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#### Abstract

By using the classical fixed point theorem for operators on a cone, in this paper, some results of one and two positive solutions to a class of nonlinear first-order periodic boundary value problems of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales are obtained. MSC: 39A10; 34B15 Keywords: time scale; periodic boundary value problem; fixed point; impulsive dynamic equation


## 1 Introduction

The theory of dynamic equations on time scales has been a new important mathematical branch [1-3] since it was initiated by Hilger [4]. At the same time, the boundary value problems of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales have received considerable attention [5-21] since the theory of impulsive differential equations is a lot richer than the corresponding theory of differential equations without impulse effects [22-24].
In this paper, we concerned with the existence of positive solutions for the following PBVPs of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\Delta}(t)+p(t) x(\sigma(t))=f(t, x(\sigma(t))), \quad t \in J:=[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, t \neq t_{k},  \tag{1.1}\\
x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m, \\
x(0)=x(\sigma(T)),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathbb{T}$ is an arbitrary time scale, $T>0$ is fixed, $0, T \in \mathbb{T}, f \in C(J \times[0, \infty),[0, \infty)), I_{k} \in$ $C([0, \infty),[0, \infty)), p:[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is right-dense continuous, $t_{k} \in(0, T)_{\mathbb{T}}, 0<t_{1}<\cdots<$ $t_{m}<T$, and, for each $k=1,2, \ldots, m, x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0^{+}} x\left(t_{k}+h\right)$ and $x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0^{-}} x\left(t_{k}+h\right)$ represent the right and left limits of $x(t)$ at $t=t_{k}$.
By using the Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, Wang [18] considered the existence of one or two positive solutions to the problem (1.1).

In [20], by using the Schaefer fixed point theorem, Wang and Weng obtained the existence of at least one solution to the problem (1.1).

When $I_{k}(x) \equiv 0, k=1,2, \ldots, m,[25,26]$ considered the existence of solutions to the problem (1.1) by means of the Schaefer fixed point theorem; when $p(t)=0$, the problem (1.1) reduces to the problem studied by $[12,19]$.

Motivated by the results mentioned above, in this paper, we shall obtain the existence of one and two solutions to the problem (1.1) by means of a fixed point theorem in cones. The results obtained in this paper improve the results in [18] intrinsically.

Throughout this work, we assume knowledge of time scales and the time-scale notation, first introduced by Hilger [4]. For more on time scales, please see the texts by Bohner and Peterson [2, 3].
In the remainder of this section, we state the following fixed point theorem [27].
Theorem 1.1 ([27]) Let $X$ be a Banach space and $K \subset X$ be a cone in $X$. Assume $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ are bounded open subsets of $X$ with $0 \in \Omega_{1} \subset \bar{\Omega}_{1} \subset \Omega_{2}$ and $\Phi: K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \rightarrow K$ is a completely continuous operator. If
(i) there exists $u_{0} \in K \backslash\{0\}$ such that $u-\Phi u \neq \lambda u_{0}, u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}, \lambda \geq 0$; $\Phi u \neq \tau u$, $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}, \tau \geq 1$,or
(ii) there exists $u_{0} \in K \backslash\{0\}$ such that $u-\Phi u \neq \lambda u_{0}, u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}, \lambda \geq 0$; $\Phi u \neq \tau u$, $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}, \tau \geq 1$,
then $\Phi$ has at least one fixed point in $K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout the rest of this paper, we always assume that the points of impulse $t_{k}$ are rightdense for each $k=1,2, \ldots, m$.

We define
$P C=\left\{x \in[0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow R: x_{k} \in C\left(J_{k}, R\right), k=0,1,2, \ldots, m\right.$ and there exist

$$
\left.x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \text {and } x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) \text {with } x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=x\left(t_{k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

where $x_{k}$ is the restriction of $x$ to $J_{k}=\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right]_{\mathbb{T}} \subset(0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}, k=1,2, \ldots, m$, and $J_{0}=\left[0, t_{1}\right]_{\mathbb{T}}$, $t_{m+1}=\sigma(T)$.
Let

$$
X=\{x: x \in P C, x(0)=x(\sigma(T))\}
$$

with the norm $\|x\|=\sup _{t \in[0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}}|x(t)|$, then $X$ is a Banach space.
Lemma 2.1 Suppose $M>0$ and $h:[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow R$ is $r d$-continuous, then $x$ is a solution of

$$
x(t)=\int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s) h(s) \Delta s+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad t \in[0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}},
$$

where

$$
G(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{e_{M}(s, t) e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)}{e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq \sigma(T), \\ \frac{e_{M}(s, t)}{e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)-1}, & 0 \leq t<s \leq \sigma(T),\end{cases}
$$

if and only if $x$ is a solution of the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}x^{\Delta}(t)+M x(\sigma(t))=h(t), & t \in J, t \neq t_{k}, \\ x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), & k=1,2, \ldots, m, \\ x(0)=x(\sigma(T)) . & \end{cases}
$$

Proof Since the proof is similar to that of [18], Lemma 3.1, we omit it here.

