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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the existence of multiple positive solutions of the second-order periodic boundary value problems for functional differential equations with impulse. The proof of our main results is based upon the fixed point index theorem in cones.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we will consider the existence of positive solutions for boundary value problems of second order impulsive functional differential equations of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\rho(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+f\left(t, u_{t}\right)=0, \quad t \in J, t \neq t_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m  \tag{1.1}\\
-\Delta u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(u_{t_{k}}\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m \\
u_{0}=\varphi, \quad u(T)=A
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $J=[0, T], f: J \times C_{\tau} \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function, $\varphi \in C_{\tau}$ ( $C_{\tau}$ be given in Section 2), $\tau \geq 0, \rho(t) \in C(J,(0, \infty)), u_{t} \in C_{\tau}, u_{t}(\theta)=u(t+\theta), \theta \in[-\tau, 0] . I_{k} \in C\left(C_{\tau}, R\right), 0=t_{0}<t_{1}<$ $t_{2}<\cdots<t_{m}<t_{m+1}=T, J^{\prime}=(0, T) \backslash\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\} . \Delta u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)\left(u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right)$denote the right limit (left limit) of $u^{\prime}(t)$ at $t=t_{k}$, and $A \in R=(-\infty,+\infty)$.

Impulsive differential equations describe processes which experience a sudden change of their state at certain moments. The theory of impulse differential equations has been a significant development in recent years and played a very important role in modern applied mathematical models of real processes arising in phenomena studied in physics, population dynamics, chemical technology and biotechnology; see [1-3].

Many papers have been published about the existence analysis of periodic boundary value problems of first and second order for ordinary or functional or integro-differential equations with impulsive. We refer the readers to the papers [4-23]. For instance, in [6], He and Yu investigated the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}(t)=f\left(t, u(t), u_{t}\right), \quad t \neq t_{k}, 0<t<T \\
\Delta u\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m \\
u(t)=u(0), \quad t \in[-\tau, 0) \\
u(0)=u(T)
\end{array}\right.
$$

By using the coincidence degree, Dong [4] studied the following periodic boundary value problems (PBVP) for first-order functional differential equations with impulse:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}(t)=f\left(t, u_{t}\right), \quad t \neq t_{k}, 0<t<T  \tag{1.2}\\
\Delta u\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m \\
u(0)=u(T)
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is remarkable that the author required $u(t)=u(t+1)$ for $t \in[-\tau, 0]$. The author also obtained the existence of one solution of PBVP (1.2).
To study periodic boundary value problems for first and second order functional differential equations with impulse, the approaches used in [4, 6-8, 13-16, 20-23] are the monotone iterative technique and the method of upper and lower solutions. What they obtained is the existence of at least one solution if there is a pair of upper and lower solutions. However, in some cases it is difficult to find upper and lower solutions for general differential equations.
As we know, the fixed point theorem of cone expression and compression is extensively used to study the existence of multiple solutions of boundary value problems for secondorder differential equations. In paper [5], Ma considers the following periodic boundary value problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+f\left(t, u_{t}\right)=0, \quad 0<t<T,  \tag{1.3}\\
u_{0}=\varphi, \quad u(T)=A,
\end{array}\right.
$$

and he obtained some sufficient conditions for the existence of at least one positive solution of the PBVP (1.3).
In [19], by applying the fixed point theorem of cone expression and compression, Liu investigates the existence of multiple positive solutions of the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}(t)=f\left(t, u_{t}\right), \quad t \neq t_{k}, t \in(0, T), \\
\Delta u\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m, \\
u(t)=\varphi(t), \quad t \in[-\tau, 0], \\
u(0)=u(T) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Motivated by the results in [5, 19, 24], the aim of this paper is to consider the existence of multiple positive solutions for the PBVP (1.1) by using some properties of the Green function and the fixed point index theorem in cones.

This paper will be divided into three sections. In Section 2, we provide some preliminaries and establish several lemmas which will be used throughout Section 3. In Section 3, we shall give the existence theorems of multiplicity positive solutions of PBVP (1.1).

