# RESEARCH

**Open Access** 



# A resonant boundary value problem for the fractional *p*-Laplacian equation

Bo Zhang<sup>\*</sup>

\*Correspondence: zhbahhb@chnu.edu.cn School of Mathematical Sciences, Huaibei Normal University, Huaibei, 235000, PR China Information College, Huaibei Normal University, Huaibei, 235000, PR China

# Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to study the solvability of a resonant boundary value problem for the fractional *p*-Laplacian equation. By using the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory, we obtain a new result on the existence of solutions for the considered problem.

MSC: 34A08; 34B15

**Keywords:** resonant boundary value problem; fractional *p*-Laplacian equation; continuation theorem

# **1** Introduction

In this paper, we establish an existence theorem of solutions for the following resonant boundary value problem with *p*-Laplacian operator:

$$\begin{cases} {}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\beta}\phi_{p}({}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha}x) = f(t,x,{}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha}x), & t \in [0,1], \\ x(0) = 0, & {}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha}x(0) = {}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha}x(1), \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $0 < \alpha, \beta \le 1$  are constants,  ${}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha}$  is a Caputo fractional derivative,  $f : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function,  $\phi_{p} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a *p*-Laplacian operator defined by

 $\phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$   $(s \neq 0),$   $\phi_p(0) = 0,$  p > 1.

Obviously,  $\phi_p$  is invertible and its inverse operator is  $\phi_q$ , where q > 1 is a constant such that 1/p + 1/q = 1.

Fractional calculus is a generalization of ordinary differentiation and integration, and fractional differential equations appear in various fields (see [1-4]). Recently, because of the intensive development of fractional calculus theory and its applications, the initial and boundary value problems (BVPs for short) of fractional differential equations have gained popularity (see [5-15] and the references therein).

In [11], by using the coincidence degree theory for Fredholm operators, the authors considered the existence of solutions for BVP (1.1). Notice that  ${}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\beta}\phi_{p}({}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha})$  is nonlinear, and so it is not a Fredholm operator. Thus there is a gap in the proof of the main result, and we fix this gap in the present paper.

© The Author(s) 2017. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



## 2 Preliminaries

For convenience of the reader, we will introduce some necessary basic knowledge about fractional calculus theory (see [2, 4]).

**Definition 2.1** The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator of order  $\alpha > 0$  of a function  $u : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$${}_0I_t^{\alpha}u=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^t(t-s)^{\alpha-1}u(s)\,ds,$$

provided that the right-hand side integral is pointwise defined in  $(0, +\infty)$ .

**Definition 2.2** The Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha > 0$  of a continuous function  $u : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$\begin{split} & \int_{0}^{c} D_{t}^{\alpha} u = {}_{0} I_{t}^{n-\alpha} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{n} u}{\mathrm{d} t^{n}} \\ & = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} u^{(n)}(s) \, ds, \end{split}$$

where *n* is the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $\alpha$ , provided that the right-hand side integral is pointwise defined in  $(0, +\infty)$ .

**Lemma 2.1** (See [1]) Let  $\alpha > 0$ . Assume that  $u, {}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha}u \in L([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ . Then the following equality holds:

$${}_{0}I_{t\ 0}^{\alpha c}D_{t}^{\alpha}u(t)=u(t)+c_{0}+c_{1}t+\cdots+c_{n-1}t^{n-1},$$

where  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1, here *n* is the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $\alpha$ .

Next we present some notations and an abstract existence result (see [16]).

Let *X*, *Y* be real Banach spaces,  $L : \text{dom} L \subset X \to Y$  be a Fredholm operator with index zero, and  $P : X \to X$ ,  $Q : Y \to Y$  be projectors such that

$$Im P = Ker L, Ker Q = Im L,$$
$$X = Ker L \oplus Ker P, Y = Im L \oplus Im Q.$$

It follows that

 $L|_{\operatorname{dom} L \cap \operatorname{Ker} P} : \operatorname{dom} L \cap \operatorname{Ker} P \to \operatorname{Im} L$ 

is invertible. We denote the inverse by  $K_P$ .

