

RESEARCH

Open Access



Oscillatory behavior of solutions of certain fractional difference equations

Hakan Adiguzel^{1*} 

*Correspondence:

hadiguzel@gelisim.edu.tr

¹Department of Mechatronics Engineering, Istanbul Gelisim University, Istanbul, Turkey

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the oscillation behavior of solutions of the following fractional difference equation:

$$\Delta(c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))) + q(t)G(t) = 0,$$

where $t \in \mathbf{N}_{t_0+1-\alpha}$, $G(t) = \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1+\alpha} (t-s-1)^{-\alpha} x(s)$, and Δ^α denotes a Riemann–Liouville fractional difference operator of order $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. By using the generalized Riccati transformation technique, we obtain some oscillation criteria. Finally we give an example.

Keywords: Oscillation; Oscillation criteria; Fractional difference operator; Riemann–Liouville; Fractional difference equations; Riccati technique; Hardy inequalities

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Fractional differential (or difference) equations are a more general form of differential equations with integer order. And there is an increasing interest in the study of them due to some important contributions [1, 2].

Many authors have been focused on various equations like ordinary and partial differential equations [3–6], difference equations [7–9], dynamic equations on time scales [10–14], and fractional differential (difference) equations [15–31] obtaining some oscillation criteria. Recently, oscillation studies have become a very hot topic. That is why, we consider the following fractional difference equation:

$$\Delta(c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))) + q(t)G(t) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $t \in \mathbf{N}_{t_0+1-\alpha}$, $G(t) = \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1+\alpha} (t-s-1)^{-\alpha} x(s)$, $c(t)$, $a(t)$, $r(t)$, and $q(t)$ are positive sequences, and Δ^α denotes the Riemann–Liouville fractional difference operator of order $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

By a solution of Eq. (1), we mean a real-valued sequence $x(t)$ satisfying Eq. (1) for $t \in \mathbf{N}_{t_0}$. A solution $x(t)$ of Eq. (1) is called oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative, otherwise it is called non-oscillatory. Equation (1) is called oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

Definition 1 ([32]) Let $\nu > 0$. The ν th fractional sum f is defined by

$$\Delta^{-\nu}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \sum_{s=a}^{t-\nu} (t-s-1)^{\nu-1}f(s), \tag{2}$$

where f is defined for $s \equiv a \bmod(1)$, $\Delta^{-\nu}f$ is defined for $t \equiv (a+\nu) \bmod(1)$, and $t^{(\nu)} = \frac{\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(t-\nu+1)}$. The fractional sum $\Delta^{-\nu}f$ maps functions defined on \mathbf{N}_a to functions defined on $\mathbf{N}_{a+\nu}$, where $\mathbf{N}_t = \{t, t+1, t+2, \dots\}$.

Definition 2 ([32]) Let $\nu > 0$ and $m-1 < \mu < m$, where m denotes a positive integer, $m = \lceil \mu \rceil$. Set $\nu = m - \mu$. The μ th fractional difference is defined as

$$\Delta^\mu f(t) = \Delta^{m-\nu}f(t) = \Delta^m \Delta^{-\nu}f(t), \tag{3}$$

where $\lceil \mu \rceil$ is the ceiling function of μ .

Lemma 1 ([33]) Assume that A and B are nonnegative real numbers. Then

$$\lambda AB^{\lambda-1} - A^\lambda \leq (\lambda - 1)B^\lambda \tag{4}$$

for all $\lambda > 1$.

2 Main results

Throughout this paper, we denote

$$\phi(t) = \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)}; \quad \vartheta(t) = \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)}; \quad \delta(t) = \sum_{s=t_3}^{t-1} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)}.$$

For simplification, we consider

$$\Delta\gamma_+(s) = \max\{0, \Delta\gamma(s)\}$$

and

$$\Delta\beta_+(s) = \max\{0, \Delta\beta(s)\}.$$

Lemma 2 ([28]) Let $x(t)$ be a solution of Eq. (1), and let

$$G(t) = \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1+\alpha} (t-s-1)^{(-\alpha)}x(s), \tag{5}$$

then

$$\Delta(G(t)) = \Gamma(1-\alpha)\Delta^\alpha x(t). \tag{6}$$

Lemma 3 Assume that $x(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of Eq. (1). If

$$\sum_{s=t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c(s)} = \sum_{s=t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} = \sum_{s=t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(s)} = \infty, \tag{7}$$

then we have two possible cases for $t \in [t_1, \infty)$, $t_1 > t_0$ is sufficiently large:

Case 1 $\Delta^\alpha x(t) > 0$, $\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)) > 0$, $\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))) > 0$ or

Case 2 $\Delta^\alpha x(t) > 0$, $\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)) < 0$, $\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))) > 0$.

