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#### Abstract

Recently, some identities of degenerate Euler polynomials arising from $p$-adic fermionic integrals on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ were introduced in Kim and Kim (Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 26(4):295-302, 2015). In this paper, we study degenerate $q$-Euler polynomials which are derived from $p$-adic $q$-integrals on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $p$ be a fixed odd prime number. Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{Z}_{p}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ will denote the ring of $p$-adic integers, the field of $p$-adic rational numbers and the completion of algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, respectively. Let $v_{p}$ be the normalized exponential valuation in $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ with $|p|_{p}=p^{-v_{p}(p)}=\frac{1}{p}$.
Let $q$ be an indeterminate in $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ such that $|1-q|_{p}<p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$. The $q$-extension of $x$ is defined as $[x]_{q}=\frac{1-q^{x}}{1-q}$. Note that $\lim _{q \rightarrow 1}[x]_{q}=x$. For $f \in C\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=\left\{f \mid, f\right.$ is a $\mathbb{C}_{p}$-valued continuous function on $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right\}$, the fermionic $p$-adic $q$-integral on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is defined by Kim to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{-q}(f)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} f(x) d \mu_{-q}(x)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left[p^{N}\right]_{-q}} \sum_{x=0}^{p^{N}-1} f(x)(-q)^{x} \quad(\text { see }[1,2]), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[x]_{-q}=\frac{1-(-q)^{x}}{1+q}$.
By (1.1), we easily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
q I_{-q}\left(f_{1}\right)+I_{-q}(f)=[2]_{q} f(0) \quad\left(f_{1}(x)=f(x+1)\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{n} I_{-q}\left(f_{n}\right)+(-1)^{n-1} I_{-q}(f)=[2]_{q} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-1-l} q^{l} f(l) \quad(n \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{n}(x)=f(x+n)$ (see [1-16]).
The ordinary fermionic $p$-adic integral on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q \rightarrow 1} I_{-q}(f)=I_{-1}(f)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} f(x) d \mu_{-1}(x)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x=0}^{p^{N}-1} f(x)(-1)^{x} \quad \text { (see [2]). } \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The degenerate Euler polynomials of order $r(\in \mathbb{N})$ are defined by the generating function to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{2}{(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}+1}\right)^{r}(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \quad(\text { see }[5,6,10]) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that $|\lambda t|_{p}<p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$.
From (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{E}_{n}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \\
& \quad=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{2}{(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}+1}\right)^{r}(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{2}{e^{t}+1}\right)^{r} e^{x t} \\
& \quad=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n}^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{n}^{(r)}(x)$ are the higher-order Euler polynomials.
Thus, by (1.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{E}_{n}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda)=E_{n}^{(r)}(x) \quad(n \geq 0) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $x=0, \mathcal{E}_{n}^{(r)}(\lambda)=\mathcal{E}_{n}^{(r)}(0 \mid \lambda)$ are called the higher-order degenerate Euler numbers, while $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{E}_{n}^{(r)}(\lambda)=E_{n}^{(r)}$ are called the higher-order Euler numbers.

In [10], it was shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{n}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{r}+x \mid \lambda\right)_{n} d \mu_{-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-1}\left(x_{r}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x)_{n}=x(x-1) \cdots(x-n+1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
In this paper, we study $q$-extensions of the degenerate Euler polynomials and give some formulae and identities of those polynomials which are derived from the fermionic $p$-adic $q$-integrals on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

## 2 Some identities of $q$-analogues of higher-order degenerate Euler polynomials

In this section, we assume that $\lambda, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $|\lambda t|_{p}<p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$. From (1.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}(1+\lambda t)^{\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}+x\right) / \lambda} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{r}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{[2]_{q}}{q(1+\lambda t)^{1 / \lambda}+1}\right)^{r}(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we define a $q$-analogue of degenerate Euler polynomials of order $r$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{[2]_{q}}{q(1+\lambda t)^{1 / \lambda}+1}\right)^{r}(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n, q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (2.2), we easily get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{E}_{n, q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \\
& \quad=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{[2]_{q}}{q(1+\lambda t)^{1 / \lambda}+1}\right)^{r}(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{[2]_{q}}{q e^{t}+1}\right)^{r} e^{x t} \\
& \quad=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n, q}^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{n, q}^{(r)}(x)$ are called the higher-order $q$-Euler polynomials (see [15-17]). Thus, by (2.3), we get

