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#### Abstract

The paper deals with the existence of infinitely many periodic solutions for second-order nonlinear difference systems. A variational approach is applied using the saddle point theorem.
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## 1 Introduction and statement of main results

The interest in the second-order difference systems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta^{2} u(t-1)+\nabla F(t, u(t))=0, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]  \tag{1}\\
u(0)=u(T)
\end{array}\right.
$$

has been aroused, where $\Delta u(t)=u(t+1)-u(t), \Delta^{2} u(t)=\Delta(\Delta u(t)), \nabla F(t, x)=\frac{\partial F(t, x)}{\partial x}, T$ is a positive integer, and $\mathbb{Z}$ and $R$ denote the set of integers and the set of real numbers, respectively, and $\mathbb{Z}[a, b]=\{a, a+1, \ldots, b\}$, for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \leq b$. Assume that $F(t, x)$ is $T$-periodic in $t$ for all $x \in R^{N}$ and $F(t, x) \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z} \times R^{N}, R\right)$.

In 2003, Yu and Guo [1-3] established a variational structure and variational methods to study discrete Hamiltonian systems and obtain the solvability condition of a periodic solution for discrete systems, based on operator theory. Since then more and more authors have contributed to study second-order discrete Hamiltonian systems, with an effective tool named the critical point theory, and one obtained many interesting results [4-11]. In [8], with operator theory, Xue and Tang constructed a variational setting unlike the one in [1] to study the second-order superquadratic discrete Hamiltonian systems (1) and obtained the existence of periodic solutions. This result generalized the one in [4]. In [7], Xue and Tang obtained the existence of one periodic solution of systems (1) under the hypothesis there exist $M_{1}>0, M_{2}>0$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1$ such that

$$
|\nabla F(t, x)| \leq M_{1}|x|^{\alpha}+M_{2},
$$

for all $(t, x) \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T] \times R^{N}$, and the condition

$$
|x|^{-2 \alpha} \sum_{t=1}^{T} F(t, x) \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty
$$

Subsequently, in [9], Yan, Wu and Tang extended these results in [7] to the case that $F(t, x)$ is $T_{i}$-periodic in $x_{i}$ and obtained the existence of multiple periodic solutions, where $x_{i}$ is the $i$ th component of $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right), i \in[1, N]$. Especially in [12], Che and Xue obtained the existence of infinitely many periodic solutions for systems in the case that:
(F1) there exist $f, g: \mathbb{Z}[0, T] \rightarrow R^{+}$and $\alpha \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
|\nabla F(t, x)| \leq f(t)|x|^{\alpha}+g(t) \quad \text { for all }(t, x) \in \mathbb{Z}[0, T] \times R^{N}
$$

and a suitable oscillating behaviour at infinity,
(F2) $\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in R^{N},|x|=r}|x|^{-2 \alpha} \sum_{t=0}^{T} F(t, x)=-\infty$,
(H3) $\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{x \in R^{N},|x|=r} \sum_{t=0}^{T} F(t, x)=+\infty$.
Consequently, it is natural to ask if infinitely many solutions still exist when $\alpha=1$. With the fact that $\alpha=1$, (F1) and (F2), respectively, change to the linearly bounded gradient condition:
( $\mathrm{F} 1^{\prime}$ ) there exist $f, g: \mathbb{Z}[0, T] \rightarrow R^{+}$such that

$$
|\nabla F(t, x)| \leq f(t)|x|+g(t) \quad \text { for all }(t, x) \in \mathbb{Z}[0, T] \times R^{N}
$$

and the condition
( $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ ) $\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in R^{N},|x|=r}|x|^{-2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} F(t, x)=-\infty$.
However, similarly to what was pointed in [13], ( $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ ) does not hold if $\sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)$ is bounded. Therefore, it is necessary to improve condition (F2'). Inspired by [7, 12, 13], in this paper, we will use minmax methods to further study systems (1) under the following assumptions:
(H1) there exist $f, g: \mathbb{Z}[0, T] \rightarrow R^{+}$with $\sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)<\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}$ such that

$$
|\nabla F(t, x)| \leq f(t)|x|+g(t) \quad \text { for all }(t, x) \in \mathbb{Z}[0, T] \times R^{N}
$$

(H2) $\liminf _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \sup _{x \in R^{N},|x|=r}|x|^{-2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} F(t, x)<-\frac{4 \sum_{t=0}^{T} f^{2}(t)}{\lambda_{1}}$,
where $\lambda_{k}=2-2 \cos \frac{2 k \pi}{T}$ satisfy the eigenvalue problem

$$
-\triangle^{2} u(t-1)=\lambda_{k} u(t), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}\left[0,\left[\frac{T}{2}\right]\right]
$$

and we note

$$
0=\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}<\cdots<\lambda_{\left[\frac{T}{2}\right]} \leq 4
$$

The main result on the existence of infinitely many periodic solutions of systems (1) is obtained. The details are described.

