### RESEARCH

#### Advances in Difference Equations a SpringerOpen Journal

**Open Access** 



# Existence of positive solutions for two-point boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional *q*-difference equation

Caixia Guo<sup>\*</sup>, Jianmin Guo, Ying Gao and Shugui Kang

\*Correspondence: iris-gcx@163.com School of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Shanxi Datong University, Datong, People's Republic of China

#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the two-point boundary value problems of a nonlinear fractional *q*-difference equation with dependence on the first order *q*-derivative. We discuss some new properties of the Green function by using *q*-difference calculus. Furthermore, by means of Schauder's fixed point theorem and an extension of Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem in a cone, the existence of one positive solution and of at least one positive solution for the boundary value problem is established.

**MSC:** 34A08; 34K30

**Keywords:** Fractional *q*-difference calculus; Two-point boundary value problem; Fixed point theorem; Positive solution

#### **1** Introduction

The term "q-difference" actually refers to quantum difference. Quantum calculus, sometimes called calculus without limits, is equivalent to traditional infinitesimal calculus without the notion of limits. It defines "q-calculus" and "h-calculus", where h ostensibly stands for Planck's constant while q stands for quantum. The two parameters are related by the formula  $q = e^{ia} = e^{2\pi ih}$ , where  $h = \frac{a}{2\pi}$  is the reduced Planck constant. The *q*-calculus, dating in a sense back to Euler and Jacobi [1-3], is only recently beginning to see more usefulness and a lot of applications in quantum mechanics, having an intimate connection with commutativity relations. Based on this, there have been published a lot of papers about fractional q-calculus and fractional q-differential equation theory. At the same time, the topic of the fractional quantum difference equation has also attracted the attention of many researchers in recent years (see [4-6] and the references therein). In recent years, some boundary value problems with fractional q-differences have aroused heated discussion among many authors [7-22]. They obtained many results as regards the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions, positive solutions, negative solutions and extremal solutions by applying some well-known tools of fixed point theory such as the Banach contraction principle, the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem on cones, monotone iterative methods and Leray-Schauder degree theory.

But we find that the discussed nonlinear terms is only f(t, u(t)) in the literature above (see, e.g., [7]), and there is little literature treating nonlinear terms with a first order



© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

*q*-derivative, which is our focus in the study. Based on this, in this paper, we will be interested in the *q*-analog of the fractional differential problem given by

$$\begin{cases} (D_q^{\alpha} y)(t) = -f(t, y(t), D_q y(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ y(0) = (D_q y)(0) = (D_q y)(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $2 < \alpha \le 3, f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous. In Sect. 2, we will give some necessary definitions of fractional *q*-calculus and deduce the new properties of the Green function. In Sect. 3, by means of Schauder's fixed point theorem and Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem in a cone, some results on the existence of positive solutions of problem (1.1) are established. Finally, an example is given to illustrate the main results of this paper.

#### 2 Preliminaries

For convenience, we collect here the necessary definitions from the theory of fractional *q*-calculus.

Let  $q \in (0, 1)$  and define

$$[a]_q = \frac{1-q^a}{1-q}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}$$

The *q*-analog of the power function  $(a - b)^n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is

$$(a-b)^0=1,$$
  $(a-b)^n=\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(a-bq^k),$   $n\in\mathbb{N},a,b\in\mathbb{R}.$ 

More generally, if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$(a-b)^{(\alpha)} = a^{\alpha} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a-bq^n}{a-bq^{\alpha+n}}.$$

If b = 0, then  $(a - b)^{(\alpha)} = a^{(\alpha)} = a^{\alpha}$ . It is easy to see that  $[a(t - s)]^{(\alpha)} = a^{\alpha}(t - s)^{(\alpha)}$  and  $(a - b)^{(\alpha)} = (a - bq^{\alpha-1})(a - b)^{(\alpha-1)}$ . There are two important results:

- (1) If  $\alpha > 0, a \le b \le t$ , then  $(t a)^{(\alpha)} \ge (t b)^{(\alpha)}$ .
- (2) If  $\alpha < 0, a \le b \le t$ , then  $(t a)^{(\alpha)} \le (t b)^{(\alpha)}$ .

Result (1) comes from the Remark 2.1 of [8]. For result (2), since  $(t-a)^{(\alpha)} = t^{\alpha} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t-aq^n}{t-aq^{\alpha+n}}$ and  $(t-b)^{(\alpha)} = t^{\alpha} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t-bq^n}{t-bq^{\alpha+n}}$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} (t - aq^{n})(t - bq^{\alpha + n}) &\leq (t - bq^{n})(t - aq^{\alpha + n}) \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad t^{2} - tbq^{\alpha + n} - taq^{n} + abq^{\alpha + 2n} \leq t^{2} - taq^{\alpha + n} - taq^{n} + abq^{\alpha + 2n} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad q^{n}(a + bq^{\alpha}) \geq q^{n}(b + aq^{\alpha}) \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad a + bq^{\alpha} \geq b + aq^{\alpha} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad (b - a)q^{\alpha} \geq b - a \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad q^{\alpha} \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The final expression is obtained by  $\alpha < 0$ , 0 < q < 1. This completes the proof of the result (2).

