

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Positive solutions and iterative approximations of a third order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

Guojing Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Hong Yu<sup>2</sup>, Lili Wang<sup>3</sup> and Liangshi Zhao<sup>4\*</sup>

\*Correspondence:

liangshizhao85@163.com

<sup>4</sup>Center for Studies of Marine Economy and Sustainable Development, Liaoning Normal University, Dalian, People's Republic of China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

This paper deals with the third order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation with a forced term

$$\Delta^2(a(n)\Delta(x(n) + c(n)x(n - \tau))) + f(n, x(n - b_1(n)), x(n - b_2(n)), \dots, x(n - b_k(n))) = d(n), \quad n \geq n_0.$$

Using the Banach fixed point theorem, we prove the existence of uncountably many bounded positive solutions for the equation, suggest some Mann iterative schemes and obtain the error estimates between these bounded positive solutions and the sequences generated by the iterative schemes. Five nontrivial examples are also included.

**MSC:** 39A10; 39A20; 39A22

**Keywords:** Uncountably many bounded positive solutions; Third order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation with a forced term; Banach fixed point theorem; Mann iterative scheme; Error estimate

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

In the past thirty years there has been much research activity concerning the oscillation, nonoscillation, asymptotic behavior and existence of solutions, nonoscillatory solutions and bounded positive solutions for various kinds of neutral delay difference equations, see, for example, [1–25] and the references therein. Jinfu [7] studied the existence of a bounded nonoscillatory solution for the second order neutral delay difference equation with positive and negative coefficients

$$\Delta^2(x(n) + px(n - m)) + p(n)x(n - k) - q(n)x(n - l) = 0, \quad n \geq n_0 \quad (1.1)$$

under the condition  $p \neq -1$ . Migda and Migda [17] got the asymptotic behavior of the second order neutral difference equation

$$\Delta^2(x(n) + px(n - k)) + f(n, x(n)) = 0, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (1.2)$$

Meng and Yan [16] discussed sufficient and necessary conditions of the existence of bounded nonoscillatory solutions for the second order nonlinear neutral difference equation

$$\Delta^2(x(n) - px(n-r)) = \sum_{i=1}^m q_i f_i(x(n - \sigma_i)), \quad n \geq n_0. \tag{1.3}$$

El-Morshedy [5] obtained the oscillation of the second order neutral difference equation with positive and negative coefficients

$$\Delta^2(x(n) \pm a(n)x(n - \tau)) + p(n)x(n - \delta) - q(n)x(n - \sigma) = 0, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Tripathy [22] studied the second order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\Delta^2(x(n) + p(n)x(n - m)) + q(n)G(x(n - k)) = 0, \quad n \geq n_0 \tag{1.5}$$

and deduced sufficient conditions under which every solution of Eq. (1.5) oscillates. Rath et al. [18] investigated the second order neutral delay difference equation

$$\Delta(r(n)\Delta(x(n) - p(n)x(n - m))) + q(n)G(x(n - k)) = f(n), \quad n \geq n_0 \tag{1.6}$$

and found necessary conditions for every solution of Eq. (1.6) to oscillate or to tend to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Liu, Xu and Kang [12] considered the solvability for the second order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(a(n)\Delta(x(n) + bx(n - \tau))) + f(n, x(n - d_1(n)), x(n - d_2(n)), \dots, x(n - d_k(n))) \\ &= c(n), \quad n \geq n_0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

and provided the global existence of uncountably many bounded nonoscillatory solutions for Eq. (1.7) relative to all  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Saker [19] studied the third order difference equation

$$\Delta^3 x(n) + p(n)x(n + 1) = 0, \quad n \geq n_0 \tag{1.8}$$

and established a few sufficient conditions for all solutions to be oscillatory or tend to zero. Yan and Liu [23] provided the existence of a bounded nonoscillatory solution for the third order difference equation

$$\Delta^3 x(n) + f(n, x(n), x(n - r)) = 0, \quad n \geq n_0 \tag{1.9}$$

and got a necessary and sufficient condition for Eq. (1.9) to have a bounded nonoscillatory solution  $\{x(n)\}_{n \geq n_0}$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) = d$ . Andruch-Sobilo and Migda [2] investigated the third order linear difference equation of neutral type

$$\Delta^3(x(n) - p(n)x(\sigma(n))) \pm q(n)x(\tau(n)) = 0, \quad n \geq n_0 \tag{1.10}$$

and proved sufficient conditions which ensure that all solutions of Eq. (1.10) are oscillatory.

The purpose of this paper is to study the below third order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation with a forced term

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta^2(a(n)\Delta(x(n) + c(n)x(n - \tau))) + f(n, x(n - b_1(n)), x(n - b_2(n)), \dots, x(n - b_k(n))) \\ &= d(n), \quad n \geq n_0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

where  $\tau, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{a(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$ ,  $\{c(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  and  $\{d(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  are real sequences with  $a(n) \neq 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k \{b_i(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n - b_i(n)) = +\infty$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $f : \mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a mapping. Using the Banach fixed point theorem, we prove several existence results of uncountably many bounded positive solutions for Eq. (1.11), suggest a few Mann iterative methods for these bounded positive solutions and discuss the error estimates between these bounded positive solutions and the iterative sequences generated by the Mann iterative methods. To illustrate our results, five examples are also constructed.

Throughout this paper, we assume that  $\Delta$  denotes the forward difference operator defined by  $\Delta x(n) = x(n + 1) - x(n)$ ,  $\Delta^2 x(n) = \Delta(\Delta x(n))$ ,  $\Delta^3 x(n) = \Delta(\Delta^2 x(n))$ ,  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  stand for the sets of all integers and positive integers, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N}_{n_0} &= \{n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ with } n \geq n_0\}, & \mathbb{Z}_\beta &= \{n : n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } n \geq \beta\}, \\ \beta &= \min\{n_0 - \tau, \inf\{n - b_i(n) : 1 \leq i \leq k, n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

$l_\beta^\infty$  stands for the Banach space of all bounded sequences on  $\mathbb{Z}_\beta$  with norm

$$\|x\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} |x(n)| \quad \text{for } x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in l_\beta^\infty$$

and

$$A(N, M) = \{x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in l_\beta^\infty : N \leq x(n) \leq M, n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta\} \quad \text{for } M > N > 0.$$

By a solution of Eq. (1.11), we mean a sequence  $\{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta}$  with a positive integer  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  such that Eq. (1.11) holds for all  $n \geq n_1$ .

**Lemma 1.1** ([8]) *Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $B : \mathbb{N}_{n_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a mapping. Then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^\infty \sum_{s=n_0+i\tau}^\infty \sum_{t=s}^\infty B(t) < +\infty \iff \sum_{s=n_0}^\infty \sum_{t=s}^\infty sB(t) < +\infty.$$

## 2 Existence of uncountably many bounded positive solutions and Mann iterative schemes

Now we use the Banach fixed point theorem to show the existence of uncountably many bounded positive solutions for Eq. (1.11), construct Mann iterative schemes and discuss the error estimates between the bounded positive solutions and the sequences generated by the Mann iterative schemes.

