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#### Abstract

In the paper, the authors consider a ratio of finite many gamma functions and find its monotonicity properties such as complete monotonicity, the Bernstein function property, and logarithmically complete monotonicity.


## 1 Preliminaries

Let $f(x)$ be an infinite differentiable function on an infinite interval $(0, \infty)$.
(1) If $(-1)^{k} f^{(k)}(x) \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 0$ and $x \in(0, \infty)$, then we call $f(x)$ a completely monotonic function on $(0, \infty)$. See the review papers $[22,31,36]$ and $[35$, Chapter IV].
(2) If $(-1)^{k}[\ln f(x)]^{(k)} \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $x \in(0, \infty)$, or say, if the logarithmic derivative $[\ln f(x)]^{\prime}=\frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{f(x)}$ is a completely monotonic function on $(0, \infty)$, then we call $f(x)$ a logarithmically completely monotonic function on $(0, \infty)$. See the papers $[3,4,7,24]$ and [33, Chap. 5].
(3) If $f^{\prime}(x)$ is a completely monotonic function on $(0, \infty)$, then we call $f(x)$ a Bernstein function on $(0, \infty)$. See the paper [28] and the monograph [33].
The classical gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ can be defined by

$$
\Gamma(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} \mathrm{~d} t, \quad \Re(z)>0
$$

or by

$$
\Gamma(z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!n^{z}}{\prod_{k=0}^{n}(z+k)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\}
$$

See [1, Chap. 6], [15, Chap. 5], the paper [18], and [34, Chap. 3]. In the literature, the logarithmic derivative

$$
\psi(z)=[\ln \Gamma(x)]^{\prime}=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(z)}{\Gamma(z)}
$$
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and its first derivative $\psi^{\prime}(z)$ are respectively called the digamma and trigamma functions. See the papers $[5,6,10,25,26]$ and closely related references therein.

## 2 Motivations

This paper is motivated by a series of papers [2, 11, 12, 16, 19, 21, 27, 29, 32]. For detailed review and survey, please read the papers [19, 27, 29, 32] and closely related references therein.
In the paper [2], motivated by [11, 12], the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in(0, \infty) \mapsto \frac{\Gamma(n x+1)}{\Gamma(k x+1) \Gamma((m-k) x+1)} p^{k x}(1-p)^{(m-k) x} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was considered, where $p \in(0,1)$ and $k, m$ are nonnegative integers with $0 \leq k \leq m$.
In [16, Theorem 2.1] and [32], the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in(0, \infty) \mapsto \frac{\Gamma\left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma\left(1+x \lambda_{i}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}^{x \lambda_{i}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

was independently studied, where $m \geq 2, \lambda_{i}>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m, p_{i} \in(0,1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}=1$. The $q$-analogue

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in(0, \infty) \mapsto \frac{\Gamma_{q}\left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma_{q}\left(1+x \lambda_{i}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}^{x \lambda_{i}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the function in (2.2) was investigated in [19], where $q \in(0,1), m \geq 2, \lambda_{i}>0$ for $1 \leq$ $i \leq m, p_{i} \in(0,1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}=1$, and $\Gamma_{q}$ is the $q$-analogue of the gamma function $\Gamma$.

The functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in(0, \infty) \mapsto \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma\left(v_{i} x+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma\left(\tau_{j} x+1\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma\left(\lambda_{i j} x+1\right)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in(0, \infty) \mapsto \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma\left(1+v_{i} x\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma\left(1+\tau_{j} x\right)}{\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma\left(1+\lambda_{i j} x\right)\right]^{\rho}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

were respectively considered in [17, Theorem 2.1] and [29, Theorem 4.1], where $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_{i j}>0, \nu_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i j}, \tau_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.

In [27], the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in(0, \infty) \mapsto \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left[\Gamma\left(1+v_{i} x\right)\right]_{i}^{v_{i}^{\theta}} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left[\Gamma\left(1+\tau_{j} x\right)\right]^{\tau_{j}^{\theta}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left[\Gamma\left(1+\lambda_{i j} x\right)\right]^{\rho \lambda_{i j}^{\theta}}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

was discussed, where $\rho, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_{i j}>0, v_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i j}, \tau_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.