If  $\Omega$  is an open bounded subset of X such that dom  $L \cap \overline{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$ , then the map  $N : X \to Y$ will be called L-compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$  if  $QN(\overline{\Omega})$  is bounded and  $K_P(I-Q)N : \overline{\Omega} \to X$  is compact.

**Lemma 2.2** (See [16]) Let L: dom  $L \subset X \to Y$  be a Fredholm operator of index zero and  $N: X \to Y$  be L-compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$  for every  $(x, \lambda) \in [(\operatorname{dom} L \setminus \operatorname{Ker} L) \cap \partial \Omega] \times (0, 1)$ ,
- (2)  $Nx \notin \text{Im } L$  for every  $x \in \text{Ker } L \cap \partial \Omega$ ,
- (3) deg( $QN|_{\text{Ker}L}$ ,  $\Omega \cap \text{Ker}L$ , 0)  $\neq$  0, where  $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$  is a projection such that Im L = Ker Q.

*Then the equation* Lx = Nx *has at least one solution in* dom  $L \cap \overline{\Omega}$ .

In this paper, we let  $Z = C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$  with the norm  $||z||_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |z(t)|$  and take

 $X = \left\{ x = (x_1, x_2)^\top | x_1, x_2 \in Z \right\}$ 

with the norm

$$||x||_X = \max\{||x_1||_{\infty}, ||x_2||_{\infty}\}.$$

By means of the linear functional analysis theory, we can prove that X is a Banach space.

# 3 Main result

We will establish the existence theorem of solutions for BVP (1.1).

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $f : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Assume that

(*H*<sub>1</sub>) there exist nonnegative functions  $a, b, c \in Z$  such that

 $|f(t, u, v)| \le a(t) + b(t)|u|^{p-1} + c(t)|v|^{p-1}, \quad \forall (t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2,$ 

 $(H_2)$  there exists a constant B > 0 such that

 $vf(t,u,v)>0 \ (or < 0), \quad \forall t \in [0,1], u \in \mathbb{R}, |v|>B.$ 

Then BVP (1.1) has at least one solution provided that

$$\gamma:=\frac{2}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\left(\frac{\|b\|_\infty}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{p-1}}+\|c\|_\infty\right)<1.$$

Consider BVP of the linear differential system as follows:

$$\begin{cases} {}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha}x_{1} = \phi_{q}(x_{2}), & t \in [0,1], \\ {}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\beta}x_{2} = f(t,x_{1},\phi_{q}(x_{2})), & t \in [0,1], \\ x_{1}(0) = 0, & x_{2}(0) = x_{2}(1). \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

Obviously, if  $x = (x_1, x_2)^{\top}$  is a solution of BVP (3.1), then  $x_1$  must be a solution of BVP (1.1). Therefore, to prove BVP (1.1) has solutions, it suffices to show that BVP (3.1) has solutions. Define the operator  $L : \operatorname{dom} L \subset X \to X$  by

$$Lx = \begin{pmatrix} {}^{c}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}x_{1} \\ {}^{c}_{0}D^{\beta}_{t}x_{2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.2)

where

dom 
$$L = \{x \in X | {}_{0}^{c} D_{t}^{\alpha} x_{1}, {}_{0}^{c} D_{t}^{\rho} x_{2} \in Z, x_{1}(0) = 0, x_{2}(0) = x_{2}(1) \}.$$

Let  $N: X \to X$  be the Nemytskii operator defined by

$$Nx(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_q(x_2(t)) \\ f(t, x_1(t), \phi_q(x_2(t))) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$
(3.3)

Then BVP (3.1) is equivalent to the following operator equation:

$$Lx = Nx$$
,  $x \in \text{dom } L$ .

Now, in order to prove Theorem 3.1, we give some lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 Let L be defined by (3.2), then

$$\operatorname{Ker} L = \left\{ x \in X | x_1(t) = 0, x_2(t) = c, \forall t \in [0, 1], c \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$
(3.4)

$$\operatorname{Im} L = \left\{ y \in X|_0 I_t^{\beta} y_2(1) = 0 \right\}.$$
(3.5)

*Proof* By Lemma 2.1, the equation Lx = 0 has solutions

$$x_1(t) = c_1, \qquad x_2(t) = c_2, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, from the boundary value condition  $x_1(0) = 0$ , one has that (3.4) holds.

