u](t), p_{k}(t)\right\rangle\right| d t & \leq\left\|e_{k}\right\|_{2}\|u\|_{2}+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|p_{k}\right\|_{2}\|u\|_{2} \\
& \leq B\left(1+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\|u\|_{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|\left\langle C u(t-\tau), \beta(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle\right| d t \leq c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{M}\|u\|_{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying (3.6)-(3.9), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta_{L}^{\prime}+m\right)\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq & \lambda\left[c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} L\left(|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)+L|\gamma|_{0}+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{M}\right]\|u\|_{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \\
& +\lambda B\left(1+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\|u\|_{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (3.10)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta_{L}^{\prime}+m\right)\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq & {\left[c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} L\left(|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)+L|\gamma|_{0}+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{M}\right]\|u\|_{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} } \\
& +B\left(1+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\|u\|_{2} . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

By using Lemma 2.4, we have $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2}=\left\|A^{-1} A u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{2}$, and from (3.10)-(3.11)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq & \frac{\alpha\left[c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} L\left(|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)+L|\gamma|_{0}+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{M}\right]^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta_{L}^{\prime}+m\right)}\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\frac{2 \alpha^{1 / 2} B\left(1+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} L\left(|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)+L|\gamma|_{0}+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{M}\right]\right.}{\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta_{L}^{\prime}+m\right)}\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \\
& +\frac{B^{2}\left(1+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta_{L}^{\prime}+m\right)} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\alpha\left[c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} L\left(|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)+L|\gamma|_{0}+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{M}\right]^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta_{L}^{\prime}+m\right)}<1
$$

there is a constant $M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq M  \tag{3.13}\\
& \left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} M:=A_{1} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

and by (3.11)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{2} \leq \frac{\left[c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} L\left(|\gamma|_{0}+|\tau|\right)+L|\gamma|_{0}+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{M}\right] A_{1}+B\left(1+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta_{L}^{\prime}+m\right)}:=A_{0} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ are constants independent of $k$ and $\lambda$. Thus by using Lemma 2.2, for all $t \in[-k T, k T]$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(t)| & \leq(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t-T}^{t+T}|u(s)|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t-T}^{t+T}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t-k T}^{t+k T}|u(s)|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t-k T}^{t+k T}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}|u(s)|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{0} \leq(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{2}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_{0}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_{1}:=\rho_{0} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{0}$ is a constant independent of $k$ and $\lambda$.

For $i=-k,-k+1, \ldots, k-1$, from the continuity of $\left[A u^{\prime}\right](t)$, one can find that there is a $t_{i} \in[i T,(i+1) T]$ such that

$$
\left|\left[A u^{\prime}\right]\left(t_{i}\right)\right|=\left|\frac{1}{T} \int_{i T}^{(i+1) T}\left[A u^{\prime}\right](s) d s\right|=\left|\frac{[A u]((i+1) T)-[A u](i T)}{T}\right| \leq \frac{2}{T}\left(1+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \rho_{0}
$$

and it follows from (3.14) that for $t \in[i T,(i+1) T], i=-k,-k+1, \ldots, k-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left[A u^{\prime}\right](t)\right|= & \left|\int_{t_{i}}^{t}[A u]^{\prime \prime}(s) d s+\left[A u^{\prime}\right]\left(t_{i}\right)\right| \\
\leq & \int_{t_{i}}^{t}\left|[A u]^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| d s+\frac{2}{T}\left(1+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \rho_{0} \\
\leq & \int_{i T}^{(i+1) T}\left|[A u]^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| d s+\frac{2}{T}\left(1+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \rho_{0} \\
\leq & \int_{i T}^{(i+1) T}\left|\beta(s) u^{\prime}(s)\right| d s+\int_{i T}^{(i+1) T}|g(u(s-\gamma(s)))| d s \\
& +\int_{i T}^{(i+1) T}\left|p_{k}(s)\right| d s+\frac{2}{T}\left(1+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \rho_{0} \\
\leq & \beta_{M} T^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+T g_{M}+T B+\frac{2}{T}\left(1+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \rho_{0} \\
\leq & \beta_{M} T^{\frac{1}{2}} A_{1}+T g_{M}+T B+\frac{2}{T}\left(1+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \rho_{0}:=\rho,
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A u^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \rho, \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{M}=\max _{|u|_{0} \leq \rho_{0}}|g(u(t-\tau(t)))|$.
By Lemma 2.4 and (3.17), we get

$$
\left|u^{\prime}\right|_{0}=\left|A^{-1} A u^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|1-\left|c_{i}\right|\right|}\right)\left|A u^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|1-\left|c_{i}\right|\right|}\right) \rho:=\rho_{1}
$$

Clearly, $\rho_{1}$ is a constant independent of $k$ and $\lambda$. Hence the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 holds.