The *q*-gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \frac{(1-q)^{(x-1)}}{(1-q)^{x-1}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\},$$

and satisfies  $\Gamma_q(x+1) = [x]_q \Gamma_q(x)$ .

The expression

$$D_q f(x) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q-1)x}$$

is called the *q*-derivative of the function f(x).  $D_q$  has the following properties:

$$\begin{split} D_q \Big( a f(x) + b g(x) \Big) &= a D_q f(x) + b D_q g(x), \\ D_q \Big( f(x) g(x) \Big) &= f(x) D_q g(x) + g(qx) D_q f(x); \\ {}_t D_q (t-s)^{(\alpha)} &= [\alpha]_q (t-s)^{(\alpha-1)}, \\ & \left( {}_x D_q \int_0^x f(x,t) \, d_q t \right) (x) = \int_0^x {}_x D_q f(x,t) \, d_q t + f(qx,x). \end{split}$$

The *q*-integral of a function f defined on the interval [0, b] is given by

$$I_q f(x) = \int_0^x f(t) \, d_q t = x(1-q) \sum_{n=0}^\infty f(xq^n) q^n, \quad x \in [0,b].$$

If  $a \in [0, b]$  and f is defined on the interval [0, b], its integral from a to b is defined by

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t) \, d_{q}t = \int_{0}^{b} f(t) \, d_{q}t - \int_{0}^{a} f(t) \, d_{q}t.$$

Basic properties of the two operators can be found in [23]:

$$D_q I_q f(x) = f(x),$$

and if f is continuous at x = 0, then

$$I_q D_q f(x) = f(x) - f(0).$$

**Definition 2.1** ([24]) Let  $\alpha \ge 0$  and f be a function defined on [0, 1]. The fractional q-integral of the Riemann–Liouville type is  $(I_{\alpha}^{0}f)(x) = f(x)$  and

$$\left(I_q^{\alpha}f\right)(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}\int_0^x(x-qt)^{(\alpha-1)}f(t)\,d_qt,\quad \alpha>0,x\in[0,1].$$

**Definition 2.2** ([25]) The fractional *q*-derivative of the Riemann–Liouville type of order  $\alpha \ge 0$  is defined by

$$(D_a^{\alpha}f)(x) = (D_q^m I_q^{m-\alpha}f)(x), \quad \alpha > 0,$$

where *m* is the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $\alpha$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([24]) Let  $\alpha, \gamma \ge 0$  and f be a function defined on [0, 1]. Then the next formulas *hold*:

$$\begin{split} & \left(I_q^{\beta}I_q^{\alpha}f\right)(x) = \left(I_q^{\alpha+\beta}f\right)(x), \\ & \left(D_q^{\alpha}I_q^{\alpha}f\right)(x) = f(x). \end{split}$$

**Definition 2.3** ([26]) Let  $\alpha > 0$  and p be a positive integer. Then the following equality holds:

$$\left(I_q^{\alpha}D_q^p f\right)(x) = \left(D_q^p I_q^{\alpha} f\right)(x) - \sum_{k=0}^p \frac{x^{\alpha-p+k}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+k-p+1)} \left(D_q^k f\right)(0)$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([7]) *The unique solution of the q-analog of the fractional differential problem* (1.1) *is given by* 

$$y(t) := \int_0^1 G(t,qs) f\left(s,y(s),D_q y(s)\right) d_q s,$$

where  $2 < \alpha \leq 3$ , G(t, qs) is the Green function for the problem (1.1), which is given by

$$G(t,qs) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \begin{cases} t^{\alpha-1}(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} - (t-qs)^{(\alpha-1)}, & 0 \le qs \le t \le 1, \\ t^{\alpha-1}(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}, & 0 \le t \le qs \le 1. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.3** *The Green function* G(t, qs) *defined as in the statement of Lemma 2.2 satisfies the following conditions:* 

- (1) G(t,qs) > 0 and  $G(t,qs) \le G(1,qs)$  for each  $(t,s) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]$ ;
- (2)  $G(t,qs) \ge g(t)G(1,qs)$  for each  $(t,s) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]$  with  $g(t) = t^{\alpha-1}$ ;
- (3) For  $s \in [0,1]$ ,  $\min_{t \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]} G(t,qs) \ge (\frac{1}{4})^{\alpha-1} G(1,qs)$ .

*Proof* Proofs of (1) and (2) are given in [7].

