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#### Abstract

We analyze the stability of positive equilibrium in a predator-prey model with time delay $\tau$ and subsidies. The sufficient conditions of the local Hopf bifurcations at the positive equilibrium are obtained. By center manifold theorem and normal form theory, we analyze the direction of Hopf bifurcations and stability of the bifurcating periodic solution. Using the global Hopf bifurcation theorem, we find that each connected component is unbounded. High-dimensional Bendixson theorem is used to prove that the system has no nonconstant periodic solutions of $\tau$-period, then we obtain the global existence of periodic solutions. Finally, a numerical example is performed to support the theoretical results, and the effect of the food subsidy is discussed. We find that the food subsidy will make the stable interval $\left[0, \tau_{0}\right)$ of positive equilibrium larger with $\tau_{0}$ the first Hopf bifurcation value.
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## 1 Introduction

Predator-prey mechanism has been analyzed for almost a century since Lotka and Volterra $[1,2]$ established the first predator-prey model on the basis of population dynamics. Regarding the subject, many scholars are still working on different kinds of the mathematical model. For example, the authors in [3] analyzed the multiple bifurcation problem of a predator-prey model with nonmonotonic functional reaction function. The authors in [4] investigated the population relation between prey and predator in ecology. In the year 2012, Nevai et al. established a model about prey, predator, and subsidies [5] and discussed the dynamical behavior of such systems. Recently, time delay effect has been proved to significantly affect the dynamics of predator-prey models [6, 7]. Thus, in this paper, we consider the effect of time delay $\tau$ on the model given in [5], which leads to the delay differential equation model

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=r x(t)\left(1-\frac{x(t)}{K}\right)-\frac{\theta x(t)}{x(t)+s(t)+e} y(t)  \tag{1}\\
\frac{\mathrm{d} s(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=i-\gamma s(t)-\frac{\psi s(t)}{x(t)+s(t)+e} y(t) \\
\frac{\mathrm{d} y(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\left[\frac{\varepsilon \theta x(t-\tau)+\eta \psi s(t-\tau)}{x(t-\tau)+s(t-\tau)+e}\right] y(t)-\delta y(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x(t), y(t)$ stand for the density of prey and predator species at time $t$, respectively. $s(t)$ represents resource subsidies, $r$ is the inherent growth rate of the prey, $K$ is its carrying capacity, $\theta$ represents the maximum rate at which predators can consume prey, $i$ is the
rate at which the subsidy appears, $\gamma$ is its vanishing rate or inedibility, $\psi$ is the maximum rate at which predators can consume subsidies, $\varepsilon$ and $\eta$ are conversion factors, $e$ is a halfsaturation constant, $\delta$ is the mortality rate of the predator, and $\tau$ is conversion time delay. We assume that all constants are positive and $\varepsilon \theta>\delta, \eta \psi>\delta$, which means that the natural death rate of the predator is not very high compared with the conversion rate. Otherwise, the predator's per-capita growth rate is always negative.
In this paper, we first investigate the effect of time delay on the stability of the positive equilibrium by analyzing the roots' distribution of the associated characteristic equation. We find that time delay will destabilize the equilibrium and induce Hopf bifurcation at a sequence of critical values. The direction of Hopf bifurcation and the stability of Hopf bifurcating periodic solutions are investigated on the center manifold of the equilibrium by deriving the simplest normal form. The method is based on the framework introduced by Hassard et al. [8], where explicit formulas determining the properties of Hopf bifurcation are given. In fact, these results are obtained locally near the bifurcation points. To study the global continuation of Hopf bifurcating periodic solutions, we also investigate the properties of Hopf branches by using the global Hopf bifurcation theorem established by [9] which has also been widely used by many scholars such as in [10]. One key step in proving the global existence is to rule out the existence of nonconstant $\tau$-period solutions by using the high-dimensional Bendixson's theorem established by [11]. Finally, some simulations are performed by numerically solving system (1) and the simulation package DDE-Biftool [12, 13].

This paper is organized as follows: in Sect. 2, we investigate the existence and stability of positive equilibria and obtain sufficient conditions for local Hopf bifurcations at the positive equilibrium. Then, by using the center manifold theorem and normal form theory, we obtain the properties of Hopf bifurcations. In Sect. 3, by using global Hopf bifurcation theory, we analyze the global Hopf bifurcation, and we give some simulations in Sect. 4. Finally, some conclusions complete this paper.

## 2 Stability and local Hopf bifurcation

In this section, we mainly investigate the stability of the unique equilibrium of system (1) by analyzing the corresponding characteristic equation and prove that there exist local Hopf bifurcations when increasing the time delay. By the center manifold theorem and normal form theory provided by [8], we obtain the properties of Hopf bifurcation, including the direction of Hopf bifurcation and stability of the bifurcating periodic solution.

### 2.1 Positive equilibria

First, we give the existence of equilibria of system (1). Obviously, $E_{1}=\left(0, \frac{i}{\gamma}, 0\right)$ and $E_{2}=$ $\left(K, \frac{i}{\gamma}, 0\right)$ are two boundary equilibria of system (1). When $i>\gamma \bar{l}, E_{3}=\left(0, \bar{l}, \frac{\eta(i-\gamma \bar{l})}{\delta}\right)$ is also a boundary equilibrium of system (1), where $\bar{l}=\frac{e \delta}{\eta \psi-\delta}$. In fact, what we are interested in is the existence of positive equilibria of system (1). Suppose that $E^{*}=\left(x^{*}, s^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ is a positive equilibrium of system (1) with $x^{*}, s^{*}, y^{*}>0$, then $\left(x^{*}, s^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ must satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{*} & =K\left[1+\frac{\theta}{r \psi}\left(\gamma-\frac{i}{s^{*}}\right)\right] \\
y^{*} & =-\frac{K}{\psi}\left(\gamma-\frac{i}{s^{*}}\right)\left[1+\frac{\theta}{r \psi}\left(\gamma-\frac{i}{s^{*}}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to $x^{*}, y^{*}>0$, we can obtain $s^{*}$ satisfies

$$
\frac{i}{\gamma+(r \psi / \theta)}<s^{*}<\frac{i}{\gamma}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\bar{l}}\left(s^{*}\right)^{2}+\left[\frac{K}{\bar{K}}\left(1+\frac{\theta \gamma}{r \psi}\right)-1\right] s^{*}-\frac{K}{\bar{K}} \frac{\theta i}{r \psi}=0,
$$

where $\bar{l}=e \delta /(\eta \psi-\delta)$ and $\bar{K}=e \delta /(\varepsilon \theta-\delta)$. According to the results given in [5], we have the following result about the existence of positive equilibrium.

Theorem 1 System (1) has a unique positive equilibrium $E^{*}$ when one of the following two conditions is satisfied:
(i) $K<\bar{K}$ and $i_{*}(K)<i<i^{*}$;
(ii) $K>\bar{K}$ and $i<i^{*}$,
where $\bar{K}=\frac{e \delta}{\varepsilon \theta-\delta}, i^{*}=\left(\gamma+\frac{r \psi}{\theta}\right) \bar{l}, i_{*}(K)=\left(1-\frac{K}{\bar{K}}\right) \gamma \bar{l}$, and $\bar{l}=\frac{e \delta}{\eta \psi-\delta}$.

### 2.2 Stability and existence of Hopf bifurcation

In this section we shall study the stability of the positive equilibrium $E^{*}$. For convenience, let $u_{1}(t)=x(t)-x^{*}, u_{2}(t)=s(t)-s^{*}, u_{3}(t)=y(t)-y^{*}$, then we have the linearized equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{u}_{1}(t)=a_{11} u_{1}(t)+a_{12} u_{2}(t)+a_{13} u_{3}(t),  \tag{2}\\
\dot{u}_{2}(t)=a_{21} u_{1}(t)+a_{22} u_{2}(t)+a_{23} u_{3}(t), \\
\dot{u}_{3}(t)=a_{31} u_{1}(t-\tau)+a_{32} u_{2}(t-\tau),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a_{11}=r\left(1-\frac{2 x^{*}}{K}\right)-\frac{\theta\left(s^{*}+e\right) y^{*}}{v^{2}}, a_{12}=\frac{\theta x^{*} y^{*}}{v^{2}}, a_{13}=-\frac{\theta x^{*}}{v}, a_{21}=\frac{\psi s^{*} y^{*}}{v^{2}}, a_{22}=-\gamma-\frac{\psi y^{*}\left(x^{*}+e\right)}{v^{2}}$, $a_{23}=-\frac{\psi s^{*}}{v}, a_{31}=\frac{\varepsilon \theta\left(s^{*}+e\right)-\eta \psi s^{*}}{\nu^{2}} y^{*}, a_{32}=\frac{\eta \psi\left(x^{*}+e\right)-\varepsilon \theta x^{*}}{v^{2}} y^{*}$, and $v=x^{*}+s^{*}+e$.

The characteristic equation of system (2) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\lambda, \tau):=\lambda^{3}+A \lambda^{2}+B \lambda+(C \lambda+D) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \tau}=0, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=-\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right), B=\left(a_{11} a_{22}-a_{21} a_{12}\right), C=-\left(a_{23} a_{32}+a_{31} a_{12}\right)$, and $D=a_{11} a_{23} a_{32}-$ $a_{21} a_{13} a_{32}-a_{31} a_{12} a_{23}-a_{31} a_{13} a_{22}$.

Now, we discuss the distribution of the roots of Eq. (3) by using the method given in [14, 15]. First we state the following lemma which is useful for analyzing the characteristic equations.

