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#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}, \varphi$ an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ and $H(\mathbb{D})$ the space of all analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. In order to unify the products of composition, multiplication, and differentiation operators, Stević and Sharma introduced the following so-called Stević-Sharma operator: $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi} f(z)=\psi_{1}(z) f(\varphi(z))+\psi_{2}(z) f^{\prime}(\varphi(z)), f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, where $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Here we characterize the boundedness and compactness of the operator $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}$ from the Zygmund space to the Bloch-Orlicz space. MSC: Primary 47B38; secondary 47B33; 47B37
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and $H(\mathbb{D})$ the class of all analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. Let $\varphi$ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ and $\psi \in H(\mathbb{D})$. The weighted composition operator $W_{\varphi, \psi}$ on $H(\mathbb{D})$ is defined by

$$
W_{\varphi, \psi} f(z)=\psi(z) f(\varphi(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

If $\psi \equiv 1$, it becomes the composition operator, usually denoted by $C_{\varphi}$. If $\varphi(z)=z$, it becomes the multiplication operator, usually denoted by $M_{\psi}$. Hence, since $W_{\varphi, \psi}=M_{\psi} C_{\varphi}$, it is a product-type operator. A standard problem is to provide function theoretic characterizations when $\varphi$ and $\psi$ induce a bounded or compact weighted composition operator (see, e.g., $[1-5]$ and the references therein).

A systematic study of other product-type operators started by Stevic et al. since the publication of papers [6] and [7]. Before that there were a few papers in the topic, e.g., [8]. The differentiation operator on $H(\mathbb{D})$ is defined by

$$
D f(z)=f^{\prime}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

The next two product-type operators $D C_{\varphi}$ and $C_{\varphi} D$, attracted some attention first (see, e.g., [9-12] and the references therein). The publication of [7] attracted some attention in product-type operators involving integral-type ones (see, e.g., [13-17] and the references therein). Since that time there has been a great interest in various product-type operators
on spaces of holomorphic functions. For example, the six product-type operators from Bergman spaces to Bloch type spaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\psi} C_{\varphi} D, \quad M_{\psi} D C_{\varphi}, \quad C_{\varphi} M_{\psi} D, \quad C_{\varphi} D M_{\psi}, \quad D C_{\varphi} M_{\psi}, \quad D M_{\psi} C_{\varphi} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

were studied by Sharma in [18]. The next product-type operators $W_{\varphi, \psi} D$ and $D W_{\varphi, \psi}$, which were considered in [19] and [20], are included in (1) as the first and sixth operators, respectively. For some other product-type operators, see, e.g., [14, 21-29] and the references therein.

