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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study a class of periodic discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations with asymptotically linear nonlinearities, and prove the existence of ground state solutions (i.e., nontrivial solutions with the least possible energy) by the linking theorem directly. By weakening some conditions, our conclusions extend some existing results.
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## 1 Introduction

The discrete nonlinear Schrödinger (DNLS) equations belong to the most important inherently discrete models, playing a crucial role in the modeling of a great variety of phenomena, ranging from solid-state and condensed-matter physics to biology ([1-3]). Particularly, they have been successfully applied to the modeling of localized pulse propagation in optical fibers and wave guides, to the study of energy relaxation in solids, to the behavior of amorphous material, to the modeling of self-trapping of vibrational energy in proteins or studies related to the denaturation of the DNA double strand (see [4-6] and the references therein).

Recently, many works in the literature have considered the existence of discrete solitons of the DNLS equations; see Refs. [7-12]. Results are obtained for such equations with superlinear nonlinearity [13-19] and saturable nonlinearity [20-23].

Assume that $M$ is a positive integer. We consider the following DNLS equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \dot{\psi}_{n}=-\Delta \psi_{n}+\varepsilon_{n} \psi_{n}-f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), \quad n=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{M} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \psi_{n}= & \psi_{\left(n_{1}+1, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)}+\psi_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}+1, \ldots, n_{M}\right)}+\cdots+\psi_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}+1\right)}-2 m \psi_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)} \\
& +\psi_{\left(n_{1}-1, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)}+\psi_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}-1, \ldots, n_{M}\right)}+\cdots+\psi_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}-1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

is the discrete Laplacian in $M$ spatial dimension. The given sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ is assumed to be real-valued and $T$-periodic in $n$, i.e., for $n=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}$,

$$
\varepsilon_{\left(n_{1}+T_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)}=\varepsilon_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}+T_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)}=\cdots=\varepsilon_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}+T_{M}\right)}=\varepsilon_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)},
$$

where $T=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{M}\right), T_{i}$ is a positive integer, $i=1,2, \ldots, M$. We assume that $f_{n}(0)=0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}$ and the nonlinearity $f_{n}(u)$ is $T$-periodic in $n$ and gauge invariant in $u$, i.e.,

$$
f_{n}\left(e^{i \theta} u\right)=e^{i \theta} f_{n}(u), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Solitons of (1.1) are spatially localized time-periodic solutions and decay to 0 at infinity, that is, $\psi_{n}$ has the form

$$
\psi_{n}=u_{n} e^{-i \omega t} \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{|n| \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{n}=0
$$

where $|n|=\left|n_{1}\right|+\left|n_{2}\right|+\cdots+\left|n_{M}\right|$ is the length of multi-index $n$, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a real-valued sequence and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ is the temporal frequency. Then (1.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u_{n}+\varepsilon_{n} u_{n}-\omega u_{n}=f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|n| \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Naturally, if we look for solitons of (1.1), we just need to find the solutions of (1.2) satisfying (1.3).

Set $F_{n}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f_{n}(t) d t, t \in \mathbb{R}$. This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we will introduce the variational framework associated with problem (1.2), Sect. 3 is devoted to the proof of the existence of ground state solutions for equation (1.2).

## 2 Variational framework and main results

Let

$$
l^{p} \equiv l^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{M}\right)=\left\{u=\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}}: \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}, u_{n} \in \mathbb{R},\|u\|_{l^{p}}=\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty\right\} .
$$

Then the following embedding between $l^{p}$ spaces holds:

$$
l^{q} \subset l^{p}, \quad\|u\|_{L^{p}} \leq\|u\|_{l q}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty .
$$

Let $L=-\Delta+\varepsilon_{n}, A=L-\omega$, the work space $E=l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{M}\right)$. It is well known that the spectral of $L$ in $E$ (denoted by $\sigma(L))$ has a band structure, i.e., $\sigma(L)$ is a union of a finite number of closed intervals (see [24]), then the complement $\mathbb{R} \backslash \sigma(L)$ consists of a finite number of open intervals called spectral gaps and two of them are semi-infinite. We fix one finite gap and denote it by $(\alpha, \beta)$. Note that every element of $E$ automatically satisfies (1.3).