Lemma 1 (Ruan and Wei [14]) Suppose that $B \subset \mathrm{R}^{n}$ is an open connected set, the characteristic exponential polynomial $h(\lambda, \tau)$ is continuous in $(\lambda, \tau) \in C \times B$ and analytic in $\lambda \in \mathrm{C}$; and the zeros of $h(\lambda, \tau)$ in the right half plane

$$
\{\lambda \in C: \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0\}
$$

are uniformly bounded. Iffor any $\tau \in B_{1} \subset B$, where $B_{1}$ is a bounded, closed, and connected set, $h(\lambda, \tau)$ has no zeros on the imaginary axis, then the sum of the orders of the zeros of $h(\lambda, \tau)$ in the open right half plane $(\operatorname{Re} \lambda>0)$ is a fixed number for $B_{1}$.

When $\tau=0$, Eq. (3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{3}+A \lambda^{2}+(B+C) \lambda+D=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the well-known Routh-Hurwitz criterion, the sufficient and necessary condition that all the roots of Eq. (4) have a negative real part is

$$
A>0, \quad D>0, \quad A(B+C)>D \quad(H 1)
$$

When $\tau>0$, substituting $\lambda=i \omega$ into Eq. (3), we have

$$
-\omega^{3} \mathrm{i}-A \omega^{2}+B \omega \mathrm{i}+(C \omega \mathrm{i}+D) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau}=0 .
$$

Separating the real and imaginary parts gives

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C \omega \sin (\omega \tau)+D \cos (\omega \tau)=A \omega^{2}  \tag{5}\\
C \omega \cos (\omega \tau)-D \sin (\omega \tau)=\omega^{3}-B \omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

From Eq. (5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{6}+\left(A^{2}-2 B\right) \omega^{4}+\left(B^{2}-C^{2}\right) \omega^{2}-D^{2}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z=\omega^{2}$, then

$$
z^{3}+\left(A^{2}-2 B\right) z^{2}+\left(B^{2}-C^{2}\right) z-D^{2}=0
$$

Denote $p=A^{2}-2 B, q=B^{2}-C^{2}, q=B^{2}-C^{2}$, and $r=-D^{2}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{3}+p z^{2}+q z+r=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=z^{3}+p z^{2}+q z+r . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $h(0)=r<0, \lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} h(z)=\infty$. Thus, Eq. (7) has at least one positive root. From (8) we have

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} h(z)}{\mathrm{d} z}=3 z^{2}+2 p z+q
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 z^{2}+2 p z+q=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then roots of Eq. (9), i.e., the local extreme values of $h(z)$, can be written as

$$
z_{a, b}=\frac{-2 p \pm \sqrt{4 p^{2}-12 q}}{6}=\frac{-p \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{3},
$$

with $\Delta=p^{2}-3 q$.

If $\Delta<0$, then Eq. (9) has no real roots and $h(z)$ is monotonically increasing with $z$. Thus Eq. (7) has only one positive solution. If $\Delta \geq 0$, then

$$
z_{b}=\frac{-p+\sqrt{\Delta}}{3}
$$

is the local minimum value of $h(z)$;

$$
z_{a}=\frac{-p-\sqrt{\Delta}}{3}
$$

is the local maximum value of $h(z)$. Thus, we have the following conclusions.

Lemma 2 For Eq. (7),
(1) when $\Delta>0, z_{a}>0, h\left(z_{a}\right)>0$, and $h\left(z_{b}\right)<0$, Eq. (7) has three positive roots;
(2) when $\Delta>0, z_{a}>0, h\left(z_{a}\right)>0$, and $h\left(z_{b}\right)=0$, Eq. (7) has two positive roots;
(3) in other cases, Eq. (7) has only one positive root.

Now we suppose that Eq. (7) has three positive roots $z_{1}, z_{2}$, and $z_{3}$, then Eq. (6) has three positive roots correspondingly, $\omega_{k}=\sqrt{z_{k}}, k=1,2,3$. From Eq. (5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos (\omega \tau)=\frac{(A D-B C) \omega^{2}+C \omega^{4}}{C^{2} \omega^{2}+D^{2}} \\
& \sin (\omega \tau)=\frac{(A C-D) \omega^{3}+B D \omega}{C^{2} \omega^{2}+D^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

then the critical values of $\tau$ can be expressed by

$$
\tau_{k}^{(j)}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\omega_{k}}\left[2 j \pi+\arccos \left(\frac{C \omega_{k}^{4}+(A D-B C) \omega_{k}^{2}}{C^{2} \omega_{k}^{2}+D^{2}}\right)\right], & \sin (\omega \tau)>0, \\ \frac{1}{\omega_{k}}\left[(2 j+1) \pi+\arccos \left(-\frac{C \omega_{k}^{4}+(A D-B C) \omega_{k}^{2}}{C^{2} \omega_{k}^{2}+D^{2}}\right)\right], & \sin (\omega \tau)<0, \cos (\omega \tau)<0, \\ \frac{1}{\omega_{k}}\left[2(j+1) \pi-\arccos \left(\frac{C \omega_{k}^{4}+(A D-B C) \omega_{k}^{2}}{C^{2} \omega_{k}^{2}+D^{2}}\right)\right], & \sin (\omega \tau)<0, \cos (\omega \tau)>0,\end{cases}
$$

$k=1,2,3, j=0,1, \ldots$. Therefore, $\pm i \omega_{k}$ are a pair of imaginary roots of Eq. (3) when $\tau=\tau_{k}^{(j)}$, $k=1,2,3 ; j=0,1, \ldots$.
Define $\tau_{0}=\tau_{k_{0}}^{(0)}=\min _{k \in\{1,2.3\}}\left\{\tau_{k}^{(0)}\right\}$, and $\omega_{0}=\omega_{k_{0}}$. Suppose that $\lambda(\tau)=\alpha(\tau)+\mathrm{i} \beta(\tau)$ is a root of Eq. (3) satisfying $\alpha\left(\tau_{0}\right)=0, \omega\left(\tau_{0}\right)=\omega_{0}$, then we have the following conclusion.

Lemma 3 If Eq. (7) has only one positive root $\bar{z}$, that is, there only exists one sequence of $\tau_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, then the following condition holds true:

$$
\operatorname{sign}\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)}{\mathrm{d} \tau}\right]_{\tau=\tau_{k}}>0
$$

Proof In fact, we have

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda(\tau)}{\mathrm{d} \tau}=\frac{\lambda(\lambda C+D)}{\left(3 \lambda^{2}+2 A \lambda+B\right) \mathrm{e}^{\lambda \tau}+C-\tau(C \lambda+D)},
$$

thus, we have

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}\right)^{-1}=\frac{\left(3 \lambda^{2}+2 A \lambda+B\right) \mathrm{e}^{\lambda \tau}+C}{\lambda(C \lambda+D)}-\frac{\tau}{\lambda}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{sign}\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)}{\mathrm{d} \tau}\right]_{\tau=\tau_{k}} & =\operatorname{sign}\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}\right)^{-1}\right]_{\tau=\tau_{k}} \\
& =\operatorname{sign}\left[\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\left(3 \lambda^{2}+2 A \lambda+B\right) \mathrm{e}^{\lambda \tau}+C}{\lambda(C \lambda+D)}\right\}\right]_{\tau=\tau_{k}} \\
& =\operatorname{sign}\left[\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\left(-3 \omega^{2}+2 A \omega \mathrm{i}+B\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega \tau}+C}{\mathrm{i} \omega(\mathrm{i} \omega C+D)}\right\}\right] \\
& =\operatorname{sign}\left[\frac{3 \omega^{4}+2\left(A^{2}-2 B\right) \omega^{2}+B^{2}-C^{2}}{C^{2} \omega^{2}+D^{2}}\right] \\
& =\operatorname{sign}\left[\frac{h^{\prime}(\bar{z})}{C^{2} \omega^{2}+D^{2}}\right]>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we are in a position to state the stability and Hopf bifurcation results about system (1) based on the fundamental Hopf bifurcation Theorem in [16, 17].

Lemma 4 (Hale and Verduyn Lunel [17]) Consider the functional differential equation $\dot{x}(t)=F\left(\alpha, x_{t}\right)$, where $F$ has continuous first and second derivatives in $\alpha$ and $\phi$ with $F(\alpha, 0)=$ 0 for all $\alpha$. Define $L(\alpha) \psi=D_{\phi} F(\alpha, 0) \psi$. Assume that $\dot{x}(t)=L(0) x_{t}$ has a simple purely imaginary characteristic root $\lambda_{0}=\mathrm{i} \omega$ and all characteristic roots $\lambda \neq \pm \mathrm{i} \omega$ satisfy $\lambda \neq m \lambda_{0}$ for any integer $m$. Assume further that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. Then $\alpha=0$ is a Hopf bifurcation point in the sense described by Theorem 1.1 in [17].

In fact, condition (H1), which means the characteristic equation has no roots on the right half plane when $\tau=0$, is important for the system to undergo Hopf bifurcations at the stability boundary of the positive equilibrium. Choose the parameter interval $B_{1}=[0, \hat{\tau}]$ as stated in Lemma 1 with $\hat{\tau}<\tau_{0}$, then we know that the characteristic equation has no roots on the right half plane for any $\tau \in[0, \hat{\tau}]$, i.e., the equilibrium is asymptotically stable when $\tau \in\left[0, \tau_{0}\right)$. When $\tau$ increases from zero, $\tau_{0}$ is the first critical value at which the characteristic equation has roots on the imaginary axis. This means that all roots except $\pm \mathrm{i} \omega$ have a negative real part when $\tau=\tau_{0}$, then we have the following stability and Hopf bifurcation results by applying the above Lemmas $1-4$.

