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#### Abstract

Multiple periodic solutions for the equation $$
\Delta\left(p_{n}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)^{\delta}\right)+a_{n} x_{n}^{\delta}=\nabla F\left(n, x_{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},
$$ are obtained via variational method and saddle-point theorem of Brezis and Nirenberg. Our main results extend some earlier results. An example is given.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}$. And $\mathbb{R}$ be the sets of all integers, all positive integers, and all real numbers respectively. Set $\mathbb{Z}[a, b]=\{a, a+1, \ldots, b\}$ for $a \leq b, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.
We consider the existence of multiple solutions for the following difference equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(p_{n}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)^{\delta}\right)+q_{n} x_{n}^{\delta}=\nabla F\left(n, x_{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Delta x_{n}=x_{n+1}-x_{n}, \Delta^{2} x_{n}=\Delta\left(\Delta x_{n}\right)$, the odd integer $\delta>0$ is the ratio, real sequences $\left\{p_{n}\right\},\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ and the function $F(n, x)=\int_{0}^{x} \nabla F(n, s) d s$ satisfy

$$
p_{n}=p_{n+T}>0, \quad q_{n}=q_{n+T}, \quad F(n+T, x)=F(n, x), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},
$$

for a fixed $T \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Difference equations are widely found in mathematics itself and in its applications to combinatorial analysis, quantum physics, chemical reactions, and so on. Many authors were interested in difference equations and obtained many significant conclusions; see, for instance, the papers [1-3,5-20]. Various methods have been used to deal with the existence of solutions to discrete problems, we refer to the fixed point theorems in cones in [12] and the variational method in [2, 3, 5-11, 13, 14, 18-20]. In 2003, in [10, 11] Yu and Guo made a new variational structure to handle discrete equations and obtained good conclusions on the solvability condition of a periodic solution. This new variational structure represents an important advance as it allows us to prove multiplicity results as well.

When $\delta=1$, equation (1) has been considered in [17] and [19]. When $\delta \neq 1$ and $\nabla F(n, x)=0$, equation (1) has been also studied. For example, in [1] and [8], the authors were interested in the results on disconjugacy, disfocality, oscillation, and the asymptotic behavior. In [20] the authors were interested in the results on the existence of positive solutions. However, to the best of our knowledge, when $\delta \neq 1$ and $\nabla F(n, x) \neq 0$, besides [6] and [18], in the literature there are no results on the solvability condition of periodic solutions for equation (1). By employing the mountain pass lemma, in [6] the authors proved that there are at least two nontrivial periodic solutions of equation (1) under the following conditions:
(a) $q_{n}<0, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$.
(b) For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}, \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\nabla F(n, x)}{|x|^{\delta}}=0$.
(c) There exist constants $a_{1}>0, a_{2}>0$, and $\beta>\delta+1$ such that

$$
F(n, x) \leq-a_{1}|x|^{\beta}+a_{2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

To get the critical points, condition (c) is essential, especially, $\beta>\delta+1$. Later, by virtue of the saddle-point theorem, in [18] the authors obtained the periodic solution of equation (1) under condition (a) and the following assumptions:
(d) There are constants $R_{1}>0$ and $\alpha \in(1,2)$ such that

$$
\frac{\alpha}{2}(\delta+1) F(n, x) \leq x \nabla F(n, x)<0 \quad \text { for } n \in \mathbb{Z} \text { and }|x| \geq R_{1}
$$

(e) There are constants $a_{3}>0, a_{4}>0$, and $\gamma \in(1, \alpha]$ such that

$$
F(n, x) \leq-a_{3}|x|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}(\delta+1)}+a_{4}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

From condition (e) we have $\beta=\frac{\gamma}{2}(\delta+1)<\delta+1$.
Hence it is natural for us to consider the case $\beta=\delta+1$. In the present papere, the motivation comes from the recent papers [6, 7, 14, 18]. By virtue of the minimax methods with variational techniques, the solvability conditions on multiple periodic solutions are proved for difference equation when $\beta=\delta+1$. In particular, our results complement and generalize the results in [6] and [18].