Theorem 3.2 Assume that the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Then for each $k \in N$, Eq. (3.2) has at least one $2 k T$-periodic solution $u_{k}(t)$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{2} \leq A_{0}, \quad\left\|u_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq A_{1}, \quad\left|u_{k}\right|_{0} \leq \rho_{0}, \quad\left|u_{k}^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \rho_{1}
$$

where $A_{0}, A_{1}, \rho_{0}$, and $\rho_{1}$ are constants defined by Theorem 3.1.
Proof In order to use Lemma 2.1, for each $k \in N$, we consider the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u(t)-C u(t-\tau))^{\prime \prime}+\lambda \beta(t) u^{\prime}(t)+\lambda g(u(t-\gamma(t)))=\lambda p_{k}(t), \quad \lambda \in(0,1) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Omega_{1} \subset C_{2 k T}^{1}$ represent the set of all the $2 k T$-periodic of system (3.18), since $(0,1) \subset$ $(0,1]$, then $\Omega_{1} \subset \Sigma$, where $\Sigma$ is defined by Theorem 3.1. If $u \in \Omega_{1}$, by using Theorem 3.1,
we have

$$
|u|_{0} \leq \rho_{0}, \quad\left|u^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \rho_{1} .
$$

Let $\Omega_{2}=\{x: x \in \operatorname{Ker} L, Q N x=0\}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L: D(L) \subset C_{2 k T} \rightarrow C_{2 k T}, L u=(A u)^{\prime \prime}, \\
& N: C_{2 k T} \rightarrow C_{2 k T}^{1}, N u=-\beta(t) u^{\prime}(t)-g(u(t-\gamma(t)))+p_{k}(t), \\
& Q: C_{2 k T} \rightarrow C_{2 k T} / \operatorname{Im} L, Q y=\frac{1}{2 k T} \int_{-k T}^{k T} y(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $x \in \Omega_{2}$, then $x=a \in R^{n}$ (constant vector) and by $\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\right]$, we see that

$$
2 k T m|a|^{2} \leq \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|\left\langle(E-C) a, p_{k}(t)\right\rangle\right| d t \leq B|a|\left(1+c_{m}\right)(2 k T)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

i.e.,

$$
|a| \leq m^{-1} B T^{\frac{-1}{2}}\left(1+c_{m}\right):=B_{0} .
$$

Now, if we set $\Omega=\left\{x: x \in C_{2 k T}^{1},|x|_{0}<\rho_{0}+B_{0},\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0}<\rho_{1}+1\right\}$, then $\Omega \supset \Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$. So condition $\left[\mathrm{A}_{1}\right]$ and condition $\left[\mathrm{A}_{2}\right]$ of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. What remains is verifying condition $\left[A_{3}\right]$ of Lemma 2.1. In order to do this, let

$$
H(x, \mu):\left(\Omega \cap R^{n}\right) \times[0,1] \longrightarrow R^{n}: H(x, \mu)=-\mu x+(1-\mu) \Delta(x),
$$

where $\Delta(x)=\frac{1}{2 k T} \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left[g(x)-p_{k}(t)\right] d t$ is determined by Lemma 2.1. From assumption $\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\right]$, we have

$$
H(x, \mu) \neq 0, \quad \forall(x, \mu) \in\left[\partial\left(\Omega \cap R^{n}\right)\right] \times[0,1] .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\{J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} & =\operatorname{deg}\{H(x, 0), \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} \\
& =\operatorname{deg}\{H(x, 1), \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} \\
& \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So condition $\left[\mathrm{A}_{3}\right]$ of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied. Therefore, by using Lemma 2.1, we see that Eq. (1.2) has a $2 k T$-periodic solution $u_{k} \in \bar{\Omega}$. Evidently, $u_{k}(t)$ is a $2 k T$-periodic solution to Eq. (3.1) for the case of $\lambda=1$, so $u_{k} \in \Sigma$. Thus, by using Theorem 3.1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{2} \leq A_{0}, \quad\left\|u_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq A_{1}, \quad\left|u_{k}\right|_{0} \leq \rho_{0}, \quad\left|u_{k}^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \rho_{1} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.3 Suppose that the conditions in Theorem 3.1 hold, then Eq. (1.1) has a nontrivial homoclinic solution.