**Theorem 2.1** Assume that there exist two positive constants  $M$  and  $N$  with  $M > N$  and two nonnegative sequences  $\{P(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  and  $\{Q(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  satisfying

$$c(n) = 1, \quad \text{eventually}; \tag{2.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k) - f(n, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k)| \\ &\leq P(n) \max\{|u_i - v_i| : 1 \leq i \leq k\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}, u_i, v_i \in [N, M], 1 \leq i \leq k; \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$$|f(n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)| \leq Q(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}, u_i \in [N, M], 1 \leq i \leq k; \tag{2.3}$$

$$\sum_{t=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \max\{P(s), Q(s), |d(s)|\} < +\infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Then

- (a) for any  $L \in (N, M)$ , there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  such that for each  $x_0 = \{x_0(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ , the Mann iterative sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} = \{x_m(n)\}_{(n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta \times \mathbb{N}_0}$  generated by the scheme:

$$\begin{aligned} &x_{m+1}(n) \\ &= \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha_m)x_m(n) + \alpha_m \{L + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+(2i-1)\tau}^{n+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \\ \quad \times [f(s, x_m(s-b_1(s)), x_m(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x_m(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)]\}, \\ \quad n \geq n_1, m \geq 0, \\ (1 - \alpha_m)x_m(n_1) + \alpha_m \{L + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n_1+(2i-1)\tau}^{n_1+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \\ \quad \times [f(s, x_m(s-b_1(s)), x_m(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x_m(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)]\}, \\ \quad \beta \leq n < n_1, m \geq 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

converges to a bounded positive solution  $x \in A(N, M)$  of Eq. (1.11) and has the following error estimate:

$$\|x_{m+1} - x\| \leq e^{-(1-\theta) \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k} \|x_0 - x\|, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{2.6}$$

where  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is an arbitrary sequence in  $[0, 1]$  such that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_m = +\infty; \tag{2.7}$$

- (b) Eq. (1.11) possesses uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $A(N, M)$ .

*Proof* First of all we show (a). Let  $L \in (N, M)$ . It follows from (2.1) and (2.4) that there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  satisfying

$$c(n) = 1, \quad n \geq n_1; \tag{2.8}$$

$$\theta = \sum_{t=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1)P(s); \tag{2.9}$$

$$\sum_{t=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1)(Q(s) + |d(s)|) \leq \min\{M - L, L - N\}. \tag{2.10}$$

Define a mapping  $T_L : A(N, M) \rightarrow l_\beta^\infty$  by

$$T_L x(n) = \begin{cases} L + \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=n+(2i-1)\tau}^{n+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) \\ \quad \times [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)], \\ n \geq n_1, \\ T_L x(n_1), \quad \beta \leq n < n_1 \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

for each  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ . In view of (2.2), (2.3) and (2.9)~(2.11), we conclude that for  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta}, y = \{y(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$  and  $n \geq n_1$

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_L x(n) - T_L y(n)| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=n+(2i-1)\tau}^{n+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - f(s, y(s-b_1(s)), y(s-b_2(s)), \dots, y(s-b_k(s)))] \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=n+(2i-1)\tau}^{n+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) P(s) \max\{|x(s-b_j(s)) - y(s-b_j(s))| : 1 \leq j \leq k\} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=n+(2i-1)\tau}^{n+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) P(s) \|x - y\| \\ &\leq \sum_{t=n}^\infty \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) P(s) \|x - y\| \\ &\leq \theta \|x - y\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_L x(n) - L| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=n+(2i-1)\tau}^{n+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)] \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=n+(2i-1)\tau}^{n+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) \\ & \quad \times [ |f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s)))| + |d(s)| ] \\ &\leq \sum_{t=n}^\infty \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) (Q(s) + |d(s)|) \\ &\leq \min\{M - L, L - N\}, \end{aligned}$$

which imply that

$$\|T_L x - T_L y\| \leq \theta \|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in A(N, M) \quad \text{and} \quad T_L(A(N, M)) \subseteq A(N, M). \quad (2.12)$$

Thus (2.12) ensures that  $T_L$  is a contraction mapping on the closed subset  $A(N, M)$  and it has a unique fixed point  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ . It follows that for  $n \geq n_1 + \tau$

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) &= L + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+(2i-1)\tau}^{n+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \\ &\quad \times [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)], \\ x(n-\tau) &= L + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+2(i-1)\tau}^{n+(2i-1)\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \\ &\quad \times [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)], \end{aligned}$$

which yield that for  $n \geq n_1 + \tau$

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) + x(n-\tau) &= 2L + \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \\ &\quad \times [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)], \end{aligned}$$

which gives that for  $n \geq n_1 + \tau$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x(n) + x(n-\tau)) &= -\frac{1}{a(n)} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} (s-n+1) \\ &\quad \times [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for  $n \geq n_1 + \tau$

$$\Delta[a(n)\Delta(x(n) + x(n-\tau))] = \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)]$$

and

$$\Delta^2[a(n)\Delta(x(n) + x(n-\tau))] = -f(n, x(n-b_1(n)), x(n-b_2(n)), \dots, x(n-b_k(n))) + d(n),$$

which together with (2.8) means that  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta}$  is a bounded positive solution of Eq. (1.11) in  $A(N, M)$ .

It follows from (2.5), (2.8), (2.9), (2.11) and (2.12) that for any  $m \geq 0$  and  $n \geq n_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &|x_{m+1}(n) - x(n)| \\ &= \left| (1 - \alpha_m)x_m(n) + \alpha_m \left\{ L + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+(2i-1)\tau}^{n+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \left| \left[ f(s, x_m(s - b_1(s)), x_m(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x_m(s - b_k(s))) - d(s) \right] - x(n) \right| \\
 & \leq (1 - \alpha_m) |x_m(n) - x(n)| + \alpha_m |T_L x_m(n) - T_L x(n)| \\
 & \leq (1 - \alpha_m) \|x_m - x\| + \alpha_m \theta \|x_m - x\| \\
 & = (1 - (1 - \theta)\alpha_m) \|x_m - x\| \\
 & \leq e^{-(1-\theta)\alpha_m} \|x_m - x\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\|x_{m+1} - x\| \leq e^{-(1-\theta)\alpha_m} \|x_m - x\| \leq e^{-(1-\theta)\sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k} \|x_0 - x\|, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

That is, (2.6) holds. It follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = x$ .

Next we show (b). Let  $L_1, L_2 \in (N, M)$  with  $L_1 \neq L_2$ . Similarly we infer that for each  $z \in \{1, 2\}$ , there exist constants  $\theta_z \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_z \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  and a mapping  $T_{L_z}$  satisfying (2.9)~(2.11), where  $\theta, L$  and  $n_1$  are replaced by  $\theta_z, L_z$  and  $n_z$ , respectively, and the contraction mapping  $T_{L_z}$  has a unique fixed point  $x^z = \{x^z(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ , which is a bounded positive solution of Eq. (1.11) in  $A(N, M)$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^z(n) &= L_z + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+(2i-1)\tau}^{n+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \\
 & \times [f(s, x^z(s - b_1(s)), x^z(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x^z(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)], \quad n \geq n_z,
 \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.2) and (2.9) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |x^1(n) - x^2(n)| \\
 & = \left| L_1 - L_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+(2i-1)\tau}^{n+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \right. \\
 & \quad \times [f(s, x^1(s - b_1(s)), x^1(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x^1(s - b_k(s))) \\
 & \quad \left. - f(s, x^2(s - b_1(s)), x^2(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x^2(s - b_k(s))) \right] \\
 & \geq |L_1 - L_2| - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+(2i-1)\tau}^{n+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \\
 & \quad \times |f(s, x^1(s - b_1(s)), x^1(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x^1(s - b_k(s))) \\
 & \quad - f(s, x^2(s - b_1(s)), x^2(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x^2(s - b_k(s)))| \\
 & \geq |L_1 - L_2| - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+(2i-1)\tau}^{n+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) P(s) \\
 & \quad \times \max\{|x^1(s - b_j(s)) - x^2(s - b_j(s))| : 1 \leq j \leq k\} \\
 & \geq |L_1 - L_2| - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+(2i-1)\tau}^{n+2i\tau-1} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) P(s) \|x^1 - x^2\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq |L_1 - L_2| - \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1)P(s) \|x^1 - x^2\| \\ &\geq |L_1 - L_2| - \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2\} \|x^1 - x^2\|, \quad n \geq \max\{n_1, n_2\}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\|x^1 - x^2\| \geq \frac{|L_1 - L_2|}{1 + \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2\}} > 0,$$

that is,  $x^1 \neq x^2$ . Thus the set of bounded positive solutions of Eq. (1.11) in  $A(N, M)$  is uncountable. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2** *Assume that there exist two positive constants  $M$  and  $N$  with  $M > N$  and two nonnegative sequences  $\{P(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  and  $\{Q(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  satisfying (2.2), (2.3) and*

$$c(n) = -1, \quad \text{eventually}; \tag{2.13}$$

$$\sum_{t=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{t}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \max\{P(s), Q(s), |d(s)|\} < +\infty. \tag{2.14}$$