In this paper, we impose the following assumptions on $\omega$ and $f_{n}$ :
$\left(V_{1}\right) \omega \in(\alpha, \beta)$.
$\left(f_{1}\right) f_{n} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $f_{n}$ is $T$-periodic in $n, F_{n}(s) \geq 0$.
$\left(f_{2}\right) f_{n}(s)=o(s)$ as $|s| \rightarrow 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}$.
$\left(f_{3}\right) f_{n}(s)=V_{n} s+g_{n}(s)$, where $V_{n}$ is $T$-periodic in $n, 0<V_{n}<\infty$, and there exists a $u^{0} \in E_{0}^{+}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}-\|w\|^{2}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} V_{n}\left(u_{n}^{0}+w_{n}\right)^{2}<0 \quad \forall w \in E^{-} ; \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$s g_{n}(s) \leq 0, f_{n}(s) g_{n}(s)<0$ for $0<|s| \leq \alpha_{0}$ for some $\alpha_{0}>0, g_{n}(s)=o(|s|)$ as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}$.
$\left(f_{4}\right) s \mapsto f_{n}(s) /|s|$ is increasing on $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0, \infty)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}$.
Consider the functional $\Phi$ defined on $E$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2}(A u, u)_{E}-\Psi(u), \quad \Psi(u)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} F_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $(\cdot, \cdot)_{E}$ is the usual inner product in $E$. The corresponding norm is denoted by $\|u\|_{E}$. Then $\Phi, \Psi \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and the derivative of $\Phi$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=(A u, v)_{E}-\left\langle\Psi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=(A u, v)_{E}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) v_{n}, \quad \forall u, v \in E . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.3) implies that (1.2) is the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation for $\Phi$. Therefore, we have reduced the problem of finding a nontrivial solution of (1.2) to that of seeking a nonzero critical point of the functional $\Phi$ in $E$. By $\left(V_{1}\right)$, we have $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R} \backslash(\alpha-$ $\omega, \beta-\omega)$. So, $E=E^{+} \oplus E^{-}$corresponds to the spectral decomposition of $A$ with respect to the positive and negative parts of the spectrum.

Moreover, for any $u, v \in E$, letting $u=u^{+}+u^{-}$with $u^{ \pm} \in E^{ \pm}$and $v=v^{+}+v^{-}$with $v^{ \pm} \in E^{ \pm}$, we can define an equivalent inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and the corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $E$ by

$$
(u, v)=\left(A u^{+}, v^{+}\right)_{E}-\left(A u^{-}, v^{-}\right)_{E}, \quad\|u\|=(u, u)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

respectively. Therefore, $\Phi$ can be written as

$$
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} F_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)
$$

and we also have

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} .
$$

If $u$ is a nontrivial solution of problem (1.2), then $u \in \mathcal{M}$, where

$$
\mathcal{M}:=\left\{u \in E \backslash E^{-}:\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=0 \text { for all } v \in E^{-}\right\} .
$$

The above set was first introduced in [25]. Set $m:=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}} \Phi(u)$. Inspired by previous work due to Tang [26], we investigate the existence of ground state solutions of (1.2). His
main idea is to find a minimizing Cerami sequence for $\Phi$ outside $\mathcal{M}$ by using the diagonal method; see Lemma 3.10.

Now, our main result is the following:
Theorem 2.1 Suppose that $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{4}\right)$ are satisfied. Then Eq. (1.2) has at least a nontrivial ground state solution $\bar{u} \in E$ such that $\Phi(\bar{u})=\inf _{\mathcal{M}} \Phi>0$. Moreover,

$$
\left\|\bar{u}^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\bar{u}^{-}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} V_{n}\left(\bar{u}_{n}\right)^{2}<0 .
$$

Remark 2.2 Set $L^{+}=\left.L\right|_{E^{+}}, L^{-}=\left.L\right|_{E^{-}}$. Note that if we substitute condition $\left(f_{3}\right)$ by $\left(f_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ :
$\left(f_{3}^{\prime}\right) f_{n}(s)=V_{n} s+g_{n}(s)$, where $V_{n}$ is $T$-periodic in $n, \inf V_{n}>\inf \sigma\left(L^{+}\right)-\omega, g_{n}(s)=o(|s|)$ as

$$
|s| \rightarrow \infty \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M} \text {, and } 0<s f_{n}(s)<V_{n} s^{2} \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M} \text { and } s \neq 0,
$$

then the conclusions of Theorem 2.1 can also be derived because $\left(f_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ implies $\left(f_{3}\right)$.

Here, we mention that, as a result of Theorem 2.1, the least energy value $m$ has a minimax characterization given by

$$
m=\Phi(\bar{u})=\min _{v \in E_{0}^{+} \backslash\{0\}} \max _{u \in E^{-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{+} v} \Phi(u),
$$

where $E_{0}^{+}$is defined in (3.6).

