# Existence of nontrivial solution for a nonlocal problem with subcritical nonlinearity 

Jing Zhang ${ }^{1 *}$ and Zhongyi Zhang²º

Correspondence:
jinshizhangjing@163.com 'Mathematics Sciences College, Inner Mongolia Normal University, Hohhot, P.R. China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper, we consider the following new nonlocal Dirichlet boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(a-b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=\lambda u+g(x, u), & x \in \Omega  \tag{0.1}\\ u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are positive, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is a positive parameter, $0 \leq \lambda<a \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of operator $-\Delta$. Under appropriate assumptions on the function $g$ which is of subcritical growth, we obtain a nontrivial solution.
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## 1 Introduction and main result

In this paper, we consider the following new nonlocal Dirichlet boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(a-b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=\lambda u+g(x, u), & x \in \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are positive, $\lambda$ is a positive parameter.
The search for a nontrivial solution of problem (1.1) is a new subject and of great significance. We put forward a new nonlocal term $a-b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x$, which is different from the well known nonlocal term $a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x$ and presents a lot of interesting difficulties.

Recently, mathematical studies have focused on the existence of solutions of the Kirchhoff type problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=g(x, u), & x \in \Omega \\ u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $a>0, b>0$ and $\Omega$ is either a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ or $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{N}$. The results about problem with subcritical nonlinearity can be seen in $[1-5]$ and the critical cases in [6-13]. Here we do not present the results in detail, someone who is interested in them can consult the references therein.

However, there are only few results about problem (1.1). When $\lambda=0$ and $g(x, u)=|u|^{p-2} u$ was of subcritical growth, Yin and Liu [14] considered

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(a-b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=|u|^{p-2} u, & x \in \Omega \\ u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

and obtained existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions. When $\lambda=0$ and $g(x, u)=$ $f_{\lambda}(x)|u|^{p-2} u$, Lei [15] considered

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(a-b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=f_{\lambda}(x)|u|^{p-2} u, & x \in \Omega \\ u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Under some special conditions and for $1<p<2$, the author obtained two solutions. Lei [16] also investigated

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(a-b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=\frac{\lambda}{u^{\gamma}}, & x \in \Omega \\ u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

and, when $0<\gamma<1$ and $0<\lambda<\lambda_{*}$, at least two positive solutions were obtained. Wang [17] studied a nonlocal problem involving critical exponent, namely

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(a-b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=|u|^{2} u+\mu f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{4} \\
u \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for which infinitely many positive solutions and at least two positive solutions were found for $\mu=0$ and $\mu \in\left(0, \mu_{*}\right]$. For some other important results the interested reader is also referred to [18-21].
We are inspired by the above articles and consider a new problem which is different from the mentioned above. Assume that nonlinearity $g$ satisfies the following assumptions:
$\left(g_{1}\right) g$ is continuous, $1 \leq i \leq N,|g(x, u)| \leq C\left(1+|u|^{p-1}\right)$ for some $C>0$ and $2<p<2^{*}$, where $2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 3,2^{*}=\infty$ if $N=1$ or 2 ;
$\left(g_{2}\right) g(x, u)=o(u)$ uniformly in $x$ as $u \rightarrow 0$;
$\left(g_{3}\right) u \mapsto \frac{g(x, u)}{u}$ is positive for $u \neq 0$, nonincreasing on $(-\infty, 0)$ and nondecreasing on $(0,+\infty)$.
Now, we state our main result.

Theorem 1.1 Suppose that conditions $\left(g_{1}\right)-\left(g_{3}\right)$ and $0 \leq \lambda<a \lambda_{1}$ hold, then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.

## 2 Preliminary results

In this section, we present the variational results which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $E:=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be endowed with the usual norm

$$
\|u\|=\langle u, u\rangle^{1 / 2}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

The usual norm in the Lebesgue space $L^{p}(\Omega)$ is denoted by $|u|_{p}$.
A function $u \in E$ is called a weak solution of problem (1.1) if

$$
a \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d x-b\|u\|^{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d x=\lambda \int_{\Omega} u v d x-\int_{\Omega} g(x, u) v d x, \quad \forall v \in E .
$$

Moreover, our assumptions imply that the solutions of (1.1) are the critical points of the functional defined in $E$ by

$$
I(u)=\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} G(x, u) d x .
$$

It is easy to see for $\forall u, v \in E$,

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=a \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d x-b\|u\|^{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} u v d x-\int_{\Omega} g(x, u) v d x
$$