Then

- (a) *for any  $L \in (N, M)$ , there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  such that for each  $x_0 = \{x_0(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ , the Mann iterative sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} = \{x_m(n)\}_{(n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta \times \mathbb{N}_0}$  generated by the scheme:*

$$\begin{aligned} &x_{m+1}(n) \\ &= \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha_m)x_m(n) + \alpha_m \{L - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+i\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \\ \quad \times [f(s, x_m(s - b_1(s)), x_m(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x_m(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)]\}, \\ \quad n \geq n_1, m \geq 0, \\ (1 - \alpha_m)x_m(n_1) + \alpha_m \{L - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n_1+i\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \\ \quad \times [f(s, x_m(s - b_1(s)), x_m(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x_m(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)]\}, \\ \quad \beta \leq n < n_1, m \geq 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

*converges to a bounded positive solution  $x \in A(N, M)$  of Eq. (1.11) and satisfies the error estimate (2.6), where  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is an arbitrary sequence in  $[0, 1]$  satisfying (2.7);*

- (b) *Eq. (1.11) possesses uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $A(N, M)$ .*

*Proof* First of all we show (a). Let  $L \in (N, M)$ . It follows from (2.13), (2.14) and Lemma 1.1 that there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  satisfying

$$c(n) = -1, \quad n \geq n_1; \tag{2.16}$$

$$\theta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n_1+i\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1)P(s); \tag{2.17}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n_1+i\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1)(Q(s) + |d(s)|) \leq \min\{M - L, L - N\}. \tag{2.18}$$



Define a mapping  $T_L : A(N, M) \rightarrow l_\beta^\infty$  by

$$T_L x(n) = \begin{cases} L - \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=n+i\tau}^\infty \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) \\ \quad \times [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)], \\ n \geq n_1, \\ T_L x(n_1), \quad \beta \leq n < n_1 \end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

for each  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ . On account of (2.2), (2.3) and (2.17)~(2.19), we derive that for each  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta}, y = \{y(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$  and  $n \geq n_1$

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_L x(n) - T_L y(n)| \\ &= \left| - \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=n+i\tau}^\infty \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - f(s, y(s-b_1(s)), y(s-b_2(s)), \dots, y(s-b_k(s)))] \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=n+i\tau}^\infty \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) P(s) \max\{|x(s-b_j(s)) - y(s-b_j(s))| : 1 \leq j \leq k\} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=n_1+i\tau}^\infty \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) P(s) \|x - y\| = \theta \|x - y\|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_L x(n) - L| \\ &= \left| - \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=n+i\tau}^\infty \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)] \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=n+i\tau}^\infty \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) \\ & \quad \times [ |f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s)))| + |d(s)| ] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=n_1+i\tau}^\infty \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) (Q(s) + |d(s)|) \leq \min\{M - L, L - N\}, \end{aligned}$$

which yield (2.12). Consequently  $T_L$  is a contraction mapping on the closed subset  $A(N, M)$  and it has a unique fixed point  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ . It follows that for  $n \geq n_1 + \tau$

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) &= L - \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=n+i\tau}^\infty \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s-t+1) \\ & \quad \times [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x(n - \tau) \\
 &= L - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+(i-1)\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \\
 &\quad \times [f(s, x(s - b_1(s)), x(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)],
 \end{aligned}$$

which guarantee that for  $n \geq n_1 + \tau$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Delta(x(n) - x(n - \tau)) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{a(n)} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} (s - n + 1) [f(s, x(s - b_1(s)), x(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)], \\
 & \Delta(a(n)\Delta(x(n) - x(n - \tau))) \\
 &= \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} [f(s, x(s - b_1(s)), x(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)]
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Delta^2(a(n)\Delta(x(n) - x(n - \tau))) \\
 &= -f(n, x(n - b_1(n)), x(n - b_2(n)), \dots, x(n - b_k(n))) + d(n),
 \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.16) implies that  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$  is a bounded positive solution of Eq. (1.11).

It follows from (2.12), (2.15), (2.17) and (2.19) that for any  $m \geq 0$  and  $n \geq n_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |x_{m+1}(n) - x(n)| \\
 &= \left| (1 - \alpha_m)x_m(n) + \alpha_m \left\{ L - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+i\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \times [f(s, x_m(s - b_1(s)), x_m(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x_m(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)] \right\} - x(n) \right| \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_m) |x_m(n) - x(n)| + \alpha_m |T_L x_m(n) - T_L x(n)| \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_m) \|x_m - x\| + \alpha_m \theta \|x_m - x\| \\
 &= (1 - (1 - \theta)\alpha_m) \|x_m - x\| \\
 &\leq e^{-(1-\theta)\alpha_m} \|x_m - x\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.6). Thus (2.6) and (2.7) guarantee that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = x$ .

Next we show (b). Let  $L_1, L_2 \in (N, M)$  and  $L_1 \neq L_2$ . Analogously we deduce that for each  $z \in \{1, 2\}$ , there exist constants  $\theta_z \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_z \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  and a mapping  $T_{L_z}$  satisfying (2.17)~(2.19), where  $\theta, L$  and  $n_1$  are replaced by  $\theta_z, L_z$  and  $n_z$ , respectively, and the contraction mapping  $T_{L_z}$  has a unique fixed point  $x^z = \{x^z(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ , which is a

bounded positive solution of Eq. (1.11) in  $A(N, M)$ , that is,

$$x^z(n) = L_z - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+i\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \times [f(s, x^z(s-b_1(s)), x^z(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x^z(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)], \quad n \geq n_z,$$

which together with (2.2) and (2.17) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} & |x^1(n) - x^2(n)| \\ &= \left| L_1 - L_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+i\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \right. \\ &\quad \times [f(s, x^1(s-b_1(s)), x^1(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x^1(s-b_k(s))) \\ &\quad \left. - f(s, x^2(s-b_1(s)), x^2(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x^2(s-b_k(s))) \right] \\ &\geq |L_1 - L_2| - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+i\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \\ &\quad \times |f(s, x^1(s-b_1(s)), x^1(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x^1(s-b_k(s))) \\ &\quad - f(s, x^2(s-b_1(s)), x^2(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x^2(s-b_k(s)))| \\ &\geq |L_1 - L_2| - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+i\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) P(s) \\ &\quad \times \max\{|x^1(s-b_j(s)) - x^2(s-b_j(s))| : 1 \leq j \leq k\} \\ &\geq |L_1 - L_2| - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=n+i\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) P(s) \|x^1 - x^2\| \\ &\geq |L_1 - L_2| - \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2\} \|x^1 - x^2\|, \quad n \geq \max\{n_1, n_2\}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\|x^1 - x^2\| \geq \frac{|L_1 - L_2|}{1 + \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2\}} > 0,$$