Proof From Theorem 3.2, we see that for each $k \in N$, there exists a $2 k T$-periodic solution $u_{k}(t)$ to Eq. (1.2). So for every $k \in N, u_{k}(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{k}(t)-C u_{k}(t-\tau)\right)^{\prime \prime}+\beta(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)+g\left(u_{k}(t-\gamma(t))\right)=p_{k}(t) . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y_{k}=\left(A u_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ for $k>k_{0}$. By (3.17),

$$
\left|y_{k}\right|_{0} \leq \rho
$$

and, by (3.20),

$$
\left|y_{k}^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \beta_{M}\left|u_{k}^{\prime}\right|_{0}+g_{M}+\sup _{t \in R}|p(t)|:=\rho_{2} .
$$

Obviously, $\rho_{2}$ is a constant independent of $k$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.4 in [5], we see that there exists a $u_{0} \in C^{1}\left(R, R^{n}\right)$ such that for each interval $[c, d] \subset R$, there is a subsequence $\left\{u_{k_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ with $R, u_{k_{j}}(t) \rightarrow u_{0}(t)$ and $u_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow u_{0}^{\prime}(t)$ uniformly on $[c, d]$.
For all $a, b \in R$ with $a<b$, there must be a positive integer $j_{0}$ such that for $j>j_{0}$, $\left[-k_{j} T, k_{j} T-\varepsilon_{0}\right] \supset\left[a-|\gamma|_{0}, b+|\gamma|_{0}\right]$. So for $t \in\left[a-|\gamma|_{0}, b+|\gamma|_{0}\right]$, from (1.5) and (3.20) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{k_{j}}(t)-C u_{k_{j}}(t-\tau)\right)^{\prime \prime}=-\beta(t) u_{k_{j}^{\prime}}^{\prime}(t)-g\left(u_{k_{j}}(t-\gamma(t))\right)+p(t) . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.21),

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{k}^{\prime} & =\left(A u_{k_{j}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =-\beta(t) u_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t)-g\left(u_{k_{j}}(t-\gamma(t))\right)+p(t) \\
& \rightarrow-\beta(t) u_{0}^{\prime}(t)-g\left(u_{0}(t-\gamma(t))\right)+p(t) \\
& :=\chi(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly on $[a, b]$.
By the fact that $y_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t)$ is a continuous differential on $(a, b)$, for $j>j_{0}, y_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \chi(t)$ uniformly $[a, b]$. We have $\chi(t)=\left(u_{0}(t)-C u_{0}(t-\tau)\right)^{\prime \prime}, t \in R$, in view of $a, b \in R$ being arbitrary, that is, $u_{0}(t)$ is a solution to system (1.1).
Now, we will prove $u_{0}(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $u_{0}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t & =\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{-i T}^{i T}\left(\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t \\
& =\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{-i T}^{i T}\left(\left|u_{k_{j}}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, for every $i \in N$ if $k_{j}>i$, by (3.14) and (3.15), we get

$$
\int_{-i T}^{i T}\left(\left|u_{k_{j}}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t \leq \int_{-k_{j} T}^{k_{j} T}\left(\left|u_{k_{j}}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t \leq A_{0}^{2}+A_{1}^{2} .
$$

Let $i \rightarrow+\infty$ and $j \rightarrow+\infty$; we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t \leq A_{0}^{2}+A_{1}^{2} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|t| \geq r}\left(\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t \rightarrow 0, \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$.
From (3.13), in a similar way we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)-C u_{0}^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right|^{2} d t \leq M^{2} . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by using Lemma 2.3 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u_{0}(t)\right| & \leq(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t-T}^{t+T}\left|u_{0}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t-T}^{t+T}\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \max \left\{(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \int_{t-T}^{t+T}\left(\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t \rightarrow 0, \quad|t| \rightarrow+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, in order to obtain

$$
\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0, \quad|t| \rightarrow+\infty,
$$

we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[\tilde{A} u^{\prime}\right]_{0}(t)\right|:=\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)-C u_{0}^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right| \rightarrow 0, \quad|t| \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.16), we have $|u|_{0} \leq \rho_{0}$ and by (1.1), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\left[\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\right](t)\right)^{\prime}\right| & \leq\left|\beta(t) u_{0}(t)\right|+\left|g\left(u_{0}(t-\gamma(t))\right)\right|+\sup _{t \in R}|p(t)| \\
& \leq \beta_{M} \rho_{0}+\sup _{|u| \leq \rho_{0}}|g(u)|+\sup _{t \in R}|p(t)|:=\tilde{M}, \quad \text { for } t \in R .
\end{aligned}
$$