Theorem 1.1 Under the hypotheses of (H1), (H2), and (H3):
(a) discrete systems (1) have a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of solutions such that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a critical point of the functional $\varphi$ and $\varphi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
(b) discrete systems (1) have a sequence $\left\{u_{n}^{*}\right\}$ of solutions such that $\left\{u_{n}^{*}\right\}$ is a local minimizer of $\varphi$ and $\varphi\left(u_{n}^{*}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
where the variational functional $\varphi$ is

$$
\varphi(u)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T}|\Delta u(t)|^{2}-\sum_{t=0}^{T} F(t, u(t))
$$

on Hilbert space $H_{T}$ defined by

$$
H_{T}=\left\{u: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R^{N} \mid u(t)=u(t+T), t \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

with the inner product and the norm, respectively, written as

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\sum_{t=0}^{T}(u(t), v(t)), \quad \forall u, v \in H_{T}
$$

and

$$
\|u\|=\left(\sum_{t=0}^{T}|u(t)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Remark 1.2 As pointed out in [12], the nonlinearity potential $F$ does not need the symmetry condition in the paper. Moreover, Theorem 1.1 is a complement to and development of Theorem 1.1 in [12] corresponding to $\alpha=1$.

## 2 Proof of main result

For all $u \in H_{T},\|u\|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{Z}[0, T]}|u(t)|$ is defined. It is obvious that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq\|u\|=\left(\sum_{t=0}^{T}|u(t)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $H_{T}, H_{T}$ is finite dimensional. By (H1), one gets $\varphi \in C^{1}\left(H_{T}, R\right)$ and

$$
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\sum_{t=0}^{T}(\Delta u(t), \Delta v(t))-\sum_{t=0}^{T}(\nabla F(t, u(t)), v(t)),
$$

for all $u, v \in H_{T}$.
Subsequently, an important lemma in [8] is shown for the reader's convenience. The lemma is constructed in a variational setting, with the operator theory, unlike the one in [1]. Details can be found in [8].

Lemma 1 ([8]) Let $N_{k}$ be a subspace of $H_{T}$ written as

$$
N_{k}:=\left\{u \in H_{T} \mid-\triangle^{2} u(t-1)=\lambda_{k} u(t)\right\},
$$

where $\lambda_{k}=2-2 \cos \frac{2 k \pi}{T}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\left[0,\left[\frac{T}{2}\right]\right]$. Then one has the results as follows:
(i) $N_{k} \perp N_{j}, k \neq j, k, j \in \mathbb{Z}\left[0,\left[\frac{T}{2}\right]\right]$.
(ii) $H_{T}=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{[T / 2]} N_{k}$.

Let $V=N_{0}$ and $W=N_{0}^{\perp}=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{[T / 2]} N_{k}$. Via Lemma 2 in [8], we have

$$
H_{T}=N_{0} \oplus N_{0}^{\perp}=V \oplus W
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=0}^{T}|\Delta u(t)|^{2} \geq \lambda_{1}\|u\|^{2}, \quad \forall u \in W=N_{0}^{\perp} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $N_{0}$, one gets $u(t)=u(0)=C \in R^{N}$, for all $u \in N_{0}$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}[0, T]$. By Lemma 1, one rewrites $u$ as $u=\bar{u}+\tilde{u} \in V \oplus W=N_{0} \oplus N_{0}^{\perp}$, where

$$
\bar{u}=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T} u(t)
$$

From the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\| & =\left(\sum_{t=0}^{T}|u(t)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left(\sum_{t=0}^{T}|\bar{u}+\tilde{u}(t)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\left(\sum_{t=0}^{T}\langle\bar{u}+\tilde{u}, \bar{u}+\tilde{u}\rangle\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\left(\sum_{t=0}^{T}\left(|\bar{u}|^{2}+|\tilde{u}(t)|^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left(T|\bar{u}|^{2}+\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

one has

$$
\|u\| \leq \sqrt{T+1}\left(|\bar{u}|^{2}+\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad\|u\| \geq\left(|\bar{u}|^{2}+\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Thus one obtains that $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $\left(|\bar{u}|^{2}+\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on a minimax theorem (Corollary 4.3) in [14]. We complete the proof with a series of statements below.