that is,  $x^1 \neq x^2$ . Hence Eq. (1.11) possesses uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $A(N, M)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3** *Assume that there exist positive constants  $M$  and  $N$ , nonnegative constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  and nonnegative sequences  $\{P(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  and  $\{Q(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  satisfying  $(1 - c_1 - c_2)M > N$ , (2.2)~(2.4) and*

$$-c_1 \leq c(n) \leq c_2, \quad \text{eventually.} \tag{2.20}$$

Then

- (a) for any  $L \in (c_2M + N, (1 - c_1)M)$ , there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  such that for each  $x_0 = \{x_0(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ , the Mann iterative sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} = \{x_m(n)\}_{(n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta \times \mathbb{N}_0}$  generated by the scheme:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x_{m+1}(n) \\
 & = \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha_m)x_m(n) + \alpha_m\{L - c(n)x(n - \tau) \\ \quad + \sum_{t=n}^\infty \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s - t + 1) \\ \quad \times [f(s, x_m(s - b_1(s)), x_m(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x_m(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)]\}, \\ n \geq n_1, m \geq 0, \\ (1 - \alpha_m)x_m(n_1) + \alpha_m\{L - c(n_1)x(n_1 - \tau) \\ \quad + \sum_{t=n_1}^\infty \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s - t + 1) \\ \quad \times [f(s, x_m(s - b_1(s)), x_m(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x_m(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)]\}, \\ \beta \leq n < n_1, m \geq 0 \end{cases} \tag{2.21}
 \end{aligned}$$

converges to a bounded positive solution  $x \in A(N, M)$  of Eq. (1.11) and satisfies the error estimate (2.6), where  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is an arbitrary sequence in  $[0, 1]$  satisfying (2.7);

- (b) Eq. (1.11) possesses uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $A(N, M)$ .

*Proof* Let  $L \in (c_2M + N, (1 - c_1)M)$ . It follows from (2.4) that there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  satisfying

$$-c_1 \leq c(n) \leq c_2, \quad n \geq n_1; \tag{2.22}$$

$$\theta = c_1 + c_2 + \sum_{t=n_1}^\infty \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s - t + 1)P(s); \tag{2.23}$$

$$\sum_{t=n_1}^\infty \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s - t + 1)(Q(s) + |d(s)|) \leq \min\{M(1 - c_1) - L, L - c_2M - N\}. \tag{2.24}$$

Define a mapping  $T_L : A(N, M) \rightarrow l_\beta^\infty$  by

$$T_L x(n) = \begin{cases} L - c(n)x(n - \tau) + \sum_{t=n}^\infty \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s - t + 1) \\ \quad \times [f(s, x(s - b_1(s)), x(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)], \\ n \geq n_1, \\ T_L x(n_1), \quad \beta \leq n < n_1 \end{cases} \tag{2.25}$$

for  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ . Using (2.2), (2.3) and (2.22)~(2.25), we derive that for each  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta}, y = \{y(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$  and  $n \geq n_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |T_L x(n) - T_L y(n)| \\
 & = \left| -c(n)(x(n - \tau) - y(n - \tau)) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{t=n}^\infty \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^\infty (s - t + 1)[f(s, x(s - b_1(s)), x(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x(s - b_k(s))) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - f(s, y(s - b_1(s)), y(s - b_2(s)), \dots, y(s - b_k(s)))] \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| -f(s, y(s - b_1(s)), y(s - b_2(s)), \dots, y(s - b_k(s))) \right| \\
 & \leq |c(n)| |x(n - \tau) - y(n - \tau)| \\
 & \quad + \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) P(s) \max\{|x(s - b_j(s)) - y(s - b_j(s))| : 1 \leq j \leq k\} \\
 & \leq \left[ c_1 + c_2 + \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) P(s) \right] \|x - y\| \\
 & \leq \theta \|x - y\|, \\
 T_L x(n) & = L - c(n)x(n - \tau) + \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \\
 & \quad \times [f(s, x(s - b_1(s)), x(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)] \\
 & \leq L + c_1 M + \sum_{t=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) (Q(s) + |d(s)|) \\
 & \leq L + c_1 M + \min\{M(1 - c_1) - L, L - c_2 M - N\} \\
 & \leq M
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_L x(n) & = L - c(n)x(n - \tau) + \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \\
 & \quad \times [f(s, x(s - b_1(s)), x(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)] \\
 & \geq L - c_2 M - \sum_{t=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) (Q(s) + |d(s)|) \\
 & \geq L - c_2 M - \min\{M(1 - c_1) - L, L - c_2 M - N\} \\
 & \geq N,
 \end{aligned}$$

which imply (2.12). Hence  $T_L$  is a contraction mapping on the closed subset  $A(N, M)$  and it has a unique fixed point  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(n) & = L - c(n)x(n - \tau) + \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \\
 & \quad \times [f(s, x(s - b_1(s)), x(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)], \quad n \geq n_1,
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives that for  $n \geq n_1 + \tau$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Delta(x(n) + c(n)x(n - \tau)) \\
 & = -\frac{1}{a(n)} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} (s - n + 1) [f(s, x(s - b_1(s)), x(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)],
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for  $n \geq n_1 + \tau$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(a(n)\Delta(x(n) + c(n)x(n - \tau))) \\ &= \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} [f(s, x(s - b_1(s)), x(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta^2(a(n)\Delta(x(n) + c(n)x(n - \tau))) \\ &= -f(n, x(n - b_1(n)), x(n - b_2(n)), \dots, x(n - b_k(n))) + d(n), \end{aligned}$$

which means that  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta}$  is a bounded positive solution of Eq. (1.11) in  $A(N, M)$ .

By means of (2.12), (2.21), (2.23) and (2.25), we conclude that for any  $m \geq 0$  and  $n \geq n_1$

$$\begin{aligned} &|x_{m+1}(n) - x(n)| \\ &= \left| (1 - \alpha_m)x_m(n) + \alpha_m \left\{ L - c(n)x(n - \tau) + \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times [f(s, x_m(s - b_1(s)), x_m(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x_m(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)] \right\} - x(n) \right| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_m)|x_m(n) - x(n)| + \alpha_m |T_L x_m(n) - T_L x(n)| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_m)\|x_m - x\| + \alpha_m \theta \|x_m - x\| \\ &= (1 - (1 - \theta)\alpha_m)\|x_m - x\| \\ &\leq e^{-(1-\theta)\alpha_m} \|x_m - x\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.6). Thus (2.6) and (2.7) ensure that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = x$ .