Remark 2.3 In [16], A. Mai and Z. Zhou treated the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation with superquadratic nonlinearity, they required the condition
$\left(f_{4}^{\prime}\right) s \mapsto f_{n}(s) /|s|$ is strictly increasing on $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0, \infty)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,
and obtained ground state solutions by using the generalized Nehari manifold approach developed by Szulkin and Weth [27]. In [15], they considered the following DNLS equation in $M$ dimensional lattices:

$$
-\Delta u_{n}+\varepsilon_{n} u_{n}-\omega u_{n}=f\left(n, u_{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M} .
$$

By using S. Liu's method in [28], they replaced $\left(f_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ by $\left(f_{4}\right)$, and applied the generalized linking theorem of Li and Szulkin [29] to obtain the ground state solutions.

Remark 2.4 We point out that when the nonlinear term is asymptotically linear, Chen and Ma [20] proved (1.2) has at least one ground state solution by employing generalized Nehari manifold method if $\left(f_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ holds. In our paper, we use $\left(f_{4}\right)$ instead of $\left(f_{4}^{\prime}\right)$. Sun and $\mathrm{Ma}[30$ ] treated the multiplicity of solutions on problem (1.2), where the nonlinearities contains both asymptotically linear nonlinearity and superlinear nonlinearity. When they considered the asymptotically linear case, they assumed that $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}\right),\left(f_{2}\right)$ and the following two assumptions hold:
(SM1) $f_{n}(s)-V_{n} s=o(|s|)$ as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$ with $\inf V_{n}>\beta-\omega$;
(SM2) $\widetilde{F}_{n}(s)>0$ if $s \neq 0$ and $\liminf _{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{F}_{n}(s)>0$,
where $\widetilde{F}_{n}(s)=\frac{1}{2} f_{n}(s) s-F_{n}(s)$. Note that $\left(f_{4}\right)$ implies that $\widetilde{F}_{n}(s) \geq 0$, which is weaker than (SM2), and the assumption inf $V_{n}>\beta-\omega$ in (SM1) is stronger than our assumption that $V_{n}>0$. Particularly, if $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}\right),\left(f_{2}\right)$ and the following two assumptions hold:
(G3) $\widetilde{F}_{n}(s)>0$ if $s \neq 0$;
(G4) There is $\zeta \in\left(0, \frac{\eta}{2}\right]$ such that

$$
\frac{f_{n}(s)}{s} \geq \frac{\eta}{2}-\zeta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \widetilde{F}_{n}(s) \geq \zeta, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of gap solitons of the DNLS equations was given by Chen, Ma and Wang [21] when $V_{n} \equiv V_{0}\left(n \in \mathbb{Z}, 0<V_{0} \in \mathbb{R}\right)$.

In order to complete our proof, let us recall the following linking theorem taken from [29].

Proposition 2.5 Let $E$ be a real Hilbert space with $E=E^{+} \oplus E^{-}$and $E^{+} \perp E^{-}$and let $I \in$ $C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ be of the form

$$
I(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)-J(u), \quad u=u^{+}+u^{-} \in E^{+} \oplus E^{-} .
$$

Suppose that the following assumptions are satisfied:
(1) $J \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ is bounded from below and weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous;
(2) $J^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous;
(3) there exist $r>\rho>0$ and $e \in E^{+}$with $\|e\|=1$ such that

$$
\kappa:=\inf I\left(S_{\rho}^{+}\right)>\sup I(\partial Q),
$$

where

$$
S_{\rho}^{+}=\left\{u \in E^{+} \mid\|u\|=\rho\right\}, \quad Q=\left\{w+s e: w \in E^{-}, s \geq 0,\|w+s e\| \leq r\right\} .
$$

Then, for some $c \in[\kappa, \sup I(Q)]$, there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, \quad\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a sequence is called a Cerami sequence on the level $c$, or a $(C)_{c}$ sequence.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

This section is devoted to a proof of Theorem 2.1, and we begin with an important property of $\Psi$ defined in (2.1).

Lemma 3.1 Suppose that $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{3}\right)$ are satisfied, then $\Psi$ is nonnegative, weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous, and $\Psi^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous.

Proof The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [15], we omit it here.