(3) Let  $g_1(t,qs) = t^{\alpha-1}(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} - (t-qs)^{(\alpha-1)}$ ,  $0 \le qs \le t \le 1$ ,  $g_2(t,qs) = t^{\alpha-1}(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}$ ,  $0 \le t \le qs \le 1$ . For  $0 \le qs \le t \le 1$ ,

$$tD_{q}g_{1}(t,qs) = [\alpha - 1]_{q}t^{\alpha - 2}(1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 2)} - [\alpha - 1]_{q}(t - qs)^{(\alpha - 2)}$$
  

$$\geq [\alpha - 1]_{q}t^{\alpha - 2}(1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 2)} - [\alpha - 1]_{q}(t - tqs)^{(\alpha - 2)}$$
  

$$= [\alpha - 1]_{q}t^{\alpha - 2}(1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 2)} - [\alpha - 1]_{q}t^{\alpha - 2}(1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 2)}$$
  

$$= 0,$$

so  $g_1(t, qs)$  is increasing with respect to *t*. We have

$$\begin{split} \min_{\substack{\frac{1}{4} \le t \le \frac{3}{4}}} g_1(t, qs) \ge \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \bigg[ (1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 2)} \bigg(\frac{1}{4}\bigg)^{\alpha - 1} - \bigg(\frac{1}{4} - qs\bigg)^{(\alpha - 1)} \bigg] \\ \ge \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \bigg[ (1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 2)} \bigg(\frac{1}{4}\bigg)^{\alpha - 1} - \bigg(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}qs\bigg)^{(\alpha - 1)} \bigg] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)4^{\alpha-1}} \Big[ (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} - (1-qs)^{(\alpha-1)} \Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)4^{\alpha-1}} \Big[ (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} - (1-qsq^{\alpha-2})(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} \Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)4^{\alpha-1}} (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} sq^{\alpha-1} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\alpha-1} G(1,qs). \end{split}$$

It is easy to see that  $g_2(t, qs)$  is increasing with respect to *t*. We have

$$\min_{\frac{1}{4} \le t \le \frac{3}{4}} g_2(t,qs) \ge \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\alpha-1} \ge \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\alpha-1} G(1,qs).$$

Obviously,  $\min_{\frac{1}{4} \le t \le \frac{3}{4}} G(t, qs) \ge (\frac{1}{4})^{\alpha-1} G(1, qs).$ 

**Definition 2.4** Let *E* be a real Banach space. *A* nonempty convex closed set *P* is called a cone provided that: (1)  $au \in P$ , for all  $u \in P$ ;  $a \ge 0$ ; (2)  $u, -u \in P$  implies u = 0.

Let *X* be a Banach space and  $P \subset X$  a cone. Suppose  $\alpha, \beta : X \to \mathbb{R}^+$  are two continuous convex functions satisfying

$$\alpha(\lambda u) = |\lambda|\alpha(u), \qquad \beta(\lambda u) = |\lambda|\beta(u),$$

for  $u \in X$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

 $\|u\| \leq \kappa \max\{\alpha(u), \beta(u)\},\$ 

for  $u \in X$ , and  $\alpha(u_1) \ge \alpha(u_2)$  for  $u_1, u_2 \in P, u_1 \le u_2$ , where  $\kappa > 0$  is a constant.

**Lemma 2.4** ([27]) Let  $r_2 > r_1 > 0, L > 0$  be constants and  $\Omega_i = \{u \in X : \alpha(u) < r_i, \beta(u) < L\}, i = 1, 2$  be two bounded open sets in X. Set  $D_i = \{u \in X : \alpha(u) = r_i\}$ . Assume  $T : P \rightarrow P$  is a completely continuous operator satisfying

- (C<sub>1</sub>)  $\alpha(Tu) < r_1, u \in D_1 \cap P; \alpha(Tu) > r_2, u \in D_2 \cap P;$
- (C<sub>2</sub>)  $\beta(Tu) < L, u \in P$ ;
- (C<sub>3</sub>) there is a  $p \in (\Omega_2 \cap P) \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\alpha(p) \neq 0$  and  $\alpha(u + \lambda p) \ge \alpha(u)$  for all  $u \in P$  and  $\lambda \ge 0$ .