Let $\lambda_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ be the eigenvalues of operator $-\Delta$ with zero Dirichlet boundary condition. It is well known that each eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$ is positive, isolated and has finite multiplicity, the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ being simple and $\lambda_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Here we only need the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$, where $\lambda_{1}=\inf _{u \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}}$ and assume that $0 \leq \lambda<a \lambda_{1}$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1, so from now on we always suppose that $\left(g_{1}\right)-$ $\left(g_{3}\right)$ hold. First, $\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}\right)$ imply that for each $\varepsilon>0$ there is a $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x, u)| \leq \varepsilon|u|+C_{\varepsilon}|u|^{p-1} \quad \text { for all } u \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

And using $\left(g_{2}\right)$ and $\left(g_{3}\right)$, one can easily check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, u) \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad g(x, u) u \geq 2 G(x, u)>0 \quad \text { if } u \neq 0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1 If $0 \leq \lambda<a \lambda_{1}$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$, $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, where $0<c<\frac{a^{2}}{4 b}$.

Proof For $\lambda_{1}=\inf _{u \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}}$, then

$$
\left(a-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq a \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} \leq a \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} .
$$

Also by (3.1), we can choose a sufficiently small $\varepsilon=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}\left(a-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right)$, and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u) & =\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} G(x, u) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(a-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{b}{4}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}-\frac{C_{\varepsilon}}{p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(a-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \lambda_{1}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{C_{1} C_{\varepsilon}}{p}\|u\|^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(a-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\|u\|^{2}-\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{C_{1} C_{\varepsilon}}{p}\|u\|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $4<p<2^{*}$, for small enough $\rho>0$, for all $u \in E$ and $\|u\|=\rho$, it holds that $I(u)=\gamma>0$. On the other hand, for $u \neq 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
I(t u)=\frac{a t^{2}}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{b t^{4}}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{\lambda t^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} G(x, t u),
$$

so that when $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have $I(t u) \rightarrow-\infty$. This means that there is a $t_{1}$ such that $u_{1}=$ $t_{1} u \in E,\left\|u_{1}\right\|>\rho$ and $I\left(u_{1}\right)<0$. As a consequence, by the mountain pass lemma without (PS) condition [22], there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ for

$$
c=\inf _{h \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in h([0,1])} I(u) \geq \gamma>0,
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\left\{h \in C([0,1], E): h(0)=0, h(1)=u_{1}\right\} .
$$

Because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{t \in[0,1]} I\left(t u_{1}\right) & =\max _{t \in[0,1]}\left\{\frac{a t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}-\frac{b t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{4}-\frac{\lambda t^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} G\left(x, t u_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& <\max _{t \in[0,1]}\left\{\frac{a t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}-\frac{b t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{4}\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{a^{2}}{4 b}
\end{aligned}
$$

it is easy to obtain that $0<c<\frac{a^{2}}{4 b}$ according to the definition of $c$.
Lemma 3.2 Under the condition $c<\frac{a^{2}}{4 b}$, I satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition, i.e., any $(P S)_{c}$ sequence of I has a convergent subsequence.

Proof We drew on the experience of [14]. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ be such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Since by (3.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o(1) & =I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{a}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{b}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} G\left(x, u_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left[\frac{a}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{b}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} g\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right] \\
\geq & \frac{b}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

we know that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. By passing to a subsequence, still denoted $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, we may assume that there is a $u \in E$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } E, \\
& u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } L^{s}(\Omega) \text { for } s \in\left[1,2^{*}\right), \\
& u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

On account of

$$
\begin{aligned}
o(1) & =\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \\
& =\left(a-b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)-\lambda \int_{\Omega} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right)-\int_{\Omega} g\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right| \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

also by (3.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} g\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon\left|\int_{\Omega} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|+\left.C_{\varepsilon}\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mid \\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+C_{\varepsilon}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{p}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},
\end{aligned}
$$

because $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{s}(\Omega), s \in\left[1,2^{*}\right)$, the above two formulas show that when $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a-b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there exists a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, still denoted $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, satisfying $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow \frac{a}{b}$, define a functional

$$
\varphi(u)=\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} G(x, u), \quad u \in E .
$$

Then

$$
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\lambda \int_{\Omega} u v+\int_{\Omega} g(x, u) v, \quad u, v \in E,
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}-u\right) v+\int_{\Omega}\left[g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-g(x, u)\right] v .
$$

Claim. $\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle \rightarrow 0, \forall v \in E$.