In order to treat operators in (1) in a unified manner, Stević and Sharma introduced the following so-called Stević-Sharma operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi} f(z)=\psi_{1} f(\varphi(z))+\psi_{2}(z) f^{\prime}(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, in [30] and [31] the operator was studied on the weighted Bergman space.
By using Stević-Sharma operator all six possible products of composition, multiplication, and differentiation operators can be obtained. More specifically we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{\psi} C_{\varphi} D=T_{0, \psi, \varphi}, \quad M_{\psi} D C_{\varphi}=T_{0, \psi \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi}, \quad C_{\varphi} M_{\psi} D=T_{0, \psi \circ \varphi, \varphi} \\
& C_{\varphi} D M_{\psi}=T_{\psi^{\prime} \circ \varphi, \psi \circ \varphi, \varphi}, \quad D M_{\psi} C_{\varphi}=T_{\psi^{\prime}, \psi \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi}, \quad D C_{\varphi} M_{\psi}=T_{\varphi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime} \circ \varphi, \varphi^{\prime} \psi \circ \varphi, \varphi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, by using this operator all possible difference operators of product-type operators in (1) can also be obtained. For example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{\psi_{1}} C_{\varphi} D-M_{\psi_{2}} D C_{\varphi}=T_{0, \psi_{1}-\psi_{2} \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi}, \quad M_{\psi_{1}} C_{\varphi} D-C_{\varphi} M_{\psi_{2}} D=T_{0, \psi_{1}-\psi_{2} \circ \varphi, \varphi}, \\
& M_{\psi_{1}} C_{\varphi} D-C_{\varphi} D M_{\psi_{2}}=T_{-\psi_{2}^{\prime} \circ \varphi, \psi_{1}-\psi_{2} \circ \varphi, \varphi}, \quad M_{\psi_{1}} C_{\varphi} D-D M_{\psi_{2}} C_{\varphi}=T_{-\psi_{2}^{\prime}, \psi_{1}-\psi_{2} \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi}, \\
& M_{\psi_{1}} C_{\varphi} D-D C_{\varphi} M_{\psi_{2}}=T_{-\varphi^{\prime} \psi_{2}^{\prime} \circ \varphi, \psi_{1}-\varphi^{\prime} \psi_{2} \circ \varphi, \varphi}, \quad M_{\psi_{1}} D C_{\varphi}-C_{\varphi} M_{\psi_{2}} D=T_{0, \psi_{1} \varphi^{\prime}-\psi_{2} \circ \varphi, \varphi}, \\
& M_{\psi_{1}} D C_{\varphi}-C_{\varphi} D M_{\psi_{2}}=T_{-\psi_{2}^{\prime} \circ \varphi, \psi_{1} \varphi^{\prime}-\psi_{2} \circ \varphi, \varphi}, \quad M_{\psi_{1}} D C_{\varphi}-D M_{\psi_{2}} C_{\varphi}=T_{-\psi_{2}^{\prime},\left(\psi_{1}-\psi_{2}\right) \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi}, \\
& M_{\psi_{1}} D C_{\varphi}-D C_{\varphi} M_{\psi_{2}}=T_{-\varphi^{\prime} \psi_{2}^{\prime} \circ \varphi, \psi_{1} \varphi^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime} \psi_{2} \circ \varphi, \varphi}, \\
& C_{\varphi} M_{\psi_{1}} D-C_{\varphi} D M_{\psi_{2}}=T_{-\psi_{2}^{\prime} \circ \varphi,\left(\psi_{1}-\psi_{2}\right) \circ \varphi, \varphi}, \\
& C_{\varphi} M_{\psi_{1}} D-D M_{\psi_{2}} C_{\varphi}=T_{-\psi_{2}^{\prime}, \psi_{1} \varphi-\psi_{2} \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi}, \\
& C_{\varphi} M_{\psi_{1}} D-D C_{\varphi} M_{\psi_{2}}=T_{-\varphi^{\prime} \psi_{2}^{\prime} \circ \varphi, \psi_{1} \circ \varphi-\varphi^{\prime} \psi_{2} \circ \varphi, \varphi}, \\
& C_{\varphi} D M_{\psi_{1}}-D M_{\psi_{2}} C_{\varphi}=T_{\psi_{1}^{\prime} \circ \varphi-\psi_{2}^{\prime}, \psi_{1} \circ \varphi-\psi_{2} \varphi, \varphi}, \\
& C_{\varphi} D M_{\psi_{1}}-D C_{\varphi} M_{\psi_{2}}=T_{\psi_{1}^{\prime} \circ \varphi-\varphi^{\prime} \psi_{2} \circ \varphi, \psi_{1} \circ \varphi-\varphi^{\prime} \psi_{2} \circ \varphi, \varphi}, \\
& D M_{\psi_{1}} C_{\varphi}-D C_{\varphi} M_{\psi_{2}}=T_{\psi_{1}^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime} \psi_{2} \circ \varphi, \psi_{1} \varphi^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime} \psi_{2} \circ \varphi, \varphi},
\end{aligned}
$$

etc., where $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in H(\mathbb{D})$. In this paper we characterize the boundedness and compactness of the Stević-Sharma operator from the Zygmund space to the Bloch-Orlicz space. As the applications of our main results, readers can obtain some characterizations for the boundedness and compactness for all six product-type operators in (1), as well as above mentioned differences operators from the Zygmund space to the Bloch-Orlicz space.