Proof From the hypothesis, there exists t_1 such that $x(t) > 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$, so that $G(t) > 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$, and from Eq. (1), we have

$$\Delta(c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))) = -q(t)G(t) < 0. \tag{8}$$

Then $c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))$ is an eventually non-increasing sequence on $[t_1, \infty)$. We know that $\Delta^\alpha x(t)$, $\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))$, and $\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))$ are eventually of one sign. For $t_2 > t_1$ is sufficiently large, we claim that $\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))) > 0$ on $[t_2, \infty)$. Otherwise, assume that there exists sufficiently large $t_3 > t_2$ such that $\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))) < 0$ on $[t_3, \infty)$. For $[t_3, \infty)$ and there exists a constant $l_1 > 0$, we have

$$\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))) \leq -\frac{l_1}{c(t)} < 0.$$

Hence, there exist a constant $l_2 > 0$ and sufficiently large $t_4 > t_3$ such that

$$\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)) \leq -\frac{l_2}{a(t)} < 0. \tag{9}$$

Then there exist a constant $l_3 > 0$ and sufficiently large $t_5 > t_4$ such that

$$\Delta^\alpha x(t) \leq -\frac{l_3}{r(t)},$$

that is,

$$\Delta G(t) \leq -\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)l_3}{r(t)} < 0.$$

By (7), we obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} G(t) = -\infty$. This is a contradiction. If $\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)) < 0$, then $\Delta^\alpha x(t) > 0$ due to $\sum_{s=t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(s)} = \infty$. If $\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)) > 0$, then $\Delta^\alpha x(t) > 0$ due to $\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))) > 0$. So, the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 4 Assume that $x(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of Eq. (1), which satisfies Case 1 of Lemma 3. Then

$$a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)) \geq c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))) \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)}.$$

If there exists a positive sequence ϕ such that, for $t \in [t_1, \infty)$,

$$\frac{\phi(t)}{c(t) \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)}} - \Delta\phi(t) \leq 0,$$

where t_1 is sufficiently large, then $a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))/\phi(t)$ is a non-increasing sequence on $[t_1, \infty)$ and

$$r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t) \geq \Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)) \frac{a(t)}{\phi(t)} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)}.$$

Furthermore, if there exists a positive sequence ϑ and $t_2 > t_1$ is sufficiently large such that, for $t \in [t_2, \infty)$,

$$\frac{\vartheta(t)}{\frac{a(t)}{\phi(t)} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)}} - \Delta\vartheta(t) \leq 0,$$

then $r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)/\vartheta(t)$ is a non-increasing sequence on $[t_2, \infty)$ and

$$G(t) \geq \Delta G(t) \frac{r(t)}{\vartheta(t)} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)}.$$

Suppose also that there exists a positive sequence δ and $t_3 > t_2$ is sufficiently large such that, for $t \in [t_3, \infty)$,

$$\frac{\delta(t)}{\frac{r(t)}{\vartheta(t)} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)}} - \Delta\delta(t) \leq 0.$$

Then $G(t)/\delta(t)$ is a non-increasing sequence on $[t_3, \infty)$.