## 2 Preliminary and lemmas

Let $C_{\tau}:=\{\varphi:[-\tau, 0] \rightarrow R ; \varphi(t)$ is continuous everywhere except for a finite number of points $\bar{t}$ at which $\varphi\left(\bar{t}^{+}\right)$and $\varphi\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right)$exist and $\left.\varphi\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right)=\varphi(\bar{t})\right\}$, then $C_{\tau}$ is a normed space with the norm

$$
\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}=\sup _{\theta \in[-\tau, 0]}|\varphi(\theta)|, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{\tau} .
$$

Let $J^{*}=[-\tau, T], C\left(J^{*}\right)$ and $C^{1}\left(J^{*}\right)$ represent the set of a continuous and continuously differentiable on $J^{*}$, respectively. Moreover, for $u \in C\left(J^{*}\right)$ we define $\|u\|_{J^{*}}=\sup _{t \in J^{*}}|u(t)|$. Furthermore, we denote:
$P C\left(J^{*}\right)=\left\{u: u(t)\right.$ is a map from $J^{*}$ into $R$ such that $u(t)$ is continuous at $t \neq t_{k}$, and $u\left(t_{k}^{+}\right), u\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$exist and $u\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=u\left(t_{k}\right)$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, m ; u(t)=\varphi(t)$ for $\left.t \in[-\tau, 0]\right\}$.
$P C^{1}\left(J^{*}\right)=\left\{u \in P C\left(J^{*}\right):\left.u\right|_{\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)} \in C^{1}\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right), u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right.$and $u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$exist; and $u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, m\} . P C^{+}\left(J^{*}\right)=\left\{u \in P C\left(J^{*}\right): u(t) \geq 0, t \in[-\tau, T]\right\}$.
Clearly, $P C\left(J^{*}\right)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|u\|_{J^{*}}=\sup _{t \in J^{*}}|u(t)|$ for $u(t) \in P C\left(J^{*}\right)$. $P C^{1}\left(J^{*}\right)$ is also a Banach space with the norm $\|u\|_{1}=\max \left\{\|u\|_{J^{*}},\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J^{*}}\right\}$.

We need to assume the following conditions:
(A1) $1-\int_{0}^{T} G(s, s) d s>0 ; \varphi(\theta) \geq 0$ for $\theta \in[-\tau, 0]$, and $A \geq \phi(0)$;
(A2) $f(t, u) \geq 0$ for $t \in[0, T]$ and $u \in P C^{+}\left(J^{*}\right)$;
(A3) $I_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, m)$ are continuous and $I_{k}(u) \geq 0$ for $u \in P C^{+}\left(J^{*}\right)$.

Lemma 2.1 (see [25]) Let $E$ be a Banach spaces and $K \subset E$ be a cone in $E$. Let $r>0$ and $\Omega_{r}=\{x \in K:\|x\|<r\}$. Assume that $S: \bar{\Omega}_{r} \rightarrow K$ is a completely continuous operator such that $S x \neq x$ for $x \in \partial \Omega_{r}$.
(i) If $\|S x\| \leq\|x\|$ for $x \in \partial \Omega_{r}$, then $i\left(S, \Omega_{r}, K\right)=1$.
(ii) If $\|S x\| \geq\|x\|$ for $x \in \partial \Omega_{r}$, then $i\left(S, \Omega_{r}, K\right)=0$.

Lemma 2.2 For any $y, a_{k} \in P C(J, R)$, and $\eta, A \in R$. Then the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\rho(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+y(t)=0, \quad t \in J, t \neq t_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, m,  \tag{2.1}\\
-\Delta u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=a_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m \\
u(0)=\eta, \quad u(T)=A
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a unique solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\eta+\frac{(A-\eta)}{\phi(T)} \phi(t)+\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) y(s) d s+\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) a_{k}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G(t, s)=\frac{1}{\phi(T)}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
(\phi(T)-\phi(t)) \phi(s), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T,  \tag{2.3}\\
\phi(t)(\phi(T)-\phi(s)), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T,
\end{array} \quad \phi(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d s}{\rho(s)}<+\infty .\right.
$$