Now we present the needed spaces and some facts. For $\alpha>0$, the weighted Zygmund space $\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}$ consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right|<\infty .
$$

It is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}}=|f(0)|+\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|+\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| .
$$

When $\alpha=1$, this space is the Zygmund space and is denoted by $\mathcal{Z}$ [32]. From Zygmund's theorem (see Theorem 5.3 in [33]), we know that $f \in \mathcal{Z}$ if and only if $f$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and

$$
\sup _{h>0, \theta \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|f\left(e^{i(\theta+h)}\right)+f\left(e^{i(\theta-h)}\right)-2 f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|}{h}<\infty
$$

For some results on Zygmund-type spaces and some concrete operators on them, see, for example, $[15,23,32]$ and the references therein.
Recently, the Bloch-Orlicz space was introduced in [4] by Ramos Fernández. More precisely, let $\Psi$ be a strictly increasing convex function such that $\Psi(0)=0$. From these conditions it follows that $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \Psi(t)=+\infty$. The Bloch-Orlicz space associated with the function $\Psi$, denoted by $\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$, is the class of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \Psi\left(\lambda\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\right)<\infty
$$

for some $\lambda>0$ depending on $f$. The Minkowski functional

$$
\|f\|_{\Psi}=\inf \left\{k>0: S_{\Psi}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{k}\right) \leq 1\right\}
$$

defines a seminorm for $\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$, where

$$
S_{\Psi}(f)=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \Psi(|f(z)|)
$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}}=|f(0)|+\|f\|_{\Psi} .
$$

In fact, Ramos Fernández in [4] proved that $\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is isometrically equal to $\mu_{\Psi}$-Bloch space, where

$$
\mu_{\Psi}(z)=\frac{1}{\Psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Thus, for $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ it follows that

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}}=|f(0)|+\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| .
$$

This equivalent norm is useful to us for the study of operator $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}$ from the Zygmund space to the Bloch-Orlicz space. It is obvious to see that if $\Psi(t)=t^{p}$ with $p>0$, then the space $\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ coincides with the weighted Bloch space $\mathcal{B}^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha=1 / p$. Also, if $\Psi(t)=t \log (1+t)$, then $\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ coincides with the Log-Bloch space (see [34]). For the generalization of the Log-Bloch spaces, see, for example, [35, 36].

Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces. It is said that a linear operator $L: X \rightarrow Y$ is bounded if there exists a positive constant $K$ such that

$$
\|L f\|_{Y} \leq K\|f\|_{X}
$$

for all $f \in X$. The operator $L: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be compact if it maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets. It is well known that the norm of operator $L: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is defined by

$$
\|L\|_{\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}}=\sup _{\|f\| \mathcal{Z} \leq 1}\|L f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}}
$$

and written by $\|L\|$.
Throughout this paper, a positive constant $C$ may differ from one occurrence to the other. The notation $a \lesssim b$ means that there exists a positive constant $C$ such that $a \leq C b$. When $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$, we write $a \simeq b$.

## 2 Main results and proofs

In order to characterize the compactness, we need the following result, which is proved in a standard way [5]. So, the proof is omitted.

Lemma 1 Let $\varphi$ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ and $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then the bounded operator $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is compact if and only iffor every bounded sequence $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{Z}$ such that $f_{j} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi} f_{j}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}}=0
$$

We state the following useful result whose first estimate was essentially proved in [37], while the second essentially follows from the point evaluation estimate for the Bloch functions (see, e.g., [38]). See also [2].

Lemma 2 For each $f \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, it follows that

$$
|f(z)| \leq\|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \log \frac{e}{1-|z|^{2}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}}
$$

The following lemma was proved in [37], Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 3 Let $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{Z}$ which uniformly converges to zero on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left|f_{j}(z)\right|=0
$$

For $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $1 / 2<|w|<1$, we define the function

$$
f_{w}(z)=\left(z-\frac{1}{\bar{w}}\right)\left[\left(1+\log \frac{e}{1-\bar{w} z}\right)^{2}+1\right]
$$

By using this function, the test functions in the Zygmund space can be obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{w}(z)=f_{w}(z)\left(\log \frac{e}{1-|w|^{2}}\right)^{-1} \\
& h_{w}(z)=f_{w}(z)\left(\log \frac{e}{1-|w|^{2}}\right)^{-1}-\int_{0}^{z} \log \frac{e}{1-\bar{w} \lambda} d \lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

From [9] we have the next result on the functions $g_{w}$ and $h_{w}$.