*Then T has at least one fixed point in*  $(\Omega_2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}_1) \cap P$ *.* 

#### 3 Main results and proofs

Consider the Banach space

$$X = \left\{ y : y(t) \in C[0,1] \cap C^{1}[0,1] \right\}$$

with the norm

$$||y|| = [||y||_0^2 + ||D_q y||_0^2]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $||y||_0 = \max_{0 \le t \le 1} |y(t)|, ||D_q y||_0 = \max_{0 \le t \le 1} |D_q y(t)|$ . Define the cone on  $X: P = \{y \in X: y(t) \ge 0\}$  and functionals  $\alpha(y) = \max_{0 \le t \le 1} |y(t)|, \beta(y) = \max_{0 \le t \le 1} |D_q y(t)|$ , then we obtain  $\alpha(\lambda y) = |\lambda|\alpha(y), \beta(\lambda y) = |\lambda|\beta(y)$ , for  $y \in X, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha(y_1) \ge \alpha(y_2)$  for  $y_1, y_2 \in P, y_1 \le y_2$ . For all  $y(t) \in C[0, 1] \cap C^1[0, 1]$ , define

$$Ty(t) := \int_0^1 G(t,qs) f\left(s, y(s), D_q y(s)\right) d_q s.$$

For convenience, we introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{split} N &= \int_{0}^{1} G(1,qs) \, d_{q}s, \qquad Q = \int_{0}^{1} G(1,qs) a(s) \, d_{q}s, \\ R &= \frac{1}{16} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G(1,qs) \, d_{q}s, \qquad W = 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} \, d_{q}s, \\ \tau &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left( \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} \, d_{q}s + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} \, d_{q}s \right) + \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} a(s) \, d_{q}s \\ &+ \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} a(s) \, d_{q}s. \end{split}$$

In this section, we will give the existence results of a positive solution to the boundary value problem (1.1) on the basis of Lemma 3.1 and make the following assumptions:

(H<sub>0</sub>) There exists a nonnegative function  $a(t) \in L(0, 1) \cap C[0, 1]$  such that

$$|f(t, u, v)| \le a(t) + \kappa_1 |u|^{\sigma_1} + \kappa_2 |v|^{\sigma_2}, \quad \kappa_i > 0, 0 < \sigma_i < 1, i = 1, 2.$$

We also suppose that there exist  $L > b > \frac{1}{16}b > c > 0$  such that f(t, u, v) satisfies the following conditions:

- (H<sub>1</sub>) f(t, u, v) < c/N for  $(t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, c] \times [-L, L]$ ;
- (H<sub>2</sub>)  $f(t, u, v) \ge b/R$  for  $(t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [\frac{1}{16}b, b] \times [-L, L];$
- (H<sub>3</sub>) f(t, u, v) < L/W for  $(t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, b] \times [-L, L]$ .

**Lemma 3.1** Suppose that  $f(t, y, D_q y)$  is continuous on  $[0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ . Then the mapping  $T : P \to P$  is completely continuous.

*Proof* In view of the expression of G(t, qs), it is clear that  $Ty \in C[0, 1] \cap C^1[0, 1]$ ,  $Ty(t) \ge 0$ , and Ty(t) is continuous. Hence  $T : P \rightarrow P$ .

Next, we show that *T* is uniformly bounded. Let  $D \subset P$  be bounded, i.e. there exists a real number L > 0 such that  $||y|| \le L$ , for all  $y \in D$ . Let  $M = \max_{0 \le t \le 1, 0 \le y \le L, 0 \le D_q y \le L} |f(t, y, D_q y)| + 1$ , then, for  $y \in D$ , from Lemma 2.3, on the one hand, one has

$$\begin{split} \left| Ty(t) \right| &\leq \int_0^1 \left| G(t,qs) f\left(s,y(s),D_q y(s)\right) \right| d_q s \\ &\leq M \int_0^1 G(1,qs) \, d_q s \\ &\leq 2M \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha-1)} \, d_q s. \end{split}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \left| {}_{t}D_{q}(Ty)(t) \right| &= \left| \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} f\left(s, y(s), D_{q}y(s)\right) d_{q}s \right. \\ &\left. - \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} f\left(s, y(s), D_{q}y(s)\right) d_{q}s \right| \\ &\leq M \bigg[ \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} d_{q}s + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} d_{q}s \bigg] \\ &\leq 2M \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} d_{q}s. \end{split}$$

Then we see that T(D) is bounded.

Finally, we show that *T* is equi-continuous. For all  $\varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta = \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon \Gamma(\alpha-1)}{2M}\} > 0$ , let  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1], t_1 < t_2, y \in D$ , for  $0 < t_2 - t_1 < \delta$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \left| Ty(t_{2}) - Ty(t_{1}) \right| &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \left| G(t_{2}, qs) - G(t_{1}, qs) \right| \left| f\left(s, y(s), D_{q}y(s)\right) \right| d_{q}s \\ &\leq M \bigg[ \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \frac{(1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 2)}(t_{2}^{\alpha - 1} - t_{1}^{\alpha - 1}) + (t_{1} - qs)^{(\alpha - 1)} - (t_{2} - qs)^{(\alpha - 1)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} d_{q}s \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{(1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 2)}(t_{2}^{\alpha - 1} - t_{1}^{\alpha - 1}) - (t_{2} - qs)^{(\alpha - 1)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} d_{q}s \\ &+ \int_{t_{2}}^{1} \frac{(1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 2)}(t_{1}^{\alpha - 1} - t_{2}^{\alpha - 1})}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} d_{q}s \bigg] \\ &\leq M \bigg[ \frac{t_{2}^{\alpha - 1} - t_{1}^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 2)} d_{q}s - \int_{0}^{t_{2}} \frac{(t_{2} - qs)^{(\alpha - 1)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} d_{q}s \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \frac{(t_{1} - qs)^{(\alpha - 1)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} d_{q}s \bigg]. \end{split}$$