Let  $L_1, L_2 \in (c_2M + N, (1 - c_1)M)$  and  $L_1 \neq L_2$ . Homoplastically we conclude that for each  $z \in \{1, 2\}$ , there exist constants  $\theta_z \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_z \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  and a mapping  $T_{L_z}$  satisfying (2.22)~(2.25), where  $\theta, L$  and  $n_1$  are replaced by  $\theta_z, L_z$  and  $n_z$ , respectively, and the contraction mapping  $T_{L_z}$  has a unique fixed point  $x^z = \{x^z(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta}$ , which is a bounded positive solution of Eq. (1.11) in  $A(N, M)$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} x^z(n) &= L_z - c(n)x^z(n - \tau) + \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \\ &\quad \times [f(s, x^z(s - b_1(s)), x^z(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x^z(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)], \quad n \geq n_z, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.2), (2.22) and (2.23) yield that

$$\begin{aligned} &|x^1(n) - x^2(n)| \\ &= \left| L_1 - L_2 - c(n)(x^1(n - \tau) - x^2(n - \tau)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) [f(s, x^1(s - b_1(s)), x^1(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x^1(s - b_k(s))) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f(s, x^2(s - b_1(s)), x^2(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x^2(s - b_k(s))) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| -f(s, x^2(s - b_1(s)), x^2(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x^2(s - b_k(s))) \right| \\
 \geq & |L_1 - L_2| - |c(n)| |x^1(n - \tau) - x^2(n - \tau)| \\
 & - \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) |f(s, x^1(s - b_1(s)), x^1(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x^1(s - b_k(s))) \\
 & - f(s, x^2(s - b_1(s)), x^2(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x^2(s - b_k(s)))| \\
 \geq & |L_1 - L_2| - (c_1 + c_2) \|x^1 - x^2\| \\
 & - \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) P(s) \max\{|x^1(s - b_j(s)) - x^2(s - b_j(s))| : 1 \leq j \leq k\} \\
 \geq & |L_1 - L_2| - \left[ c_1 + c_2 + \sum_{t=\max\{n_1, n_2\}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) P(s) \right] \|x^1 - x^2\| \\
 \geq & |L_1 - L_2| - \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2\} \|x^1 - x^2\|, \quad n \geq \max\{n_1, n_2\},
 \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\|x^1 - x^2\| \geq \frac{|L_1 - L_2|}{1 + \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2\}} > 0,$$

that is,  $x^1 \neq x^2$ . This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 2.4** *Assume that there exist four constants  $M, N, c_1$  and  $c_2$  and two nonnegative sequences  $\{P(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  and  $\{Q(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  satisfying  $M > N, c_2(c_1^2 - c_2)M > c_1(c_2^2 - c_1)N > 0, (2.2) \sim (2.4)$  and*

$$1 < c_1 \leq c(n) \leq c_2, \quad \text{eventually.} \tag{2.26}$$

Then

- (a) *for any  $L \in (\frac{c_2}{c_1}M + c_2N, \frac{c_1}{c_2}N + c_1M)$ , there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  such that for each  $x_0 = \{x_0(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ , the Mann iterative sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} = \{x_m(n)\}_{(n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta \times \mathbb{N}_0}$  generated by the scheme:*

$$x_{m+1}(n) = \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha_m)x_m(n) + \alpha_m \left\{ \frac{L}{c(n+\tau)} - \frac{x(n+\tau)}{c(n+\tau)} \right. \\ \quad + \frac{1}{c(n+\tau)} \sum_{t=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \\ \quad \times [f(s, x_m(s - b_1(s)), x_m(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x_m(s - b_k(s))) \\ \quad \left. - d(s)] \right\}, \\ n \geq n_1, m \geq 0, \\ (1 - \alpha_m)x_m(n_1) + \alpha_m \left\{ \frac{L}{c(n_1+\tau)} - \frac{x(n_1+\tau)}{c(n_1+\tau)} \right. \\ \quad + \frac{1}{c(n_1+\tau)} \sum_{t=n_1+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \\ \quad \times [f(s, x_m(s - b_1(s)), x_m(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x_m(s - b_k(s))) \\ \quad \left. - d(s)] \right\}, \\ \beta \leq n < n_1, m \geq 0 \end{cases} \tag{2.27}$$

converges to a bounded positive solution  $x \in A(N, M)$  of Eq. (1.11) and satisfies the error estimate (2.6), where  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is an arbitrary sequence in  $[0, 1]$  satisfying (2.7);  
 (b) Eq. (1.11) possesses uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $A(N, M)$ .

*Proof* Let  $L \in (\frac{c_2}{c_1}M + c_2N, \frac{c_1}{c_2}N + c_1M)$ . Note that (2.4) and (2.26) imply that there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  satisfying

$$c_1 \leq c(n) \leq c_2, \quad n \geq n_1; \tag{2.28}$$

$$\theta = \frac{1}{c_1} + \frac{1}{c_1} \sum_{t=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1)P(s); \tag{2.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1)(Q(s) + |d(s)|) \\ & \leq \min \left\{ c_1M + \frac{c_1N}{c_2} - L, \frac{c_1L}{c_2} - M - c_1N \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Define a mapping  $T_L : A(N, M) \rightarrow l_{\beta}^{\infty}$  by

$$T_L x(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{L}{c(n+\tau)} - \frac{x(n+\tau)}{c(n+\tau)} + \frac{1}{c(n+\tau)} \sum_{t=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \\ \quad \times [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)], \\ n \geq n_1, \\ T_L x(n_1), \quad \beta \leq n < n_1 \end{cases} \tag{2.31}$$

for each  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}} \in A(N, M)$ . It follows from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.28)~(2.31) that for each  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}}, y = \{y(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}} \in A(N, M)$  and  $n \geq n_1$

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_L x(n) - T_L y(n)| \\ & = \left| \frac{x(n+\tau) - y(n+\tau)}{c(n+\tau)} \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{c(n+\tau)} \sum_{t=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) \\ & \quad \left. - f(s, y(s-b_1(s)), y(s-b_2(s)), \dots, y(s-b_k(s))) \right] \Big| \\ & \leq \frac{|x(n+\tau) - y(n+\tau)|}{c(n+\tau)} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{c(n+\tau)} \sum_{t=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1)P(s) \\ & \quad \times \max \{ |x(s-b_j(s)) - y(s-b_j(s))| : 1 \leq j \leq k \} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{c_1} \|x - y\| + \frac{1}{c_1} \sum_{t=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1)P(s) \|x - y\| \\ & = \theta \|x - y\|, \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 T_L x(n) &= \frac{L}{c(n+\tau)} - \frac{x(n+\tau)}{c(n+\tau)} + \frac{1}{c(n+\tau)} \sum_{t=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \\
 &\quad \times [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)] \\
 &\leq \frac{L}{c_1} - \frac{N}{c_2} + \frac{1}{c_1} \sum_{t=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) (Q(s) + |d(s)|) \\
 &\leq \frac{L}{c_1} - \frac{N}{c_2} + \frac{1}{c_1} \min \left\{ c_1 M + \frac{c_1 N}{c_2} - L, \frac{c_1 L}{c_2} - M - c_1 N \right\} \\
 &\leq M
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_L x(n) &= \frac{L}{c(n+\tau)} - \frac{x(n+\tau)}{c(n+\tau)} + \frac{1}{c(n+\tau)} \sum_{t=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \\
 &\quad \times [f(s, x(s-b_1(s)), x(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)] \\
 &\geq \frac{L}{c_2} - \frac{M}{c_1} - \frac{1}{c_1} \sum_{t=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) (Q(s) + |d(s)|) \\
 &\geq \frac{L}{c_2} - \frac{M}{c_1} - \frac{1}{c_1} \min \left\{ c_1 M + \frac{c_1 N}{c_2} - L, \frac{c_1 L}{c_2} - M - c_1 N \right\} \\
 &\geq N,
 \end{aligned}$$

which yield (2.12), that is,  $T_L$  is a contraction mapping on the closed subset  $A(N, M)$  and it has a unique fixed point  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ , which is a bounded positive solution of Eq. (1.11).