Lemma 3.2 ([26]) Suppose that $h(x, t)$ is nondecreasing in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h(x, 0)=0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2} \tau-\theta \sigma\right) h(x, t)|\tau| \geq \int_{\theta \tau+\sigma}^{\tau} h(x, s)|s| d s \quad \forall \theta \geq 0, \tau, \sigma \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3 Assume $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{4}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(u) & \geq \Phi(\theta u+w)+\frac{1}{2}\|w\|^{2}+\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle-\theta\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), w\right\rangle \\
\forall \theta & \geq 0, u \in E, w \in E^{-} . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof It follows from $\left(f_{4}\right)$ and Lemma 3.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2} \tau-\theta \sigma\right) f_{n}(\tau) \geq \int_{\theta \tau+\sigma}^{\tau} f_{n}(s) d s \quad \forall \theta \geq 0, \tau, \sigma \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, in the same way as Lemma 2.4 in [26], we can show that (3.2) holds.

Corollary 3.4 Assume $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{4}\right)$. Then, for $u \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$
\Phi(u) \geq \Phi(t u+w) \quad \forall t \geq 0, w \in E^{-} .
$$

Especially, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(u) \geq & \frac{t^{2}}{2}\left(\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}+\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} F_{n}\left(t u_{n}^{+}\right)+\frac{1-t^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle \\
& +t^{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u^{-}\right\rangle \quad \forall u \in E, t \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following lemma gives an important computation technique to obtain the linking geometry.

Lemma 3.5 Assume $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{3}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), \tau u+2 v\right\rangle \geq & \tau^{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\tau u^{-}+v\right\|^{2}+\|v\|^{2}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} V_{n}\left(\tau u_{n}+v_{n}\right)^{2} \\
& +\tau^{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} \frac{V_{n} f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}-\left[f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right]^{2}}{V_{n}} \quad \forall u \in E, \tau \in \mathbb{R}, v \in E^{-} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u^{-}+v\right\|^{2}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} V_{n}\left(u_{n}+v_{n}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad \leq-\|v\|^{2}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} \frac{V_{n} f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}-\left[f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right]^{2}}{V_{n}} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{M}, v \in E^{-} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.7 in [26], we omit it here.

Lemma 3.6 Assume $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{4}\right)$. Then
(i) there exists $\rho>0$ such that

$$
m=\inf _{\mathcal{M}} \Phi \geq \kappa:=\inf \left\{\Phi(u): u \in E^{+},\|u\|=\rho\right\}>0 ;
$$

(ii) $\left\|u^{+}\right\| \geq \max \left\{\left\|u^{-}\right\|, \sqrt{2 m}\right\}$ for all $u \in \mathcal{M}$.
$\operatorname{Proof}$ (i) By $\left(f_{2}\right)$ and $\left(f_{3}\right)$, we can see that, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\left|f_{n}(s)\right| \leq \varepsilon|s|+C_{\varepsilon}|s|^{p-1} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|F_{n}(s)\right| \leq \varepsilon s^{2}+C_{\varepsilon}|s|^{p}, \quad p \geq 2
$$

For $u \in E^{+}$, since $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to the $E$ norm on $E^{+}$, and recalling that $E \subset l^{p}$ for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ with $\|u\|_{l p} \leq\|u\|_{E}$, we have

$$
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} F_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)=o\left(\|u\|^{2}\right) .
$$

Hence we can get $\kappa:=\inf \left\{\Phi(u): u \in E^{+},\|u\|=\rho\right\}>0$ if $\rho>0$ is small enough. Since for every $u \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists $t>0$ such that $t u^{+} \in S_{\rho}$, and by Corollary 3.4, $\Phi\left(t u^{+}\right)=\Phi(t u-$ $\left.t u^{-}\right) \leq \Phi(u)$, then it turns out that $\inf _{\mathcal{M}} \Phi \geq \inf _{S_{\rho}} \Phi$.
(ii) For $u \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$
m \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} F_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\right),
$$

hence $\left\|u^{+}\right\| \geq \max \left\{\sqrt{2 m},\left\|u^{-}\right\|\right\}$.
Now, we define a set $E_{0}^{+}$mentioned above as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}^{+}=\left\{u \in E^{+} \backslash\{0\}:\|u\|^{2}-\|w\|^{2}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} V_{n}\left(u_{n}+w_{n}\right)^{2}<0 \forall w \in E^{-}\right\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\left(f_{3}\right)$ guarantees that the set $E_{0}^{+}$is not empty.
Lemma 3.7 Suppose that $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{3}\right)$ are satisfied. Then, for any $e \in E_{0}^{+}$, $\sup \Phi\left(E^{-} \oplus\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{+} e\right)<\infty$ and there is $R_{e}$ such that

$$
\Phi(u) \leq 0 \quad \forall u \in E^{-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{+} e,\|u\| \geq R_{e} .
$$