Let  $y \in \text{Im } L$ , then there exists a function  $x \in \text{dom } L$  such that  $y_2 = {}^c_0 D_t^\beta x_2$ . So, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$x_2(t) = c + {}_0I_t^\beta y_2(t), \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, from the boundary value condition  $x_2(0) = x_2(1)$ , we get (3.5).

On the other hand, suppose that  $y \in X$  satisfies  ${}_{0}I_{t}^{\beta}y_{2}(1) = 0$ . Let  $x_{1} = {}_{0}I_{t}^{\alpha}y_{1}, x_{2} = {}_{0}I_{t}^{\beta}y_{2}(t)$ , then  $x = (x_{1}, x_{2})^{\top} \in \text{dom } L$  and Lx = y. That is,  $y \in \text{Im } L$ . The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.2** Let *L* be defined by (3.2), then *L* is a Fredholm operator of index zero. And the projectors  $P: X \to X$ ,  $Q: X \to X$  can be defined as

$$Px(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ x_2(0) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall t \in [0,1],$$
$$Qy(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \Gamma(\beta+1)_0 I_t^{\beta} y_2(1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall t \in [0,1].$$

*Furthermore, the operator*  $K_P$  : Im  $L \to \text{dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P$  *can be written as* 

$$K_P y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 I_t^{\alpha} y_1 \\ 0 I_t^{\beta} y_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(3.6)

*Proof* For any  $y \in X$ , one has

$$Q^{2}y = Q\begin{pmatrix}0\\\Gamma(\beta+1)_{0}I_{t}^{\beta}y_{2}(1)\end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix}0\\\Gamma(\beta+1)_{0}I_{t}^{\beta}y_{2}(1)\cdot\Gamma(\beta+1)_{0}I_{t}^{\beta}1(1)\end{pmatrix}$$
$$= Qy.$$

Let  $y^* = y - Qy$ , then we get from (3.6) that

$${}_{0}I_{t}^{\beta}y_{2}^{*}(1) = {}_{0}I_{t}^{\beta}y_{2}(1) - {}_{0}I_{t}^{\beta}(Qy_{2})(1)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} ((Qy_{2})(t) - (Q^{2}y_{2})(t))$$
$$= 0,$$

which yields  $y^* \in \text{Im } L$ . So X = Im L + Im Q. Since  $\text{Im } L \cap \text{Im } Q = \{(0, 0)^{\top}\}$ , we have  $X = \text{Im } L \oplus \text{Im } Q$ . Hence

 $\dim \operatorname{Ker} L = \dim \operatorname{Im} Q = \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} L = 1.$ 

Thus *L* is a Fredholm operator of index zero.

For  $y \in \text{Im } L$ , by the definition of operator  $K_P$ , we have

$$LK_{P}y = \begin{pmatrix} {}^{c}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}{}_{0}I^{\alpha}_{t}y_{1} \\ {}^{c}_{0}D^{\beta}_{t}{}_{0}I^{\beta}_{t}y_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= y.$$
(3.7)

On the other hand, for  $x \in \text{dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P$ , one has

$$x_1(0) = x_2(0) = x_2(1) = 0.$$

Thus, from Lemma 2.1, we get

$$K_{P}Lx(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0I_{t}^{\alpha} {}_{0}^{\alpha} D_{t}^{\alpha} x_{1}(t) \\ 0I_{t}^{\beta} {}_{0}^{\alpha} D_{t}^{\beta} x_{2}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} x_{1}(t) - x_{1}(0) \\ x_{2}(t) - x_{2}(0) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= x(t).$$
(3.8)

Hence, combining (3.7) with (3.8), we know  $K_P = (L|_{\text{dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P})^{-1}$ . The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.3** Let N be defined by (3.3). Assume  $\Omega \subset X$  is an open bounded subset such that dom  $L \cap \overline{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$ , then N is L-compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

*Proof* From the continuity of  $\phi_q$  and f, we obtain  $K_P(I - Q)N$  is continuous in X and  $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $K_P(I - Q)N(\overline{\Omega})$  are bounded. Moreover, there exists a constant T > 0 such that

$$\left\| (I-Q)Nx \right\|_{X} \le T, \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

$$(3.9)$$

Thus, in view of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, we need only to prove  $K_P(I - Q)N(\overline{\Omega}) \subset X$  is equicontinuous.