In this paper, stimulated by the above six functions (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6), we consider a new function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}(x)=\mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, \rho, \varrho, \theta}(x)=\frac{\left[\Gamma\left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)\right]^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left[\Gamma\left(1+x a_{i}\right)\right]^{\rho a_{i}^{\theta}}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}^{a_{i}}\right)^{\varrho x} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $(0, \infty)$, where $m \geq 2, \rho, \varrho, \theta \in \mathbb{R}, a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ with $a_{i}>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $p=$ $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m}\right)$ with $p_{i} \in(0,1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}=1$.

## 3 Monotonicity properties

In this section, we now start out to find and prove some monotonicity properties for the function $\mathcal{Q}(x)=\mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, \rho, \varrho, \theta}(x)$ defined in (2.7). Our main results in this section can be stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 Let $m \geq 2, a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ with $a_{i}>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.p_{m}\right)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}=1$ and $p_{i} \in(0,1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then
(1) when $\rho \leq 1$ and $\theta \geq 0$, the second logarithmic derivative

$$
[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]^{\prime \prime}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+2} \psi^{\prime}\left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)-\rho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{\theta+2} \psi^{\prime}\left(1+a_{i} x\right)
$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$;
(2) when $\rho=1, \varrho=0$, and $\theta=0$, the function

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, 1,0,0}(x)=\frac{\Gamma\left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma\left(1+x a_{i}\right)}
$$

is increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and its logarithmic derivative

$$
\left[\ln \mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, 1,0,0}(x)\right]^{\prime}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right) \psi\left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \psi\left(1+a_{i} x\right)
$$

is a Bernstein function on $(0, \infty)$;
(3) when $\rho=1, \varrho \geq 1$, and $\theta=0$, the function $\mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, 1, \varrho, 0}(x)$ is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$;
(4) when $(\rho, \varrho, \theta) \in S$ and

$$
S=\{\rho \leq 1, \varrho \geq 0, \theta \geq 0\} \backslash\{\rho=1, \varrho=0, \theta=0\} \backslash\{\rho=1, \varrho \geq 1, \theta=0\}
$$

the function $\mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, \rho, Q, \theta}(x)$ has a unique minimum on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof Direct calculation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \mathcal{Q}(x)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta} \ln \Gamma\left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)-\rho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{\theta} \ln \Gamma\left(1+a_{i} x\right)+\varrho x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \ln p_{i} \\
& {[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]^{\prime}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+1} \psi\left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)-\rho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{\theta+1} \psi\left(1+a_{i} x\right)+\varrho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \ln p_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]^{\prime \prime}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+2} \psi^{\prime}\left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)-\rho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{\theta+2} \psi^{\prime}\left(1+a_{i} x\right)
$$

As in [27, 29, 32], from

$$
\psi^{\prime}(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t}{1-e^{-t}} e^{-z t} \mathrm{~d} t, \quad \Re(z)>0
$$

in [1, p. 260, 6.4.1], it follows that

$$
\psi^{\prime}(1+\tau z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t}{1-e^{-t}} e^{-(1+\tau z) t} \mathrm{~d} t=\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{0}^{\infty} h\left(\frac{v}{\tau}\right) e^{-v z} \mathrm{~d} v
$$