Proof Integrating the first equation of (2.1) over the interval $[0, t]$ for $t \in[0, T)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=u(0)+\rho(0) u^{\prime}(0) \phi(t)-\int_{0}^{t}[\phi(t)-\phi(s)] y(s) d s-\sum_{0<t_{k}<t}\left[\phi(t)-\phi\left(t_{k}\right)\right] \rho\left(t_{k}\right) a_{k} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the boundary conditions $u(0)=\eta, u(T)=A$ and (2.4) that

$$
u^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1}{\rho(0) \phi(T)}\left[(A-\eta)+\int_{0}^{T}[\phi(T)-\phi(s)] y(s) d s+\sum_{0<t_{k}<T}\left[\phi(T)-\phi\left(t_{k}\right)\right] \rho\left(t_{k}\right) a_{k}\right] .
$$

Together with (2.4), we obtain (2.2).

By the standard discussion, we have the following lemma which will be used later.

Lemma 2.3 The Green function $G(t, s)$ defined in (2.3) has the following properties:
(i) $G(t, s) \geq 0, \forall t, s \in[0, T]$;
(ii) $G(t, s) \leq G(s, s)<+\infty, \forall t, s \in[0, T]$;
(iii) $0<\sigma G(s, s) \leq G(t, s), \forall t \in[\alpha, \beta], s \in[0, T]$, where $\alpha \in\left(0, t_{1}\right], \beta \in\left[t_{m}, T\right)$, and

$$
0<\sigma:=\min \left\{\frac{\phi(T)-\phi(\beta)}{\phi(T)-\phi(\alpha)}, \frac{\phi(\alpha)}{\phi(\beta)}\right\}<1 .
$$

Form Lemma 2.2, the problem (1.1) is equivalent to the integral equation:

$$
(S u)(t):=u(t)= \begin{cases}\varphi(0)+\frac{(A-\varphi(0))}{\phi(T)} \phi(t)+\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) f\left(s, u_{s}\right) d s &  \tag{2.5}\\ \quad+\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(u_{t_{k}}\right), & t \in[0, T] \\ \varphi(t), & t \in[-\tau, 0]\end{cases}
$$

Definition 2.4 A function $u \in P C^{1}\left(J^{*}\right) \cap C^{2}(J)$ is called a positive solution of PBVP (1.1), if it satisfies the $\operatorname{PBVP}(1.1)$ and $u(t) \geq 0$ on $J^{*}$, and $u(t) \not \equiv 0$ on $J$.

Define a cone $K$ in $P C\left(J^{*}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left\{x \in P C\left(J^{*}\right): x \geq 0 \text { on } J^{*} \text { and } x(t) \geq \sigma\|x\|_{[0, T]}, \forall t \in[\alpha, \beta]\right\} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.5 The operator $S: K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.

Proof It is easy to show that $S(K) \subseteq K$ holds. Let $B$ be a bounded subset in $P C\left(J^{*}\right)$. By virtue of the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, we only show that $S(B)$ is bounded in $P C\left(J^{*}\right)$ and $S(B)$ is equicontinuous. For any $u \in C_{\tau}$ and $\theta \in[-\tau, 0], t \in[0, T]$, we have $u_{t}(\theta)=u(t+\theta)$. Therefore, the set $\left\{u_{t}: u \in B, t \in[0, T]\right\}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to $t \in[0, T]$ on $C_{\tau}$. Then there exist two constants $L_{1}>0, L_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{u \in B, s \in[0, T]}\left\{\left|f\left(s, u_{s}\right)\right|\right\}<L_{1}, \quad \max _{u \in B, 0<t_{k}<T}\left\{\left|I_{k}\left(u_{t_{k}}\right)\right|\right\}<L_{2}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\max _{t, s \in[0, T]}\{|G(t, s)|\}, \quad L_{0}=\max _{t, t_{k} \in[0, T]}\left\{\left|G\left(t, t_{k}\right)\right|\right\}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.5), (2.7), and (2.8) that $S(B)$ is bounded in $P C\left(J^{*}\right)$.
Let $u \in B$ and $t, t^{\prime} \in[-\tau, T]$ with $t<t^{\prime}$. There are three possibilities:
Case I. If $0 \leq t<t^{\prime} \leq T$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(S u)(t)-(S u)\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq & \int_{0}^{T}\left|G(t, s)-G\left(t^{\prime}, s\right)\right| f\left(s, u_{s}\right) d s \\
& +\frac{A-\phi(0)}{\phi(T)}\left|\phi(t)-\phi\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& +\sum_{0<t_{k}<T}\left|G\left(t, t_{k}\right)-G\left(t^{\prime}, t_{k}\right)\right| \rho\left(t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(u_{t_{k}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case II. If $-\tau \leq t<t^{\prime} \leq 0$, then we have $\left|(S u)(t)-(S u)\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\varphi(t)-\varphi\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right|$.