It follows from (2.12), (2.27), (2.29) and (2.31) that for any  $m \geq 0$  and  $n \geq n_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|x_{m+1}(n) - x(n)| \\
 &= \left| (1 - \alpha_m)x_m(n) + \alpha_m \left\{ \frac{L}{c(n+\tau)} - \frac{x(n+\tau)}{c(n+\tau)} + \frac{1}{c(n+\tau)} \sum_{t=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \times [f(s, x_m(s-b_1(s)), x_m(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x_m(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)] \right\} - x(n) \right| \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_m)|x_m(n) - x(n)| + \alpha_m |T_L x_m(n) - T_L x(n)| \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_m)\|x_m - x\| + \alpha_m \theta \|x_m - x\| \\
 &= (1 - (1 - \theta)\alpha_m)\|x_m - x\| \\
 &\leq e^{-(1-\theta)\alpha_m} \|x_m - x\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.6). Thus (2.6) and (2.7) guarantee that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = x$ .

Let  $L_1, L_2 \in (\frac{c_2}{c_1}M + c_2N, \frac{c_1}{c_2}N + c_1M)$  and  $L_1 \neq L_2$ . Similarly we deduce that for each  $z \in \{1, 2\}$ , there exist constants  $\theta_z \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_z \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  and a mapping  $T_{L_z}$  satisfying (2.28)~(2.31), where  $\theta, L$  and  $n_1$  are replaced by  $\theta_z, L_z$  and  $n_z$ , respectively, and the contraction mapping  $T_{L_z}$  has a unique fixed point  $x^z = \{x^z(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta}$ , which is a bounded

positive solution of Eq. (1.11) in  $A(N, M)$ , that is,

$$x^z(n) = \frac{L_z}{c(n+\tau)} - \frac{x^z(n+\tau)}{c(n+\tau)} + \frac{1}{c(n+\tau)} \sum_{t=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \times [f(s, x^z(s-b_1(s)), x^z(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x^z(s-b_k(s))) - d(s)], \quad n \geq n_z,$$

which together with (2.2), (2.28) and (2.29) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & |x^1(n) - x^2(n)| \\ &= \left| \frac{L_1 - L_2}{c(n+\tau)} - \frac{x^1(n+\tau) - x^2(n+\tau)}{c(n+\tau)} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{c(n+\tau)} \sum_{t=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \\ &\quad \times [f(s, x^1(s-b_1(s)), x^1(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x^1(s-b_k(s))) \\ &\quad \left. - f(s, x^2(s-b_1(s)), x^2(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x^2(s-b_k(s))) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{|L_1 - L_2|}{c(n+\tau)} - \frac{|x^1(n+\tau) - x^2(n+\tau)|}{c(n+\tau)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{c(n+\tau)} \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \\ &\quad \times |f(s, x^1(s-b_1(s)), x^1(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x^1(s-b_k(s))) \\ &\quad - f(s, x^2(s-b_1(s)), x^2(s-b_2(s)), \dots, x^2(s-b_k(s)))| \\ &\geq \frac{|L_1 - L_2|}{c_2} - \frac{\|x^1 - x^2\|}{c_1} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{c_1} \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) P(s) \max\{|x^1(s-b_j(s)) - x^2(s-b_j(s))| : 1 \leq j \leq k\} \\ &\geq \frac{|L_1 - L_2|}{c_2} - \frac{\|x^1 - x^2\|}{c_1} - \frac{1}{c_1} \sum_{t=\max\{n_1, n_2\}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) P(s) \|x^1 - x^2\| \\ &\geq \frac{|L_1 - L_2|}{c_2} - \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2\} \|x^1 - x^2\|, \quad n \geq \max\{n_1, n_2\}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\|x^1 - x^2\| \geq \frac{|L_1 - L_2|}{c_2(1 + \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2\})} > 0,$$

that is,  $x^1 \neq x^2$ . This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 2.5** *Assume that there exist four constants  $M, N, c_1$  and  $c_2$  and two nonnegative sequences  $\{P(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  and  $\{Q(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}}$  satisfying  $(1 + c_2)M < (1 + c_1)N < 0$ , (2.2)~(2.4) and*

$$c_1 \leq c(n) \leq c_2 < -1, \quad \text{eventually.} \tag{2.32}$$

Then

- (a) for any  $L \in ((1 + c_2)M, (1 + c_1)N)$  there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  such that for each  $x_0 = \{x_0(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$ , the Mann iterative sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} = \{x_m(n)\}_{(n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta \times \mathbb{N}_0}$  generated by (2.27) converges to a bounded positive solution  $x \in A(N, M)$  of Eq. (1.11) and has the error estimate (2.6), where  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is an arbitrary sequence in  $[0, 1]$  satisfying (2.7);
- (b) Eq. (1.11) possesses uncountable bounded positive solutions.

*Proof* Let  $L \in ((1 + c_2)M, (1 + c_1)N)$ . It follows from (2.4) and (2.32) that there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  satisfying

$$c_1 \leq c(n) \leq c_2 < -1, \quad n \geq n_1; \tag{2.33}$$

$$\theta = -\frac{1}{c_2} - \frac{1}{c_2} \sum_{t=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1)P(s); \tag{2.34}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1)(Q(s) + |d(s)|) \\ & \leq \min \left\{ L - (1 + c_2)M, \frac{c_2(1 + c_1)N}{c_1} - \frac{c_2L}{c_1} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

Let the mapping  $T_L : A(N, M) \rightarrow l_\beta^\infty$  be defined by (2.31). It follows from (2.2), (2.3), (2.31) and (2.33)~(2.35) that for  $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta}, y = \{y(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \in A(N, M)$  and  $n \geq n_1$

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_L x(n) - T_L y(n)| \\ & = \left| -\frac{x(n + \tau) - y(n + \tau)}{c(n + \tau)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{c(n + \tau)} \sum_{t=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) [f(s, x(s - b_1(s)), x(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x(s - b_k(s))) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - f(s, y(s - b_1(s)), y(s - b_2(s)), \dots, y(s - b_k(s)))] \right| \\ & \leq -\frac{\|x - y\|}{c_2} - \frac{1}{c_2} \sum_{t=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1)P(s) \\ & \quad \times \max \{ |x(s - b_j(s)) - y(s - b_j(s))| : 1 \leq j \leq k \} \\ & \leq -\frac{\|x - y\|}{c_2} - \frac{1}{c_2} \sum_{t=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1)P(s)\|x - y\| \\ & = \theta \|x - y\|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_L x(n) &= \frac{L}{c(n + \tau)} - \frac{x(n + \tau)}{c(n + \tau)} + \frac{1}{c(n + \tau)} \sum_{t=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1) \\ & \quad \times [f(s, x(s - b_1(s)), x(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)] \\ & \leq \frac{L}{c_2} - \frac{M}{c_2} - \frac{1}{c_2} \sum_{t=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s-t+1)(Q(s) + |d(s)|) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{L}{c_2} - \frac{M}{c_2} - \frac{1}{c_2} \min \left\{ L - (1 + c_2)M, \frac{c_2(1 + c_1)N}{c_1} - \frac{c_2L}{c_1} \right\} \\ &\leq M \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_L x(n) &= \frac{L}{c(n + \tau)} - \frac{x(n + \tau)}{c(n + \tau)} + \frac{1}{c(n + \tau)} \sum_{t=n+\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) \\ &\quad \times [f(s, x(s - b_1(s)), x(s - b_2(s)), \dots, x(s - b_k(s))) - d(s)] \\ &\geq \frac{L}{c_1} - \frac{N}{c_1} + \frac{1}{c_2} \sum_{t=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a(t)|} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} (s - t + 1) (Q(s) + |d(s)|) \\ &\geq \frac{L}{c_1} - \frac{N}{c_1} + \frac{1}{c_2} \min \left\{ L - (1 + c_2)M, \frac{c_2(1 + c_1)N}{c_1} - \frac{c_2L}{c_1} \right\} \\ &\geq N, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.12). The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.4 and is omitted. This completes the proof. □

### 3 Examples

In this section, we construct five examples to illustrate our results.