If (3.25) does not hold, then there exist $\varepsilon_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and a sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\left|t_{1}\right|<\left|t_{2}\right|<\left|t_{3}\right|<\cdots<\left|t_{k}\right|+1<\left|t_{k+1}\right|, \quad k=1,2, \ldots,
$$

and

$$
\left|\left[\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\right]\left(t_{k}\right)\right| \geq 2 \varepsilon_{0}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

From this, we have, for $t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k}+\varepsilon_{0} /(1+\tilde{M})\right]$,

$$
\left|\left[\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\right](t)\right|=\left|\left[\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\right]\left(t_{k}\right)+\int_{t_{k}}^{t}\left(\left[\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\right](s)\right)^{\prime} d s\right| \geq\left|\left[\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\right]\left(t_{k}\right)\right|-\int_{t_{k}}^{t}\left|\left(\left[\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\right](s)\right)^{\prime}\right| d s \geq \varepsilon_{0} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|\left[\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\right]\left(t_{k}\right)\right|^{2} d t \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k}+\varepsilon_{0} /(1+\tilde{M})}\left|\left[\tilde{A} u_{0}^{\prime}\right]\left(t_{k}\right)\right|^{2} d t=\infty,
$$

which contradicts (3.24), so (3.25) holds.

Since $C$ is symmetrical, it is easy to see that there is an orthogonal matrix $T$ such that $T C T^{\top}=E_{c}=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$.
Let $y_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t)=T u_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t)=\left(y_{k_{j}}^{\prime(1)}(t), y_{k_{j}}^{\prime(2)}(t), \ldots, y_{k_{j}}^{\prime(n)}(t)\right)=T\left(u_{k_{j}}^{\prime(1)}(t), u_{k_{j}}^{\prime(2)}(t), \ldots, u_{k_{j}}^{\prime(n)}(t)\right)^{\top}$, then we get $y_{0}^{\prime}(t)=\left(y_{0}^{\prime(1)}(t), y_{0}^{\prime(2)}(t), \ldots, y_{0}^{\prime(n)}(t)\right)=T u_{0}^{\prime}(t)=T\left(u_{0}^{\prime(1)}(t), u_{0}^{\prime(2)}(t), \ldots, u_{0}^{\prime(n)}(t)\right)^{\top}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. By (3.25), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y_{0}^{\prime}(t)-E_{c} y_{0}^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right| \rightarrow 0, \quad|t| \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (3.19), we see that $\left|A u_{k}^{\prime}\right|<\left(1+c_{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \rho_{1}:=\tilde{B}$, which implies