Case III. If $-\tau \leq t<0<t^{\prime} \leq T$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(S u)(t)-(S u)\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq & \left|(S u)\left(t^{\prime}\right)-(S u)(0)\right|+|(S u)(0)-(S u)(t)| \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{T}\left|G\left(t^{\prime}, s\right)-G(0, s)\right| f\left(s, u_{s}\right) d s \\
& +\frac{A-\varphi(0)}{\phi(T)}|\phi(t)-0|+|\varphi(0)-\varphi(t)| \\
& +\sum_{0<t_{k}<T}\left|G\left(t^{\prime}, t_{k}\right)-G\left(0, t_{k}\right)\right| \rho\left(t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(u_{t_{k}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, in either case, it follows from the continuity of $G(t, s)$ and the uniform continuity of $\varphi$ in $[-\tau, 0]$ that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive constant $\delta$, independent of $t$, $t^{\prime}$ and $u$, whenever $\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|<\delta$, such that $\left|(S u)(t)-S(u)\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon$ holds. Therefore, $S(B)$ is equicontinuous.

## 3 The main results

In this section, we shall consider the existence of multiple positive solutions for the periodic boundary value problems (1.1).
For convenience sake, we set

$$
f_{\infty}:=\lim _{v \in P C^{+},\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t, v)}{\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]}}, \quad I_{k}^{\infty}:=\lim _{v \in P C^{+},\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_{k}(v)}{\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]}}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m .
$$

For the first theorem we need the following hypotheses:
(C1) There exists a constant $a_{1}>0$ such that for $v \in P C^{+}\left(J^{*}\right):\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]} \leq a_{1}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(t, v) \geq M_{0} \max \left\{\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]},\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\}, & \forall t \in[0, T], \\
I_{k}(v) \geq M_{k} \max \left\{\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]},\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m,
\end{array}
$$

where $M_{0}$ and $M_{k}$ are two positive constants satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left\{M_{0} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) d s+\sum_{\alpha<t_{k}<\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) M_{k}\right\} \geq 1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(C2) There exists a constant $b_{1}>0$ satisfying:

$$
b_{1}>\max \left\{\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}, a_{1},(1-D)^{-1} A\right\} \quad(D \text { be given in (3.2)) }
$$

such that

$$
f(t, v) \leq M_{0}^{*}\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T] \quad \text { and } \quad I_{k}(v) \leq M_{k}^{*}\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

for $v \in P C^{+}\left(J^{*}\right):\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]} \leq b_{1}$, where $M_{0}^{*}>0, M_{k}^{*}>0$ are constants satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D:=\max _{t \in[0, T]}\left\{M_{0}^{*} \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) M_{k}^{*}\right\}<1 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1 Assume that (C1), (C2), $f_{\infty}=\infty$, and $I_{k}^{\infty}=\infty$ hold. Then PBVP (1.1) has at least two positive solutions $u_{*}, u_{* *}$ with $0<\left\|u_{*}\right\|_{[-\tau, T]}<b_{1}<\left\|u_{* *}\right\|_{[-\tau, T]}$.