*Example 3.1* Consider the third order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta^2((n^2 - n^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2)\Delta(x(n) + x(n - \tau))) + \frac{(-1)^n[x^2(n^2) + x^4(n^3 - 2n + 1)]}{(n + 1)^3 + n \ln^2 n + x^2(n^2 - n)} \\ &= \frac{2n - (n + 3) \sin(5n^4 - 3n + 1)}{n^4 - n^2 + 1}, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$  is fixed. Let  $n_0 = 1, k = 3, M = 2, N = 1, \beta = \min\{1 - \tau, 0\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a(n) &= n^2 - n^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2, & c(n) &= 1, & b_1(n) &= n - n^2, \\ b_2(n) &= 3n - n^3 - 1, & b_3(n) &= 2n - n^2, \\ d(n) &= \frac{2n - (n + 3) \sin(5n^4 - 3n + 1)}{n^4 - n^2 + 1}, & f(n, u_1, u_2, u_3) &= \frac{(-1)^n(u_1^2 + u_2^4)}{(n + 1)^3 + n \ln^2 n + u_3^2}, \\ P(n) &= \frac{6M^5 + 4M^3 + 2M(1 + 2M^2)[(n + 1)^3 + n \ln^2 n]}{((n + 1)^3 + n \ln^2 n + N^2)^2}, \\ Q(n) &= \frac{M^4 + M^2}{(n + 1)^3 + n \ln^2 n + N^2}, \quad (n, u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that (2.1)~(2.4) hold. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that Eq. (3.1) possesses uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $A(N, M)$  and for each  $L \in (N, M)$ , there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  such that for each  $x_0 \in A(N, M)$ , the Mann iterative sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m \geq 0}$  generated by (2.5) and (2.7) converges to a bounded positive solution  $x \in A(N, M)$  of Eq. (3.1) and has the error estimate (2.6).

*Example 3.2* Consider the third order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta^2\left((n^3 + n \ln^2 n + 1)\Delta(x(n) - x(n - \tau))\right) + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}x^3\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)}{n^4 + n^3x^4(n-3) + \sqrt{n^5 + 1}x^2(n^2 - 2)} \\ &= \frac{3n^4 - n^2 - (-1)^{n-1}n + 2}{n^7 - n^6 + n^3 + 1}, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$  is fixed. Let  $n_0 = 1, k = 3, M = \frac{3}{2}, N = \frac{1}{2}, \beta = \min\{1 - \tau, -2\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a(n) &= n^3 + n \ln^2 n + 1, & c(n) &= -1, & b_1(n) &= \frac{-n(n-1)}{2}, \\ b_2(n) &= 3, & b_3(n) &= -n^2 + n + 2, \\ d(n) &= \frac{3n^4 - n^2 - (-1)^{n-1}n + 2}{n^7 - n^6 + n^3 + 1}, & f(n, u_1, u_2, u_3) &= \frac{(-1)^{n+1}u_1^3}{n^4 + n^3u_2^4 + \sqrt{n^5 + 1}u_3^2}, \\ P(n) &= \frac{M^2(3n^4 + 7M^4n^3 + 5M^2\sqrt{n^5 + 1})}{(n^4 + n^3N^4 + \sqrt{n^5 + 1}N^2)^2}, \\ Q(n) &= \frac{M^3}{n^4 + n^3N^4 + \sqrt{n^5 + 1}N^2}, \quad (n, u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that (2.2), (2.3), (2.13) and (2.14) are fulfilled. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that Eq. (3.2) possesses uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $A(N, M)$  and for each  $L \in (N, M)$ , there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  such that for each  $x_0 \in A(N, M)$ , the Mann iterative sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m \geq 0}$  generated by (2.7) and (2.15) converges to a bounded positive solution  $x \in A(N, M)$  of Eq. (3.2) and has the error estimate (2.6).

*Example 3.3* Consider the third order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta^2\left(\left(\frac{n^3}{\ln n} - n \ln n + n^2\right)\Delta\left(x(n) + \frac{(-1)^n n}{3\sqrt{n^2 + n + n}}x(n - \tau)\right)\right) + \frac{\sqrt{nx^2}(3n^2 - 2)}{n^3 + 1} \\ &+ \frac{(n^{\frac{3}{2}} - \ln n + 3)x(n-8)x^3(n^5 - 1)}{(n^2 + n - 1)^2} = \frac{n^2 - 3 \ln n + 2}{4n^5 - 3n^3 + n^2 + 5n + 1}, \quad n \geq 2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0}$  is fixed. Let  $n_0 = 2, k = 3, M = 5, N = 2, c_1 = c_2 = \frac{1}{4}, \beta = \min\{2 - \tau, -6\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a(n) &= \frac{n^3}{\ln n} - n \ln n + n^2, & b_1(n) &= -3n^2 + n + 2, \\ b_2(n) &= 8, & b_3(n) &= -n^5 + n + 1, \\ c(n) &= \frac{(-1)^n n}{3\sqrt{n^2 + n + n}}, & d(n) &= \frac{n^2 - 3 \ln n + 2}{4n^5 - 3n^3 + n^2 + 5n + 1}, \\ f(n, u_1, u_2, u_3) &= \frac{\sqrt{nu_1^2}}{n^3 + 1} + \frac{(n^{\frac{3}{2}} - \ln n + 3)u_2u_3^3}{(n^2 + n - 1)^2}, \\ P(n) &= \frac{2M\sqrt{n}}{n^3 + 1} + \frac{4M^3(n^{\frac{3}{2}} - \ln n + 3)}{(n^2 + n - 1)^2}, \\ Q(n) &= \frac{M^2\sqrt{n}}{n^3 + 1} + \frac{M^4(n^{\frac{3}{2}} - \ln n + 3)}{(n^2 + n - 1)^2}, \quad (n, u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly (2.2)~(2.4) and (2.20) hold. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that Eq. (3.3) possesses uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $A(N, M)$  and for every  $L \in (c_1M + N, (1 - c_2)M)$ , there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  such that for each  $x_0 \in A(N, M)$ , the Mann iterative sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m \geq 0}$  generated by (2.7) and (2.21) converges to a bounded positive solution  $x \in A(N, M)$  of Eq. (3.3) and has the error estimate (2.6).

*Example 3.4* Consider the third order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\Delta^2 \left( \frac{(-1)^{n-1}(2n^5 - 7n^3 + 11)}{\ln^2(n+2) + 6n} \Delta \left( x(n) + \frac{3n^2 + 2n + 4}{n^2 + n + 1} x(n - \tau) \right) \right) + \frac{x^2(n^2 + n)}{n^3 + 2n + 1} + \frac{x^3(n - (-1)^n)}{n^2 \ln^2(n+1)} = \frac{(-1)^n(n - \sqrt{n} + 3)}{2n^4 + 3n^2 - 1}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$  is fixed. Let  $n_0 = 1, k = 2, M = \frac{7}{3}, N = \frac{3}{7}, c_1 = 2, c_2 = 3, \beta = \min\{1 - \tau, 1\} = 1 - \tau,$

$$\begin{aligned} a(n) &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}(2n^5 - 7n^3 + 11)}{\ln^2(n+2) + 6n}, & c(n) &= \frac{3n^2 + 2n + 4}{n^2 + n + 1}, \\ b_1(n) &= -n^2, & b_2(n) &= (-1)^n, \\ d(n) &= \frac{(-1)^n(n - \sqrt{n} + 3)}{2n^4 + 3n^2 - 1}, & f(n, u_1, u_2) &= \frac{u_1^2}{n^3 + 2n + 1} + \frac{u_2^3}{n^2 \ln^2(n+1)}, \\ P(n) &= \frac{2M}{n^3 + 2n + 1} + \frac{3M^2}{n^2 \ln^2(n+1)}, \\ Q(n) &= \frac{M^2}{n^3 + 2n + 1} + \frac{M^3}{n^2 \ln^2(n+1)}, \quad (n, u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously (2.2)~(2.4) and (2.26) hold. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that Eq. (3.4) possesses uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $A(N, M)$  and for any  $L \in (\frac{c_2}{c_1}M + c_2N, \frac{c_1}{c_2}N + c_1M)$ , there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  such that for each  $x_0 \in A(N, M)$ , the Mann iterative sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m \geq 0}$  generated by (2.7) and (2.27) converges to a bounded positive solution  $x \in A(N, M)$  of Eq. (3.4) and has the error estimate (2.6).