Theorem 2 Assume that (H1) holds true, then for $\tau \in\left[0, \tau_{0}\right)$, the positive equilibrium $E^{*}$ of system (1) is locally asymptotically stable; furthermore, if case (3) in Lemma 2 holds true, then when $\tau>\tau_{0}$, the positive equilibrium $E^{*}$ of system (1) is unstable. Moreover, when $\tau=\tau_{k}$, system (1) undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $E^{*}$.

Remark 1 Obviously, (H1) has extremely complicated expressions in terms of the original parameters from system (1). Analyzing this assumption theoretically is impossible, but instead, we will give some numerical examples to illustrate the existence of Hopf bifurcations. Figure 1 illustrates the region where (H1) holds true in the $K-i$ plane and


Figure 1 The regions where ( $H 1$ ) holds true (color white) in the $K$ - i plane (left) and $\gamma$ - i plane (right)
$\gamma-i$ plane, respectively. The parameters are chosen from [5] as $r=0.1, \theta=5, e=1, \psi=5$, $\epsilon=0.1, \eta=0.1, \delta=0.1$. In the left figure we use $\gamma=1$ and in the right $K=0.2$. Numerically, we have that both large or small food subsidy $i$ may destabilize the positive equilibrium.

### 2.3 Properties of Hopf bifurcation

Now we investigate the property of Hopf bifurcation by using the method given in [8]. Introduce a new perturbation parameter $\mu=\tau-\tau_{0}$ with $\mu \in R$, then $\mu=0$ is a Hopf bifurcation value of system (1). Let $u_{1}(t)=x(t)-x^{*}, u_{2}(t)=s(t)-s^{*}, u_{3}(t)=y(t)-y^{*}$, then system (1) becomes an equation in the phase space $C=C\left(\left[-\tau_{0}, 0\right], R^{3}\right)$

$$
\dot{u}(t)=L u_{t}+F\left(\mu, u_{t}\right)
$$

where $u(t)=\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), u_{3}(t)\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in R^{3}, L: C \rightarrow R^{3}, F: R^{3} \times C \rightarrow R^{3}$, with

$$
L \varphi=B_{1} \varphi(0)+B_{2} \varphi\left(-\tau_{0}-\mu\right) .
$$

$B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are defined by the following form:

$$
B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad B_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(\mu, \varphi) \\
& \qquad=\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{14} \varphi_{1}^{2}(0)+a_{15} \varphi_{2}^{2}(0)+a_{16} \varphi_{1}(0) \varphi_{2}(0)+a_{17} \varphi_{1}(0) \varphi_{3}(0)+a_{18} \varphi_{2}(0) \varphi_{3}(0) \\
a_{24} \varphi_{1}^{2}(0)+a_{25} \varphi_{2}^{2}(0)+a_{26} \varphi_{1}(0) \varphi_{2}(0)+a_{27} \varphi_{1}(0) \varphi_{3}(0)+a_{28} \varphi_{2}(0) \varphi_{3}(0) \\
a_{34} \varphi_{1}^{2}\left(-\tau_{0}-\mu\right)+a_{35} \varphi_{2}^{2}\left(-\tau_{0}-\mu\right)+a_{36} \varphi_{1}\left(-\tau_{0}-\mu\right) \varphi_{2}\left(-\tau_{0}-\mu\right) \\
+a_{37} \varphi_{1}\left(-\tau_{0}-\mu\right) \varphi_{3}(0)+a_{38} \varphi_{2}\left(-\tau_{0}-\mu\right) \varphi_{3}(0)
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{14}=-\frac{r}{K}+\frac{\theta\left(s^{*}+e\right) y^{*}}{v^{3}}, a_{15}=-\frac{\theta x^{*} y^{*}}{v^{3}}, a_{16}=\frac{\theta y^{*}\left(s^{*}+e-x^{*}\right)}{v^{3}}, a_{17}=-\frac{\theta\left(s^{*}+e\right)}{v^{2}}, a_{18}=\frac{\theta x^{*}}{v^{2}}, a_{24}=$ $-\frac{\psi s^{*} y^{*}}{v^{3}}, a_{25}=\frac{\psi y^{*}\left(x^{*}+e\right)}{v^{3}}, a_{26}=\frac{\psi y^{*}\left(x^{*}+e-s^{*}\right)}{\nu^{3}}, a_{27}=\frac{\psi s^{*}}{v^{2}}, a_{28}=-\frac{\psi\left(x^{*}+e\right)}{v^{2}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{34}=-\frac{y^{*}\left(\varepsilon \theta\left(s^{*}+e\right)-\eta \psi s^{*}\right)}{\nu^{3}}$,
$\boldsymbol{a}_{35}=-\frac{y^{*}\left(\eta \psi\left(x^{*}+e\right)-\varepsilon \theta x^{*}\right)}{v^{3}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{36}=\frac{\varepsilon \theta y^{*}\left(x^{*}-s^{*}-e\right)+\eta \psi y^{*}\left(s^{*}-x^{*}-e\right)}{v^{3}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{37}=\frac{\varepsilon \theta\left(s^{*}+e\right)-\eta \psi s^{*}}{v^{2}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{38}=\frac{\eta \psi\left(x^{*}+e\right)-\varepsilon \theta x^{*}}{v^{2}}$, and $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{31}, a_{32}$ are given in (2).

From the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a function $\eta(\theta, \mu)$ of bounded variance such that

$$
L(\varphi)=\int_{-\tau_{0}}^{0} \mathrm{~d} \eta(\theta, \mu) \varphi(\theta), \quad \varphi \in C
$$

In fact, we can choose

$$
\eta(\theta, \mu)= \begin{cases}B_{1}, & \theta=0 \\ 0, & \theta \in\left(-\tau_{0}, 0\right) \\ -B_{2}, & \theta=-\tau_{0}\end{cases}
$$

and for $\varphi \in C^{1}\left(\left[-\tau_{0}, 0\right], R^{3}\right)$, define the operator $A(\mu)$ :

$$
A(\mu) \varphi(\theta)= \begin{cases}\mathrm{d} \varphi(\theta) / \mathrm{d} \theta, & \theta \in\left[-\tau_{0}, 0\right) \\ \int_{-\tau_{0}}^{0} \mathrm{~d} \eta(\theta, \mu) \varphi(\theta), & \theta=0\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
R(\mu) \varphi(\theta)= \begin{cases}0, & \theta \in\left[-\tau_{0}, 0\right) \\ F(\mu, \varphi), & \theta=0\end{cases}
$$

then system (1) is equivalent to the following abstract ordinary differential equation:

$$
\dot{u}_{t}=A(\mu) u_{t}+R(\mu) u_{t}
$$

where $u_{t}=u(t+\theta)$ and $\theta \in\left[-\tau_{0}, 0\right]$.
For $\psi \in C^{1}\left(\left[0, \tau_{0}\right], R^{3}\right)$, define $A^{*}$, the adjoint operator of $A$, by

$$
A^{*} \psi(s)= \begin{cases}-\mathrm{d} \psi(s) / \mathrm{d} s, & s \in\left(0, \tau_{0}\right] \\ \int_{-\tau_{0}}^{0} \psi(-\xi) \mathrm{d} \eta(\xi, \mu), & s=0\end{cases}
$$

and a bilinear product

$$
\langle\psi, \varphi\rangle=\bar{\psi}(0) \varphi(0)-\int_{-\tau_{0}}^{0} \int_{0}^{\theta} \bar{\psi}(\xi-\theta) \mathrm{d} \eta(\theta) \varphi(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
$$

where $\eta(\theta)=\eta(\theta, 0)$.
We know that $\pm \mathrm{i} \omega_{0}$ are eigenvalues of $A(0)$, so are the eigenvalues of $A^{*}(0)$, that is, $A(0) q(\theta)=\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} q(\theta)$ and $A^{*}(0) q^{*}(s)=-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} q^{*}(s)$. Suppose that $q(\theta)=(1, \alpha, \beta)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}$ and $q^{*}(s)=D\left(1, \alpha^{*}, \beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} s}$ are the corresponding eigenfunctions. By calculation we have

$$
\alpha=\frac{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}-a_{11}}{a_{12}}-\frac{a_{13}\left(\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}-a_{22}\right)\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}-a_{11}\right)-a_{12} a_{21}\right)}{a_{12}\left(a_{12} a_{23}+\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}-a_{22}\right) a_{13}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{*}=\frac{a_{31} a_{12}-a_{32}\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}+a_{11}\right)}{a_{32} a_{21}-a_{31}\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}+a_{22}\right)}, \\
& \beta=\frac{\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}-a_{22}\right)\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}-a_{11}\right)-a_{12} a_{21}}{a_{12} a_{23}+\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}-a_{22}\right) a_{13}}, \\
& \beta^{*}=\frac{\mathrm{i} a_{13}}{\omega_{0}}+\frac{\mathrm{i} a_{23}}{\omega_{0}}\left(\frac{a_{31} a_{12}-a_{32}\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}+a_{11}\right)}{a_{32} a_{21}-a_{31}\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}+a_{22}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\left\langle q^{*}, q\right\rangle=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle q^{*}, q\right\rangle= & \bar{D}\left[\left(1, \bar{\alpha}^{*}, \bar{\beta}^{*}\right)(1, \alpha, \beta)^{\mathrm{T}}\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{-\tau_{0}}^{0} \int_{0}^{\theta}\left(1, \bar{\alpha}^{*}, \bar{\beta}^{*}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}(\xi-\theta)} \mathrm{d} \eta(\theta)(1, \alpha, \beta)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \xi} \mathrm{~d} \xi\right] \\
= & \bar{D}\left[1+\bar{\alpha}^{*} \alpha+\bar{\beta}^{*} \beta+\tau_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}\left(1, \bar{\alpha}^{*}, \bar{\beta}^{*}\right) B_{2}(1, \alpha, \beta)^{\mathrm{T}}\right] \\
= & \bar{D}\left[1+\bar{\alpha}^{*} \alpha+\bar{\beta}^{*} \beta+\tau_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}\left(a_{31} \bar{\beta}^{*}+a_{32} \alpha \bar{\beta}^{*}\right)\right] \\
= & 1
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\bar{D}=\left[1+\bar{\alpha}^{*} \alpha+\bar{\beta}^{*} \beta+\tau_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}\left(a_{31} \bar{\beta}^{*}+a_{32} \alpha \bar{\beta}^{*}\right)\right]^{-1} .
$$