Proof For any $u \in K$, we have $u(t) \geq \sigma\|u\|_{[0, T]}, t \in[\alpha, \beta]$. It follows from the definitions of $P C\left(J^{*}\right)$ and $u_{t}$ that

$$
\left\|u_{s}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]}=\sup _{\theta \in[-\tau, 0]}|u(s+\theta)| \geq u(s) \geq \sigma\|u\|_{[0, T]} .
$$

Then for $u \in K$ with $\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}=a_{1}$, it follows from (2.5) and assumption (C1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
(S u)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)= & \varphi(0)+\frac{(A-\varphi(0))}{\phi(T)} \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{T} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) f\left(s, u_{s}\right) d s \\
& +\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(u_{t_{k}}\right) \\
\geq & \int_{0}^{T} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) f\left(s, u_{s}\right) d s+\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(u_{t_{k}}\right) \\
\geq & \int_{0}^{T} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) M_{0} \max \left\{\left\|u_{s}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]},\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\} d s \\
& +\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) M_{k} \max \left\{\left\|u_{t_{k}}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]},\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\}  \tag{3.3}\\
\geq & \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) M_{0} \max \left\{\left\|u_{s}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]},\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\} d s \\
& +\sum_{\alpha<t_{k}<\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) M_{k} \max \left\{\left\|u_{t_{k}}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]},\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\} \\
\geq & \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) M_{0} \max \left\{\sigma\|u\|_{[0, T]},\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\} d s \\
& +\sum_{\alpha<t_{k}<\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) M_{k} \max \left\{\sigma\|u\|_{[0, T]},\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\} \\
\geq & \sigma\left\{M_{0} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) d s+\sum_{\alpha<t_{k}<\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) M_{k}\right\}\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]} \\
\geq & \|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}=a_{1} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now if we set $\Omega_{a_{1}}=\left\{u \in K:\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}<a_{1}\right\}$, then (3.4) shows that $\|S u\|_{[-\tau, T]} \geq\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}$ for $u \in \partial \Omega_{a_{1}}$. Thus, Lemma 2.1 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(S, \Omega_{a_{1}}, K\right)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in K$ with $\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}=b_{1}$, from (2.5) and assumption (C2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|S u(t)| & = \begin{cases}\left\lvert\, \varphi(0)+\frac{(A-\varphi(0))}{\phi(T)} \phi(t)+\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) f\left(s, u_{s}\right) d s\right. \\
+\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(u_{t_{k}}\right) \mid, & t \in[0, T], \\
|\varphi(t)|, & t \in[-\tau, 0]\end{cases} \\
& \leq \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) M_{0}^{*}\left\|u_{s}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]} d s+\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) M_{k}^{*}\left\|u_{t_{k}}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]}+A, \\
\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max _{t \in[0, T]}\left\{M_{0}^{*} \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s)\left\|u_{s}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]} d s\right. \\
\left.+\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) M_{k}^{*}\left\|u_{t_{k}}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\}+A, \\
\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D b_{1}+A, \\
\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}
\end{array}\right. \\
& <b_{1} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $\Omega_{b_{1}}=\left\{u \in K:\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}<b_{1}\right\}$. Then (3.6) shows that $\|S u\|_{[-\tau, T]}<\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}$ for $u \in \partial \Omega_{b_{1}}$.
Hence, Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(S, \Omega_{b_{1}}, K\right)=1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to $f_{\infty}=\infty$ and $I_{k}^{\infty}=\infty$, choose a constant $b^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{*}>\max \left\{\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}, b_{1}\right\} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(t, v) \geq \bar{M}_{0}\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T], \forall v \in P C^{+}\left(J^{*}\right), \sigma b^{*} \leq\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]}, \\
& I_{k}(v) \geq \bar{M}_{k}\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]}, \quad \forall v \in P C^{+}\left(J^{*}\right), \sigma b^{*} \leq\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]}, k=1,2, \ldots, m,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{M}_{0}>0, \bar{M}_{k}>0$ are constants satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left\{\bar{M}_{0} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) d s+\sum_{\alpha<t_{k}<\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) \bar{M}_{k}\right\}>1 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in K$ with $\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}=b^{*}$, from (2.5), Lemma 2.5 and (3.9), by using the same method to get (3.3), we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
(S u)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)= & \varphi(0)+\frac{(A-\varphi(0))}{\phi(T)} \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{T} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) f\left(s, u_{s}\right) d s \\
& +\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(u_{t_{k}}\right) \\
\geq & \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) \bar{M}_{0}\left\|u_{s}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]} d s+\sum_{\alpha<t_{k}<\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) \bar{M}_{k}\left\|u_{t_{k}}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]} \\
\geq & \sigma\left\{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) \bar{M}_{0} d s+\sum_{\alpha<t_{k}<\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) \bar{M}_{k}\right\}\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}>b^{*} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now if we set $\Omega_{b^{*}}=\left\{u \in K:\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}<b^{*}\right\}$. Then (3.10) shows that $\|S u\|_{[-\tau, T]}>\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}$ for $u \in \partial \Omega_{b^{*}}$. Thus, an application of Lemma 2.1 again shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(S, \Omega_{b^{*}}, K\right)=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a_{1}<b_{1}<b^{*}$, it follows from (3.5), (3.7), (3.11), and the additivity of the fixed index that