Lemma 4 Let $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $1 / 2<|w|<1$. Then

$$
g_{w}^{\prime}(w)=\log \frac{e}{1-|w|^{2}}, \quad g_{w}^{\prime \prime}(w)=\frac{2 \bar{w}}{1-|w|^{2}}, \quad h_{w}^{\prime \prime}(w)=\frac{\bar{w}}{1-|w|^{2}} .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\sup _{1 / 2<|w|<1}\left\|g_{w}\right\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \lesssim 1, \quad \sup _{1 / 2<|w|<1}\left\|h_{w}\right\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \lesssim 1
$$

Now we characterize the boundedness of the operator $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$.

Theorem 1 Let $\varphi$ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ and $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) The operator $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is bounded.
(ii) The functions $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$, and $\varphi$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty, \\
& M_{2}:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right| \log \frac{e}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
M_{3}:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{2}(z)\right|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}<\infty .
$$

Moreover, if the operator $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is nonzero and bounded, then

$$
\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| \simeq 1+M_{1}+M_{2}+M_{3} .
$$

$\operatorname{Proof}$ (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is bounded. For a fixed $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $|\varphi(w)|>$ $1 / 2$, let $f(z)=h_{\varphi(w)}(z)-c_{1}+c_{2}$, where

$$
c_{1}=g_{\varphi(w)}(\varphi(w))=f_{\varphi(w)}(\varphi(w))\left(\log \frac{e}{1-|\varphi(w)|^{2}}\right)^{-1}, \quad c_{2}=\int_{0}^{\varphi(w)} \log \frac{e}{1-\overline{\varphi(w)} \lambda} d \lambda .
$$

Then by Lemma 4

$$
f(\varphi(w))=f^{\prime}(\varphi(w))=0, \quad f^{\prime \prime}(\varphi(w))=h_{\varphi(w)}^{\prime \prime}(\varphi(w))=\frac{\overline{\varphi(w)}}{1-|\varphi(w)|^{2}}
$$

By using the boundedness of $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ to the function $f$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{3}(w):=\frac{\mu_{\Psi}(w)|\varphi(w)|\left|\psi_{2}(w)\right|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(w)\right|}{1-|\varphi(w)|^{2}}=\mu_{\Psi}(w)\left|\left(T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi} f\right)^{\prime}(w)\right| \leq C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\|, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|\varphi(z)|>1 / 2} M_{3}(z) \leq C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|\varphi(z)|>1 / 2} \frac{\mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{2}(z)\right|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}} \leq 2 \sup _{|\varphi(z)|>1 / 2} M_{3}(z) \leq C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h_{0}(z) \equiv 1 \in \mathcal{Z}$. Then by the boundedness of $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi} h_{0}\right\| \leq C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering $h_{1}(z)=z \in \mathcal{Z}$, by the boundedness of $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}^{\prime}(z) \varphi(z)+\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6), (7), the boundedness of $\varphi$, and the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering $h_{2}(z)=z^{2} \in \mathcal{Z}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}^{\prime}(z)(\varphi(z))^{2}+2\left(\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right) \varphi(z)+2 \psi_{2}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6), (8), (9), the boundedness of $\varphi^{2}$, and the triangle inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2}:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{2}(z)\right|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|\varphi(z)| \leq 1 / 2} \frac{\mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{2}(z) \| \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}} \leq C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5) and (11) we finally have $M_{3}<\infty$.