Define

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z(t)=\left\langle q^{*}, u_{t}\right\rangle \\
w(t, \theta)=u_{t}-2 \operatorname{Re}\{z(t) q(\theta)\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

on the center manifold $C_{0} . w(t, \theta)=w(z(t), \bar{z}(t), \theta)$, where $w(z, \bar{z}, \theta)$ can be written as a power series form of $z$ and $\bar{z}$

$$
w(z, \bar{z}, \theta)=w_{20}(\theta) \frac{z^{2}}{2}+w_{11}(\theta) z \bar{z}+w_{02}(\theta) \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+\cdots
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{z}(t) & =\left\langle q^{*}, u_{t}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle q^{*}, A(0) u_{t}+R(0) u_{t}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle A^{*} q^{*}, u_{t}\right\rangle+\bar{q}^{*}(0) F(w(z, \bar{z}, \theta)+2 \operatorname{Re}\{z(t) q(\theta)\}, 0) \\
& =\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} z(t)+\bar{q}^{*}(0) f_{0}(z, \bar{z})
\end{aligned}
$$

which is denoted by

$$
\dot{z}(t)=\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} z(t)+g(z, \bar{z}),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z, \bar{z})=g_{20} \frac{z^{2}}{2}+g_{11} z \bar{z}+g_{02} \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+g_{21} \frac{z^{2} \bar{z}}{2}+\cdots \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the algorithm given in the Appendix, we have $g_{21}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}(0)=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}\left(g_{20} g_{11}-2\left|g_{11}\right|^{2}-\frac{\left|g_{02}\right|^{2}}{3}\right)+\frac{g_{21}}{2}, \\
& \mu_{2}=-\frac{\operatorname{Re} C_{1}(0)}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda^{\prime}\left(\tau_{0}\right)} \\
& \beta_{2}=2 \operatorname{Re} C_{1}(0) \\
& T_{2}=-\frac{\operatorname{Im} C_{1}(0)+\mu_{2} \operatorname{Im} \lambda^{\prime}\left(\tau_{0}\right)}{\omega_{0} \tau_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the fundamental results about Hopf bifurcations [8], these quantities determine the properties of Hopf bifurcation at $\tau_{0}$, precisely we state the main results given in [8].

Lemma 5 (Hassard et al. [8]) There exist $\epsilon_{H}>0$ and an analytic function $\mu^{H}(\bar{\epsilon})=\mu_{2} \bar{\epsilon}^{2}+$ $\cdots$ such that, for each $\bar{\epsilon} \in\left(0, \epsilon_{H}\right)$, there exists a periodic solution occurring for $\mu=\mu^{H}(\bar{\epsilon})$, whose period is an analytic function $T^{H}(\bar{\epsilon})=\frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0} \tau_{0}}\left(1+T_{2} \bar{\epsilon}^{2}+\cdots\right)$. One of the Floquet exponents of the periodic solution is zero and the other is an analytic function $\beta^{H}(\bar{\epsilon})=\beta_{2} \bar{\epsilon}^{2}+\cdots$.

By using Lemma 5, we have the following Hopf bifurcation results about system (1).

Theorem 3 In a neighborhood of $\mu=0$, the following statements hold:
(1) $\mu_{2}$ determines the direction of Hopf bifurcation: if $\mu_{2}>0(<0)$, then Hopf bifurcation is forward (backward), i.e., bifurcation periodic solutions exist for $\tau>\tau_{0}\left(\tau<\tau_{0}\right)$;
(2) $\beta_{2}$ determines the stability of Hopf bifurcating periodic solutions: if $\beta_{2}<0(>0)$, then the Hopf bifurcating periodic solution is orbitally asymptotically stable (unstable) restricted on the center manifold;
(3) $T_{2}$ affects the period: if $T_{2}>0(<0)$, then the period increases (decreases).

## 3 Global Hopf bifurcation analysis

In this section, we shall study the global continuation of Hopf bifurcation by employing the global Hopf bifurcation theorem given by Wu [9] and the high dimensional Bendixson theorem established by Li and Muldowney [11]. Similar derivations can also be found in [10].

### 3.1 Global Hopf bifurcation theorem

Suppose $R_{+}^{3}=\left\{(x, s, y) \in R^{3}, x>0, s>0, y>0\right\}, X=C\left([-\tau, 0], R_{+}^{3}\right), z_{t}=(x(t), s(t), y(t)) \in X$. Define $z_{t}(\theta)=\left(z_{1}(t+\theta), z_{2}(t+\theta), z_{3}(t+\theta)\right), t \geq 0, \theta \in[-\tau, 0]$.
On $R_{+}^{3}$, using similar notations as those in [9], we consider system (1), which can be rewritten as the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}(t)=F\left(z_{t}, \tau, p\right), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\tau, p) \in R_{+} \times R_{+}, R_{+}=[0,+\infty)$, and $F: X \times R_{+} \times R_{+} \rightarrow R_{+}^{3}$ is completely continuous. If we restrict $F$ onto the subspace of constant functions, then we have $\hat{F}=\left.F\right|_{R_{+}^{3} \times R_{+} \times R_{+}}$: $R_{+}^{3} \times R_{+} \times R_{+} \rightarrow R_{+}^{3}$.

Denote the constant mapping $z_{0} \in R_{+}^{3}$ by $\bar{z}_{0}$. If $\hat{F}\left(\bar{z}_{0}, \tau_{0}, p_{0}\right)=0$, we say $\left(\bar{z}_{0}, \tau_{0}, p_{0}\right)$ is a stationary solution of (11). Obviously, we have
(A1) $\hat{F} \in C^{2}\left(R_{+}^{3} \times R_{+} \times R_{+}, R_{+}^{3}\right)$.
From system (1), we know

$$
D_{z} \hat{F}(z, \tau, p)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
r\left(1-\frac{2 x}{K}\right)-\frac{\theta(s+e) y}{(x+s+e)^{2}} & \frac{\theta x y}{(x+s+e)^{2}} & -\frac{\theta x}{x+s+e} \\
\frac{\psi s y}{(x+s+e)^{2}} & -\gamma-\frac{\psi y(x+e)}{(x+++e)^{2}} & -\frac{\psi s}{x+s+e} \\
\frac{\varepsilon \theta(s+e)-\eta \psi s s}{(x+s+e)^{2}} y & \frac{\eta \psi(x+e-\varepsilon)-\varepsilon x}{(x+s+e)^{2}} y & \frac{\varepsilon \theta x+\eta \psi s}{x+s+e}-\delta
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Under assumption (H1), we obtain

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(D_{z} \hat{F}(z, \tau, p)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
r\left(1-\frac{2 x^{*}}{K}\right)-\frac{\theta\left(s^{*}+e\right) y^{*}}{\nu^{2}} & \frac{\theta x^{*} y^{*}}{\nu^{2}} & -\frac{\theta x^{*}}{\nu} \\
\frac{\psi s^{*} y^{2}}{\nu^{2}} & -\gamma-\frac{\psi y^{*}\left(x^{*}+e\right)}{v^{2}} & -\frac{\psi s^{*}}{v} \\
\frac{\varepsilon \theta\left(s^{*}+e\right)-\eta \psi s^{*}}{\nu^{2}} y^{*} & \frac{\eta \psi\left(x^{*}+e\right)-\varepsilon \theta x^{*}}{v^{2}} y^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right)<0 .
$$

Thus, about the linear operator $D_{z} \hat{F}(z, \tau, p)$, we have
(A2) The derivative $D_{z} \hat{F}(z, \tau, p)$ at equilibrium $z^{*}$ is a homomorphism on $R_{+}^{3}$;
(A3) $F(\phi, \tau, p)$ is differentiable with respect to $\phi$.
The characteristic matrix of (11) at $\left(\bar{z}_{0}, \tau_{0}, p\right)$ is