$$
\left|T A u_{k}^{\prime}\right|=\left|\left\langle T A u_{k}^{\prime}, T A u_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}<\tilde{B},
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y_{k}^{\prime}(t)-E_{c} y_{k}^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right|<\tilde{B}, \quad \forall t \in R . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $N=\left[\log _{\left|c_{i}\right|^{\frac{\varepsilon\left(1-\left|c_{i}\right|\right)}{2 \tilde{B}}}}^{\frac{2}{2}}>0\right.$ such that $\sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty}\left|c_{i}\right|^{h}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \tilde{B}}\left(\left|c_{i}\right|<1\right)$, for $t>N$. Similarly, by (3.26), we see that there is a constant $G>0$ such that $\left|y_{0_{i}}^{\prime}(t)-c_{i} y_{0_{i}}^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right|<$ $\frac{\varepsilon}{2(N+1)}$, for $t>G$.
Then, by using Lemma 2.2 and (3.27), when $\left|c_{i}\right|<1$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|y_{0}^{\prime(i)}(t)\right| & =\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left|\left[A_{0}^{-1} A_{0} y_{k_{j}}^{\prime(i)}\right](t)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{h \geq 0}^{N} c_{i}^{h}\left[A_{0} y_{k_{j}^{\prime}}^{\prime(i)}\right](t-h \tau)+\sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty} c_{i}^{h}\left[A_{0} y_{k_{j}}^{\prime(i)}\right](t-h \tau)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{h \geq 0}^{N} c_{i}^{h}\left[A_{0} y_{k_{j}^{\prime}}^{\prime(i)}\right](t-h \tau)\right|+\left|\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty} c_{i}^{h}\left[A_{0} y_{k_{j}}^{\prime(i)}\right](t-h \tau)\right| \\
& \leq \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{h \geq 0}^{N}\left|c_{i}\right|^{h}\left|\left[A_{0} y_{k_{j}}^{\prime(i)}\right](t-h \tau)\right|+\tilde{B} \sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty}\left|c_{i}\right|^{h} \\
& =\sum_{h \geq 0}^{N}\left|c_{i}\right|^{h}\left|\left(y_{0}^{(i)}(t-h \tau)-c_{i} y_{0}^{\prime(i)}(t-(h+1) \tau)\right)\right|+\tilde{B} \sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty}\left|c_{i}\right|^{h} . \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, by (3.27) and (3.28), we conclude that $\forall \varepsilon>0$, there exists $\bar{N}=G+N$ such that for $t>\bar{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y_{0_{i}}^{\prime}(t)\right| & \leq \sum_{h \geq 0}^{N}\left|c_{i}\right|^{h}\left|\left(y_{0}^{\prime(i)}(t-h \tau)-c_{i} y_{0}^{\prime(i)}(t-(h+1) \tau)\right)\right|+\left|\tilde{B} \sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty} c_{i}^{h}\right| \\
& <(N+1) \frac{\varepsilon}{2(N+1)}+\tilde{B} \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \tilde{B}} \\
& =\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we get $\left|y_{0}^{\prime(i)}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0$, as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$.

In the similar way, when $\left|c_{i}\right|>1$, we can proof $\left|y_{0}^{\prime(i)}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0$, as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$.
Therefore, $\left|y_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0$, as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$; i.e.,

$$
T\left(\lim _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty} u_{0}^{\prime(1)}(t), \lim _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty} u_{0}^{\prime(2)}(t), \ldots, \lim _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty} u_{0}^{\prime(n)}(t)\right)^{\top}=O
$$

we know $T$ is an orthogonal matrix, then $u_{0}^{\prime(i)}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$.
Thus, we have

$$
\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0, \quad|t| \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Clearly, $u_{0}(t) \neq 0$; otherwise, $p(t)=0$, which contradicts the assumption $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$.
As an application, we consider the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u(t)-C u(t-0.01))^{\prime \prime}+\sin (t) x^{\prime}(t)+g\left(u\left(t-\cos ^{2} t\right)\right)=p(t), \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=\left(\begin{array}{cc}26 & 3 \\ 3 & 17\end{array}\right), u(t)=\left(u_{1}(t), u_{1}(t)\right)^{\top}, g(x)=x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{\top}$ and $p(t)=\left(p_{1}(t), p_{2}(t)\right)^{\top}=$ $\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}}\right)^{\top}$. Clearly, $\lambda_{1,2}=\frac{43 \pm \sqrt{117}}{2} \neq \pm 1$. Also, $\langle(E-C) x, g(x)\rangle=-25 x_{1}^{2}-6 x_{1} x_{2}-16 x_{2}^{2}<$ $-10\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)$ and $g(x)=x$, which implies that assumption $\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\right]$ is satisfied with $L=2$, $m=10 \cdot p(t)=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}}\right)^{\top}$ is a bounded function and $\left(\int_{R}|p(t)|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\sup _{t \in R}|p(t)|=$ $\sqrt{5}\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \pi\right)$, which implies that assumption $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$ holds. Furthermore, we can choose $\alpha=\frac{4}{(\sqrt{117}-41)^{2}}, c_{m}=\frac{43+\sqrt{117}}{2},|\gamma|_{0}=1, \beta_{M}=1$ and $\beta_{L}^{\prime}>-20$, then

$$
\frac{\frac{1}{(\sqrt{117}-41)^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{43+\sqrt{117}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2(1+0.01)+2+\left(\frac{43+\sqrt{117}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{2}}{-\frac{1}{2}+10}<1 .
$$

By applying Theorem 3.3, we see that Eq. (3.29) has a nontrivial homoclinic solution.
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