Firstly,

$$
\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}-u\right) v \leq \lambda\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|v|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

since $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, thus $\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}-u\right) v \rightarrow 0$.
Secondly, to prove the claim, we only need to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-g(x, u)\right||v|=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (3.4) is not true, then there exist a constant $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and a subsequence $u_{k_{i}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|g\left(x, u_{k_{i}}\right)-g(x, u)\right||v| \geq \varepsilon_{0}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|u_{k_{i}}-u\right|_{p}^{p}<+\infty$. Set

$$
\omega(x)=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|u_{k_{i}}(x)-u(x)\right|^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega
$$

Then $\omega \in L^{p}(\Omega)$. Note that for $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|g\left(x, u_{k_{i}}\right)-g(x, u)\right||v| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\left|g\left(x, u_{k_{i}}\right)\right|+|g(x, u)|\right)|v| \\
& \quad \leq\left[\varepsilon\left(\left|u_{k_{i}}\right|+|u|\right)+C_{\varepsilon}\left(\left|u_{k_{i}}\right|^{p-1}+|u|^{p-1}\right)\right]|v| \\
& \quad \leq\left[2^{2} \varepsilon\left(\left|u_{k_{i}}-u\right|+|u|\right)+2^{p} C_{\varepsilon}\left(\left|u_{k_{i}}-u\right|^{p-1}+|u|^{p-1}\right)\right]|v| \\
& \quad \leq\left[2^{2} \varepsilon(|\omega|+|u|)+2^{p} C_{\varepsilon}\left(|\omega|^{p-1}+|u|^{p-1}\right)\right]|v| \\
& \quad:=f(x), \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} f(x) d x & =\int_{\Omega}\left[2^{2} \varepsilon(|\omega|+|u|)+2^{p} C_{\varepsilon}\left(|\omega|^{p-1}+|u|^{p-1}\right)\right]|v|  \tag{3.7}\\
& \leq 2^{2} \varepsilon\left(|\omega|_{2}+|u|_{2}\right)|v|_{2}+2^{p} C_{\varepsilon}\left(|\omega|_{p}^{p-1}+|u|_{p}^{p-1}\right)|v|_{p}<+\infty
\end{align*}
$$

Since $u_{k_{i}} \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $\Omega$, then by (3.6), (3.7) and Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|g\left(x, u_{k_{i}}(x)\right)-g(x, u(x))\right||v|=0
$$

which contradicts (3.5). Hence (3.4) holds. Then the claim follows. By arbitrariness of $v$, then

$$
\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{E^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0,
$$

and $\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \varphi^{\prime}(u)$ in $E^{\prime}$. While $\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle=\left(a-b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)\left\langle u_{n}, v\right\rangle-\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle,\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$, $a-b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0$, hence $\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, i.e.,

$$
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\lambda \int_{\Omega} u v+\int_{\Omega} g(x, u) v=0, \quad \forall v \in E,
$$

and then we have

$$
\lambda u(x)+g(x, u(x))=0 \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \Omega,
$$

by the fundamental lemma of the variational method (see [23]). It follows that $u=0$. So

$$
\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)=\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} G\left(x, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} G(x, u)=0 .
$$

Hence we see that $I\left(u_{n}\right)=\frac{a}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{b}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} G\left(x, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \frac{a^{2}}{4 b}$ from $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow$ $\frac{a}{b}$. This is a contradiction to $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c<\frac{a^{2}}{4 b}$. Then $a-b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0$ is not true and any subsequence of $\left\{a-b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0\right\}$ does not converge to zero. Therefore there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $\left|a-b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right|>\delta>0$ when $n$ is large enough. It is clear that $\left\{a-b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0\right\}$ is bounded. It follows from (3.3) that $\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right) \rightarrow 0$. So $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|u\|$. Hence $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $E$ due to the uniform convexity of $E$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 According to Lemma 3.1, there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \in E$ satisfying $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c>0, I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 3.2, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, which is the sequence obtained by Lemma 3.1, possesses a convergent to $u$ subsequence (still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ ). So it follows from the continuity that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c>0, I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. But $I(0)=0$, therefore $u \neq 0$, that is, $u$ is a nontrivial solution of problem (1.1).
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