Proof Assume that x is an eventually positive solution of Eq. (1). Then we have that $\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)) > 0$ and $\Delta(c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))) < 0$ on $[t_0, \infty)$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)) &= a(t_0)\Delta(r(t_0)\Delta^\alpha x(t_0)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \frac{c(s)\Delta(a(s)\Delta(r(s)\Delta^\alpha x(s)))}{c(s)} \\ &\geq c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))) \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)}, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta\left(\frac{a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))}{\phi(t)}\right) \\ &= \frac{\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))\phi(t) - a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))\Delta\phi(t)}{\phi(t)\phi(t+1)} \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))}{\phi(t)\phi(t+1)} \left(\frac{\phi(t)}{c(t) \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)}} - \Delta\phi(t)\right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))/\phi(t)$ is a non-increasing sequence on $[t_1, \infty)$ where $t_1 > t_0$ is sufficiently large. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t) &= r(t_1)\Delta^\alpha x(t_1) + \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{a(s)\Delta(r(s)\Delta^\alpha x(s))}{\phi(s)} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)} \\ &\geq \frac{a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))}{\phi(t)} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\left(\frac{r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)}{\vartheta(t)}\right) &= \frac{\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))\vartheta(t) - r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)\Delta\vartheta(t)}{\vartheta(t)\vartheta(t+1)} \\ &\leq \frac{r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)}{\vartheta(t)\vartheta(t+1)} \left(\frac{\vartheta(t)}{\frac{a(t)}{\phi(t)} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)}} - \Delta\vartheta(t)\right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)/\vartheta(t)$ is a non-increasing sequence on $[t_2, \infty)$ where $t_2 > t_1$ is sufficiently large. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &= G(t_2) + \Gamma(1-\alpha) \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{r(s)\Delta^\alpha x(s)}{\vartheta(s)} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)} \\ &\geq \frac{r(t)\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Delta^\alpha x(t)}{\vartheta(t)} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)} \\ &= \Delta G(t) \frac{r(t)}{\vartheta(t)} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)}, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\left(\frac{G(t)}{\delta(t)}\right) &= \frac{(\Delta G(t))\delta(t) - G(t)\Delta\delta(t)}{\delta(t)\delta(t+1)} \\ &\leq \frac{G(t)}{\delta(t)\delta(t+1)} \left(\frac{\delta(t)}{\frac{r(t)}{\vartheta(t)} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)}} - \Delta\delta(t)\right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $G(t)/\delta(t)$ is a non-increasing sequence on $[t_3, \infty)$ where $t_3 > t_2$ is sufficiently large. So the proof is complete. □

Theorem 1 Assume that (7) holds and there exists a positive sequence γ such that, for all sufficiently large t ,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_3}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\gamma(s)q(s)}{\vartheta(s)\phi(s+1)} \sum_{u=t_2}^{s-1} \frac{\vartheta(u)}{r(u)} \sum_{u=t_1}^{s-1} \frac{\phi(u)}{a(u)} - \frac{c(s)(\Delta\gamma_+(s))^2}{4\gamma(s)} \right) = \infty. \tag{10}$$

If there exist positive sequences β, λ such that, for all sufficiently large t ,

$$\frac{\lambda(t)}{r(t) \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{r(s)}} - \Delta\lambda(t) \leq 0 \tag{11}$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\zeta=t_2}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\beta(\zeta)\lambda(\zeta)}{\lambda(\zeta+1)a(\zeta)} \sum_{s=\zeta}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c(s)} \sum_{\nu=s}^{\infty} q(\nu) \right) - \frac{r(\zeta)(\Delta\beta_+(\zeta))^2}{4\Gamma(1-\alpha)\beta(\zeta)} \right) = \infty. \tag{12}$$

Then every solution of Eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Proof Suppose to the contrary that $x(t)$ is a non-oscillatory solution of Eq. (1). Then, without loss of generality, we may assume that there is a solution $x(t)$ of Eq. (1) such that $x(t) > 0$ on $[t_0, \infty)$, where t_0 is sufficiently large. From Lemma 3, $x(t)$ satisfies Case 1 or Case 2. Firstly, let Case 1 hold. Then we define the following function:

$$\omega(t) = \gamma(t) \frac{c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))}{a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))}.$$

For $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\omega(t) &= \Delta\gamma(t) \frac{\omega(t+1)}{\gamma(t+1)} + \gamma(t)\Delta \left(\frac{c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))}{a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))} \right) \\ &= \Delta\gamma(t) \frac{\omega(t+1)}{\gamma(t+1)} - \gamma(t) \frac{q(t)G(t)}{a(t+1)\Delta(r(t+1)\Delta^\alpha x(t+1))} \\ &\quad - \gamma(t) \frac{c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))}{a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))a(t+1)\Delta(r(t+1)\Delta^\alpha x(t+1))}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))/\phi(t)$ is a non-increasing sequence on $[t_1, \infty)$, we have

$$\frac{a(t+1)\Delta(r(t+1)\Delta^\alpha x(t+1))}{\phi(t+1)} \leq \frac{a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))}{\phi(t)}.$$