Let  $\varphi(t) = \int_0^t (t-qs)^{(\alpha-1)} d_q s$ , since  ${}_t D_q \varphi(t) = \int_0^t [\alpha-1]_q (t-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} d_q s \ge 0$ , we have  $\varphi(t_1) \le \varphi(t_2)$ . So we have

$$\left|Ty(t_2)-Ty(t_1)\right| \leq \frac{M}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left(t_2^{\alpha-1}-t_1^{\alpha-1}\right).$$

Since

$$\begin{split} {}_{t}D_{q}({}_{t}D_{q}(Ty(t))) \\ &= {}_{t}D_{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} f(s,y(s),D_{q}y(s)) d_{q}s \\ &- \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} f(s,y(s),D_{q}y(s)) d_{q}s \right) \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-3}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-2)} f(s,y(s),D_{q}y(s)) d_{q}s - \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-qs)^{(\alpha-3)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-2)} f(s,y(s),D_{q}y(s)) d_{q}s \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-3}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-2)} f(s,y(s),D_{q}y(s)) d_{q}s - \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-tqs)^{(\alpha-3)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-2)} f(s,y(s),D_{q}y(s)) d_{q}s \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-3}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-2)} f(s,y(s),D_{q}y(s)) d_{q}s - \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-tqs)^{(\alpha-3)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-2)} f(s,y(s),D_{q}y(s)) d_{q}s \\ &\leq 0, \end{split}$$

we have

$$\begin{split} \left| {}_{t} D_{q}(Ty)(t_{2}) - {}_{t} D_{q}(Ty)(t_{1}) \right| \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} t_{1}^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} f(s, y(s), D_{q}y(s)) \, d_{q}s - \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \frac{(t_{1}-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} f(s, y(s), D_{q}y(s)) \, d_{q}s \\ &- \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} t_{2}^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} f(s, y(s), D_{q}y(s)) \, d_{q}s \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t_{2}} \frac{(t_{2}-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} f(s, y(s), D_{q}y(s)) \, d_{q}s \right| \\ &\leq M \Big[ \frac{t_{1}^{\alpha-2} - t_{2}^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} \int_{0}^{1} (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} \, d_{q}s - \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \frac{(t_{1}-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} \, d_{q}s \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t_{2}} \frac{(t_{2}-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} \, d_{q}s \Big] \\ &= \frac{M}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} \Big[ \left( t_{1}^{\alpha-2} - t_{2}^{\alpha-2} \right) (1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-q^{n+1})^{(\alpha-2)} q^{n} \\ &- t_{1}(1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (t_{1}-t_{1}q^{n+1})^{(\alpha-2)} q^{n} + t_{2}(1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (t_{2}-t_{2}q^{n+1})^{(\alpha-2)} q^{n} \Big] \\ &= \frac{M}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} \Big[ \left( t_{2}^{(\alpha-1)} - t_{1}^{(\alpha-1)} \right) - \left( t_{2}^{(\alpha-2)} - t_{1}^{(\alpha-2)} \right) \Big] (1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-q^{n+1})^{(\alpha-2)} q^{n} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} \Big[ \left( t_{2}^{(\alpha-1)} - t_{1}^{(\alpha-1)} \right) - \left( t_{2}^{(\alpha-2)} - t_{1}^{(\alpha-2)} \right) \Big] \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} \Big[ \left( t_{2}^{(\alpha-1)} - t_{1}^{(\alpha-1)} \right) - \left( t_{2}^{(\alpha-2)} - t_{1}^{(\alpha-2)} \right) \Big] \end{aligned}$$

Case 1: for  $0 \le t_1 < \delta, \delta \le t_2 < 2\delta, t_2^{\alpha-1} - t_1^{\alpha-1} \le t_2^{\alpha-1} < (2\delta)^{\alpha-1} < 2\delta$ . Case 2: for  $0 \le t_1 < t_2 \le \delta, t_2^{\alpha-1} - t_1^{\alpha-1} \le t_2^{\alpha-1} < \delta^{\alpha-1} = \delta \cdot \delta^{\alpha-2} < 2\delta$ . Case 3: for  $\delta \le t_1 < t_2 \le 1$ , by means of differential mean value theorem, we get  $t_2^{\alpha-1} - t_1^{\alpha-1} \le (\alpha-1)(t_2-t_1) \le 2\delta$ .