For  $0 \le t_1 < t_2 \le 1$ ,  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| K_P(I-Q)Nx(t_2) - K_P(I-Q)Nx(t_1) \right| \\ &= \binom{{}_0I_t^{\alpha}\left( (I-Q)Nx \right)_1(t_2) - {}_0I_t^{\alpha}\left( (I-Q)Nx \right)_1(t_1)}{{}_0I_t^{\beta}((I-Q)Nx)_2(t_2) - {}_0I_t^{\beta}\left( (I-Q)Nx \right)_2(t_1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.9), we have

$$\begin{split} {}_{0}I_{t}^{\alpha}\left((I-Q)Nx\right)_{1}(t_{2}) - {}_{0}I_{t}^{\alpha}\left((I-Q)Nx\right)_{1}(t_{1})\Big| \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_{0}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \big((I-Q)Nx\big)_{1}(s) \, ds \right. \\ &- \int_{0}^{t_{1}} (t_{1}-s)^{\alpha-1} \big((I-Q)Nx\big)_{1}(s) \, ds \Big| \\ &\leq \frac{T}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left\{ \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \big[ (t_{1}-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \big] \, ds + \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \, ds \right\} \\ &= \frac{T}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \big[ t_{1}^{\alpha} - t_{2}^{\alpha} + 2(t_{2}-t_{1})^{\alpha} \big]. \end{split}$$

Since  $t^{\alpha}$  is uniformly continuous on [0,1], we get  $(K_P(I-Q)N(\overline{\Omega}))_1 \subset Z$  is equicontinuous. A similar proof can show that  $(K_P(I-Q)N(\overline{\Omega}))_2 \subset Z$  is also equicontinuous. Hence, we obtain  $K_P(I-Q)N:\overline{\Omega} \to X$  is compact. The proof is complete.

Finally, we give the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 Let

$$\Omega_1 = \left\{ x \in \operatorname{dom} L \setminus \operatorname{Ker} L | Lx = \lambda N x, \lambda \in (0, 1) \right\}.$$

For  $x \in \Omega_1$ , we have  $x_1(0) = 0$  and  $Nx \in \text{Im } L$ . So, by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$x_1 = {}_0I_t^{\alpha c} D_t^{\alpha} x_1.$$

Thus one has

$$\left|x_{1}(t)\right| \leq rac{1}{\Gamma(lpha+1)}\left\|{}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{lpha}x_{1}\right\|_{\infty}, \quad \forall t \in [0,1].$$

That is,

$$\|x_1\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \|_0^c D_t^{\alpha} x_1\|_{\infty}.$$
(3.10)

From  $Nx \in \text{Im } L$  and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= {}_0I_t^\beta(Nx)_2(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-1} f\left(s, x_1(s), \phi_q(x_2(s))\right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the integral mean value theorem, there exists a constant  $\xi \in (0,1)$  such that

$$f(\xi, x_1(\xi), \phi_q(x_2(\xi))) = 0.$$

So, by  $(H_2)$ , we have  $|x_2(\xi)| \le B^{p-1}$ . From Lemma 2.1, we get

$$x_2(t) = x_2(\xi) - {}_0I_t^{\beta c} D_t^{\beta} x_2(\xi) + {}_0I_t^{\beta c} D_t^{\beta} x_2(t),$$

which together with

$$\left|_{0}I_{t\ 0}^{\beta}D_{t}^{\beta}x_{2}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\left\|_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\beta}x_{2}\right\|_{\infty}, \quad \forall t \in [0,1]$$

yields

$$\|x_2\|_{\infty} \le B^{p-1} + \frac{2}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \|_0^c D_t^\beta x_2\|_{\infty}.$$
(3.11)