Lemma 2.2 Let $G(t, s)$ be defined as in Lemma 2.1, then

$$
\frac{1}{e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)-1} \leq G(t, s) \leq \frac{e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)}{e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)-1} \quad \text { for all } t, s \in[0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}
$$

Proof It is obvious, so we omit it here.
Remark 2.1 Let $G(t, s)$ be defined as in Lemma 2.1, then $\int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s) \triangle s=\frac{1}{M}$.

Let $m=\min _{t \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} p(t), M=\max _{t \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} p(t)$, then $0<m \leq M<\infty$. For $u \in X$, we consider the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\triangle}(t)+M x(\sigma(t))=M u(\sigma(t))-p(t) u(\sigma(t))+f(t, u(\sigma(t))), \quad t \in J, t \neq t_{k}  \tag{2.1}\\
x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m \\
x(0)=x(\sigma(T))
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that the problem (2.1) has a unique solution:

$$
x(t)=\int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s) h_{u}(s) \Delta s+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad t \in[0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}
$$

where $h_{u}(s)=M u(\sigma(s))-p(t) u(\sigma(t))+f(s, u(\sigma(s))), s \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$.
We define the operator $\Phi: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$
\Phi(u)(t)=\int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s) h_{u}(s) \Delta s+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad t \in[0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}} .
$$

It is obvious that fixed points of $\Phi$ are solutions of the problem (1.1).

Lemma 2.3 $\Phi: X \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous.

Proof Since the proof is similar to that of [18], Lemma 3.3, we omit it here.

Let

$$
K=\left\{u \in X: u(t) \geq \delta\|u\|, t \in[0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}\right\}
$$

where $\delta=\frac{1}{e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)} \in(0,1)$. It is not difficult to verify that $K$ is a cone in $X$.
From Lemma 2.2, it is easy to obtain the following result.

Lemma 2.4 $\Phi$ maps $K$ into $K$.

## 3 Main results

For convenience, we denote

$$
f^{0}=\lim _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sup \max _{t \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}, \quad f^{\infty}=\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\max _{t \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}, ~}^{\text {, }}
$$

$$
f_{0}=\lim _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \inf \min _{t \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}, \quad f_{\infty}=\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \inf \min _{t \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} \frac{f(t, u)}{u},
$$

and

$$
I_{0}=\lim _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{I_{k}(u)}{u}, \quad I_{\infty}=\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_{k}(u)}{u} .
$$

Now we state our main results.

## Theorem 3.1 Suppose that

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) f_{0}>M, f^{\infty}<m, I_{\infty}=0$ for any $k$; or $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) f_{\infty}>M, f^{0}<m, I_{0}=0$ for any $k$.

Then the problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution.

Proof Firstly, we assume $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ holds. Then there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and $\beta>\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(t, u) \geq(M+\varepsilon) u, \quad t \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, u \in(0, \alpha]  \tag{3.1}\\
& I_{k}(u) \leq \frac{\left[e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)-1\right] \varepsilon}{2 \operatorname{Mme}_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)} u, \quad u \in[\beta, \infty) \text { for any } k, \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u) \leq(m-\varepsilon) u, \quad t \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, u \in[\beta, \infty) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Omega_{1}=\left\{u \in X:\|u\|<r_{1}\right\}$, where $r_{1}=\alpha$. Choose $u_{0}=1$, then $u_{0} \in K \backslash\{0\}$. We assert that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-\Phi u \neq \lambda u_{0}, \quad u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}, \lambda \geq 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose on the contrary that there exist $\bar{u} \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\bar{\lambda} \geq 0$ such that

$$
\bar{u}-\Phi \bar{u}=\bar{\lambda} u_{0} .
$$

Let $\zeta=\min _{t \in[0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}} \bar{u}(t)$, then $\zeta \geq \delta\|\bar{u}\|=\delta r_{2}=\beta$, and we have from (3.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{u}(t) & =\Phi(\bar{u})(t)+\bar{\lambda} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s) h_{\bar{u}}(s) \Delta s+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(\bar{u}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\bar{\lambda} \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s)[M-p(t)+M+\varepsilon] u(\sigma(t)) \Delta s+\bar{\lambda} \\
& \geq \frac{(M+\varepsilon)}{M} \zeta+\bar{\lambda}, \quad t \in[0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\zeta=\min _{t \in[0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}} \bar{u}(t) \geq \frac{(M+\varepsilon)}{M} \zeta+\bar{\lambda}>\zeta,
$$

which is a contradiction.

On the other hand, let $\Omega_{2}=\left\{u \in X:\|u\|<r_{2}\right\}$, where $r_{2}=\frac{\beta}{\delta}$.
Then $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}, 0<\delta \beta=\delta\|u\| \leq u(t) \leq \beta$, and in view of (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(u)(t)= & \int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s) h_{u}(s) \Delta s+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s)[M-p(t)+m-\varepsilon] u(\sigma(s)) \Delta s \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{m} G\left(t, t_{k}\right) \frac{\left[e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)-1\right] \varepsilon}{2 M m e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)} u\left(t_{k}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{(M-\varepsilon)}{M}\|u\|+\frac{e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)}{e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)-1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\left[e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)-1\right] \varepsilon}{2 M m e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)}\|u\| \\
= & \frac{\left(M-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}{M}\|u\| \\
< & \|u\|, \quad t \in[0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields $\|\Phi(u)\|<\|u\|$.
Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi u \neq \tau u, \quad u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}, \tau \geq 1 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.4), (3.5), and Theorem 1.1 that $\Phi$ has a fixed point $u^{*} \in K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$, and $u^{*}$ is the desired positive solution of the problem (1.1).