## 2 Preliminaries

To obtain multiple periodic solutions via variational techniques, we state the corresponding variational structure for equation (1). Let $S$ be the sequence

$$
S=\left\{u_{n}\right\}=\left(\ldots, u_{-n}, \ldots, u_{-1}, u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \ldots\right)=\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty} .
$$

Define

$$
a u+b v=\left\{a u_{n}+b v_{n}\right\}, \quad \forall u, v \in S, a, b \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

This yields that $S$ is a vector space. For any fixed $T \in \mathbb{N}$, let $E_{T}$ be the subspace of $S$ defined as

$$
E_{T}=\left\{u=\left\{u_{n}\right\} \in S \mid u_{n+T}=u_{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} .
$$

Define the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{E_{T}}$ and norm $\|\cdot\|_{E_{T}}$ in $E_{T}$ as follows:

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{E_{T}}=\sum_{i=1}^{T} u_{i} v_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad\|u\|_{E_{T}}=\|u\|=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{T} u_{j}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall u, v \in E_{T} .
$$

Obviously, the space $E_{T}$ is finite dimensional. Set

$$
\|u\|_{\delta+1}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{T} u_{j}^{\delta+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}}
$$

Since $\delta+1 \geq 2$, by the Hölder inequality we have

$$
\|u\| \leq T^{\frac{\delta-1}{\delta+1}}\|u\|_{\delta+1} \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{\delta+1}, \quad u \in E_{T}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{T} u_{j}^{\delta+1}\right)^{\frac{2}{\delta+1}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{T} u_{j}^{2}, \quad u \in E_{T}
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|u\| \leq\|u\|_{\delta+1} \leq\|u\| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in E_{T}$. Let

$$
\varphi(x)=-\frac{1}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T} p_{n}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)^{\delta+1}+\frac{1}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T} q_{n} x_{n}^{\delta+1}-\sum_{n=1}^{T} F\left(n, x_{n}\right), \quad \forall x \in E_{T} .
$$

Then $\varphi \in C^{1}\left(E_{T}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, and we have the partial derivative

$$
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{n}}=\Delta\left(p_{n}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)^{\delta}\right)+q_{n} x_{n}^{\delta}-\nabla F\left(n, x_{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]
$$

via $x_{0}=x_{T}, x_{1}=x_{T+1}$. Thus $x \in E_{T}$ satisfies $\varphi^{\prime}(x)=0$ if and only if $x$ satisfies equation (1) for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$. To seek the $T$-periodic solutions for equation (1) is to find the critical points of functional $\varphi$ since $x_{n}=x_{T+n}$ and $\nabla F(n+T, x)=\nabla F(n, x)$.

Set the $T \times T$ matrix

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right] .
$$

From the matrix theory we know that

$$
\lambda_{k}=2\left(1-\cos \frac{2 k \pi}{T}\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, T-1
$$

are the eigenvalues of $P$ and $\lambda_{0}=0, \lambda_{1}>0, \lambda_{2}>0, \ldots, \lambda_{T-1}>0$. Thus we have

$$
\lambda_{\min }=\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{T-1}\right\}=\lambda_{1}=2\left(1-\cos \frac{2 \pi}{T}\right)
$$

Let

$$
W=\operatorname{ker} P=\left\{x \in E_{T} \mid P x=0\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
W=\left\{x \in E_{T} \mid x=\{c\}, c \in \mathbb{R}\right\} .
$$

Set $V=W^{\perp}$. Clearly, $E_{T}=V \oplus W$. For convenience, we identify $x \in E_{T}$ with $x=$ $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{T}\right)^{T}$.