Now we prove that $M_{2}<\infty$. For a fixed $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $|\varphi(w)|>1 / 2$, let $g(z)=g_{\varphi(w)}(z)-c_{1}$. Then

$$
g(\varphi(w))=0, \quad g^{\prime}(\varphi(w))=\log \frac{e}{1-|\varphi(w)|^{2}}, \quad g^{\prime \prime}(\varphi(w))=\frac{2 \overline{\varphi(w)}}{1-|\varphi(w)|^{2}}
$$

By using the boundedness of $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{\Psi}(w)\left|\left(\psi_{1}(w) \varphi^{\prime}(w)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(w)\right) \log \frac{e}{1-|\varphi(w)|^{2}}+2 \frac{\overline{\varphi(w)} \psi_{2}(w) \varphi^{\prime}(w)}{1-|\varphi(w)|^{2}}\right| \\
& =\mu_{\Psi}(w)\left|\left(T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi} g\right)^{\prime}(w)\right| \leq C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4), (12), and the triangle inequality, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{\Psi}(w)\left|\psi_{1}(w) \varphi^{\prime}(w)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(w)\right| \log \frac{e}{1-|\varphi(w)|^{2}} & \leq 2 M_{3}(w)+C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| \\
& \leq C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|\varphi(z)|>1 / 2} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right| \log \frac{e}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}} \leq C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|\varphi(z)| \leq 1 / 2} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right| \log \frac{e}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}} \leq L_{1} \log \frac{4 e}{3} \leq C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (14) and (15) we have $M_{2}<\infty$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). By Lemma 2, for all $f \in \mathcal{Z}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\left(T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
&= \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}^{\prime}(z) f(\varphi(z))+\left(\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right) f^{\prime}(\varphi(z))+\psi_{2}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z) f^{\prime \prime}(\varphi(z))\right| \\
& \leq \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left(\left|\psi_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right||f(\varphi(z))|+\left|\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right|\left|f^{\prime}(\varphi(z))\right|\right. \\
&\left.+\left|\psi_{2}(z) \| \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|\left|f^{\prime \prime}(\varphi(z))\right|\right) \\
& \leq\left(M_{1}+M_{2}+M_{3}\right)\left|\mid f \|_{\mathcal{Z}} .\right. \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi} f(0)\right| \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (16) and (17) it follows that $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is bounded.
Suppose that the operator $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is nonzero and bounded. Then from the proof of $(\mathrm{i}) \Rightarrow$ (ii) it is not hard to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}+M_{2}+M_{3} \lesssim\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the operator $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is nonzero, we have $\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\|>0$. From this we can find a positive constant $C$ such that $1 \leq C\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\|$, which means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \lesssim\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then combing (18) and (19) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+M_{1}+M_{2}+M_{3} \lesssim\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear from (16) and (17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\| \lesssim 1+M_{1}+M_{2}+M_{3} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (20) and (21) the asymptotic expression of $\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}\right\|$ follows. The proof is finished.

Next we characterize the compactness of operator $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$.

Theorem 2 Let $\varphi$ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ and $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) The operator $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is compact.
(ii) The functions $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$, and $\varphi$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty \\
& L_{1}:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty, \\
& L_{2}:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{2}(z)\right|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty, \\
& \lim _{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1^{-}} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right| \log \frac{e}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{\mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{2}(z)\right|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}=0
$$

$\operatorname{Proof}(\mathrm{i}) \Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that (i) holds. Then it is clear that the operator $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is bounded. In the proof of Theorem 1, we have shown that $M_{1}<\infty, L_{1}<\infty$ and $L_{2}<\infty$. Consider a sequence $\left\{\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\left|\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right| \rightarrow 1^{-}$as $i \rightarrow \infty$. If such a sequence does not exist, then the last two conditions (ii) obviously hold. We may suppose, without loss of generality, that $\left|\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right|>1 / 2$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Using this sequence, we define the function sequence

$$
f_{i}(z)=f_{\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)}(z)\left(\log \frac{e}{1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}\right)^{-1}-\left(\log \frac{e}{1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}\right)^{-2} \int_{0}^{z} \log ^{3} \frac{e}{1-\overline{\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)} w} d w
$$

Then from a calculation we see that $\sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \leq C$ and $f_{i} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. So by Lemma 1

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi, f_{i}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}}=0
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
f_{i}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right)=0, \quad f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right)=-\frac{\overline{\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)}}{1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}
$$