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{E}_{n, q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda)=E_{n, q}^{(r)}(x) \quad(n \geq 0)
$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{p}$ with $\lambda \neq 1$, the Frobenius-Euler polynomials of order $r$ are defined by the generating function to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda}\right)^{r} e^{x t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{n}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \quad(\text { see }[3,18]) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By replacing $\lambda$ by $-q^{-1}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1+q^{-1}}{e^{t}+q^{-1}}\right)^{r} e^{x t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{n}^{(r)}\left(x \mid-q^{-1}\right) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we define the degenerate Frobenius-Euler polynomials of order $r$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1-u}{(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}-u}\right)^{r}(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n}^{(r)}(x, u \mid \lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.6), we note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} h_{n}^{(r)}(x, u \mid \lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} & =\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{1-u}{(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}-u}\right)^{r}(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1-u}{e^{t}-u}\right)^{r} e^{x t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{n}(x \mid u) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by (2.7), we get

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} h_{n}^{(r)}(x, u \mid \lambda)=H_{n}(x \mid u) \quad(n \geq 0)
$$

By (2.2) and (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{n, q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda)=h_{n}^{(r)}\left(x,-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right) \quad(n \geq 0) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\frac{x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}+x}{\lambda}\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{r}\right) \frac{\lambda^{n} t^{n}}{n!} \\
& \quad=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n, q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x \mid \lambda)_{n}=x(x-\lambda) \cdots(x-(n-1) \lambda) \quad(n>0),  \tag{2.10}\\
& (x \mid \lambda)_{0}=1 .
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.9) and (2.10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r} \mid \lambda\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{r}\right)=\mathcal{E}_{n, q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) \quad(u \geq 0) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.6) and (2.11), we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1 For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{n, q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) & =\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}+x \mid \lambda\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{r}\right) \\
& =h_{n}^{(r)}\left(x,-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right) \quad(n \geq 0),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h_{n}^{(r)}(x, u \mid \lambda)$ are called the degenerate Frobenius-Euler polynomials of order $r$.

It is not difficult to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(x_{1}\right. & \left.+\cdots+x_{r}+x \mid \lambda\right)_{n} \\
& =\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}+x\right)\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}+x-\lambda\right) \cdots\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}+x-(n-1) \lambda\right) \\
& =\lambda^{n}\left(\frac{x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}+x}{\lambda}\right)_{n} \\
& =\lambda^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n} S_{1}(n, l)\left(\frac{x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}+x}{\lambda}\right)^{l} \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{n} \lambda^{n-l} S_{1}(n, l)\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}+x\right)^{l}, \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_{1}(n, l)$ is the Stirling number of the first kind.

We observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} e^{\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}+x\right) t} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{r}\right)=\left(\frac{[2]_{q}}{q e^{t}+1}\right)^{r} e^{x t} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (2.13), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}+x\right)^{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{r}\right) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{[2]_{q}}{q e^{t}+1}\right)^{r} e^{x t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n, q}^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

By comparing the coefficients on both sides of (2.14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n, q}^{(r)}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}+x\right)^{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{r}\right) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 2.1, (2.12) and (2.15), we note that

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{n}^{(r)}\left(x,-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right) & =\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}+x \mid \lambda\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{r}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{n} \lambda^{n-l} S_{1}(n, l) \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}+x\right)^{l} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{r}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{n} \lambda^{n-l} S_{1}(n, l) E_{l, q}^{(r)}(x) \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{n} \lambda^{n-l} S_{1}(n, l) H_{l}^{(r)}\left(x \mid-q^{-1}\right) . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.16), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$
h_{n}^{(r)}\left(x,-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{n} \lambda^{n-l} S_{1}(n, l) H_{l}^{(r)}\left(x \mid-q^{-1}\right)
$$

In particular,

$$
\mathcal{E}_{n, q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda)=\sum_{l=0}^{n} \lambda^{n-l} S_{1}(n, l) E_{l, q}^{(r)}(x) .
$$

By replacing $t$ by $\left(e^{\lambda t}-1\right) / \lambda$ in $(2.2)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{[2]_{q}}{q e^{t}+1}\right)^{r} e^{x t} \\
& \quad=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n, q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{\lambda^{n}}\left(e^{\lambda t}-1\right)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n, q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{1}{\lambda^{n}} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} S_{2}(m, n) \frac{\lambda^{m}}{m!} t^{m} \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{m} \mathcal{E}_{n, q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) \lambda^{m-n} S_{2}(m, n)\right) \frac{t^{m}}{m!} \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_{2}(m, n)$ is the Stirling number of the second kind.
Thus, by (2.17), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 For $m \geq 0$, we have

$$
H_{m}^{(r)}\left(x \mid-q^{-1}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{m} h_{n}^{(r)}\left(x,-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right) \lambda^{m-n} S_{2}(m, n)
$$

## In particular,

$$
E_{m, q}^{(r)}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{m} \mathcal{E}_{n, q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) \lambda^{m-n} S_{2}(m, n)
$$