## 3 Main results and proofs

Set

$$
p_{\min }=\min _{n \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]} p_{n}>0, \quad q_{\min }=\min _{n \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]} q_{n}, \quad q_{\max }=\max _{n \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]} q_{n} .
$$

We study equation (1) under the following conditions:
(F1) $q_{\text {max }}-q_{\text {min }}<p_{\min } \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} \frac{1}{T^{\delta+1}}$.
(F2) There exist constants $\rho_{0}>0$ and

$$
a_{1} \in\left(\left(\frac{q_{\max }-q_{\min }}{p_{\min } \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}}, \frac{1}{T}\right)
$$

such that

$$
\frac{q_{\max }-p_{\min } \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} a_{1}^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1}|x|^{\delta+1} \leq F(n, x) \leq \frac{q_{\min }}{\delta+1}|x|^{\delta+1}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|x| \leq \rho_{0}$.
(F3) $\lim \sup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(n, x)}{|x|^{\delta+1}}<-\frac{A}{\delta+1}$, where $A=\max _{n \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]}\left[2^{\delta+1}\left(p_{n}+p_{n+1}\right)-q_{n}\right]$.
(F4) There are constants $\rho_{1}>0$ and $a_{2} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{T}\right)$ such that

$$
-\frac{p_{\min } \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} a_{2}^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1}|x|^{\delta+1} \leq F(n, x) \leq 0
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|x| \leq \rho_{1}$.
(F5) $\lim \sup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(n, x)}{|x|^{\delta+1}}<-\frac{B}{\delta+1}$, where $B=\max _{n \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]}\left[2^{\delta+1}\left(p_{n}+p_{n+1}\right)\right]$.
Now we state our main results.

Theorem 3.1 Under hypotheses (F1), (F2), and (F3), equation (1) has at least two nontrivial T-periodic solutions.

For the particular case $q_{n}=0$ in Theorem 3.1, we easily obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2 Under hypotheses (F4) and (F5), equation (1) has at least two nontrivial T-periodic solutions.

Remark 3.3 Theorem 3.1 generalizes Theorem 3.2 in [6]. On the one hand, the sequence $\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ can be sign-changing. However, $\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ is negative in Theorem 3.2 of [6]. On the other hand, conditions (F2) and (F3) in our Theorem 3.1 are weaker than the corresponding conditions in Theorem 3.2. There are functions $F$ satisfying Theorem 3.1 but not fulfilling Theorem 3.2 in [6] and Theorem 1.3 in [18]. For example, set

$$
F(n, x)=-|x|^{\delta+1} \ln (1+|x|)-\frac{1}{2(\delta+1)} \lambda^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2 T}\right)^{\delta+1}|x|^{\delta+1}
$$

and

$$
q_{n}=\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2 T}\right)^{\delta+1} \sin \frac{2 \pi n}{T}, \quad p_{n}=2+\sin \frac{2 \pi n}{T} .
$$

Clearly, $\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ are $T$-periodic, $p_{\text {min }}=1>0$, and

$$
\frac{q_{\max }-q_{\min }}{p_{\min } \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 T}\right)^{\delta+1}<\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)^{\delta+1}
$$

which implies that $\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ satisfy (F1) in Theorem 3.1 but do not satisfy the corresponding condition of Theorem 3.2 of [6]. A simple computation yields

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(n, x)}{|x|^{\delta+1}}=-\frac{1}{2(\delta+1)} \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2 T}\right)^{\delta+1} .
$$

Put $a_{1}=\frac{1}{2 T}$. Clearly,

$$
\frac{q_{\max }-q_{\min }}{p_{\min } \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}}<a_{1}^{\delta+1}<\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)^{\delta+1}
$$

and

$$
\frac{q_{\max }-p_{\min } \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} a_{1}^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1}<-\frac{1}{2(\delta+1)} \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2 T}\right)^{\delta+1}<\frac{q_{\min }}{\delta+1} .
$$

So $F$ satisfies (F2). Further, we have

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{F(n, x)}{|x|^{\delta+1}}=-\infty
$$

which implies that such a function $F$ satisfies condition (F3) of Theorem 3.1 but does not satisfy the corresponding condition of Theorem 3.2 in [6] and the corresponding condition of Theorem 1.3 in [18]. Moreover, our conclusion complements the results of Theorem 3.2 in [6] and Theorem 1.3 in [18].