where $\tau>0$ and $h(t)=\frac{t}{e^{t}-1}$ is the generating function of the classical Bernoulli numbers, see [20, 23] and [34, Chap. 1]. Accordingly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]^{\prime \prime}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+1} h\left(\frac{v}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}}\right)-\rho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{\theta+1} h\left(\frac{v}{a_{i}}\right)\right] e^{-v x} \mathrm{~d} v \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [27, Theorem 4.1], it was discovered that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{v_{i}^{\alpha}}{e^{x / v_{i}}-1}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\tau_{j}^{\alpha}}{e^{x / \tau_{j}}-1} \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i j}^{\alpha}}{e^{x / \lambda_{i j}}-1}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \geq 0, x>0, \lambda_{i j}>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n, v_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i j}$, and $\tau_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i j}$. As remarked in [27, Remark 4.1], setting $n=m$ and $\lambda_{1 k}=\lambda_{k 1}=\lambda_{k}>0$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$ and letting $\lambda_{i j} \rightarrow 0^{+}$for $2 \leq i, j \leq m$ in inequality (3.2) result in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{k}\right)^{\alpha}}{e^{x / \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{k}}-1} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\lambda_{k}^{\alpha}}{e^{x / \lambda_{k}}-1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>0, \lambda_{k}>0$, and $\alpha \geq 0$. Inequality (3.3) can be equivalently formulated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{k}\right)^{\alpha+1} h\left(\frac{x}{\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{k}}\right) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{k}^{\alpha+1} h\left(\frac{x}{\lambda_{k}}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>0, \lambda_{k}>0$, and $\alpha \geq 0$.
Combining inequality (3.4) with equation (3.1) yields that, when $\rho \leq 1$ and $\theta \geq 0$, the second derivative $[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]^{\prime \prime}$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.
The complete monotonicity of $[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]^{\prime \prime}$ implies that the first derivative $[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]^{\prime}$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$. Therefore, by virtue of the limit

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}[\ln x-\psi(x)]=0
$$

in [8, Theorem 1] and [9, Sect. 1.4], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}}[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]^{\prime}= & \lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+1} \psi\left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)-\rho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{\theta+1} \psi\left(1+a_{i} x\right)\right] \\
& +\varrho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \ln p_{i} \\
= & \psi(1)\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+1}-\rho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{\theta+1}\right]+\varrho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \ln p_{i} \\
& \begin{cases}=0, & \theta=0, \rho=1, \varrho=0 \\
<0, & \theta=0, \rho=1, \varrho>0 \\
<0, & \theta=0, \rho<1, \varrho \geq 0 \\
<0, & \theta>0, \rho \leq 1, \varrho \geq 0\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi(1)=-0.577 \ldots$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]^{\prime}= \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+1} \psi\left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)-\rho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{\theta+1} \psi\left(1+a_{i} x\right)\right] \\
&+\varrho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \ln p_{i} \\
&= \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+1}\left[\psi\left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)-\ln \left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)\right]\right. \\
&\left.-\rho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{\theta+1}\left[\psi\left(1+a_{i} x\right)-\ln \left(1+a_{i} x\right)\right]\right\}+\varrho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \ln p_{i} \\
&+\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+1} \ln \left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)-\rho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{\theta+1} \ln \left(1+a_{i} x\right)\right] \\
&= \varrho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \ln p_{i}+\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \ln \frac{\left(1+x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+1}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+a_{i} x\right)^{\rho a_{i}^{\theta+1}}} \\
&= \ln \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+1}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{x}+a_{i}\right)^{\rho a_{i}^{\theta+1}}} \\
&+\ln \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+1}-\rho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{\theta+1}}+\varrho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \ln p_{i} \\
&= \varrho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \ln p_{i}+\ln \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+1}}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{a_{i}^{\theta+1}}\right)^{\rho}} \\
&+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \\
\\
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}
\rho=\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{\theta+1}} ; \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} a_{i=1}^{\theta+1}
\end{array} \\
& \rho<\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\theta+1} a_{i} \theta^{\theta+1}\right.}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{\theta+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{m}\right)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_{i}=1$ and $\xi_{i} \in(0,1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $m \geq 2$. Then the first derivative of the function $f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_{i}^{x}$ is $f^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_{i}^{x} \ln \xi_{i}<0$, which implies that the function $f(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Since $f(1)=1$, it follows that $f(x) \lesseqgtr$ 1 if and only if $x \gtreqless 1$. This means that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_{i}^{x} \lesseqgtr 1, \quad x \gtreqless 1 .
$$