From  $Lx = \lambda Nx$ , one has

$${}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha}x_{1}=\lambda\phi_{q}(x_{2}), \qquad (3.12)$$

$${}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\beta}x_{2} = \lambda f(t, x_{1}, \phi_{q}(x_{2})).$$
(3.13)

By (3.12), we have

$$\|{}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\alpha}x_{1}\|_{\infty} \leq \|x_{2}\|_{\infty}^{q-1}$$
,

which together with (3.10) yields

$$\|x_1\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \|x_2\|_{\infty}^{q-1}.$$
(3.14)

By (3.13) and  $(H_1)$ , we obtain

$$\left\|{}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\beta}x_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \|a\|_{\infty} + \|b\|_{\infty}\|x_{1}\|_{\infty}^{p-1} + \|c\|_{\infty}\|x_{2}\|_{\infty},$$

which together with (3.11) and (3.14) yields

$$\|{}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\beta}x_{2}\|_{\infty} \leq \|a\|_{\infty} + \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)\gamma}{2}\|x_{2}\|_{\infty}$$
$$\leq \|a\|_{\infty} + \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)\gamma B^{p-1}}{2} + \gamma \|{}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\beta}x_{2}\|_{\infty}.$$
(3.15)

Since  $\gamma <$  1, we get from (3.15) that there exists a constant  $M_0 > 0$  such that

$$\left\| {}_{0}^{c}D_{t}^{\beta}x_{2}\right\|_{\infty}\leq M_{0}.$$

Thus, combining (3.11) with (3.14), we have

$$\|x_2\|_{\infty} \le B^{p-1} + \frac{2M_0}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} := M_1,$$
  
$$\|x_1\|_{\infty} \le \frac{M_1^{q-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} := M_2.$$

Hence

$$||x||_X \le \max\{M_1, M_2\} := M,$$

which means  $\Omega_1$  is bounded.

Let

$$\Omega_2 = \{ x \in \operatorname{Ker} L | Nx \in \operatorname{Im} L \}.$$

For  $x \in \Omega_2$ , we have  ${}_0I_t^\beta(Nx)_2(1) = 0$  and  $x_1(t) = 0$ ,  $x_2(t) = c$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus one has

$$\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-1} f(s,0,\phi_q(c)) \, ds = 0,$$

which together with  $(H_2)$  yields  $|c| \le B^{p-1}$ . Hence

$$||x||_X \le \max\{0, B^{p-1}\} = B^{p-1},$$

which means  $\Omega_2$  is bounded.

By  $(H_2)$ , one has

$$\phi_p(v)f(t, u, v) > 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], u \in \mathbb{R}, |v| > B$$
(3.16)

or

$$\phi_p(v)f(t, u, v) < 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], u \in \mathbb{R}, |v| > B.$$
(3.17)

When (3.16) is true, let

$$\Omega_3 = \left\{ x \in \operatorname{Ker} L | \lambda x + (1 - \lambda) Q N x = 0, \lambda \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

For  $x \in \Omega_3$ , we have  $x_1(t) = 0$ ,  $x_2(t) = c$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\lambda c + (1 - \lambda)\beta \int_0^1 (1 - s)^{\beta - 1} f(s, 0, \phi_q(c)) \, ds = 0.$$
(3.18)

If  $\lambda = 0$ , we get from (3.16) that  $|c| \le B^{p-1}$ . If  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ , we assume  $|c| > B^{p-1}$ . Thus, by (3.16), we obtain

$$\lambda c^{2} + (1-\lambda)\beta \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)^{\beta-1} \phi_{p}(\phi_{q}(c)) f(s,0,\phi_{q}(c)) ds > 0,$$

which contradicts (3.18). Hence,  $\Omega_3$  is bounded.

When (3.17) is true, let

$$\Omega'_3 = \left\{ x \in \operatorname{Ker} L | -\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) Q N x = 0, \lambda \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

A similar proof can show  $\Omega'_3$  is also bounded.