The proof of Theorem 3.1 uses the following saddle-point theorem of Brezis and Nirenberg.

Lemma 3.4 ([4]) Let $X$ be a Banach space with $X=X_{1}+X_{2}$, where $\operatorname{dim} X_{2}<\infty$, and let $\varphi$ be a $C^{1}$ function on $X$ with $\varphi(0)=0$ satisfying the PS condition. Suppose that, for some $\delta_{0}>0$,
( $\left.I_{1}\right) \varphi(v) \geq 0$, for $v \in X_{1}$ with $\|v\| \leq \delta_{0}$, and
( $I_{2}$ ) $\varphi(v) \leq 0$, for $v \in X_{2}$ with $\|v\| \leq \delta_{0}$.
If $\varphi$ is bounded from below and $\inf _{X} \varphi<0$, then $\varphi$ has at least two nonzero critical points.

## Proof of Theorem 3.1 Set

$$
W=X_{1}, \quad V=X_{2}
$$

First, we claim that $\varphi$ satisfies the PS condition. Now let $\left\{x^{(k)}\right\}$ be a sequence for $\varphi$ such that $\left\{\varphi\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right\}$ is bounded and $\varphi^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then we need to verify that there is a convergent subsequence of $\left\{x^{(k)}\right\}$. Since $E_{T}$ is finite dimensional, we only need to prove that $\left\{x^{(k)}\right\}$ is bounded.

By (F3) there exist constants $b_{1}>\frac{A}{\delta+1}, b_{2}>0$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(n, x) \leq-b_{1}|x|^{\delta+1}+b_{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In view of (2) and (3), for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi\left(x^{(k)}\right)= & -\frac{1}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T}\left[p_{n}\left(x_{n}^{(k)}-x_{n-1}^{(k)}\right)^{\delta+1}-q_{n}\left(x_{n}^{(k)}\right)^{\delta+1}\right]-\sum_{n=1}^{T} F\left(n, x_{n}^{(k)}\right) \\
\geq & -\frac{1}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T} p_{n} 2^{\delta+1}\left(\left(x_{n}^{(k)}\right)^{\delta+1}+\left(x_{n-1}^{(k)}\right)^{\delta+1}\right)+\frac{1}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T} q_{n}\left(x_{n}^{(k)}\right)^{\delta+1} \\
& -\sum_{n=1}^{T} F\left(n, x_{n}^{(k)}\right) \\
\geq & -\frac{2^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T}\left(p_{n}+p_{n+1}\right)\left(x_{n}^{(k)}\right)^{\delta+1}+\frac{1}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T} q_{n}\left(x_{n}^{(k)}\right)^{\delta+1}-\sum_{n=1}^{T} F\left(n, x_{n}^{(k)}\right) \\
\geq & -\frac{1}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T}\left[2^{\delta+1}\left(p_{n}+p_{n+1}\right)-q_{n}\right]\left(x_{n}^{(k)}\right)^{\delta+1}+b_{1} \sum_{n=1}^{T}\left(x_{n}^{(k)}\right)^{\delta+1}-b_{2} T \\
\geq & -\frac{A}{\delta+1}\left\|x^{(k)}\right\|_{\delta+1}^{\delta+1}+b_{1}\left\|x^{(k)}\right\|_{\delta+1}^{\delta+1}-b_{2} T \\
\geq & \left(b_{1}-\frac{A}{\delta+1}\right) T^{-\frac{\delta+1}{2}}\left\|x^{(k)}\right\|^{\delta+1}-b_{2} T . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\{\varphi\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right\}$ is bounded and $b_{1}-\frac{A}{\delta+1}>0,\left\{x^{(k)}\right\}$ is bounded in $E_{T}$. In the finitedimensional space $E_{T}$, such a bounded consequence $\left\{x^{(k)}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence. Hence $\varphi$ satisfies the PS condition.