From Lemma 4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{G(t)}{a(t+1)\Delta(r(t+1)\Delta^\alpha x(t+1))} \\ &= \frac{1}{a(t+1)} \frac{G(t)}{\Delta G(t)} \frac{\Delta G(t)}{\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))} \frac{\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))}{\Delta(r(t+1)\Delta^\alpha x(t+1))} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{a(t+1)} \left(\frac{r(t)}{\vartheta(t)} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)} \right) \left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{r(t)} \frac{a(t)}{\phi(t)} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)} \right) \frac{\phi(t)a(t+1)}{\phi(t+1)a(t)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\vartheta(t)\phi(t+1)} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)} \left(\sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\omega(t) &\leq \Delta\gamma_+(t) \frac{\omega(t+1)}{\gamma(t+1)} - \gamma(t)q(t) \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\vartheta(t)\phi(t+1)} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)} \left(\sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma(t)}{c(t)} \frac{\omega^2(t+1)}{\gamma^2(t+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\lambda = 2$, $A = \left(\frac{\gamma(t)}{c(t)}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\omega(t+1)}{\phi(t+1)}$, and $B = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{c(t)}{\gamma(t)}\right)^{1/2} \Delta\gamma_+(t)$ using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\Delta\omega(t) \leq -\gamma(t)q(t) \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\vartheta(t)\phi(t+1)} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)} \left(\sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)} \right) + \frac{c(t)}{4\gamma(t)} (\Delta\gamma_+(t))^2.$$

Summing both sides of the above inequality from t_3 to $t - 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=t_3}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\gamma(s)q(s)}{\vartheta(s)\phi(s+1)} \sum_{u=t_2}^{s-1} \frac{\vartheta(u)}{r(u)} \left(\sum_{u=t_1}^{s-1} \frac{\phi(u)}{a(u)} \right) - \frac{c(s)(\Delta\gamma_+(s))^2}{4\gamma(s)} \right) \\ \leq \omega(t_3) - \omega(t) \leq \omega(t_3). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (10). Now we consider Case 2. Then we define the following function:

$$\omega_2(t) = \beta(t) \frac{r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)}{G(t)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\omega_2(t) &= \Delta\beta(t) \frac{\omega(t+1)}{\beta(t+1)} + \beta(t)\Delta \left(\frac{r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)}{G(t)} \right) \\ &= \Delta\beta(t) \frac{\omega(t+1)}{\beta(t+1)} + \beta(t) \left(\frac{\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))G(t) - r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)\Delta G(t)}{G(t)G(t+1)} \right) \\ &= \Delta\beta(t) \frac{\omega(t+1)}{\beta(t+1)} + \beta(t) \frac{\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))}{G(t+1)} - \beta(t) \frac{r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)\Delta G(t)}{G(t)G(t+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &= G(t_1) + \Gamma(1-\alpha) \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{r(s)\Delta^\alpha x(s)}{r(s)} \\ &\geq \Gamma(1-\alpha)r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t) \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{r(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\frac{G(t)}{r(t) \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{r(s)}} \geq \Gamma(1-\alpha)\Delta^\alpha x(t) = \Delta G(t)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \left(\frac{G(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) &= \frac{\Delta G(t)\lambda(t) - G(t)\Delta\lambda(t)}{\lambda(t)\lambda(t+1)} \\ &\leq \frac{G(t)}{\lambda(t)\lambda(t+1)} \left(\frac{\lambda(t)}{r(t) \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{r(s)}} - \Delta\lambda(t) \right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $G(t)/\lambda(t)$ is eventually non-increasing and

$$\frac{G(t)}{G(t+1)} \geq \frac{\lambda(t)}{\lambda(t+1)}. \tag{13}$$

Using the fact that $r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)$ is strictly decreasing, we have

$$r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t) \geq r(t+1)\Delta^\alpha x(t+1)$$

and $\Delta G(t) > 0$, then $G(t+1) > G(t)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\omega_2(t) &\leq \Delta\beta_+(t)\frac{\omega(t+1)}{\beta(t+1)} + \beta(t)\frac{\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))}{G(t+1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\beta(t)}{r(t)}\frac{\omega_2^2(t+1)}{\beta^2(t+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

From 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &c(u)\Delta(a(u)\Delta(r(u)\Delta^\alpha x(u))) - c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))) \\ &= -\sum_{s=t}^{u-1} q(s)G(s) \end{aligned}$$

for $\Delta G(t) > 0$, and letting $u \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$-c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))) \leq -G(t)\sum_{s=t}^{\infty} q(s)$$

or

$$\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))) \geq \frac{G(t)}{c(t)}\sum_{s=t}^{\infty} q(s).$$

And so

$$a(u)\Delta(r(u)\Delta^\alpha x(u)) - a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)) \geq G(t)\sum_{s=t}^{u-1}\left(\frac{1}{c(s)}\sum_{v=s}^{\infty} q(v)\right).$$