$$
\Delta_{(\bar{z}, \tau, p)}(\lambda)=\lambda I-D_{\varphi} F\left(\bar{z}_{0}, \tau_{0}, p_{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{\lambda \cdot} I\right),
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{(\bar{z}, \tau, p)}(\lambda) \\
& \quad=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda+m_{1} & -\frac{\theta \bar{z}^{(1)} \bar{z}^{(3)}}{\left(\bar{z}^{(1)}+\bar{z}^{(2)}+e\right)^{2}} & \frac{\theta \bar{z}^{(1)}}{\bar{z}^{(1)}+\bar{z}^{(2)}+e} \\
-\frac{\psi \bar{z}^{(2)} \bar{z}^{(3)}}{\left(\bar{z}^{(1)}+\bar{z}^{(2)}+e\right)^{2}} & \lambda+m_{2} & \frac{\psi \bar{z}^{(2)}}{\bar{z}(1) \pi+\bar{z}^{(2)}+e} \\
-\frac{\varepsilon \theta\left(\bar{z}^{(2)}+e\right)-\eta \psi \bar{z} \bar{z}^{(2)}}{\left(\bar{z}^{(1)}+\bar{z}^{(2)}+e\right)^{2}} \bar{z}^{(3)} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \tau} & -\frac{\eta \psi\left(\bar{z}^{(1)}+e\right)-\varepsilon \theta \bar{z}^{(1)}}{\left(\bar{z}^{(1)}+\bar{z}^{(2)}+e\right)^{2}} \bar{z}^{(3)} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \tau} & \lambda+m_{3}
\end{array}\right), \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m_{1}=-r\left(1-\frac{2 \bar{z}^{(1)}}{K}\right)+\frac{\theta\left(\bar{z}^{(2)}+e \overline{z^{(3)}}\right.}{\left(\bar{z}^{(1)}+\bar{z}^{(2)}+e\right)^{2}}, m_{2}=\gamma+\frac{\psi \bar{z}^{(3)}\left(\bar{z}^{(1)}+e\right)}{\left(\bar{z}^{(1)}+\bar{z}^{(2)}+e\right)^{2}}$, and $m_{3}=\delta-\frac{\varepsilon \theta \bar{z}^{(1)}+\eta \psi \bar{z}^{(2)}}{\bar{z}^{(1)}+\bar{z}^{(2)}+e}$.
The roots of $\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{(\bar{z}, \tau, p)}(\lambda)\right)=0$ are called characteristic roots. (A2) indicates that 0 is not an eigenvalue of the stationary solution.

Obviously, the characteristic matrix $\Delta_{(z, \tau, p)}(\lambda)$ is continuous with respect to $(\tau, p, \lambda) \in$ $B_{\xi_{0}}\left(\tau_{j}, 2 \pi / \omega_{0}\right) \times C$. If the stationary solution $\left(\bar{z}_{0}, \tau_{0}, p_{0}\right)$ has eigenvalue with the form $\mathrm{i} m\left(2 \pi / p_{0}\right)$ with $m$ an integer, we say it is a center. If in some neighborhood of $\left(\bar{z}_{0}, \tau_{0}, p_{0}\right)$ it is the only center, then we say it is an isolated center.
From (12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\left(z^{*}, \tau, p\right)}(\lambda)\right)= & \lambda^{3}-\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right) \lambda^{2}+\left(a_{11} a_{22}-a_{21} a_{12}\right) \lambda \\
& +\left[-\left(a_{23} a_{32}+a_{31} a_{12}\right) \lambda+a_{11} a_{23} a_{32}\right. \\
& \left.-a_{21} a_{13} a_{32}-a_{31} a_{12} a_{23}+a_{31} a_{13} a_{22}\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \tau} \\
= & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the discussion in the previous section, we know $\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, 2 \pi / \omega_{0}\right), j=0,1,2, \ldots$, are isolated centers. There exist $\xi>0, \zeta>0$, and a smooth function $\lambda:\left(\tau_{j}-\zeta, \tau_{j}+\zeta\right) \rightarrow C$ such that, for any $\tau \in\left[\tau_{j}-\zeta, \tau_{j}+\zeta\right], \operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\left(z^{*}, \tau, 2 \pi / \omega_{0}\right)}(\lambda(\tau))\right)=0,\left|\lambda(\tau)-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}\right|<\xi, \lambda\left(\tau_{j}\right)=\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}$, and $\left.\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda}{\mathrm{d} \tau}\right|_{\tau=\tau_{j}}>0$.

For $\xi>0$, define

$$
\Omega_{\xi, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}}=\left\{(\nu, p): 0<v<\xi,\left|p-\frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right|<\xi\right\},
$$

then on

$$
\left[\tau_{j}-\zeta, \tau_{j}+\zeta\right] \times \partial \Omega_{\xi, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}}
$$

obviously we have
(A4) $\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\left(z^{*}, \tau, p\right)}\left(v+\mathrm{i} \frac{2 \pi}{p}\right)\right)=0$ if and only if $v=0, \tau=\tau_{j}$, and $p=\frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}, j=0,1,2, \ldots$.
Furthermore, we define

$$
H^{ \pm}\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right)(v+p)=\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j} \pm \zeta, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right)}\left(v+\mathrm{i} \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right)\right)
$$

From (A4), we know $H^{+}\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right) \neq 0$ on $\partial \Omega_{\xi, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}}$. The first cross number is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right)= & \operatorname{deg}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(H^{-}\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right), \Omega_{\xi, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}}\right) \\
& -\operatorname{deg}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(H^{+}\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right), \Omega_{\xi, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}}\right)=-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Write

$$
\Sigma=C l\left\{(z, \tau, p) \in X \times R_{+} \times R_{+}: z \text { is T-period solution }\right\}
$$

and

$$
N=\{(\bar{z}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{p}): F(\bar{z}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{p})=0\} .
$$

Denote by $C\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right)$ the connected component of $\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right)$ in $\Sigma$.
To obtain the global continuation of Hopf bifurcation, we need the following result.
Lemma 6 (Wu [9]) About the connected component $C\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right)$, we have that at least one of the following two results holds true:
(a) $C\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right)$ is unbounded.
(b) The projection of $C\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right)$ onto $X \times R_{+}$is finite and

$$
\Sigma_{\left((\bar{z}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{p}) \in C\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right) \cap N\right)} \gamma(\bar{z}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{p})=0 .
$$

In fact, we always have

$$
\Sigma_{\left((\bar{z}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{p}) \in C\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right) \cap N\right)} \gamma(\bar{z}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{p})<0,
$$

because the cross number of any center is -1 . Thus, the component $C\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right)$ through $\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right)$ is nonempty and unbounded.

Lemma 7 For every center $\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right), j=0,1,2 \ldots$, the component $C\left(z^{*}, \tau_{j}, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right)$ is unbounded.

### 3.2 Global existence of periodic solution

Lemma 8 The solution of (1) through nonnegative initial functions is always nonnegative.
Proof The first equation of (1) means

$$
x(t)=x(0) \mathrm{e}^{\int_{0}^{t}\left[r\left(1-\frac{x(\xi)}{K}\right)-\frac{\theta(\xi)}{x(\xi)+s(\xi)+e}\right] \mathrm{d} \xi},
$$

which indicates $x(t) \geq 0$ if $x(0) \geq 0$. Similarly, we have $y(t) \geq 0$. Suppose that there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that $s(t)>0$ for $t<t_{0}$ and $s\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, then

$$
\dot{s}\left(t_{0}\right)=i>0
$$

which is a contradiction.

In the coming part, we shall prove that system (1) has no nonconstant $\tau$-period solution. We first make the following assumptions:

$$
(H 2) \max \left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right\}<\lambda+\delta,
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}= & r\left(1-\frac{2 x_{1}^{*}}{K}\right)-\frac{\theta\left(x_{2}^{*}+e\right) x_{3}^{*}+\psi\left(x_{1}^{*}+e\right) x_{3}^{*}}{\left(x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e\right)^{2}}+\left|\frac{\psi x_{2}^{*}}{x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e}\right|+\left|\frac{\theta x_{1}^{*}}{x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e}\right| \\
A_{2}= & r\left(1-\frac{2 x_{1}^{*}}{K}\right)-\frac{\theta\left(x_{2}^{*}+e\right) x_{3}^{*}}{\left(x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e\right)^{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon \theta x_{1}^{*}+\eta \psi x_{2}^{*}}{x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e}+\left|\frac{\eta \psi\left(x_{1}^{*}+e\right)-\varepsilon \theta x_{1}^{*}}{\left(x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e\right)^{2}} x_{3}^{*}\right| \\
& +\left|\frac{\theta x_{1}^{*} x_{2}^{*}}{x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
A_{3}=\frac{\varepsilon \theta x_{1}^{*}+\eta \psi x_{2}^{*}}{x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e}-\frac{\psi x_{3}^{*}\left(x_{1}^{*}+e\right)}{\left(x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e\right)^{2}}+\left|\frac{\eta \psi x_{2}^{*}-\varepsilon \theta\left(x_{2}^{*}+e\right)}{\left(x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e\right)^{2}} x_{3}^{*}\right|+\left|\frac{\psi x_{2}^{*} \psi_{3}^{*}}{\left(x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e\right)^{2}}\right|
$$

For readers' convenience, we state the high-dimensional Bendixson theorem [11] as follows.

Lemma 9 (Li and Muldowney [11]) Suppose that one of

$$
\mu\left(\frac{\partial f^{[2]}}{\partial x}\right)<0, \quad \mu\left(-\frac{\partial f^{[2]}}{\partial x}\right)<0
$$

holds on $\mathrm{R}^{n}$ where $\mu$ is a Lozinskil measure corresponding to an absolute norm $|\cdot|$ on $\mathrm{R}^{N}$, $N=\binom{n}{2}$. Then no simple closed rectifiable curve in $\mathrm{R}^{n}$ is invariant with respect to $d x / d t=$ $f(x)$.

Lemma 10 If (H2) holds true, then system (1) has no nontrivial $\tau$-period solution.