Proof Arguing by contradiction, suppose that there exists $\left\{w^{j}+s_{j} e\right\} \subset E^{-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{+} e$ with $\| w^{j}+$ $s_{j} e \| \rightarrow \infty$, such that $\Phi\left(w^{j}+s_{j} e\right) \geq 0$. Set

$$
v^{j}=\frac{w^{j}+s_{j} e}{\left\|w^{j}+s_{j} e\right\|}=\left(v^{j}\right)^{-}+\tau_{j} e ;
$$

then $\left\|\left(\nu^{j}\right)^{-}+\tau^{j} e\right\|=\left\|\nu^{j}\right\|=1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \frac{\Phi\left(w^{j}+s_{j} e\right)}{\left\|w^{j}+s_{j} e\right\|^{2}}=\frac{\tau_{j}^{2}}{2}\|e\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(v^{j}\right)^{-}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} \frac{F_{n}\left(w_{n}^{j}+s_{j} e_{n}\right)}{\left(w_{n}^{j}+s_{j} e_{n}\right)^{2}}\left(v_{n}^{j}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\frac{1}{2} \leq \tau_{j}^{2}\|e\|^{2} \leq 1$. Passing to a subsequence, we have $\nu^{j} \rightharpoonup v$ in $E, v_{n}^{j} \rightarrow v_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}$ and $\tau_{j} \rightarrow \tau>0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $e \in E_{0}^{+}$, there exists a finite set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^{M}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{2}\|e\|^{2}-\left\|v^{-}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{n \in A} V_{n}\left(\tau e_{n}+v_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}<0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G_{n}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} g_{n}(t) d t$, then $F_{n}(s)=\frac{1}{2} V_{n} s^{2}+G_{n}(s)$. From (3.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \frac{\tau_{j}^{2}}{2}\|e\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(v^{j}\right)^{-}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{n \in A} \frac{F_{n}\left(w_{n}^{j}+s_{j} e_{n}\right)}{\left(w_{n}^{j}+s_{j} e_{n}\right)^{2}}\left(v_{n}^{j}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{\tau_{j}^{2}}{2}\|e\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(v^{j}\right)^{-}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in A} V_{n}\left(v_{n}^{j}\right)^{2}-\sum_{n \in A} \frac{G_{n}\left(w_{n}^{j}+s_{j} e_{n}\right)}{\left(w_{n}^{j}+s_{j} e_{n}\right)^{2}}\left(v_{n}^{j}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By $\left(f_{2}\right)$ and $\left(f_{3}\right)$, there exists some $C_{1}>0$ such that $\left|G_{n}(s)\right| \leq C_{1} s^{2}$, and $G_{n}(s) / s^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}$. Since $\left(v^{j}\right)^{-} \rightharpoonup v^{-}$in $E$ and $v_{n}^{j} \rightarrow v_{n}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}$, we have

$$
\sum_{n \in A} \frac{G_{n}\left(w_{n}^{j}+s_{j} e_{n}\right)}{\left(w_{n}^{j}+s_{j} e_{n}\right)^{2}}\left(v_{n}^{j}\right)^{2}=o(1) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
0 \leq \tau^{2}\|e\|^{2}-\left\|v^{-}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{n \in A} V_{n}\left(\tau e_{n}+v_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}
$$

which contradicts with (3.8).

Corollary 3.8 Assume $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{3}\right)$. Let $e \in E_{0}^{+}$with $\|e\|=1$. Then there is a $r_{0}>\rho$ such that $\sup \Phi(\partial Q) \leq 0$ for $r \geq r_{0}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\left\{w+s e: w \in E^{-}, s \geq 0,\|w+s e\| \leq r\right\} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.9 Assume $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{4}\right)$. Then,
(i) For any $u \in E_{0}^{+}, \mathcal{M} \cap\left(E^{-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{+} u\right) \neq \emptyset$, that is, there exist $t(u)>0$ and $w(u) \in E^{-}$such that $t(u) u+w(u) \in \mathcal{M}$.
(ii) There exists constant $c \in[\kappa, \sup \Phi(Q)]$ and a sequence $\left\{u^{j}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\Phi\left(u^{j}\right) \rightarrow c, \quad\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(u^{j}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u^{j}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $Q$ is defined by (3.9).