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left| Ty(t_2) - Ty(t_1) \right| &< \frac{2M\delta}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} < \varepsilon, \\ \left| {}_t D_q(Ty)(t_2) - {}_t D_q(Ty)(t_1) \right| &< \frac{2M\delta}{\Gamma_q(\alpha - 1)} < \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

In view of the Arzela–Ascoli theorem, it is easy to see that  $T: P \rightarrow P$  is completely continuous.

**Theorem 3.1** Suppose  $f : [0,1] \times [0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,+\infty)$  is continuous, and f satisfies  $(H_0)$ . Then problem (1.1) has one positive solution.

*Proof* Let  $\overline{P_a} = \{u : u \in P, \|u\| \le a\}$ , where  $a \ge \max\{(8\kappa_1\tau)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}}, (8\kappa_1N)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}}, (8\kappa_2\tau)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_2}}, (8\kappa_2N)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_2}}, 4\tau, 4Q\}$ . In the following, we show that  $T : \overline{P_a} \to \overline{P_a}$ . If  $y \in \overline{P_a}$ , it follows that

$$0 \le y(t) \le \max_{0 \le t \le 1} |y(t)| \le ||y|| \le a,$$
  
$$0 \le D_q y(t) \le \max_{0 \le t \le 1} |D_q y(t)| \le ||y|| \le a.$$

Thus

$$|f(t, y, D_q y)| \le a(t) + \kappa_1 |a|^{\sigma_1} + \kappa_2 |a|^{\sigma_2}, \quad \kappa_i > 0, 0 < \sigma_i < 1, i = 1, 2$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Ty(t)| &\leq \int_0^1 |G(t,qs)f(s,y(s),D_qy(s))| \, d_qs \\ &\leq \left(\kappa_1 |a|^{\sigma_1} + \kappa_2 |a|^{\sigma_2}\right) \int_0^1 G(1,qs) \, d_qs + \int_0^1 G(1,qs) a(s) \, d_qs \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| {}_{t}D_{q}(Ty)(t) \right| &= \left| \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} f\left(s, y(s), D_{q}y(s)\right) d_{q}s \right| \\ &- \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} f\left(s, y(s), D_{q}y(s)\right) d_{q}s \right| \\ &\leq \left(\kappa_{1}|a|^{\sigma_{1}} + \kappa_{2}|a|^{\sigma_{2}}\right) \left( \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} d_{q}s + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} d_{q}s \right) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} a(s) d_{q}s + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} a(s) d_{q}s. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\|Ty\| = \left[\|Ty\|_{0}^{2} + \|D_{q}(Ty)\|_{0}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \max_{0 \le t \le 1} |y(t)| + \max_{0 \le t \le 1} |D_{q}y(t)|$$
$$\le \left(\kappa_{1}|a|^{\sigma_{1}} + \kappa_{2}|a|^{\sigma_{2}}\right)(\tau + N) + \tau + Q$$
$$\le \frac{a}{2} + \frac{a}{4} + \frac{a}{4} = a.$$

Therefore,  $T: \overline{P_a} \to \overline{P_a}$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $T: \overline{P_a} \to \overline{P_a}$  is completely continuous. According to Schauder's fixed point theorem, problem (1.1) has one solution.

In the following theorem, we need to note that

$$\begin{split} \hat{f}(t,u,v) &= \begin{cases} f(t,u,v), & (t,u,v) \in [0,1] \times [0,b] \times (-\infty,\infty); \\ f(t,b,v), & (t,u,v) \in [0,1] \times (b,+\infty) \times (-\infty,\infty), \end{cases} \\ f^*(t,u,v) &= \begin{cases} \hat{f}(t,u,v), & (t,u,v) \in [0,1] \times [0,+\infty) \times [-L,L]; \\ \hat{f}(t,u,-L), & (t,u,v) \in [0,1] \times [0,+\infty) \times (-\infty,-L]); \\ \hat{f}(t,u,L), & (t,u,v) \in [0,1] \times [0,+\infty) \times [L,+\infty). \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Then  $f^* \in C([0,1] \times [0,+\infty] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+))$ . Define

$$(Ty)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, qs) f^*(s, y(s), D_q u(s)) d_q s.$$

**Theorem 3.2** Suppose f is continuous on  $[0,1] \times [0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$  and satisfies  $(H_1)-(H_3)$ . Then problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution y(t) satisfying

 $c < \alpha(y) < b$ ,  $|D_q y(t)| < L$ .

*Proof* Let  $\Omega_1 = \{y \in X : |y(t)| < c, |D_qy(t)| < L\}, \Omega_2 = \{y \in X : |y(t)| < b, |D_qy(t)| < L\}$  and  $D_1 = \{y \in X : \alpha(y) = c\}, D_2 = \{y \in X : \alpha(y) = b\}$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have proved  $T : P \rightarrow P$  is completely continuous. Furthermore, we will show  $(C_1)-(C_3)$  of Lemma 2.4.