Set

$$\Omega = \left\{ x \in X | \|x\|_X < \max\{M, B^{p-1}\} + 1 \right\}.$$

Clearly,  $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3 \subset \Omega$  (or  $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \Omega'_3 \subset \Omega$ ). It follows from Lemma 3.2 and 3.3 that *L* (defined by (3.2)) is a Fredholm operator of index zero and *N* (defined by (3.3)) is *L*-compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Moreover, based on the above proof, the conditions (1) and (2) of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied. Define the operator  $H: \overline{\Omega} \times [0,1] \to X$  by

 $H(x,\lambda) = \pm \lambda x + (1-\lambda)QNx.$ 

Then, from the above proof, we have

$$H(x,\lambda) \neq 0, \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L.$$

Thus, by the homotopy property of degree, we get

$$deg(QN|_{KerL}, \Omega \cap KerL, 0) = deg(H(\cdot, 0), \Omega \cap KerL, 0)$$
$$= deg(H(\cdot, 1), \Omega \cap KerL, 0)$$
$$= deg(\pm I, \Omega \cap KerL, 0)$$
$$\neq 0.$$

Hence, condition (3) of Lemma 2.2 is also satisfied.

Therefore, by using Lemma 2.2, the operator equation Lx = Nx has at least one solution in dom  $L \cap \overline{\Omega}$ . Namely, BVP (1.1) has at least one solution in *X*. The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

### Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Science Research Foundation of Colleges and Universities in Anhui Province (KJ2016A648).

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 17 February 2017 Accepted: 23 March 2017 Published online: 04 April 2017

### References

- 1. Kilbas, AA, Srivastava, HM, Trujillo, JJ: Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006)
- 2. Podlubny, I: Fractional Differential Equation. Academic Press, San Diego (1999)
- Sabatier, J, Agrawal, OP, Machado, JAT (eds.): Advances in Fractional Calculus: Theoretical Developments and Applications in Physics and Engineering. Springer, Dordrecht (2007)
- 4. Samko, SG, Kilbas, AA, Marichev, OI: Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications. Gordon and Breach, Yverdon (1993)
- Agarwal, RP, O'Regan, D, Stanek, S: Positive solutions for Dirichlet problems of singular nonlinear fractional differential equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 371, 57-68 (2010)
- 6. Bai, Z, Lü, H: Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **311**, 495-505 (2005)
- 7. Benchohra, M, Hamani, S, Ntouyas, SK: Boundary value problems for differential equations with fractional order and nonlocal conditions. Nonlinear Anal. TMA **71**, 2391-2396 (2009)
- 8. Chen, T, Liu, W, Yang, C: Antiperiodic solutions for Lienard-type differential equation with *p*-Laplacian operator. Bound. Value Probl. **2010**, 194824 (2010)
- Chen, T, Liu, W, Hu, Z: A boundary value problem for fractional differential equation with *p*-Laplacian operator at resonance. Nonlinear Anal. TMA 75, 3210-3217 (2012)
- Chen, T, Liu, W: An anti-periodic boundary value problem for the fractional differential equation with a *p*-Laplacian operator. Appl. Math. Lett. 25, 1671-1675 (2012)
- Chen, T, Liu, W, Liu, J: Existence of solutions for some boundary value problems of fractional *p*-Laplacian equation at resonance. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 20, 503-517 (2013)
- 12. Darwish, MA, Ntouyas, SK: On initial and boundary value problems for fractional order mixed type functional differential inclusions. Comput. Math. Appl. **59**, 1253-1265 (2010)
- El-Shahed, M, Nieto, JJ: Nontrivial solutions for a nonlinear multi-point boundary value problem of fractional order. Comput. Math. Appl. 59, 3438-3443 (2010)
- Jiang, W: The existence of solutions to boundary value problems of fractional differential equations at resonance. Nonlinear Anal. TMA 74, 1987-1994 (2011)
- Su, X: Boundary value problem for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations. Appl. Math. Lett. 22, 64-69 (2009)
- 16. Mawhin, J: Topological Degree Methods in Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1979)

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com