*Example 3.5* Consider the third order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\Delta^2 \left( n^2((-1)^n n - 3) \Delta \left( x(n) - \frac{5n + (-1)^n n}{2n + 1} x(n - \tau) \right) \right) + \frac{(-1)^n x(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}) x(n^2)}{n^3 \ln^2 n + n^2 + x^2(\frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{3})} = \frac{n^2 - (-1)^{n-1} n \ln(1 + \sqrt{2n+1})}{n^5 - 2n^3 + 3}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$  is fixed. Let  $n_0 = 1, k = 3, M = 10, N = 3, c_1 = -3, c_2 = -2, \beta = \min\{1 - \tau, 0\},$

$$\begin{aligned} a(n) &= n^2((-1)^n n - 3), & c(n) &= -\frac{5n + (-1)^n n}{2n + 1}, \\ b_1(n) &= \frac{n(3 - n)}{2}, & b_2(n) &= -n^2 + n, \\ b_3(n) &= \frac{-n(n^2 + 3n - 1)}{3}, & d(n) &= \frac{n^2 - (-1)^{n-1} n \ln(1 + \sqrt{2n+1})}{n^5 - 2n^3 + 3}, \end{aligned}$$

$$f(n, u_1, u_2, u_3) = \frac{(-1)^n u_1 u_2}{n^3 \ln^2 n + n^2 + u_3^2},$$

$$P(n) = \frac{4M^3 + 2M(n^3 \ln^2 n + n^2)}{(n^3 \ln^2 n + n^2 + N^2)^2},$$

$$Q(n) = \frac{M^2}{n^3 \ln^2 n + n^2 + N^2}, \quad (n, u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{N}_{n_0} \times \mathbb{R}^3.$$

It is easy to verify that (2.2)~(2.4) and (2.32) are fulfilled. It follows from Theorem 2.5 that Eq. (3.5) possesses uncountably many bounded positive solutions in  $A(N, M)$  and for each  $L \in ((1 + c_2)M, (1 + c_1)N)$ , there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $n_1 \geq n_0 + \tau + |\beta|$  such that for each  $x_0 \in A(N, M)$ , the Mann iterative sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m \geq 0}$  generated by (2.7) and (2.27) converges to a bounded positive solution  $x \in A(N, M)$  of Eq. (3.5) and has the error estimate (2.6).

**Acknowledgements**

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees for valuable suggestions which helped to improve the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41701616).

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors' contributions**

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Basic Teaching Department, Dalian Vocational Technical College, Dalian, People's Republic of China. <sup>2</sup>Shanyin No. 1 Middle School, Shuozhou, People's Republic of China. <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Tonghua Teachers College, Tonghua, People's Republic of China. <sup>4</sup>Center for Studies of Marine Economy and Sustainable Development, Liaoning Normal University, Dalian, People's Republic of China.

**Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 30 December 2017 Accepted: 14 April 2018 Published online: 26 April 2018

**References**

1. Agarwal, R.P., Manuel, M.M.S., Thandapani, E.: Oscillatory and nonoscillatory behavior of second order neutral delay difference equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **10**, 103–109 (1997)
2. Andruch-Sobilo, A., Migda, M.: On the oscillation of solutions of third order linear difference equations of neutral type. *Math. Bohem.* **130**, 19–33 (2005)
3. Cheng, S.S., Li, H.J., Patula, W.T.: Bounded and zero convergent solutions of second order difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **141**, 463–483 (1989)
4. Chuan, Q., Ladas, G.: Oscillation in differential equations with positive and negative coefficient. *Can. Math. Bull.* **33**, 442–450 (1990)
5. El-Morshedy, H.A.: New oscillation criteria for second order linear difference equations with positive and negative coefficients. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **58**, 1988–1997 (2009)
6. Jiang, Z.W.: Oscillation of first neutral delay differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **196**, 800–813 (1995)
7. Jinfa, C.: Existence of a nonoscillatory solution of second-order linear neutral difference equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **20**, 892–899 (2007)
8. Li, W.T., Cheng, S.S.: Oscillation criteria for a nonlinear difference equation. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **36**, 87–94 (1998)
9. Liu, Z., Kang, S.M., Ume, J.S.: Existence of uncountably many bounded nonoscillatory solutions and their iterative approximations for second order nonlinear neutral delay difference equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **213**, 554–576 (2009)
10. Liu, Z., Sun, W., Ume, J.S., Kang, S.M.: Positive solutions of a second order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 172939 (2012)
11. Liu, Z., Wang, L.L., Kim, G.I., Kang, S.M.: Existence of uncountably many bounded positive solutions for a third order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **60**, 2399–2416 (2010)
12. Liu, Z., Xu, Y.G., Kang, S.M.: Global solvability for a second order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **57**, 587–595 (2009)
13. Liu, Z., Xu, Y.G., Kang, S.M.: Bounded oscillation criteria for certain third order nonlinear difference equations with several delays and advances. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **61**, 1145–1161 (2011)
14. Liu, Z., Zhao, L.S., Ume, J.S., Kang, S.M.: Solvability of a second order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2011**, Article ID 328914 (2011)
15. Liu, Z., Zhao, L.S., Kang, S.M., Ume, J.S.: Existence of uncountably many bounded positive solutions for second order nonlinear neutral delay difference equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **61**, 2535–2545 (2011)

16. Meng, Q., Yan, J.: Bounded oscillation for second-order neutral difference equations in critical and non-critical states. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **211**, 156–172 (2008)
17. Migda, M., Migda, J.: Asymptotic properties of solutions of second-order neutral difference equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **63**, e789–e799 (2005)
18. Rath, R.N., Dix, J.G., Barik, B.L.S., Dihudi, B.: Necessary conditions for the solutions of second order non-linear neutral delay difference equations to be oscillatory or tend to zero. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2007**, Article ID 60907 (2007)
19. Saker, S.H.: Oscillation of third-order difference equations. *Port. Math.* **61**, 249–257 (2004)
20. Tang, X.H.: Bounded oscillation of second-order neutral difference equations of unstable type. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **44**, 1147–1156 (2002)
21. Thandapani, E., Manuel, M.M.S., Graef, J.R., Spikes, P.W.: Monotone properties of certain classes of solutions of second-order difference equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **36**, 291–297 (2001)
22. Tripathy, A.K.: On the oscillation of second order nonlinear neutral delay difference equations. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2008**, 11 (2008)
23. Yan, J., Liu, B.: Asymptotic behavior of a nonlinear delay difference equation. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **8**, 1–5 (1995)
24. Zhang, B.G.: Oscillation and asymptotic behavior of second order difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **173**, 58–68 (1993)
25. Zhang, X.S., Yan, J.R.: Oscillation criteria for first order neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **253**, 204–214 (2001)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---