When $r=1, \mathcal{E}_{n, q}(x \mid \lambda)=\mathcal{E}_{n, q}^{(1)}(x \mid \lambda)$ are called the degenerate $q$-Euler polynomials. In particular, $x=0, \mathcal{E}_{n, q}(\lambda)=\mathcal{E}_{n, q}(0 \mid \lambda)$ are called the degenerate $q$-Euler numbers. $h_{n}(x, u \mid$ $\lambda)=h_{n}^{(1)}(x, u \mid \lambda)$ are called the degenerate Frobenius-Euler polynomials. When $x=0$, $h_{n}(u \mid \lambda)=h_{n}(0, u \mid \lambda)$ are called the degenerate Frobenius-Euler numbers.

From (1.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} & (1+\lambda t)^{\frac{x_{1}+x}{\lambda}} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{[2]_{q}}{q(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}+1}\right)(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1+q^{-1}}{(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}+q^{-1}}\right)(1+\lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n}\left(x,-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by (2.18), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{n} & \left(x,-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x_{1}+x \mid \lambda\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& =\lambda^{n} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\frac{x_{1}+x}{\lambda}\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{n} S_{1}(n, l) \lambda^{n-l} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x_{1}+x\right)^{l} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{n} S_{1}(n, l) \lambda^{n-l} H_{l}\left(x \mid-q^{-1}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{n} & \left(-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x_{1} \mid \lambda\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& =\lambda^{n} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\lambda}\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{n} S_{1}(n, l) \lambda^{n-l} H_{l}\left(-q^{-1}\right) . \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, by (1.3), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& q^{d} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x_{1}+d \mid \lambda\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right)+(-1)^{d-1} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x_{1} \mid \lambda\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \quad=[2]_{q} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{d-1-l} q^{l}(l \mid \lambda)_{n} . \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $d \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[2]_{q} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{l} q^{l}(l \mid \lambda)_{n}=q^{d} h_{n}\left(d,-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right)+h_{n}\left(-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right) . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
[2]_{q} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{l-1} q^{l}(l \mid \lambda)_{n}=q^{d} h_{n}\left(d,-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right)-h_{n}\left(-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right) . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.22) and (2.23), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 0$.
(i) For $d \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, we have

$$
q^{d} h_{n}\left(d,-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right)+h_{n}\left(-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right)=[2]_{q} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{l} q^{l}(l \mid \lambda)_{n} .
$$

(ii) For $d \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, we have

$$
q^{d} h_{n}\left(d,-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right)-h_{n}\left(-q^{-1} \mid \lambda\right)=[2]_{q} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{l-1} q^{l}(l \mid \lambda)_{n} .
$$

Corollary 2.5 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 0$.
(i) For $d \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, we have

$$
q^{d} E_{n, q}(d \mid \lambda)+E_{n, q}(\lambda)=[2]_{q} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{l} q^{l}(l \mid \lambda)_{n} .
$$

(ii) For $d \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, we have

$$
q^{d} E_{n, q}(d \mid \lambda)-E_{n, q}(\lambda)=[2]_{q} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{l-1} q^{l}(l \mid \lambda)_{n} .
$$

From (1.1), we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} f(x) d \mu_{-q}(x)=\frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{d}}} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1}(-q)^{a} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} f(a+d x) d \mu_{-q^{d}}(x), \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$.
By (2.24), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x_{1} \mid \lambda\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{d}}} \sum_{a=0}^{d-1}(-q)^{a} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(a+d x_{1} \mid \lambda\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q^{d}}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{d}}} d^{n} \sum_{a=0}^{d-1}(-q)^{a} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\left.\frac{a}{d}+x_{1} \right\rvert\, \frac{\lambda}{d}\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q^{d}}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \quad=d^{n} \frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{d}}} \sum_{a=0}^{d-1}(-q)^{a} \mathcal{E}_{n, q^{d}}\left(\frac{a}{d} \left\lvert\, \frac{\lambda}{d}\right.\right), \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $n \geq 0$.
Therefore, by (2.25), we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 2.6 For $n \geq 0, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{E}_{n, q}(\lambda)=d^{n} \frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{d}}} \sum_{a=0}^{d-1}(-q)^{a} \mathcal{E}_{n, q^{d}}\left(\frac{a}{d} \left\lvert\, \frac{\lambda}{d}\right.\right) .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\mathcal{E}_{n, q}(x \mid \lambda)=d^{n} \frac{[2]_{q}}{[2]_{q^{d}}} \sum_{a=0}^{d-1}(-q)^{a} \mathcal{E}_{n, q^{d}}\left(\frac{a+x}{d} \left\lvert\, \frac{\lambda}{d}\right.\right) .
$$