Next, suppose that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ holds. Then we can choose $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ and $\beta^{\prime}>\alpha^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(t, u) \geq\left(M+\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) u, \quad t \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, u \in\left[\beta^{\prime}, \infty\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& I_{k}(u) \leq \frac{\left[e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)-1\right] \varepsilon^{\prime}}{2 M m e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)} u, \quad u \in\left(0, \alpha^{\prime}\right] \text { for any } k \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u) \leq\left(m-\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) u, \quad t \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, u \in\left(0, \alpha^{\prime}\right] \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Omega_{3}=\left\{u \in X:\|u\|<r_{3}\right\}$, where $r_{3}=\alpha^{\prime}$. Then for any $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{3}, 0<\delta\|u\| \leq u(t) \leq$ $\|u\|=\alpha^{\prime}$.
It is similar to the proof of (3.5), by (3.7) and (3.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi u \neq \tau u, \quad u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{4}, \tau \geq 1 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Omega_{4}=\left\{u \in X:\|u\|<r_{4}\right\}$, where $r_{4}=\frac{\beta^{\prime}}{\delta}$. Then for any $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{4}, u(t) \geq \delta\|u\|=\delta r_{4}=$ $\beta^{\prime}$, by (3.6), it is easy to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-\Phi u \neq \lambda u_{0}, \quad u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{3}, \lambda \geq 0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.9), (3.10), and Theorem 1.1 that $\Phi$ has a fixed point $u^{*} \in K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{4} \backslash \Omega_{3}\right)$, and $u^{*}$ is the desired positive solution of the problem (1.1).

In particular, we have the following results, which are main results of [18].

## Corollary 3.1 Suppose that

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) f_{0}=\infty, f^{\infty}=0, I_{\infty}=0$ for any $k$; or $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) f_{\infty}=\infty, f^{0}=0, I_{0}=0$ for any $k$.

Then the problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution.

## Theorem 3.2 Suppose that

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) f^{0}<m, f^{\infty}<m, I_{0}=0, I_{\infty}=0 ;$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ there exists $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{f(t, u)-p(t) u \mid t \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \delta \rho \leq u \leq \rho\right\}>0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the problem (1.1) has at least two positive solutions.
Proof $\operatorname{By}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, from the proof of Theorem 3.1, we see that there exist $\beta^{\prime \prime}>\rho>\alpha^{\prime \prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi u \neq \tau u, & u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{5}, \tau \geq 1, \\
\Phi u \neq \tau u, & u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{6}, \tau \geq 1, \tag{3.13}
\end{array}
$$

where $\Omega_{5}=\left\{u \in X:\|u\|<r_{5}\right\}, \Omega_{6}=\left\{u \in X:\|u\|<r_{6}\right\}, r_{5}=\alpha^{\prime \prime}, r_{6}=\frac{\beta^{\prime \prime}}{\delta}$.
By (3.11) of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, we can choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u)-p(t) u \geq \varepsilon u, \quad t \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \delta \rho \leq u \leq \rho . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Omega_{7}=\{u \in X:\|u\|<\rho\}$, for any $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{7}, \delta \rho=\delta\|u\| \leq u(t) \leq\|u\|=\rho$, from (3.14), it is similar to the proof of (3.4), and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-\Phi u \neq \lambda u_{0}, \quad u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{7}, \lambda \geq 0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 1.1, from (3.12), (3.13), and (3.15) we conclude that $\Phi$ has two fixed points $u^{* *} \in K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{6} \backslash \Omega_{7}\right)$ and $u^{* * *} \in K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{7} \backslash \Omega_{5}\right)$, and $u^{* *}$ and $u^{* * *}$ are two positive solutions of the problem (1.1).

Similar to Theorem 3.2, we have the following.

Theorem 3.3 Suppose that
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right) f_{0}>M, f_{\infty}>M$;
$\left(H_{6}\right)$ there exists $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{f(t, u)-p(t) u \mid t \in[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \delta \rho \leq u \leq \rho\right\}<0 \\
& I_{k}(u) \leq \frac{\left[e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)-1\right]}{M m e_{M}(\sigma(T), 0)} u, \quad \delta \rho \leq u \leq \rho \text { for any } k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the problem (1.1) has at least two positive solutions.

Remark 3.1 If $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ in Theorem 3.2 is replaced by $f^{0}=0, f^{\infty}=0$, or if $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ in Theorem 3.3 is replaced by $f_{0}=\infty, f_{\infty}=\infty$, then the results of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 are also hold.
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