$$
i\left(S, \Omega_{b_{1}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{a_{1}}, K\right)=1, \quad i\left(S, \Omega_{b^{*}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{b_{1}}, K\right)=-1
$$

Thus, $S$ has a fixed point $u_{*}$ in $\Omega_{b_{1}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{a_{1}}$, and a fixed point $u_{* *}$ in $\Omega_{b^{*}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{b_{1}}$. They are positive solutions of the PBVP (1.1) and

$$
0<\left\|u_{*}\right\|_{[-\tau, T]}<b_{1}<\left\|u_{* *}\right\|_{[-\tau, T]} .
$$

The proof is complete.

For the second theorem we need the following hypotheses:
(C3) There exists a constant $a_{2}>0$ satisfying

$$
a_{2}>\max \left\{\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]},\left(1-D^{*}\right)^{-1} A\right\} \quad\left(D^{*}\right. \text { is given in (3.12)) }
$$

such that for $v \in P C^{+}\left(J^{*}\right):\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]} \leq a_{2}$,

$$
f(t, v) \leq \lambda_{0}^{*}\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T] \quad \text { and } \quad I_{k}(v) \leq \lambda_{k}^{*}\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

where $\lambda_{0}^{*}, \lambda_{k}^{*}$ are positive constants satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{*}:=\max _{t \in[0, T]}\left\{\lambda_{0}^{*} \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) \lambda_{k}^{*}\right\}<1 . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(C4) $H_{k}: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}(k=1,2, \ldots, m)$ are continuous nonincreasing functions such that $\left|I_{k}(v)\right| \leq H_{k}\left(\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right)$ for $v \in P C^{+}\left(J^{*}\right)$.
(C5) There exists a constant $b_{2}$ with $b_{2}>a_{2}$ such that for any $v \in P C^{+}\left(J^{*}\right): \sigma b_{2} \leq$ $\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]} \leq b_{2}$,

$$
f(t, v) \geq \lambda_{0} \max \left\{\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]},\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T]
$$

and

$$
I_{k}(v) \geq \lambda_{k} \max \left\{\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]},\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

where $\lambda_{0}>0, \lambda_{k}>0$, and

$$
\sigma\left\{\lambda_{0} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) d s+\sum_{\alpha<t_{k}<\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) \lambda_{k}\right\} \geq 1 .
$$

Theorem 3.2 Assume that (C3)-(C5), and $f_{\infty}=0$ hold. Then PBVP (1.1) has at least two positive solutions $u_{*}, u_{* *}$ with $0<\left\|u_{*}\right\|_{[-\tau, T]}<b_{2}<\left\|u_{* *}\right\|_{[-\tau, T]}$.