Letting $u \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)) \leq -G(t)\frac{1}{a(t)}\sum_{s=t}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{c(s)}\sum_{v=s}^{\infty} q(v)\right)$$

due to $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} a(u)\Delta(r(u)\Delta^\alpha x(u)) = k < 0$. Then, by (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))}{G(t+1)} &\leq -\frac{G(t)}{G(t+1)}\frac{1}{a(t)}\sum_{s=t}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{c(s)}\sum_{v=s}^{\infty} q(v)\right) \\ &\leq -\frac{\lambda(t)}{\lambda(t+1)}\frac{1}{a(t)}\sum_{s=t}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{c(s)}\sum_{v=s}^{\infty} q(v)\right). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\omega_2(t) &\leq \Delta\beta_+(t)\frac{\omega_2(t+1)}{\beta(t+1)} - \beta(t)\frac{\lambda(t)}{\lambda(t+1)}\frac{1}{a(t)}\sum_{s=t}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{c(s)}\sum_{v=s}^{\infty} q(v)\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\beta(t)}{r(t)}\frac{\omega_2^2(t+1)}{\beta^2(t+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\lambda = 2$, $A = (\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\beta(t)}{r(t)})^{1/2} \frac{\omega_2(t+1)}{\beta(t+1)}$, and $B = \frac{1}{2} (\frac{r(t)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\beta(t)})^{1/2} \Delta\beta_+(t)$ using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\Delta\omega_2(t) \leq -\beta(t) \frac{\lambda(t)}{\lambda(t+1)} \frac{1}{a(t)} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c(s)} \sum_{v=s}^{\infty} q(v) \right) + \frac{r(t)(\Delta\beta_+(t))^2}{4\Gamma(1-\alpha)\beta(t)}.$$

Summing both sides of the above inequality from t_2 to $t - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\zeta=t_2}^{t-1} \left(\beta(\zeta) \frac{\lambda(\zeta)}{\lambda(\zeta+1)} \frac{1}{a(\zeta)} \sum_{s=\zeta}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c(s)} \sum_{v=s}^{\infty} q(v) \right) - \frac{r(\zeta)(\Delta\beta_+(\zeta))^2}{4\Gamma(1-\alpha)\beta(\zeta)} \right) \\ &\leq \omega_2(t_2) - \omega_2(t) \leq \omega_2(t_2) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (12). So, the proof is complete. □

Theorem 2 *Let (7) hold. Assume that there exists a positive sequence γ such that, for all sufficiently large t ,*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_3}^{t-1} \left(\gamma(s)q(s) \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\vartheta(s+1)} \sum_{u=t_2}^{s-1} \frac{\vartheta(u)}{r(u)} - \frac{a(s)\vartheta(s+1)(\Delta\gamma_+(s))^2}{4\gamma(s)\vartheta(s) \sum_{u=t_0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{c(u)}} \right) = \infty. \tag{14}$$

If there exist positive sequences β, λ such that (11) and (12) hold, then Eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Proof Suppose to the contrary that $x(t)$ is a non-oscillatory solution of (1). Then, without loss of generality, we may assume that there is a solution $x(t)$ of Eq. (1) such that $x(t) > 0$ on $[t_0, \infty)$ where t_0 is sufficiently large. From Lemma 3, $x(t)$ satisfies Case 1 or Case 2. Firstly, let Case 1 hold. Then we define the following function:

$$\pi(t) = \gamma(t) \frac{c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))}{r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)}.$$

For $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\pi(t) &= \Delta\gamma(t) \frac{\pi(t+1)}{\gamma(t+1)} + \gamma(t)\Delta \left(\frac{c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))}{r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)} \right) \\ &= \Delta\gamma(t) \frac{\pi(t+1)}{\gamma(t+1)} - \gamma(t) \frac{q(t)G(t)}{r(t+1)\Delta^\alpha x(t+1)} \\ &\quad - \gamma(t) \frac{c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))}{r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)r(t+1)\Delta^\alpha x(t+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)) &\geq \frac{\sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)}}{a(t)} c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t))), \\ 1 &\leq \frac{r(t+1)\Delta^\alpha x(t+1)}{r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)} \leq \frac{\vartheta(t+1)}{\vartheta(t)}, \\ \frac{\vartheta(t)}{\vartheta(t+1)} &\leq \frac{r(t+1)\Delta^\alpha x(t+1)}{r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{r(t+1)\vartheta(t)}{r(t)\vartheta(t+1)} \leq \frac{\Delta G(t)}{\Delta G(t+1)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G(t)}{r(t+1)\Delta^\alpha x(t+1)} &= \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{r(t+1)} \frac{G(t)}{\Delta G(t)} \frac{\Delta G(t)}{\Delta G(t+1)} \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{r(t+1)} \left(\frac{r(t)}{\vartheta(t)} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)} \right) \frac{r(t+1)\vartheta(t)}{r(t)\vartheta(t+1)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\vartheta(t+1)} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \pi(t) &\leq \Delta \gamma_+(t) \frac{\pi(t+1)}{\gamma(t+1)} - \gamma(t)q(t) \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\vartheta(t+1)} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)} \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma(t)\vartheta(t)}{\vartheta(t+1)} \frac{\sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)}}{a(t)} \frac{\pi^2(t+1)}{\gamma^2(t+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