Proof (i) The proof is similar to Lemma 2.12 in [26], we omit it here.
(ii) The conclusion is a directly corollary of Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 3.6(i) and Corollary 3.8.

The following lemma takes an important part in demonstrating the existence of ground state solutions for problem (1.2).

Lemma 3.10 Assume $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{4}\right)$. Then there exist a constant $c_{*} \in[\kappa, m]$ and a sequence $\left\{u^{j}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(u^{j}\right) \rightarrow c_{*}, \quad\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(u^{j}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u^{j}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof First, choosing $v^{k} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq \Phi\left(v^{k}\right)<m+\frac{1}{k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.6(ii), $\left\|\left(v^{k}\right)^{+}\right\| \geq \sqrt{2 m}>0$. Since $v^{k} \in E$, then $\lim _{|n| \rightarrow \infty}\left|v_{n}^{k}\right|=0$, i.e., there is an infinite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^{M}$ where $\left|v_{n}^{k}\right| \leq \alpha_{0}, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\left(f_{3}\right)$ asserts that $f_{n}(s) g_{n}(s) \leq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}$ when $|s| \geq 0$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} \frac{f_{n}\left(v_{n}^{k}\right) g_{n}\left(v_{n}^{k}\right)}{V_{n}}<0 . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $e^{k}=\left(v^{k}\right)^{+} /\left\|\left(v^{k}\right)^{+}\right\|$. Then $e^{k} \in E^{+}$and $\left\|e^{k}\right\|=1$. According to (3.5) and (3.12),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}-\|w\|^{2}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} V_{n}\left(e_{n}^{k}+w_{n}\right)^{2} \\
&=\frac{\left\|\left(v^{k}\right)^{+}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|\left(v^{k}\right)^{+}\right\|^{2}}-\|w\|^{2}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} V_{n}\left(\frac{v_{n}^{k}}{\left\|\left(v^{k}\right)^{+}\right\|}+w_{n}-\frac{\left(v_{n}^{k}\right)^{-}}{\left\|\left(v^{k}\right)^{+}\right\|}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq-\left\|w-\frac{\left(v^{k}\right)^{-}}{\left\|\left(v^{k}\right)^{+}\right\|}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{\left\|\left(v^{k}\right)^{+}\right\|^{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} \frac{v_{n}^{k} f\left(n, v_{n}^{k}\right) V_{n}-\left[f\left(n, v_{n}^{k}\right)\right]^{2}}{V_{n}} \\
&=-\left\|w-\frac{\left(v^{k}\right)^{-}}{\left\|\left(v^{k}\right)^{+}\right\|}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{\left\|\left(v^{k}\right)^{+}\right\|^{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} \frac{f\left(n, v_{n}^{k}\right) g\left(n, v_{n}^{k}\right)}{V_{n}}<0 \quad \forall w \in E^{-} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $e^{k} \in E_{0}^{+}$. By virtue of Corollary 3.8, there exists $r_{k}>\max \left\{\rho,\left\|\nu^{k}\right\|\right\}$ such that $\sup \Phi\left(\partial Q_{k}\right) \leq 0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}=\left\{w+s e_{k}: w \in E^{-}, s \geq 0,\left\|w+s e_{k}\right\| \leq r_{k}\right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, applying Lemma 3.9(ii) to the above set $Q_{k}$, there exist a positive constant $c_{k} \in$ $\left[\kappa, \sup \Phi\left(Q_{k}\right)\right]$ and a sequence $\left\{u^{k, j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(u^{k, j}\right) \rightarrow c_{k}, \quad\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(u^{k, j}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u^{k, j}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j \rightarrow \infty$. In view of Corollary 3.4, we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(v^{k}\right) \geq \Phi\left(w+t v^{k}\right), \quad \forall t \geq 0, w \in E^{-} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v^{k} \in Q_{k}$, it follows from (3.13) and (3.15) that $\Phi\left(v^{k}\right)=\sup \Phi\left(Q_{k}\right)$. Hence, by (3.11) and (3.14),

$$
\Phi\left(u^{k, j}\right) \rightarrow c_{k}<m+\frac{1}{k}, \quad\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(u^{k, j}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u^{k, j}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Now, we can choose a sequence $\left\{j_{k}\right\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\kappa-\frac{1}{k}<\Phi\left(u^{k, j_{k}}\right) \rightarrow c_{k}<m+\frac{1}{k}, \quad\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(u^{k, j_{k}}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u^{k, j_{k}}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Let $u^{k}=u^{k, j_{k}}, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we have

$$
\Phi\left(u^{j}\right) \rightarrow c_{*} \in[\kappa, m], \quad\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(u^{j}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u^{j}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Lemma 3.11 Assume $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{4}\right)$. Then any sequence $\left\{u^{j}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying (3.10) is bounded in $E$.