Proof For any $u \in K$ with $\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}=a_{2}$. According to (2.5) and assumption (C3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|S u(t)| & = \begin{cases}\left\lvert\, \varphi(0)+\frac{(A-\varphi(0))}{\phi(T)} \phi(t)+\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) f\left(s, u_{s}\right) d s\right. \\
+\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(u_{t_{k}}\right) \mid, & t \in[0, T], \\
|\varphi(t)|, & t \in[-\tau, 0],\end{cases} \\
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max _{t \in[0, T]}\left[\lambda_{0}^{*} \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s)\left\|u_{s}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]} d s\right. \\
\left.+\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) \lambda_{k}^{*}\left\|u_{t_{k}}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\}+A,
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D^{*} a_{2}+A, \\
\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}
\end{array}\right. \\
& <a_{2} . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $\Omega_{a_{2}}=\left\{u \in K:\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}<a_{2}\right\}$. Then (3.13) shows that $\|S u\|_{[-\tau, T]}<\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}$ for $u \in$ $\partial \Omega_{a_{2}}$. Thus Lemma 2.1 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(S, \Omega_{a_{2}}, K\right)=1 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from (2.5) and assumption (C5) that

$$
\begin{align*}
(S u)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)= & \varphi(0)+\frac{(A-\varphi(0))}{\phi(T)} \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{T} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) f\left(s, u_{s}\right) d s \\
& +\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(u_{t_{k}}\right) \\
\geq & \int_{0}^{T} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) \lambda_{0} \max \left\{\left\|u_{s}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]},\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\} d s \\
& +\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) \lambda_{k} \max \left\{\left\|u_{t_{k}}\right\|_{[-\tau, 0]},\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\} \\
\geq & \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) \lambda_{0} \max \left\{\sigma\|u\|_{[0, T]},\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\} d s \\
& +\sum_{\alpha<t_{k}<\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) \lambda_{k} \max \left\{\sigma\|u\|_{[0, T]},\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right\} \\
\geq & \sigma\left\{\lambda_{0} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) d s+\sum_{\alpha<t_{k}<\beta} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) \lambda_{k}\right\}\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]} \\
\geq & \|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}=b_{2} \quad \text { for any } u \in K \text { with }\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}=b_{2} . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $\Omega_{b_{2}}=\left\{u \in K:\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}<b_{2}\right\}$. Then (3.15) implies that $\|S u\|_{[-\tau, T]} \geq\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}$ for $u \in$ $\partial \Omega_{b_{2}}$. Thus an application of Lemma 2.1 again shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(S, \Omega_{b_{2}}, K\right)=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of assumption $(\mathrm{C} 4)$ and $f_{\infty}=0$, there are two possibilities:
Case 1. Suppose that $f$ is unbounded, then there exists a constant $d_{1}$ satisfying:

$$
d_{1}>\max \left\{\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}, b_{2}\right\}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, v) \leq \varepsilon_{0}\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]} \quad \text { for } v \in P C^{+}\left(J^{*}\right):\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]} \geq d_{1}, \forall t \in[0, T] \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left|I_{k}(v)\right| \leq H_{k}\left(\|v\|_{[-\tau, 0]}\right) \leq H_{k}\left(d_{1}\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is a positive constant satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{t \in[0, T]}\left\{\varepsilon_{0} d_{1} \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) H_{k}\left(d_{1}\right)\right\}+A \leq d_{1} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u \in K$ with $\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}=d_{1}$, then it follows from (2.5), (3.17), and (3.18) that

$$
\begin{align*}
|S u(t)| & \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max _{t \in[0, T]}\left\{\varepsilon_{0} d_{1} \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) d s+\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) H_{k}\left(d_{1}\right)\right\}+A, \\
\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \leq d_{1} . \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 2. Suppose that $f$ is bounded. Then there exists a constant $N$ such that

$$
|f(t, v)| \leq N, \quad t \in[0, T], \forall v \in P C^{+}\left(J^{*}\right)
$$

Taking

$$
d_{2} \geq \max \left\{\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}, \max _{t \in[0, T]}\left\{N \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) d s+\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) H_{k}(0)\right\}+A, b_{2}\right\} .
$$