In Lemma 1, choosing $\lambda = 2$, $A = \left(\frac{\gamma(t)\vartheta(t)}{\vartheta(t+1)} \frac{\sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)}}{a(t)} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\pi(t+1)}{\gamma(t+1)}$, and $B = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a(t)\vartheta(t+1)}{\gamma(t)\vartheta(t)} \frac{\sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)}}{\gamma(t+1)} \right)^{1/2} \times \Delta \gamma_+(t)$, we obtain

$$\Delta \pi(t) \leq -\gamma(t)q(t) \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\vartheta(t+1)} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \frac{\vartheta(s)}{r(s)} + \frac{a(t)\vartheta(t+1)(\Delta \gamma_+(t))^2}{4\gamma(t)\vartheta(t) \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)}}.$$

Summing both sides of the above inequality from t_3 to $t - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{s=t_3}^{t-1} \left(\gamma(s)q(s) \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\vartheta(s+1)} \sum_{u=t_2}^{s-1} \frac{\vartheta(u)}{r(u)} - \frac{a(s)\vartheta(s+1)(\Delta \gamma_+(s))^2}{4\gamma(s)\vartheta(s) \sum_{u=t_0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{c(u)}} \right) \\ &\leq \pi(t_1) - \pi(t) \\ &\leq \pi(t_2) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (14). And the proof of Case 2 is the same as that of Theorem 1 and hence is omitted. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3 *Let (7) hold. Assume that there exists a positive sequence γ such that, for all sufficiently large t ,*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \left(\gamma(s)q(s) \frac{\delta(s)}{\delta(s+1)} - \frac{r(s)\phi(s)(\Delta \gamma_+(s))^2}{4\gamma(s) \sum_{s=t_1}^{u-1} \frac{\phi(u)}{a(u)} \sum_{u=t_0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{c(u)}} \right) = \infty. \tag{15}$$

If there exist positive sequences β, λ such that (11) and (12) hold, then Eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Proof Suppose to the contrary that $x(t)$ is a non-oscillatory solution of (1). Then, without loss of generality, we may assume that there is a solution $x(t)$ of Eq. (1) such that $x(t) > 0$ on $[t_0, \infty)$, where t_0 is sufficiently large. From Lemma 3, $x(t)$ satisfies Case 1 or Case 2. Firstly, let Case 1 hold. Then we define the following function:

$$v(t) = \gamma(t) \frac{c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))}{G(t)}.$$

For $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v(t) &= \Delta \gamma(t) \frac{v(t+1)}{\gamma(t+1)} + \gamma(t) \Delta \left(\frac{c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))}{G(t)} \right) \\ &= \Delta \gamma(t) \frac{v(t+1)}{\alpha(t+1)} - \gamma(t) \frac{q(t)G(t)}{G(t+1)} \\ &\quad - \gamma(t) \frac{c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))\Delta G(t)}{G(t)G(t+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4, we have

$$\Delta G(t) \geq \frac{1}{r(t)} \left(\frac{a(t)}{\phi(t)} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)} \right) \frac{\sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)}}{a(t)} c(t)\Delta(a(t)\Delta(r(t)\Delta^\alpha x(t)))$$

and

$$\frac{G(t)}{G(t+1)} \geq \frac{\delta(t)}{\delta(t+1)}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v(t) &\leq \Delta \gamma_+(t) \frac{v(t+1)}{\gamma(t+1)} - \gamma(t)p(t) \frac{\delta(t)}{\delta(t+1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma(t)}{r(t)\phi(t)} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)} \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)} \frac{v^2(t+1)}{\gamma^2(t+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, setting $\lambda = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \left(\frac{\gamma(t)}{r(t)\phi(t)} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)} \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)} \right)^{1/2} \frac{v(t+1)}{\gamma(t+1)}, \quad \text{and} \\ B &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{r(t)\phi(t)}{\gamma(t) \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)} \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)}} \right)^{1/2} \Delta \gamma_+(t) \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\Delta v(t) \leq -\gamma(t)q(t) \frac{\delta(t)}{\delta(t+1)} + \frac{r(t)\phi(t)(\Delta \gamma_+(t))^2}{4\gamma(t) \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)} \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \frac{1}{c(s)}}.$$