Step 1, we claim that $\varphi$ is coercive in the subspace $W$.
Due to (H1), there exists a constant $C_{1}$ satisfying the following inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
|F(t, x)| & \leq\left|\int_{0}^{1}(\nabla F(t, s x), x) d s\right|+|F(t, 0)| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}|\nabla F(t, s x)||x| d s+C_{1} \leq \frac{f(t)}{2}|x|^{2}+g(t)|x|+C_{1} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}[0, T]$ and $x \in R^{N}$. Hence, using the Hölder inequality, (2), (3), and (4), for all $u \in W$, one derives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T}|\Delta u(t)|^{2}-\sum_{t=0}^{T} F(t, u) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}\|u\|^{2}-\sum_{t=0}^{T}\left(\frac{1}{2} f(t)|u(t)|^{2}+g(t)|u(t)|+C_{1}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}\|u\|^{2}-\|u\|_{\infty}^{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \frac{1}{2} f(t)-\|u\|_{\infty} \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t)-C_{1} T \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}\|u\|^{2}-\|u\|^{2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)-\|u\| \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t)-C_{1} T \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)\right)\|u\|^{2}-\|u\| \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t)-C_{1} T .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with the fact $\sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)<\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}$, one deduces $\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi(u)=+\infty$.
Step 2, we claim that there are positive sequences $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{b_{m}\right\}$ satisfying
(c) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=+\infty$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{u \in V,\|u\|=a_{n}} \varphi(u)=-\infty$,
(d) $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} b_{m}=+\infty$ and $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{u \in H_{b_{m}}} \varphi(u)=+\infty$,
where $H_{b_{m}}=\left\{u \in V,\|u\|=b_{m}\right\} \oplus W$.
The detailed proof of (c) can be founded in [12]. On the other hand, by (H2), one can take a constant

$$
a>\frac{8}{\lambda_{1}} .
$$

Thus one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in R^{N},|x|=r}|x|^{-2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} F(t, x)<-\frac{a}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f^{2}(t) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $u \in H_{b_{m}}$, rewritten $u=\tilde{u}+\bar{u}$, where $\tilde{u} \in W$ and $\bar{u} \in V$, by the Hölder inequality, (H1), and (H2), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{t=0}^{T}(F(t, u(t))-F(t, \bar{u}))\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{t=0}^{T} \int_{0}^{1}|(\nabla F(t, \bar{u}+s \tilde{u}(t)), \tilde{u}(t))| d s \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{t=0}^{T} \int_{0}^{1}(f(t)|\bar{u}+s \tilde{u}(t)|+g(t)) \cdot|\tilde{u}(t)| d s \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)\left(|\bar{u}|+\frac{1}{2}|\tilde{u}(t)|\right)|\tilde{u}(t)|+\sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t)|\tilde{u}(t)|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq|\bar{u}|\left(\sum_{t=0}^{T} f^{2}(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{T}|\tilde{u}(t)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty}^{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)+\|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty} \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 a}\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}+\frac{a}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f^{2}(t)|\bar{u}|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}+\|\tilde{u}\| \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2 a}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)\right)\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}+\frac{a}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f^{2}(t)|\bar{u}|^{2}+\|\tilde{u}\| \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, for all $u \in H_{b_{m}}$, it follows from inequalities (3) and (6) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(u)= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T}|\Delta u(t)|^{2}-\sum_{t=0}^{T} F(t, u(t)) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T}|\Delta \tilde{u}(t)|^{2}-\sum_{t=0}^{T}(F(t, u(t))-F(t, \bar{u}))-\sum_{t=0}^{T} F(t, \bar{u}) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2 a}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)\right)\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}-\frac{a}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f^{2}(t)|\bar{u}|^{2} \\
& -\|\tilde{u}\| \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t)-\sum_{t=0}^{T} F(t, \bar{u}) \\
= & \left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}-\frac{1}{2 a}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)\right)\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}-\|\tilde{u}\| \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t) \\
& -|\bar{u}|^{2}\left(\frac{\sum_{t=0}^{T} F(t, \bar{u})}{|\bar{u}|^{2}}+\frac{a}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f^{2}(t)\right) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

By $\sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)<\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}$ and $a>\frac{8}{\lambda_{1}}$,

$$
\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}-\frac{1}{2 a}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)>0
$$

is verified. By (5), (7), and the fact $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $\left(|\bar{u}|^{2}+\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \infty$, the conclusion (d) is achieved.