For $u \in K$ and $\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}=d_{2}$, from (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|S u(t)| & \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max _{t \in[0, T]}\left\{N \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) d s+\sum_{0<t_{k}<T} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) \rho\left(t_{k}\right) H_{k}(0)\right\}+A, \\
\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \leq d_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $d=\max \left\{d_{1}, d_{2}\right\}$. Hence, in either case, we always may set

$$
\Omega_{d}=\left\{u \in K:\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}<d\right\}
$$

such that $\|S u\|_{[-\tau, T]} \leq\|u\|_{[-\tau, T]}$ for $u \in \partial \Omega_{d}$. Thus, Lemma 2.1 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(S, \Omega_{d}, K\right)=1 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a_{2}<b_{2}<d$, it follows from (3.14), (3.16), (3.20), and the additivity of the fixed point index that

$$
i\left(S, \Omega_{b_{2}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{a_{2}}, K\right)=-1, \quad i\left(S, \Omega_{d} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{b_{2}}, K\right)=1
$$

Thus, $S$ has a fixed point $u_{*}$ in $\Omega_{b_{2}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{a_{2}}$, and a fixed point $u_{* *}$ in $\Omega_{d} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{b_{2}}$. They are positive solutions of the PBVP (1.1) and

$$
0<\left\|u_{*}\right\|_{[-\tau, T]}<b_{2}<\left\|u_{* *}\right\|_{[-\tau, T]} .
$$

The proof is complete.

Example 3.3 Consider the following PBVP:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(e^{t} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=\left(1+t^{2}\right) F\left(\sup _{\theta \in[-1,0]}\left|u_{t}(\theta)\right|\right)  \tag{3.21}\\
-\Delta u^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=I\left(\sup _{\theta \in[-1,0]}\left|u\left(\frac{1}{2}+\theta\right)\right|\right), \\
u(t)=\frac{1}{20}(1+\sin t), \quad t \in[-1,0], \quad u(1)=2
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
F(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1-\sqrt{x}, & x \in[0,0.25),  \tag{3.22}\\
2 x, & x \in[0.25,20), \\
\frac{1}{10} x^{2}, & x \in[20,+\infty),
\end{array} \quad I(x)= \begin{cases}x+0.8, & x \in[0,0.6) \\
\frac{7}{3} x, & x \in[0.6,21) \\
\frac{1}{147} x^{3}-14, & x \in[21,+\infty)\end{cases}\right.
$$

Then PBVP (3.21) has at least two positive solutions $u_{*}, u_{* *}$ with $0<\left\|u_{*}\right\|_{[-1,1]}<10<$ $\left\|u_{* *}\right\|_{[-1,1]}$.

Proof PBVP (3.21) can be regarded as a PBVP of the form (1.1), where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, x)=\left(1+t^{2}\right) F(\|x\|), \quad I_{1}(x)=I(\|x\|), \quad \varphi(t)=\frac{1}{20}(1+\sin t) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\rho(t)=e^{t}, A=2, \tau=-1, T=1$.
First we have $1-\int_{0}^{1} G(s, s) d s \approx 0.8980$. By choosing $\alpha=0.25, \beta=0.75$, we get $\sigma=$ $0.2543<1$. On the other hand, it follows from (3.21) and (3.22) that $f_{\infty}=\infty$ and $I_{1}^{\infty}=\infty$ are satisfied. Finally, we show that (C1) and (C2) hold. Choose $M_{0}=10, M_{1}=16, a_{1}=\frac{1}{25}$, $b_{1}=10, M_{0}^{*}=2, M_{1}^{*}=\frac{7}{3}$. By calculation, we get

$$
\sigma\left\{M_{0} \int_{0.25}^{0.75} G\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right) d s+G\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) M_{1}\right\} \approx 1.0868>1, \quad D \approx 0.7754
$$

and

$$
\max \left\{\|\varphi\|_{[-\tau, 0]}, a_{1},(1-D)^{-1} A\right\} \approx 8.9048<b_{1}=10
$$

Then it is not difficult to see that the conditions (C1) and (C2) hold.
By Theorem 3.1, PBVP (3.21) has at least two positive solutions $u_{*}, u_{* *}$ with $0<$ $\left\|u_{*}\right\|_{[-1,1]}<10<\left\|u_{* *}\right\|_{[-1,1]}$.
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