Summing both sides of the above inequality from t_2 to $t - 1$, we have

$$\sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} \left(\gamma(s)q(s) \frac{\delta(s)}{\delta(s+1)} - \frac{r(s)\phi(s)(\Delta\gamma_+(s))^2}{4\gamma(s) \sum_{u=t_1}^{s-1} \frac{\phi(u)}{a(u)} \sum_{u=t_0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{c(u)}} \right) \leq v(t_2) - v(t) \leq v(t_2) < \infty,$$

which contradicts (15). The proof of Case 2 is the same as that of Theorem 1 and hence is omitted. This completes the proof. \square

3 Applications

Example 1 Consider the following fractional difference equation for $t \geq 2$:

$$\Delta^{3+\alpha} x(t) + t^{-2} \left(\sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1+\alpha} (t-s-1)^{(-\alpha)} x(s) \right) = 0. \tag{16}$$

This corresponds to Eq. (1) with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $t_0 = 2$, $c(t) = a(t) = r(t) = 1$, and $q(t) = t^{-2}$. Then $\phi(t) = \lambda(t) = t - t_1$, $\vartheta(t) = \sum_{s=t_2}^{t-1} (s - t_1)$, $\gamma(t) = \beta(t) = t$. For $k \in (0, 1)$, it can be written $kt \leq \phi(t) \leq t$, $k^2 t^2/2 \leq \vartheta(t) \leq t^2/2$, $k^3 t^3/3 \leq \sum_{s=t_3}^{t-1} k^2 s^2 \leq t^3/3$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_3}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\gamma(s)q(s)}{\vartheta(s)\phi(s+1)} \sum_{u=t_2}^{s-1} \frac{\vartheta(u)}{r(u)} \sum_{u=t_1}^{s-1} \frac{\phi(u)}{a(u)} - \frac{c(s)(\Delta\gamma_+(s))^2}{4\gamma(s)} \right) \\ & \geq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_3}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)k^5 s^2}{6(s+1)} - \frac{1}{4s} \right) = \infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\zeta=t_2}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\beta(\zeta)\lambda(\zeta)}{\lambda(\zeta+1)a(\zeta)} \sum_{s=\zeta}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c(s)} \sum_{v=s}^{\infty} q(v) \right) - \frac{r(\zeta)(\Delta\beta_+(\zeta))^2}{4\Gamma(1-\alpha)\beta(\zeta)} \right) \\ & \geq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\zeta=t_2}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\zeta^2}{(\zeta+1)} \sum_{s=\zeta}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{v=s}^{\infty} v^{-2} \right) - \frac{1}{4\Gamma(1-\alpha)\zeta} \right) \\ & = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (16) is oscillatory from Theorem 1.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to the scholars who provided the literature sources.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

HA contributed to the work totally, and he read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 2 October 2018 Accepted: 26 November 2018 Published online: 04 December 2018