Now we have a family of maps $\Gamma_{n}$ expressed as

$$
\Gamma_{n}=\left\{\gamma \in C\left(B_{a_{n}}, H_{T}\right)|\gamma|_{\partial B_{a_{n}}}=\left.\operatorname{Id}\right|_{\partial B_{a_{n}}}\right\}
$$

and minimax values $c_{n}$ formulated as

$$
c_{n}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n}} \max _{u \in B_{a_{n}}} \varphi(\gamma(u))
$$

for each $n$, where $B_{a_{n}}$ is a ball in V and $a_{n}$ is the radius of $B_{a_{n}}$. One gets

$$
\gamma\left(B_{a_{n}}\right) \cap W \neq \emptyset
$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{n}$ from Theorem 4.6 in [14].

Step 3, we claim that, for sufficiently large $n$, there exist sequences $\left\{\gamma_{k}\right\} \subset \Gamma_{n}$ and $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ in $H_{T}$, respectively, satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{u \in B_{a_{n}}} \varphi\left(\gamma_{k}(u)\right) \rightarrow c_{n} \\
& \varphi\left(v_{k}\right) \rightarrow c_{n}, \quad \varphi^{\prime}\left(v_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \operatorname{dist}\left(v_{k}, \gamma_{k}\left(B_{a_{n}}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

By Step 1, we know $\varphi(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty, u \in W$. Therefore there exists a constant $C_{2}$ satisfying

$$
\max _{u \in B_{a_{n}}} \varphi(\gamma(u)) \geq \inf _{u \in W} \varphi(u) \geq C_{2}
$$

Furthermore, one has

$$
c_{n} \geq \inf _{u \in W} \varphi(u) \geq C_{2}
$$

for sufficiently large $n$. By the fact $\gamma\left(B_{a_{n}}\right) \cap W \neq \emptyset$ and the conclusion of Step 2 , one obtains

$$
c_{n}>\max _{u \in \partial a_{n}} \varphi(u)
$$

for sufficiently large $n$. Therefore, for a fixed $n$, this claim is proved from Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.3 in [14].
Step 4, we draw the conclusion that the sequence $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ is bounded in $H_{T}$.
For sufficiently large $k$, by (8), one has

$$
c_{n} \leq \max _{u \in a_{a_{n}}} \varphi\left(\gamma_{k}(u)\right) \leq c_{n}+1 .
$$

We choose $w_{k} \in \gamma_{k}\left(B_{a_{n}}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{k}-w_{k}\right\| \leq 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the conclusion (d) of Step 2, for a fixed $n$, a sufficiently large $m$ exists, rendering the formula

$$
b_{m}>a_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \inf _{u \in H_{b_{m}}} \varphi(u)>c_{n}+1
$$

These inequalities imply that $\gamma_{k}\left(B_{a_{n}}\right) \cap H_{b_{m}}=\emptyset$ for each $k$. We now write $w_{k}=\bar{w}_{k}+\tilde{w}_{k}$, where $\bar{w}_{k} \in V$ and $\tilde{w}_{k} \in W$. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{w}_{k}\right\|<b_{m} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $k$. Moreover, by (2), (3), (4), and (10), one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+c_{n} & \geq \varphi\left(w_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T}\left|\Delta w_{k}(t)\right|^{2}-\sum_{t=0}^{T} F\left(t, w_{k}(t)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{t=0}^{T}\left(\frac{1}{2} f(t)\left|w_{k}(t)\right|^{2}+g(t)\left|w_{k}(t)\right|+C_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)\left[\left|\bar{w}_{k}\right|^{2}+\left|\tilde{w}_{k}(t)\right|^{2}\right]-\sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t)\left(\left|\bar{w}_{k}\right|+\left|\tilde{w}_{k}(t)\right|\right)-C_{1} T \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)-\left\|\bar{w}_{k}\right\|^{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t) \\
& -\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|_{\infty} \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t)-\left\|\bar{w}_{k}\right\| \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t)-C_{1} T \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|^{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)-b_{m}^{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t) \\
& -\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\| \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t)-b_{m} \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t)-C_{1} T \\
= & \left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}-\sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)\right)\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\| \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t)-b_{m}^{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)-b_{m} \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t)-C_{1} T . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

We can combine equation (11) and the fact that $\sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)<\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2},\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|$ is bounded. Thus, by combining (10) and the fact that $\left\|w_{k}\right\|=\left(T\left|\bar{w}_{k}\right|^{2}+\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\left\{w_{k}\right\}$ is bounded. Then $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ is bounded in $H_{T}$ via (9). The conclusion is proved.