Clearly, $\varphi(0)=0$ by (F2). Hence $x=0$ is a trivial solution of equation (1).
On the one hand, for any $w \in W$ with $\|w\| \leq \rho$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$, due to (F2), we obtain

$$
\varphi(w)=\frac{1}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T} q_{n} w^{\delta+1}-\sum_{n=1}^{T} F(n, w) \geq \frac{1}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T} q_{n} w^{\delta+1}-\frac{1}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T} q_{n} w^{\delta+1}=0 .
$$

So condition $\left(I_{1}\right)$ of Lemma 3.4 is verified.

For all $x \in E_{T}$, by the Hölder inequality we have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{T}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)^{2} \leq\left(\sum_{n=1}^{T} 1^{\frac{\delta+1}{\delta-1}}\right)^{\frac{\delta-1}{\delta+1}} \cdot\left(\sum_{n=1}^{T}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)^{\delta+1}\right)^{\frac{2}{\delta+1}}=T^{\frac{\delta-1}{\delta+1}} \cdot\left(\sum_{n=1}^{T}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)^{\delta+1}\right)^{\frac{2}{\delta+1}}
$$

Then a simple computation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\sum_{n=1}^{T}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} \leq T^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{T}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)^{\delta+1} \leq T^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{T}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)^{\delta+1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (F2) and (5), for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ and $x \in V=W^{\perp}$ with $\|x\| \leq \rho$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(x) & \leq-\frac{1}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T} p_{n}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)^{\delta+1}+\frac{1}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T} q_{n} x_{n}^{\delta+1}-\frac{q_{\max }-p_{\min } \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} a_{1}^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T} x_{n}^{\delta+1} \\
& \leq-\frac{p_{\min }}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)^{\delta+1}+\frac{q_{\max }}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T} x_{n}^{\delta+1}-\frac{q_{\max }-p_{\min } \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} a_{1}^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T} x_{n}^{\delta+1} \\
& \leq-\frac{p_{\min }}{\delta+1}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{T}\left(\Delta x_{n-1}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}+\frac{p_{\min } \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} a_{1}^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} \sum_{n=1}^{T} x_{n}^{\delta+1} \\
& \leq-\frac{p_{\min }}{\delta+1} \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}\|x\|^{\delta+1}+\frac{p_{\min } \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} a_{1}^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} T^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}\|x\|^{\delta+1} \\
& \leq\left(\left(a_{1} \sqrt{T}\right)^{\delta+1}-\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right)^{\delta+1}\right) \frac{p_{\min }}{\delta+1} \lambda_{1}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}\|x\|^{\delta+1} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a_{1}<\frac{1}{T}$, we have $a_{1} \sqrt{T}<\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{1} \sqrt{T}\right)^{\delta+1}-\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right)^{\delta+1}<0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by (6), (7), and $p_{\text {min }}>0$, we conclude that

$$
\inf _{E_{T}} \varphi \leq 0 \quad \text { for all } x \in V \text { with }\|x\| \leq \rho
$$

Thus condition $\left(I_{2}\right)$ of Lemma 3.4 is verified. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{E_{T}} \varphi(x) \leq 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we consider two cases.
Case 1: $\inf _{E_{T}} \varphi<0$. Then the proof of Theorem 3.1 is finished directly due to Lemma 3.4.
Case 2: $\inf _{E_{T}} \varphi \geq 0$. Due to (8), we obtain

$$
\varphi(x)=\inf _{E_{T}} \varphi=0 \quad \text { for all } x \in E_{T} .
$$

This means that all $x \in V$ with $\|x\| \leq \rho$ are solutions of equation (1). Hence the proof of Theorem 3.1 is finished.

The proof of Corollary 3.2 is omitted since it is similar to that of Theorem 3.1.
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