Proof Arguing by contradiction, suppose there exists a sequence $\left\{u^{j}\right\} \subset E$ such that $\left\|u^{j}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\nu^{j}:=\left\|u^{j}\right\|^{-1} u^{j}$, then $\left\|\nu^{j}\right\|=1$. Since $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to the $E$ norm on $E^{+}$, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that $\left\|\left(v^{j}\right)^{+}\right\|_{E} \leq C$. After passing to a subsequence, we have $\nu^{j} \rightharpoonup v$ in $E$ and $v_{n}^{j} \rightarrow v_{n}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}$.

We claim that there exist $\delta>0$ and $n_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}$ satisfy

$$
\left|\left(v_{n_{j}}^{j}\right)^{+}\right| \geq \delta
$$

Indeed, if not, then $\left(v^{j}\right)^{+} \rightarrow 0$ in $l^{\infty}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\left\|\left(\nu^{j}\right)^{+}\right\|_{E}$ is bounded, and note the simple fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(v^{j}\right)^{+}\right\|_{l^{q}}^{q} \leq\left\|\left(v^{j}\right)^{+}\right\|_{l^{\infty}}^{q-2}\left\|\left(v^{j}\right)^{+}\right\|_{l^{2}}^{2}, \quad q>2 . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\delta=0$, then by (3.16) we can deduce that $\left(v^{j}\right)^{+} \rightarrow 0$ in all $l^{q}, q>2$. Fix $R>\left[2\left(1+c_{*}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$, and $p>2$, in view of $\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{3}\right)$, choose $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{4(R C)^{2}}>0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that $\left|F_{n}(s)\right| \leq$ $\varepsilon|s|^{2}+C_{\varepsilon}|s|^{p}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} F_{n}\left(R\left(v_{n}^{j}\right)^{+}\right) \leq\left[\varepsilon(R C)^{2}+R^{p} C_{\varepsilon} \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(v^{j}\right)^{+}\right\|_{\not p}^{p}\right]=\frac{1}{4} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t_{j}=R /\left\|u^{j}\right\|$. By Corollary 3.4 and (3.10), (3.17),

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{*}+o(1)= & \Phi\left(u^{j}\right) \geq \frac{t_{j}^{2}}{2}\left(\left\|\left(u^{j}\right)^{+}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\left(u^{j}\right)^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} F_{n}\left(t_{j}\left(u_{n}^{j}\right)^{+}\right) \\
& +\frac{1-t_{j}^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u^{j}\right), u^{j}\right\rangle+t_{j}^{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u^{j}\right),\left(u^{j}\right)^{-}\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{R^{2}}{2}\left(\left\|\left(v^{j}\right)^{+}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\left(v^{j}\right)^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} F\left(n, R\left(v_{n}^{j}\right)^{+}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{R^{2}}{2\left\|w^{j}\right\|^{2}}\right)\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u^{j}\right), u^{j}\right\rangle+\frac{R^{2}}{\left\|u^{j}\right\|^{2}}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u^{j}\right),\left(u^{j}\right)^{-}\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{R^{2}}{2}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} F_{n}\left(R\left(v_{n}^{j}\right)^{+}\right)+o(1) \geq \frac{R^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{4}+o(1)>c_{*}+\frac{3}{4}+o(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\delta>0$, i.e., the claim holds. We derive that $v^{+} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and so $v \neq \mathbf{0}$.
Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}$ be such that $v_{n} \neq 0$, then $\left|u_{n}^{j}\right|=\left|v_{n}^{j}\right| \cdot\left\|u^{j}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. It is well known that $l_{0}$ denotes the vector space of all finite sequences, i.e., sequences $u=\{u(n)\}$ such that
suppu $=\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}: u(n) \neq 0\right\}$ is a finite set. Obviously, $l_{0}$ is a dense subspace of $l^{p}$ with $1 \leq p<\infty$. For any $\phi \in l_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u^{j}\right), \phi\right\rangle & =\left(\left(u^{j}\right)^{+}-\left(u^{j}\right)^{-}, \phi\right)-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} V_{n} u_{n}^{j} \phi_{n}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} g_{n}\left(u_{n}^{j}\right) \phi_{n} \\
& =\left\|u^{j}\right\|\left[\left(\left(v^{j}\right)^{+}-\left(v^{j}\right)^{-}, \phi\right)-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} V_{n} v_{n}^{j} \phi_{n}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} \frac{g_{n}\left(u_{n}^{j}\right)}{u_{n}^{j}} v_{n}^{j} \phi_{n}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.10), we derive