Step 5, we claim that $c_{n}$ is a critical value of $\varphi$.
Since $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ is bounded and $H_{T}$ is finite dimensional space, $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ contains a convergent subsequence that is still denoted as $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ for convenience, meeting

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} v_{k}=u_{n}
$$

Then, by (8), one has

$$
\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)=c_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=0
$$

Thus $\varphi$ has a critical point $u_{n}$.
We prove part (a) of Theorem 1.1. One chooses sufficiently large $n$ satisfying $a_{n}>b_{m}$, then one has $\gamma\left(B_{a_{n}}\right) \cap H_{b_{m}} \neq \emptyset$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{n}$. It follows that

$$
\max _{u \in B_{a_{n}}} \varphi(\gamma(u)) \geq \inf _{u \in H_{b_{m}}} \varphi(u)
$$

With this and the conclusion (d) of Step 2,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}=+\infty
$$

is implied. Part (a) of Theorem 1.1 is proved.
A follow-up is to prove part (b) in Theorem 1.1. For a given $m$, let $P_{m}$ be a subset of $H_{T}$, where

$$
P_{m}=\left\{u=\bar{u}+\tilde{u} \in H_{T} \mid \bar{u} \in V,\|\bar{u}\| \leq b_{m}, \tilde{u} \in W\right\} .
$$

For all $u \in P_{m}$, similar to (11), one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T}|\Delta u(t)|^{2}-\sum_{t=0}^{T} F(t, u(t)) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}-\sum_{t=0}^{T}\left(\frac{1}{2} f(t)|u(t)|^{2}+g(t)|u(t)|+C_{1}\right) \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}-\sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)\right)\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}-\|\tilde{u}\| \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t)-b_{m}^{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T} f(t)-b_{m} \sum_{t=0}^{T} g(t)-C_{1} T . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Due to (12), $\varphi$ is bounded below on $P_{m}$. Take

$$
\mu_{m}=\inf _{u \in P_{m}} \varphi(u)
$$

and a sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subset P_{m}$, satisfying

$$
\varphi\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow \mu_{m} \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Similar to the proof of the boundedness of $\left\{w_{k}\right\}$ in Step $4,\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is bounded in $H_{T}$ via (12). Then $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ contains a convergent subsequence that is still denoted $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ for convenience, satisfying

$$
u_{k} \rightharpoonup u_{m}^{*} \quad \text { weakly in } H_{T}, \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Noting that $P_{m}$ is convex and closed in $H_{T}$, one has $u_{m}^{*} \in P_{m}$. Moreover, in view of the weakly lower semi-continuity of $\varphi$, one has

$$
\mu_{m}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(u_{k}\right) \geq \varphi\left(u_{m}^{*}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mu_{m}=\varphi\left(u_{m}^{*}\right)
$$

Next, we draw the conclusion that $u_{m}^{*}$ is an interior point of $P_{m}$. Thus $u_{m}^{*}$ is a critical point of $\varphi$.
Taking

$$
u_{m}^{*}=\bar{u}_{m}^{*}+\tilde{u}_{m}^{*},
$$

where $\bar{u}_{m}^{*} \in V, \tilde{u}_{m}^{*} \in W$. If $a_{n}<b_{m}$, one has $\partial B_{a_{n}} \subset P_{m}$, which implies that

$$
\varphi\left(u_{m}^{*}\right)=\inf _{u \in P_{m}} \varphi(u) \leq \sup _{u \in \partial B_{a_{n}}} \varphi(u) .
$$

From the inequality above and the result (d) of Step 2, one gets

$$
\varphi\left(u_{m}^{*}\right) \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
$$

By the conclusion of Step 3, one has $\bar{u}_{m}^{*} \neq b_{m}$ for large $m$. From this one deduces that $u_{m}^{*}$ is an interior point of $P_{m}$ and $u_{m}^{*}$ is a critical point of $\varphi$. Then, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.
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