Proof Suppose that (1) has a nontrivial $\tau$-period solution, which is equivalent to the following system having a nonconstant periodic solution:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{1}(t)=r x_{1}(t)\left(1-\frac{x_{1}(t)}{K}\right)-\frac{\theta x_{1}(t) x_{3}(t)}{x_{1}(t)+x_{2}(t)+e} \\
\dot{x}_{2}(t)=i-\gamma x_{2}(t)-\frac{\psi x_{2}(t) x_{3}(t)}{x_{1}(t)+x_{2}(t)+e} \\
\dot{x}_{3}(t)=\frac{\varepsilon \theta x_{1}(t)+\eta \psi x_{2}(t)}{x_{1}(t)+x_{2}(t)+e} x_{3}(t)-\delta x_{3}(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Denote $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
r x_{1}\left(1-\frac{x_{1}}{K}\right)-\frac{\theta x_{1} x_{3}}{x_{1}+x_{2}+e} \\
i-\gamma x_{2}-\frac{\psi x_{2} x_{3}}{x_{1}+x_{2}+e} \\
\frac{\varepsilon \theta x_{1}+\eta \psi x_{2}}{x_{1}+x_{2}+e} x_{3}-\delta x_{3}
\end{array}\right),
$$

then we know

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
r\left(1-\frac{2 x_{1}}{K}\right)-\frac{\theta\left(x_{2}+e\right) x_{3}}{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+e\right)^{2}} & \frac{\theta x_{1} x_{3}}{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+e\right)^{2}} & -\frac{\theta x_{1}}{x_{1}+x_{2}+e} \\
\frac{\psi x_{2}}{\left(x_{1}+x_{3}+e\right)^{2}} & -\gamma-\frac{\psi x_{3}\left(x_{1}+e\right)}{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+e\right)^{2}} & -\frac{\psi x_{2}}{x_{1}+x_{2}+e} \\
\frac{\varepsilon \theta\left(x_{2}+e\right)-\eta \psi x_{2}}{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+e\right)^{2}} x_{3} & \frac{\eta \psi\left(x_{1}+e-\varepsilon \theta x_{1}\right.}{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+e\right)^{2}} x_{3} & \frac{\varepsilon \theta x_{1}+\eta \psi x_{2}}{x_{1}+x_{2}+e}-\delta
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In fact, the compound matrix of $\partial f / \partial x$ is

$$
\frac{\partial f^{[2]}}{\partial x}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
r\left(1-\frac{2 x_{1}}{K}\right)-\gamma-L & -\frac{\psi x_{2}}{x_{1} x_{2}+e} & \frac{\theta x_{1}}{x_{1}+x_{2}+e} \\
\frac{\eta \psi\left(x_{1}+e\right)-\varepsilon \theta x_{1}}{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+e\right)^{2}} x_{3} & r\left(1-\frac{2 x_{1}}{K}\right)-\delta+M & \frac{\theta x_{1} x_{3}}{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+e\right)^{2}} \\
-\frac{\varepsilon \theta\left(x_{2}+e-\eta \psi x_{2}\right.}{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+e\right)^{2}} x_{3} & \frac{\psi x_{2} x_{3}}{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+e\right)^{2}} & -\gamma-\delta+N
\end{array}\right) \text {, }
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=\frac{\theta\left(x_{2}+e\right) x_{3}+\psi\left(x_{1}+e\right) x_{3}}{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+e\right)^{2}}, \\
& M=\frac{\varepsilon \theta x_{1}+\eta \psi x_{2}}{x_{1}+x_{2}+e}-\frac{\theta\left(x_{2}+e\right) x_{3}}{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+e\right)^{2}}, \\
& N=\frac{\varepsilon \theta x_{1}+\eta \psi x_{2}}{x_{1}+x_{2}+e}-\frac{\psi\left(x_{1}+e\right) x_{3}}{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+e\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{r\left(1-\frac{2 x_{1}^{*}}{K}\right)-\gamma-L+\left|\frac{\psi x_{2}^{*}}{x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e}\right|+\left|\frac{\theta x_{1}^{*}}{x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e}\right|,\right. \\
& \quad r\left(1-\frac{2 x_{1}^{*}}{K}\right)-\delta+M+\left|\frac{\eta \psi\left(x_{1}^{*}+e\right)-\varepsilon \theta x_{1}^{*}}{\left(x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e\right)^{2}} x_{3}^{*}\right|+\left|\frac{\theta x_{1}^{*} x_{2}^{*}}{x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e}\right|, \\
& \left.\quad-\gamma-\delta+N+\left|\frac{\eta \psi x_{2}^{*}-\varepsilon \theta\left(x_{2}^{*}+e\right)}{\left(x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e\right)^{2}} x_{3}^{*}\right|+\left|\frac{\psi x_{2}^{*} \psi_{3}^{*}}{\left(x_{1}^{*}+x_{2}^{*}+e\right)^{2}}\right|\right\}<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling Lemma 7, the conclusion follows from Lemma 9.

Theorem 4 Suppose (H1) and (H2) hold true, then we have the following conclusions:
(1) The bifurcating periodic solutions from $\tau_{0}$ fulfill the trichotomy
(a) they exist for all $\tau>\tau_{0}$;
(b) they have amplitude tending to infinity;
(c) they have period tending to infinity.
(2) The bifurcating periodic solutions from $\tau_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots$, fulfill the dichotomy
(a) they exist for all $\tau>\tau_{j}$;
(b) they have amplitude tending to infinity.

## 4 Simulation

In this section, we will perform a group of simulations as an example to illustrate the theoretical results given in the previous sections. From [5], we first fix $r=0.1, \theta=5, e=1, \gamma=1$, $\psi=5, \epsilon=0.1, \eta=0.1, \delta=0.1, K=0.2, i=0.255$. Thus, the unique positive equilibrium $E^{*}$ is $(0.0164,0.2336,0.0229)$. It is not difficult to verify that these parameters fulfill assumptions (H1) and (H2). Thus we obtain a sequence of Hopf bifurcation values $\tau_{0}=23.1416$ and $\tau_{k}=\tau_{0}+\frac{2 k \pi}{\omega_{0}}$ with $\omega_{0}=0.0232$. By Theorems 2 and 3 , we know that $E^{*}$ is asymptotically stable for $\tau<\tau_{0}$ and stable Hopf bifurcating periodic solutions exist when $\tau>\tau_{0}$. These results are shown in Fig. 2, where stable equilibrium and stable periodic oscillations are simulated.

According to the global Hopf bifurcation results in Sect. 3, we give simulation by DDEBiftool and the results are given in Fig. 3. We find that the bifurcating periodic solutions


Figure 2 Solutions of system (1) for (a) $\tau=0$, (b) $\tau=20$, (c) $\tau=30$, and (d) $\tau=35$

Figure 3 Global Hopf bifurcation diagram obtained by DDE-Biftool. The first two branches of Hopf bifurcating periodic solutions are simulated


Figure 4 The first Hopf bifurcation value $\tau_{0}(i)$

from $\tau_{1}$ exist for any $\tau>\tau_{1}$. However, the branch from $\tau_{0}$ has amplitude tending to infinity. These simulations verify the unboundedness of Hopf branches established in Theorem 4.
To discuss the effect of the subsidy in model (1), we calculate the first Hopf bifurcation point as a function of the rate at which the subsidy appears, i.e., the function $\tau_{0}(i)$ shown in Fig. 4. We find that increasing subsidies supplement would postpone the occurrence of Hopf bifurcation and lead to a larger stable interval of the positive equilibrium.

## 5 Conclusions

In this paper, the stability of positive equilibrium in a predator-prey model with time delay $\tau$ and subsidies and the existence of local Hopf bifurcations at the positive equilibrium were obtained. By employing the center manifold theorem and normal form theory, we obtained the properties of Hopf bifurcations including the direction of Hopf bifurcation and the stability of bifurcating periodic solutions. We further considered the global continuation of local Hopf bifurcations and obtained the global existence of periodic solutions. Usually, the conversion delay induces Hopf bifurcation and destabilizes the equilibrium, such as reported by [7]. Our theoretical findings suggest that incorporating food subsidy into a prey-predator model leads to a large stable interval of the coexistence equilibrium.

## Appendix

In this appendix, we give the complete calculations about $g_{i j}$ in Eq. (10). In fact

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{w} & =\dot{u}_{t}-\dot{z} q-\dot{\bar{z}} \bar{q} \\
& =A(0) u_{t}+R(0) u_{t}-\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} z+g\right) q-\left(-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \bar{z}+\bar{g}\right) \bar{q} \\
& =A(0) u_{t}+R(0) u_{t}-2 \operatorname{Re}(g q) \\
& = \begin{cases}A(0) w-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{q}^{*}(0) f_{0} q(\theta)\right), \quad \theta \in[-\tau, 0) \\
A(0) w-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{q}^{*}(0) f_{0} q(\theta)\right)+f_{0}, \theta=0\end{cases} \\
& :=A(0) w+H(z, \bar{z}, \theta),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
H(z, \bar{z}, \theta)=H_{20}(\theta) \frac{z^{2}}{2}+H_{11}(\theta) z \bar{z}+H_{02}(\theta) \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+\cdots
$$