Hence we get

$$
\left|\frac{\mu_{\Psi}\left(z_{i}\right)\left|\psi_{2}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|\left|\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|\left|\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}-\mu_{\Psi}\left(z_{i}\right)\right| \psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)| | f_{i}\left(\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right)| | \leq\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi} f_{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}}
$$

From this, Lemmas 1 and 3, and since $M_{1}$ is finite, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{\Psi}\left(z_{i}\right)\left|\psi_{2}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|\left|\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}=0 . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, take the sequence $g_{i}(z)=g_{\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)}(z)-c_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}$, where $c_{i}=g_{\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)}\left(\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right)$. Then $\sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|g_{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \leq C$,

$$
g_{i}\left(\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right)=0, \quad g_{i}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right)=\log \frac{e}{1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}, \quad g_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{i}\right)=\frac{2 \overline{\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)}}{1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\mu_{\Psi}\left(z_{i}\right)\left|\left(\psi_{1}\left(z_{i}\right) \varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right) \log \frac{e}{1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}+\frac{2 \overline{\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)}}{1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}\right| \leq\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi} g_{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\psi}} .
$$

By the compactness $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$, Lemma 1 and (22), we get

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\Psi}\left(z_{i}\right)\left|\psi_{1}\left(z_{i}\right) \varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right| \log \frac{e}{1-\left|\varphi\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}=0
$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). We first prove that $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is bounded. We observe that the conditions in (ii) imply that for every $\varepsilon>0$, there is an $\eta \in(0,1)$, such that for any $z \in K=\{z \in$ $\mathbb{D}:|\varphi(z)|>\eta\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}(z):=\mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right| \log \frac{e}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}<\varepsilon \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}(z):=\frac{\mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{2}(z)\right|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}<\varepsilon . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the fact $L_{1}<\infty$ and (23), we obtain

$$
M_{2}=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right| \log \frac{e}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}} \leq \varepsilon+L_{1} \log \frac{e}{1-\eta^{2}}
$$

From the fact $L_{2}<\infty$ and (24), we also obtain

$$
M_{3}=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{2}(z)\right|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}} \leq \varepsilon+\frac{L_{2}}{1-\eta^{2}} .
$$

Hence from Theorem 1 it follows that the operator $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is bounded.
In order to prove that the operator $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is compact, by Lemma 1 we just need to prove that, if $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{Z}$ such that $\sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \leq M$ and $f_{i} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on any compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi, f_{i}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}}=0
$$

For such a chosen $\varepsilon$ and $\eta$, by using (23), (24), and Lemma 2 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\left(T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi} f_{i}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
&= \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}^{\prime}(z) f_{i}(\varphi(z))+\left(\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right) f_{i}^{\prime}(\varphi(z))+\varphi^{\prime}(z) \psi_{2}(z) f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(\varphi(z))\right| \\
& \leq \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left(\left|\psi_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right|\left|f_{i}(\varphi(z))\right|+\left|\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right|\left|f_{i}^{\prime}(\varphi(z))\right|\right. \\
&\left.+\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|\left|\psi_{2}(z)\right|\left|f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(\varphi(z))\right|\right) \\
& \leq M_{1} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left|f_{i}(z)\right|+\left(\sup _{z \in K}+\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash K}\right) \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\psi_{1}(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)+\psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right|\left|f_{i}^{\prime}(\varphi(z))\right| \\
&+\left(\sup _{z \in K}+\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash K}\right) \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|\left|\psi_{2}(z)\right|\left|f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(\varphi(z))\right| \\
& \leq 2 \varepsilon+M_{1} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left|f_{i}(z)\right|+L_{1} \sup _{|z| \leq \eta}\left|f_{i}^{\prime}(z)\right|+L_{2} \sup _{|z| \leq \eta}\left|f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f_{i} \rightarrow$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ implies that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_{i}^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, from (25) and Lemma 3 we get

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\Psi}(z)\left|\left(T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi} f_{i}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|=0
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi} f_{i}(0)\right|=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (25) and (26) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi} f_{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\Psi}}=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (27) and Lemma 1, we see that $T_{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \varphi}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\Psi}$ is compact. The proof is finished.
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