Now, we consider the degenerate $q$-Euler polynomials of the second kind as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{n, q}(x \mid \lambda)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(-\left(x_{1}+x\right) \mid \lambda\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \quad(n \geq 0) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.26), we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{n, q}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \\
& \quad=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\binom{-\frac{x_{1}+x}{\lambda}}{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) t^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =(1+\lambda t)^{-x / \lambda} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}(1+\lambda t)^{-x_{1} / \lambda} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{[2]_{q}}{(1+\lambda t)^{1 / \lambda}+q}(1+\lambda t)^{(1-x) / \lambda} . \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

When $x=0, \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{n, q}(\lambda)=\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{n, q}(0 \mid \lambda)$ are called the degenerate $q$-Euler numbers of the second kind.

By (2.26), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{n, q}(x \mid \lambda) \\
& \quad=\lambda^{n} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(-\frac{x_{1}+x}{\lambda}\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q}(x) \\
& \quad=\lambda^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n} S_{1}(n, l) \frac{(-1)^{l}}{\lambda^{l}} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x_{1}+x\right)^{l} d \mu_{-q}(x) \\
& \quad=\sum_{l=0}^{n} S_{1}(n, l) \lambda^{n-l}(-1)^{l} E_{l, q}(x) . \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (2.28), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{n} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{n, q}(x \mid \lambda) \\
& \quad=\sum_{l=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-l} S_{1}(n, l) \lambda^{n-l} E_{l, q}(x) \\
& \quad=\sum_{l=0}^{n}\left|S_{1}(n, l)\right| \lambda^{n-l} E_{l, q}(x) . \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

We observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n, q^{-1}}(1-x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \\
& \quad=\frac{1+q^{-1}}{q^{-1} e^{t}+1} e^{(1-x) t}=\frac{1+q}{q e^{-t}+1} e^{-x t} \\
& \quad=\frac{[2]_{q}}{q e^{-t}+1} e^{-x t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} E_{n, q}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} . \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.30), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n, q^{-1}}(1-x)=(-1)^{n} E_{n, q}(x) \quad(n \geq 0) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By replacing $t$ by $\frac{e^{\lambda t}-1}{\lambda}$ in (2.27), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{n, q}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{\lambda^{n}}\left(e^{\lambda t}-1\right)^{n} \\
& \quad=\frac{1+q}{e^{t}+q} e^{(1-x) t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{[2]_{q^{-1}}}{q^{-1} e^{t}+1} e^{(1-x) t} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n, q^{-1}}(1-x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} . \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{m, q}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{1}{m!} \frac{1}{\lambda^{m}}\left(e^{\lambda t}-1\right)^{m} \\
& \quad=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{m, q}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{1}{\lambda^{m}} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} S_{2}(n, m) \frac{\lambda^{n} t^{n}}{n!} \\
& \quad=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{m, q}(x \mid \lambda) S_{2}(m, n) \lambda^{n-m}\right) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} . \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.32) and (2.33), we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n} E_{n, q^{-1}}(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{n} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{m, q}(x \mid \lambda) S_{2}(n, m) \lambda^{n-m} . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.29) and (2.34), we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 2.7 For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$
(-1)^{n} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{n, q}(x \mid \lambda)=\sum_{l=0}^{n}\left|S_{1}(n, l)\right| \lambda^{n-l} E_{l, q}(x)
$$

and

$$
(-1)^{n} E_{n, q^{-1}}(x)=\sum_{l=0}^{n} S_{2}(n, l) \lambda^{n-l} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{l, q}(x \mid \lambda) .
$$

It is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x+y}{n}=\sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{x}{l}\binom{y}{n-l} \quad(n \geq 0) \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.35), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(-1)^{n} \mathcal{E}_{n, q}(\lambda)}{n!} \\
& \quad=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(x_{1} \mid \lambda\right)_{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \quad=\lambda^{n} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\binom{-\frac{x_{1}}{\lambda}+n-1}{n} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \quad=\lambda^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n-1}{n-l} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\binom{-\frac{x_{1}}{\lambda}}{l} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\lambda^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\binom{n-1}{l-1} \frac{1}{\lambda^{l} l!} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(-x_{1} \mid \lambda\right)_{l} d \mu_{-q}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{n}\binom{n-1}{l-1} \lambda^{n-l} \frac{1}{l!} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{l, q}(\lambda) \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{n, q}(\lambda)=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\binom{n-1}{l-1} \lambda^{n-l} \frac{1}{l!} \mathcal{E}_{l, q}(\lambda) . \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$
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