On the other hand, on the center manifold $C_{0}, w$ satisfies

$$
\dot{w}=w_{z} \dot{z}+w_{\bar{z}} \dot{\bar{z}}
$$

and we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(A(0)-2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0}\right) w_{20}(\theta)=-H_{20}(\theta) \\
A(0) w_{11}(\theta)=-H_{11}(\theta) \\
\left(A(0)+2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0}\right) w_{02}(\theta)=-H_{02}(\theta)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Because $u_{t}=y(t+\theta)=w(z, \bar{z}, \theta)+z q+\bar{z} \bar{q}$, so we have

$$
u_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
y_{1}(t+\theta) \\
y_{2}(t+\theta) \\
y_{3}(t+\theta)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
w^{(1)}(t+\theta) \\
w^{(2)}(t+\theta) \\
w^{(3)}(t+\theta)
\end{array}\right)+z\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}+\bar{z}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\bar{\alpha} \\
\bar{\beta}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta},
$$

that is,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{1}(t+\theta)=z \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}+\bar{z} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}+w_{20}^{(1)} z^{2} \\
y_{2}(t+\theta)=z \alpha \mathrm{e}_{11}^{(1)}(\theta) z \bar{z}+w_{02}^{(1)}(\theta) \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+\cdots, \bar{z} \bar{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}+w_{20}^{(2)} \frac{z^{2}}{2}+w_{11}^{(2)}(\theta) z \bar{z}+w_{02}^{(2)}(\theta) \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+\cdots, \\
y_{3}(t+\theta)=z \beta \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}+\bar{z} \bar{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}+w_{20}^{(3)} \frac{z^{2}}{2}+w_{11}^{(3)}(\theta) z \bar{z}+w_{02}^{(3)}(\theta) \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+\cdots .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(0)=\left.y_{1}(t+\theta)\right|_{\theta=0}=z+\bar{z}+w_{20}^{(1)}(0) \frac{z^{2}}{2}+w_{11}^{(1)}(0) z \bar{z}+w_{02}^{(1)}(0) \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+\cdots, \\
& \phi_{2}(0)=\left.y_{2}(t+\theta)\right|_{\theta=0}=z \alpha+\bar{z} \bar{\alpha}+w_{20}^{(2)}(0) \frac{z^{2}}{2}+w_{11}^{(2)}(0) z \bar{z}+w_{02}^{(2)}(0) \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+\cdots, \\
& \phi_{3}(0)=\left.y_{3}(t+\theta)\right|_{\theta=0}=z \beta+\bar{z} \bar{\beta}+w_{20}^{(3)}(0) \frac{z^{2}}{2}+w_{11}^{(3)}(0) z \bar{z}+w_{02}^{(3)}(0) \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+\cdots, \\
& \phi_{1}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)=z \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+\bar{z} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+w_{20}^{(1)}(0) \frac{z^{2}}{2}+w_{11}^{(1)}(0) z \bar{z}+w_{02}^{(1)}(0) \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+\cdots, \\
& \phi_{2}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)=z \alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+\bar{z} \bar{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+w_{20}^{(2)}(0) \frac{z^{2}}{2}+w_{11}^{(2)}(0) z \bar{z}+w_{02}^{(2)}(0) \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+\cdots, \\
& \phi_{3}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)=z \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+\bar{z} \bar{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+w_{20}^{(3)}(0) \frac{z^{2}}{2}+w_{11}^{(3)}(0) z \bar{z}+w_{02}^{(3)}(0) \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+\cdots, \\
& \phi_{1}^{2}(0)=z^{2}+2 z \bar{z}+\bar{z}^{2}+\left[w_{20}^{(1)}(0)+2 w_{11}^{(1)}(0)\right] z^{2} \bar{z}+\cdots, \\
& \phi_{2}^{2}(0)=z^{2} \alpha^{2}+2 z \bar{z} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+\bar{z}^{2} \bar{\alpha}^{2}+\left[2 w_{11}^{(2)}(0) \alpha+w_{20}^{(2)}(0) \bar{\alpha}\right] z^{2} \bar{z}+\cdots, \\
& \phi_{1}(0) \phi_{2}(0)=\alpha z^{2}+(\alpha+\bar{\alpha}) z \bar{z}+\bar{\alpha} \bar{z}^{2}+\left[w_{11}^{(2)}(0)+\alpha w_{11}^{(1)}+\frac{w_{20}^{(1)}}{2} \bar{\alpha}+\frac{w_{20}^{(1)}}{2}\right] z^{2} \bar{z}+\cdots, \\
& \phi_{1}(0) \phi_{3}(0)=\beta z^{2}+(\beta+\bar{\beta}) z \bar{z}+\bar{\beta} \bar{z}^{2}+\left[w_{11}^{(3)}(0)+\beta w_{11}^{(1)}+\frac{w_{20}^{(1)}}{2} \bar{\beta}+\frac{w_{20}^{(3)}}{2}\right] z^{2} \bar{z}+\cdots, \\
& \phi_{2}(0) \phi_{3}(0)=\alpha \beta z^{2}+(\bar{\alpha} \beta+\alpha \bar{\beta}) z \bar{z}+\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \bar{z}^{2} \\
& \quad+\left[\beta w_{11}^{(2)}(0)+\alpha w_{11}^{(3)}+\frac{w_{20}^{(2)}}{2} \bar{\beta}+\frac{w_{20}^{(3)}}{2} \bar{\alpha}\right] z^{2} \bar{z}+\cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}^{2}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)= \mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} z^{2}+2 z \bar{z}+\mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} \bar{z}^{2}+\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} w_{20}^{(1)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)+2 \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} w_{11}^{(1)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)\right] z^{2} \bar{z}+\cdots, \\
& \begin{aligned}
\phi_{2}^{2}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)= & \mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} z^{2} \alpha^{2}+2 z \bar{z} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+\mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} \bar{z}^{2} \bar{\alpha}^{2} \\
& +\left[2 \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} w_{11}^{(2)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right) \alpha+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} w_{20}^{(2)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right) \bar{\alpha}\right] z^{2} \bar{z}+\cdots, \\
\phi_{1}\left(-\tau_{0}\right) \phi_{3}(0)= & \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} z^{2} \beta+z \bar{z}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} \beta+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} \bar{\beta}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} \bar{z}^{2} \bar{\beta} \\
& \quad+\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} \frac{w_{20}^{(3)}(0)}{2}+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} w_{11}^{(3)}(0)+w_{11}^{(1)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right) \beta+\frac{w_{20}^{(1)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)}{2} \bar{\beta}\right] z^{2} \bar{z}+\cdots, \\
\phi_{2}\left(-\tau_{0}\right) \phi_{3}(0)= & \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} z^{2} \alpha \beta+z \bar{z}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} \bar{\alpha} \beta+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} \alpha \bar{\beta}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} \bar{z}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \\
& +\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} \frac{w_{20}^{(3)}(0)}{2} \bar{\alpha}+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} w_{11}^{(3)}(0) \alpha+w_{11}^{(2)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right) \beta+\frac{w_{20}^{(2)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)}{2} \bar{\beta}\right] z^{2} \bar{z}+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $f_{0}(z, \bar{z})$ can be written as