$$
\left(\left(v^{j}\right)^{+}-\left(v^{j}\right)^{-}, \phi\right)-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} V_{n} v_{n}^{j} \phi_{n}-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} \frac{g_{n}\left(u_{n}^{j}\right)}{u_{n}^{j}} v_{n}^{j} \phi_{n}=o(1) .
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} \frac{g_{n}\left(u_{n}^{j}\right)}{u_{n}^{j}} v_{n}^{j} \phi_{n}\right| & \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} \chi_{n}\left|\frac{g_{n}\left(u_{n}^{j}\right)}{u_{n}^{j}}\right|\left|v_{n}^{j}-v_{n}\right|\left|\phi_{n}\right|+\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}}\left|\frac{g_{n}\left(u_{n}^{j}\right)}{u_{n}^{j}}\right|\left|v_{n}\right|\left|\phi_{n}\right| \\
& \leq C \sum_{n \in \operatorname{supp} \phi}\left|v_{n}^{j}-v_{n}\right|\left|\phi_{n}\right|+\sum_{\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}: v_{n} \neq 0\right\}}\left|\frac{g_{n}\left(u_{n}^{j}\right)}{u_{n}^{j}}\right|\left|v_{n}\right|\left|\phi_{n}\right|=o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left(v^{+}-v^{-}, \phi\right)-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} V_{n} v_{n} \phi_{n}=0,
$$

i.e.,

$$
((L-\omega) v, \phi)_{E}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}} V_{n} v_{n} \phi_{n} .
$$

This gives a contradiction since it is well known that the operator $L-V$ has no eigenvalue in $E$, where the operator $V$ is defined as follows:

$$
V: E \rightarrow E, \quad(V u)_{n}=V_{n} u_{n} .
$$

Thus, $\left\{u^{j}\right\}$ is bounded and so the lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 Lemmas 3.10 and 3.11 imply that there exists a bounded $(C)_{c_{*}}$ sequence $\left\{u^{j}\right\} \subset E$. A standard argument shows that $u^{j} \rightharpoonup u \neq \mathbf{0} \in E$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ after passing to a subsequence, and $\Phi^{\prime}(u)=0$. This shows that $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and so $\Phi(u) \geq m$. Note that $\left(f_{2}\right)$ and $\left(f_{4}\right)$ imply that $\frac{1}{2} f_{n}\left(u_{n}^{j}\right) u_{n}^{j}-F_{n}\left(u_{n}^{j}\right) \geq 0$, it follows from (3.10) and Fatou's lemma that

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & \geq c_{*}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left[\Phi\left(u^{j}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u^{j}\right), u^{j}\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}}\left[\frac{1}{2} f_{n}\left(u_{n}^{j}\right) u_{n}^{j}-F_{n}\left(u_{n}^{j}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M}}\left[\frac{1}{2} f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right] \\
& =\Phi(u)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\Phi(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we derive that $m=\Phi(u)=\inf _{\mathcal{M}} \Phi$.

Remark 3.12 It seems possible to generalize the results of Theorem 2.1 to the following DNLS equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u_{n}-\omega u_{n}=\sigma f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^{M} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is a Jacobi operator [24] given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
L u_{n}= & a_{1\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)} u_{\left(n_{1}+1, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)}+a_{1\left(n_{1}-1, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)} u_{\left(n_{1}-1, n_{2}+1, \ldots, n_{M}\right)} \\
& +a_{2\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)} u_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}+1, \ldots, n_{M}\right)}+a_{2\left(n_{1}, n_{2}-1, \ldots, n_{M}\right)} u_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}-1, \ldots, n_{M}\right)} \\
& +\cdots+a_{M\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)} u_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}+1\right)}+a_{M\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}-1\right)} u_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}-1\right)} \\
& +b_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)} u_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{a_{k n}\right\}(k=1,2, \ldots, M)$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ are real-valued $T$-periodic sequences, $\sigma= \pm 1$. Indeed, (1.2) is a special case of equation (*) when $a_{k n} \equiv-1(k=1,2, \ldots, M)$ and $b_{n}=2 M+\varepsilon_{n}$, $\sigma=1$.
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