At first, by means of  $(H_1)$  and  $y \in D_1 \cap P$ , we obtain

$$\alpha(Ty) = \max_{0 \le t \le 1} \left| \int_0^1 G(t,qs) f^*(s,y(s),D_qu(s)) \, d_qs \right| \le \frac{c}{N} \int_0^1 G(1,qs) \, d_qs = c;$$

by means of  $(H_2)$  and  $y \in D_1 \cap P$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(Ty) &= \max_{0 \le t \le 1} \left| \int_0^1 G(t,qs) f^*(s,y(s),D_q u(s)) \, d_q s \right| \\ &> \max_{0 \le t \le 1} \left| \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G(t,qs) \frac{b}{R} \, d_q s \right| \\ &\ge \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\alpha-1} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G(1,qs) \frac{b}{R} \, d_q s \\ &\ge \frac{1}{16} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G(1,qs) \frac{b}{R} \, d_q s = b. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, by means of (H<sub>3</sub>) and  $y \in \Omega_2 \cap P$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} \beta(Ty) &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha-1)} f^*\big(s,y(s),D_qy(s)\big) \, d_q s \right| \\ &- \int_0^t \frac{(t-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha-1)} f^*\big(s,y(s),D_qy(s)\big) \, d_q s \right| \\ &< 2\int_0^1 \frac{(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha-1)} \frac{L}{W} \, d_q s = L. \end{split}$$

Finally, it is easy to see that there exists a nonnegative function  $p \in (\Omega_2 \cap P) \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\alpha(y + \lambda p) \ge \alpha(y)$  for any  $y \in P$  and  $\lambda \ge 0$ .

As a result, by Lemma 2.4, we find that *T* has a fixed point *y* in  $(\Omega_2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}_1) \cap P$ , that is, problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution *y*(*t*) satisfying

$$c < \alpha(y) < b$$
,  $|D_q y(t)| < L$ .

#### 4 Example

*Example* 4.1 Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} -(D_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{5}{2}}y)(t) = t^{2} + (y(t) + D_{\frac{1}{4}}y(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}, & 0 < t < 1, \\ y(0) = (D_{\frac{1}{4}}y)(0) = (D_{\frac{1}{4}}y)(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{4.1}$$

By Theorem 3.1, it is easy to see that problem (4.1) has one positive solution.

*Example* 4.2 Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} -(D_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{5}{2}}y)(t) = \sin t + y(t) + (D_{\frac{1}{4}}y(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}, & 0 < t < 1, \\ y(0) = (D_{\frac{1}{4}}y)(0) = (D_{\frac{1}{4}}y)(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{4.2}$$

Let  $f(t, y(t), D_{\frac{1}{4}}y(t)) = \sin t + y(t) + (D_{\frac{1}{4}}y(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . If  $f(t, y(t), D_{\frac{1}{4}}y(t))$  satisfies the following conditions:

 $\begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{H}_{1}) \ f(t,y(t),D_{\frac{1}{4}}y(t)) < 1/4N \ \text{for} \ (t,y(t),D_{\frac{1}{4}}y(t)) \in [0,1] \times [0,\frac{1}{4}] \times [-10^{3},10^{3}]; \\ (\mathrm{H}_{2}) \ f(t,y(t),D_{\frac{1}{4}}y(t)) \geq 160/R \ \text{for} \ (t,y(t),D_{\frac{1}{4}}y(t)) \in [0,1] \times [10,160] \times [-10^{3},10^{3}]; \\ (\mathrm{H}_{3}) \ f(t,y(t),D_{\frac{1}{4}}y(t)) < 10^{3}/W \ \text{for} \ (t,y(t),D_{\frac{1}{4}}y(t)) \in [0,1] \times [0,160] \times [-10^{3},10^{3}], \\ \text{where} \end{array}$ 

$$G\left(1,\frac{1}{4}s\right) = \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{4}s\right)^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} - \left(1-\frac{1}{4}s\right)^{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}}{\Gamma_{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)}, \qquad N = \int_{0}^{1} G\left(1,\frac{1}{4}s\right) d_{\frac{1}{4}}s,$$
$$R = \frac{1}{16} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G\left(1,\frac{1}{4}s\right) d_{\frac{1}{4}}s, \qquad W = 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{4}s\right)^{(\alpha-2)}}{\Gamma_{\frac{1}{4}}(\alpha-1)} d_{\frac{1}{4}}s,$$

then problem (4.2) has one positive solutions y(t) satisfying

$$\frac{1}{4} < \alpha(y) < 160, \qquad |D_q y(t)| < 10^3$$

by Theorem 3.2.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to the reviewers for their valuable suggestions and useful comments, which led to an improvement of this paper. The research was supported by a grant from of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11271235), the Foundation of Shanxi Datong University (2014Q10) and "131" talent project at Shanxi province (221).