$$
f_{0}(z, \bar{z})=\left(\begin{array}{l}
K_{11} z^{2}+K_{12} z \bar{z}+K_{13} \bar{z}^{2}+K_{14} z^{2} \bar{z} \\
K_{21} z^{2}+K_{22} z \bar{z}+K_{13} \bar{z}^{2}+K_{14} z^{2} \bar{z} \\
K_{31} z^{2}+K_{32} z \bar{z}+K_{33} \bar{z}^{2}+K_{34} z^{2}
\end{array}\right)+\cdots,
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{11}= & a_{14}+a_{15} \alpha^{2}+a_{16} \alpha+a_{17} \beta+a_{18} \alpha \beta \\
K_{12}= & 2 a_{14}+2 a_{15} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+a_{16}(\alpha+\bar{\alpha})+a_{17}(\beta+\bar{\beta})+a_{18}(\bar{\alpha} \beta+\alpha \bar{\beta}), \\
K_{13}= & a_{14}+a_{15} \bar{\alpha}^{2}+a_{16} \bar{\alpha}+a_{17} \bar{\beta}+a_{18} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}, \\
K_{14}= & a_{14}\left(w_{20}^{(1)}(0)+2 w_{11}^{(1)}(0)\right)+a_{15}\left(w_{20}^{2}(0) \bar{\alpha}+2 w_{11}^{(2)}(0) \alpha\right) \\
& +a_{16}\left(w_{11}^{(2)}(0)+w_{11}^{(1)}(0) \alpha+\frac{w_{20}^{(2)}}{2}+\frac{w_{20}^{(1)}}{2} \bar{\alpha}\right) \\
& +a_{17}\left(w_{11}^{(3)}(0)+w_{11}^{(1)}(0) \beta+\frac{w_{20}^{(3)}}{2}+\frac{w_{20}^{(1)}}{2} \bar{\beta}\right), \\
K_{21}= & a_{24}+a_{25} \alpha^{2}+a_{26} \alpha+a_{27} \beta+a_{28} \alpha \beta, \\
K_{22}= & 2 a_{24}+2 a_{25} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+a_{26}(\alpha+\bar{\alpha})+a_{27} \bar{\beta}+a_{28}(\bar{\alpha} \beta+\alpha \bar{\beta}), \\
K_{23}= & a_{24}+a_{25} \bar{\alpha}^{2}+a_{26} \bar{\alpha}+a_{27} \bar{\beta}+a_{28} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}, \\
K_{24}= & a_{24}\left(w_{20}^{(1)}(0)+2 w_{11}^{(1)}(0)\right)+a_{25}\left(w_{20}^{(2)}(0) \bar{\alpha}+2 w_{11}^{(2)}(0) \alpha\right) \\
& +a_{26}\left(w_{11}^{(2)}(0)+w_{11}^{(1)}(0) \alpha+\frac{w_{20}^{(2)}(0)}{2}+\frac{w_{20}^{(1)}(0)}{2} \bar{\alpha}\right) \\
& +a_{27}\left(w_{11}^{(3)}(0)+w_{11}^{(1)}(0) \beta+\frac{w_{20}^{(3)}(0)}{2}+\frac{w_{20}^{(1)}(0)}{2} \bar{\beta}\right) \\
& +a_{28}\left(w_{11}^{(2)}(0) \beta+w_{11}^{(3)}(0) \alpha+\frac{w_{20}^{(2)}(0)}{2} \bar{\beta}+\frac{w_{20}^{(3)}(0)}{2} \bar{\alpha}\right), \\
K_{31}= & a_{34} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+a_{35} \alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+a_{36} \alpha \mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+a_{37} \beta \mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+a_{38} \alpha \beta \mathrm{e}^{-2 i \omega_{0} \tau_{0}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{32}= & 2 a_{34}+2 a_{35} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+a_{36}(\alpha+\bar{\alpha})+a_{37}\left(\beta \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+\bar{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}\right) \\
& +a_{38}\left(\bar{\alpha} \beta \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+\alpha \bar{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}\right), \\
K_{33}= & a_{34} \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+a_{35} \bar{\alpha}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+a_{36} \bar{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+a_{37} \bar{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+a_{38} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}, \\
K_{34}= & a_{34}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} w_{20}^{(1)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)+2 \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} w_{11}^{(1)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)\right) \\
& +a_{35}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} w_{20}^{(2)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right) \bar{\alpha}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} w_{11}^{(2)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right) \alpha\right) \\
& +a_{36}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} w_{11}^{(2)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} w_{11}^{(1)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right) \alpha+\frac{w_{20}^{(2)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)}{2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+\frac{w_{20}^{(1)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)}{2} \bar{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}\right) \\
& +a_{37}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} w_{11}^{(3)}(0)+w_{11}^{(1)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right) \beta+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} \frac{w_{20}^{(3)}(0)}{2}+\frac{w_{20}^{(1)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)}{2} \bar{\beta}\right) \\
& +a_{38}\left(w_{11}^{(2)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right) \beta+w_{11}^{(3)}(0) \alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}+\frac{w_{20}^{(2)}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)}{2} \bar{\beta}+\frac{w_{20}^{(3)}(0)}{2} \bar{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\bar{q}^{*}(0)=\bar{D}\left(1, \bar{\alpha}^{*}, \bar{\beta}^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(z, \bar{z})= & \bar{q}^{* \mathrm{~T}}(0) f_{0}(z, \bar{z}) \\
= & \bar{D}\left(1, \bar{\alpha}^{*}, \bar{\beta}^{*}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
K_{11} z^{2}+K_{12} z \bar{z}+K_{13} \bar{z}^{2}+K_{14} z^{2} \bar{z} \\
K_{21} z^{2}+K_{22} z \bar{z}+K_{23} \bar{z}^{2}+K_{24} z^{2} \bar{z} \\
K_{31} z^{2}+K_{32} z \bar{z}+K_{33} \bar{z}^{2}+K_{34} z^{2} \bar{z}
\end{array}\right)+\cdots \\
= & \bar{D}\left\{\left(K_{11}+\bar{\alpha}^{*} K_{21}+\bar{\beta}^{*} K_{31}\right) z^{2}+\left(K_{12}+\bar{\alpha}^{*} K_{22}+\bar{\beta}^{*} K_{32}\right) z \bar{z}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(K_{13}+\bar{\alpha}^{*} K_{23}+\bar{\beta}^{*} K_{33}\right) \bar{z}^{2}+\left(K_{14}+\bar{\alpha}^{*} K_{24}+\bar{\beta}^{*} K_{34}\right) z^{2} \bar{z}\right\}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{20}=2 \bar{D}\left(K_{11}+\bar{\alpha}^{*} K_{21}+\bar{\beta}^{*} K_{31}\right) \\
& g_{11}=\bar{D}\left(K_{12}+\bar{\alpha}^{*} K_{22}+\bar{\beta}^{*} K_{32}\right) \\
& g_{02}=2 \bar{D}\left(K_{13}+\bar{\alpha}^{*} K_{23}+\bar{\beta}^{*} K_{33}\right) \\
& g_{21}=2 \bar{D}\left(K_{14}+\bar{\alpha}^{*} K_{24}+\bar{\beta}^{*} K_{34}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To calculate $g_{21}$, we need to know the center manifold functions $w_{20}(\theta)$ and $w_{11}(\theta)$ for $\theta \in\left[-\tau_{0}, 0\right)$. In fact, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(z, \bar{z}, \theta)= & -2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{q}^{*}(0) f_{0} q(\theta)\right) \\
= & -\left(g_{20} \frac{z^{2}}{2}+g_{11} z \bar{z}+g_{02} \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+g_{21} \frac{z^{2} \bar{z}}{2}\right) q(0) \\
& -\left(\bar{g}_{20} \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+\bar{g}_{11} z \bar{z}+\bar{g}_{02} \frac{z^{2}}{2}+\bar{g}_{21} \frac{\bar{z}^{2} z}{2}\right) \bar{q}(0) \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{l}
K_{11} z^{2}+K_{12} z \bar{z}+K_{13} \bar{z}^{2}+K_{14} z^{2} \bar{z} \\
K_{21} z^{2}+K_{22} z \bar{z}+K_{23} \bar{z}^{2}+K_{24} z^{2} \bar{z} \\
K_{31} z^{2}+K_{32} z \bar{z}+K_{33} \bar{z}^{2}+K_{34} z^{2} \bar{z}
\end{array}\right)+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{20}(\theta)=-g_{20} q(\theta)-\bar{g}_{02} \bar{q}(\theta), \\
& H_{11}(\theta)=-g_{11} q(\theta)-\bar{g}_{11} \bar{q}(\theta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{w}_{20}(\theta) & =A w_{20}(\theta) \\
& =2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} w_{20}(\theta)-H_{20}(\theta) \\
& =2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} w_{20}(\theta)+g_{20} q(\theta)+\bar{g}_{02} \bar{q}(\theta) \\
& =2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} w_{20}(\theta)+g_{20} q(0) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}+\bar{g}_{02} \bar{q}(0) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta},
\end{aligned}
$$

then we obtain

$$
w_{20}(\theta)=\frac{\mathrm{i} g_{20} q(0)}{\omega_{0}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \bar{g}_{02} \bar{q}(0)}{3 \omega_{0}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}+E_{1} \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}
$$

and

$$
w_{11}(\theta)=-\frac{\mathrm{i} g_{11} q(0)}{\omega_{0}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \bar{g}_{11} \bar{q}(0)}{\omega_{0}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}+E_{2}
$$

with $E_{1}=\left(E_{1}^{(1)}, E_{1}^{(2)}, E_{1}^{(2)}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, E_{2}=\left(E_{2}^{(1)}, E_{2}^{(2)}, E_{2}^{(3)}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ are all three-dimensional vectors. In $H(z, \bar{z}, \theta)$, let $\theta=0$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(z, \bar{z}, 0)= & -2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{q}^{* \mathrm{~T}}(0) f_{0} q(0)\right)+f_{0}(z, \bar{z}) \\
= & -\left(g_{20} \frac{z^{2}}{2}+g_{11} z \bar{z}+g_{02} \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+g_{21} \frac{z^{2} \bar{z}}{2}\right) q(0) \\
& -\left(\bar{g}_{20} \frac{z^{2}}{2}+\bar{g}_{11} z \bar{z}+\bar{g}_{02} \frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}+\bar{g}_{21} \frac{z^{2} \bar{z}}{2}\right) \bar{q}(0) \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{l}
K_{11} z^{2}+K_{12} z \bar{z}+K_{13} \bar{z}^{2}+K_{14} z_{2} \bar{z} \\
K_{21} z^{2}+K_{22} z \bar{z}+K_{23} \bar{z}^{2}+K_{24} z_{2} \bar{z} \\
K_{31} z^{2}+K_{32} z \bar{z}+K_{33} \bar{z}^{2}+K_{34} z_{2} \bar{z}
\end{array}\right)+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

This leads to

$$
H_{20}(0)=-g_{20} q(0)-\bar{g}_{02} \bar{q}(0)+2\left(K_{11}, K_{21}, K_{31}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

and

$$
H_{11}(0)=-g_{11} q(0)-\bar{g}_{11} \bar{q}(0)+2\left(K_{12}, K_{22}, K_{32}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} .
$$

From the definition of $A$, we have

$$
\int_{-\tau_{0}}^{0} \mathrm{~d} \eta(0, \theta) w_{20}(\theta)=2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} w_{20}(0)-H_{20}(0)
$$

and

$$
\int_{-\tau_{0}}^{0} \mathrm{~d} \eta(0, \theta) w_{11}(\theta)=-H_{11}(0) .
$$

This indicates

$$
\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} I-\int_{-\tau_{0}}^{0} \mathrm{~d} \eta(0, \theta) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}\right) q(0)=0
$$

and

$$
\left(-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} I-\int_{-\tau_{0}}^{0} \mathrm{~d} \eta(0, \theta) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}\right) \bar{q}(0)=0
$$

The equation

$$
\left(2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} I-\int_{-\tau_{0}}^{0} \mathrm{~d} \eta(0, \theta) \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \theta}\right) E_{1}=2\left(\begin{array}{l}
K_{11} \\
K_{21} \\
K_{31}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is actually a linear equation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0}-a_{11} & -a_{12} & -a_{13} \\
-a_{21} & 2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0}-a_{22} & -a_{23} \\
-a_{31} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} & -a_{32} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} & 2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0}
\end{array}\right) E_{1}=2\left(\begin{array}{l}
K_{11} \\
K_{21} \\
K_{31}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Thus $E_{1}$ can be solved by

$$
E_{1}=2\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0}-a_{11} & -a_{12} & -a_{13} \\
-a_{21} & 2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0}-a_{22} & -a_{23} \\
-a_{31} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} & -a_{32} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau_{0}} & 2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{0}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{l}
K_{11} \\
K_{21} \\
K_{31}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
E_{2}=-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & 0
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{l}
K_{12} \\
K_{22} \\
K_{32}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

So far, we have obtained the explicit formulas which determine $g_{20}, g_{11}, g_{02}$, and $g_{21}$.
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