References

1. Diethelm, K.: *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*. Springer, Berlin (2010)
2. Kilbas, A., Srivastava, H., Trujillo, J.: *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006)
3. Öğrekçi, S.: Interval oscillation criteria for second-order functional differential equations. *SIGMA* **36**(2), 351–359 (2018)
4. Öğrekçi, S., Misir, A., Tiryaki, A.: On the oscillation of a second-order nonlinear differential equations with damping. *Miskolc Math. Notes* **18**(1), 365–378 (2017)
5. Öğrekçi, S.: New interval oscillation criteria for second-order functional differential equations with nonlinear damping. *Open Math.* **13**(1), 239–246 (2015)
6. Sadhasivam, V., Kavitha, J., Nagajothi, N.: Oscillation of neutral fractional order partial differential equations with damping term. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **115**(9), 47–64 (2017)
7. Hasil, P., Vesely, M.: Oscillation and non-oscillation criteria for linear and half-linear difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **452**(1), 401–428 (2017)
8. Hasil, P., Vesely, M.: Oscillation constants for half-linear difference equations with coefficients having mean values. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2015**, 210 (2015)
9. Sugie, J., Tanaka, M.: Nonoscillation theorems for second-order linear difference equations via the Riccati-type transformation. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **145**(5), 2059–2073 (2017)
10. Zhang, C., Agarwal, R.P., Bohner, M., Li, T.: Oscillation of fourth-order delay dynamic equations. *Sci. China Math.* **58**(1), 143–160 (2015)
11. Grace, S.R., Agarwal, R.P., Sae-Jie, W.: Monotone and oscillatory behavior of certain fourth order nonlinear dynamic equations. *Dyn. Syst. Appl.* **19**(1), 25–32 (2010)
12. Grace, S.R., Bohner, M., Sun, S.: Oscillation of fourth-order dynamic equations. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **39**, 545–553 (2010)
13. Grace, S.R., Agarwal, R.P., Pinelas, S.: On the oscillation of fourth order superlinear dynamic equations on time scales. *Dyn. Syst. Appl.* **20**, 45–54 (2011)
14. Li, T., Thandapani, E., Tang, S.: Oscillation theorems for fourth-order delay dynamic equations on time scales. *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.* **3**, 190–199 (2011)
15. Liu, T., Zheng, B., Meng, F.: Oscillation on a class of differential equations of fractional order. *Math. Probl. Eng.* **2013**, Article ID 830836 (2013)
16. Qin, H., Zheng, B.: Oscillation of a class of fractional differential equations with damping term. *Sci. World J.* **2013**, Article ID 685621 (2013)
17. Ögreci, S.: Interval oscillation criteria for functional differential equations of fractional order. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2015**, 3 (2015)
18. Muthulakshmi, V., Pavithra, S.: Interval oscillation criteria for forced fractional differential equations with mixed nonlinearities. *Glob. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **13**(9), 6343–6353 (2017)
19. Chen, D.-X.: Oscillation criteria of fractional differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2012**, 33 (2012)
20. Zheng, B.: Oscillation for a class of nonlinear fractional differential equations with damping term. *J. Adv. Math. Stud.* **6**(1), 107–115 (2013)
21. Xu, R.: Oscillation criteria for nonlinear fractional differential equations. *J. Appl. Math.* **2013**, Article ID 971357 (2013)
22. Secer, A., Adiguzel, H.: Oscillation of solutions for a class of nonlinear fractional difference equations. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **9**(11), 5862–5869 (2016)
23. Abdalla, B.: On the oscillation of q -fractional difference equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2017**, 254 (2017)
24. Abdalla, B., Abodayeh, K., Abdeljawad, T., Alzabut, J.: New oscillation criteria for forced nonlinear fractional difference equations. *Vietnam J. Math.* **45**(4), 609–618 (2017)
25. Alzabut, J.O., Abdeljawad, T.: Sufficient conditions for the oscillation of nonlinear fractional difference equations. *J. Fract. Calc. Appl.* **5**(1), 177–187 (2014)
26. Bai, Z., Xu, R.: The asymptotic behavior of solutions for a class of nonlinear fractional difference equations with damping term. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2018**, Article ID 5232147 (2018)
27. Chatzarakis, G.E., Gokulraj, P., Kalaimani, T., Sadhasivam, V.: Oscillatory solutions of nonlinear fractional difference equations. *Int. J. Differ. Equ.* **13**(1), 19–31 (2018)
28. Sagayaraj, M.R., Selvam, A.G.M., Loganathan, M.P.: On the oscillation of nonlinear fractional difference equations. *Math. Aeterna* **4**, 220–224 (2014)
29. Selvam, A.G.M., Sagayaraj, M.R., Loganathan, M.P.: Oscillatory behavior of a class of fractional difference equations with damping. *Int. J. Appl. Math. Res.* **3**(3), 220–224 (2014)
30. Li, W.N.: Oscillation results for certain forced fractional difference equations with damping term. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2016**, 70 (2016)
31. Sagayaraj, M.R., Selvam, A.G.M., Loganathan, M.P.: Oscillation criteria for a class of discrete nonlinear fractional equations. *Bull. Soc. Math. Serv. Stand.* **3**(1), 27–35 (2014)
32. Atici, F.M., Eloe, P.W.: Initial value problems in discrete fractional calculus. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **137**(3), 981–989 (2008)
33. Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E., Polya, G.: *Inequalities*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1988)