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All four authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 8 October 2017 Accepted: 27 March 2018 Published online: 16 May 2018

#### References

- 1. Jackson, F.H.: On *q*-functions and a certain difference operator. Trans. R. Soc. Edinb. 46, 253–281 (1908)
- 2. Guo, D.J., Lakshmikantham, V.: Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones. Academic Press, Boston (1988)
- 3. Al-Salam, W.A.: Some fractional q-integrals and q-derivatives. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 15(2), 135–140 (1966/1967)
- 4. Bohner, M., Chieochan, R.: Floquet theory for *q*-difference equations. Sarajevo J. Math. 21(8), 355–366 (2012)
- 5. Tariboon, J., Ntouyas, S.K., Agarwal, P.: New concepts of fractional quantum calculus and applications to impulsive fractional *q*-difference equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. **2015**, 18 (2015)
- Ahmad, B., Ntouyas, S.K., Tariboon, J., Alsaedi, A., Alsulami, H.H.: Impulsive fractional q-integro-difference equations with separated boundary conditions. Appl. Math. Comput. 281, 199–213 (2016)
- 7. Ferreira, R.A.C.: Positive solutions for a class of boundary value problems with fractional *q*-differences. Appl. Math. Comput. **61**, 367–373 (2011)
- 8. Ferreira, R.A.C.: Nontrivial solutions for fractional *q*-difference boundary value problems. Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. **2010**, 70 (2010)
- Liang, S., Zhang, J.H.: Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for three-point boundary value problem with fractional *q*-differences. J. Appl. Math. Comput. 40, 277–288 (2012)
- Ahmad, B., Ntouyas, S.K., Purnaras, I.K.: Existence results for nonlocal boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional q-difference equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2012, 140 (2012)
- Ahmad, B., Ntouyas, S.K.: Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional *q*-difference inclusions with nonlocal Robin (separated) conditions. Mediterr. J. Math. 10, 1333–1351 (2013)
- 12. Thiramanus, P., Tariboon, J.: Nonlinear second-order *q*-difference equations with three-point boundary conditions. Comput. Appl. Math. **33**, 385–397 (2014)
- Zhou, W.X., Liu, H.Z.: Existence solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional q-difference equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2013, 113 (2013)
- Yu, C.L., Wang, J.F.: Existence of solutions for nonlinear second-order q-difference equations with first-order q-derivatives. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2013, 124 (2013)
- Zhao, Y.L., Chen, H.B., Zhang, Q.M.: Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for nonhomogeneous boundary value problems with fractional *q*-derivatives. Bound. Value Probl. 2013, 103 (2013)
- Yu, C.L., Wang, J.F.: Eigenvalue of boundary value problem for nonlinear singular third-order q-difference equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2014, 21 (2014)
- Li, X.H., Han, Z.L., Sun, S.R., Zhao, P.: Existence of solutions for fractional q-difference equation with mixed nonlinear boundary conditions. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2014, 326 (2014)
- Zhao, Q.B., Yang, W.G.: Positive solutions for singular coupled integral boundary value problems of nonlinear higher-order fractional q-difference equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2015, 290 (2015)
- Jiang, M., Zhong, S.M.: Existence of extremal solutions for a nonlinear fractional *q*-difference system. Mediterr. J. Math. 13, 279–299 (2016)
- 20. Zhai, C.B., Ren, J.: Positive and negative solutions of a boundary value problem for a fractional *q*-difference equation. Adv. Differ. Equ. **2017**, 82 (2017)
- 21. Sitthiwirattham, T.: On a fractional *q*-integral boundary value problems for fractional *q*-difference equations and fractional *q*-integrodifference equations involving different numbers of order *q*. Bound. Value Probl. **2016**, 12 (2016)
- 22. Patanarapeelert, N., Sriphanomwan, U., Sitthiwirattham, T.: On a class of sequential fractional *q*-integrodifference boundary value problems involving different numbers of *q* in derivatives and integrals. Adv. Differ. Equ. **2016**, 148 (2016)
- 23. Kac, V., Cheung, P.: Quantum Calculus. Springer, New York (2002)
- 24. Agarwal, R.P.: Certain fractional q-integrals and q-derivatives. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 66, 365–370 (1969)
- Rajković, P.M., Marinković, S.D., Stanković, M.S.: Fractional integrals and derivatives in *q*-calculus. Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 1(1), 311–323 (2007)
- 26. Ferreira, R.A.C.: Nontrivial solutions for fractional *q*-difference boundary value problems. Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. **70**, 10 (2010)
- Guo, Y., Ge, W.: Positive solutions for three-point boundary value problems with dependence on the first order derivative. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 290, 291–301 (2004)

## Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com