Replacing $\xi_{i}=\frac{a_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}}$ and $x=\theta+1$ in the above inequality yields

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{a_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}}\right)^{\theta+1} \lesseqgtr 1, \quad \theta \gtreqless 0
$$

This can be further rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{\theta+1} \lesseqgtr\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+1}, \quad \theta \gtreqless 0, a_{i}>0, m \geq 2 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering inequality (3.5) reveals that
(1) when $\theta=0$, we have

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]^{\prime}=\varrho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \ln p_{i}+ \begin{cases}\ln \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{a_{i}}}+0, & \rho=1 \\ \ln \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{a_{i}}\right)^{\rho}}+\infty, & \rho<1\end{cases}
$$

(2) when $\theta>0$ and $\rho \leq 1$, we have

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]^{\prime}=\varrho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \ln p_{i}+\ln \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\theta+1}}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{a_{i}^{\theta+1}}\right)^{\rho}}+\infty=\infty
$$

Hence, when $\theta=0$ and $\rho<1$ or when $\theta>0$ and $\rho \leq 1$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[\ln \mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, \rho, \varrho, \theta}(x)\right]^{\prime}=\infty ;
$$

when $\theta=0$ and $\rho=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]^{\prime} & =\varrho \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \ln p_{i}+\ln \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{a_{i}}} \\
& =(\varrho-1) \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \ln p_{i}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \frac{a_{i}}{p_{i}}\right) \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \frac{a_{i}}{p_{i}}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \frac{a_{i}}{p_{i}} \ln \frac{a_{i}}{p_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f$ be a convex function on an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, let $m \geq 2$ and $x_{i} \in I$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and let $q_{i}>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i} x_{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality is called Jensen's discrete inequality for convex functions in the literature [13, Sect. 1.4] and [14, Chapter I]. Applying (3.6) to $f(x)=x \ln x$ which is convex on $(0, \infty)$, $x_{i}=\frac{a_{i}}{p_{i}}$, and $q_{i}=p_{i}$ leads to

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \frac{a_{i}}{p_{i}}\right) \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \frac{a_{i}}{p_{i}}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \frac{a_{i}}{p_{i}} \ln \frac{a_{i}}{p_{i}} .
$$

Accordingly,

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]^{\prime} \leq(\varrho-1) \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \ln p_{i} \leq 0, \quad \varrho \geq 1
$$

Consequently, when $\theta=0, \rho=1$, and $\varrho \geq 1$, the function $\mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, \rho, \varrho, \theta}(x)$ is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.
The limit

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left[\ln \mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, 1,0,0}(x)\right]^{\prime}=0
$$

obtained above implies that $\left[\ln \mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, 1,0,0}(x)\right]^{\prime} \geq 0, \mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, 1,0,0}(x)$ is increasing, and then $\left[\ln \mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, 1,0,0}(x)\right]^{\prime}$ is a Bernstein function on $(0, \infty)$.
When $(\rho, \varrho, \theta) \in S$, the limits

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left[\ln \mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, \rho, e, \theta}(x)\right]^{\prime}<0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[\ln \mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, p, e, \theta}(x)\right]^{\prime}=\infty
$$

derived above mean that the first derivative $\left[\ln \mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, \rho, \varrho, \theta}(x)\right]^{\prime}$ has a unique zero on $(0, \infty)$, that is, the functions $\ln \mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, \rho, \varrho, \theta}(x)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{m, a, p, \rho, Q, \theta}(x)$ have a unique minimum on $(0, \infty)$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

## 4 An open problem

Let $m, n \geq 2, \rho, \varrho, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i j}\right)_{m \times n}$ with $\lambda_{i j}>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $\nu_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i j}$ and $\tau_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, and let $p=\left(p_{i j}\right)_{m \times n}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{i j}=1$ and $p_{i j} \in(0,1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{m, n ; i ; p ; ; ; ; ; \theta}(x)=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left[\Gamma\left(1+v_{i} x\right)\right]_{i}^{\nu_{i}^{\theta}} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left[\Gamma\left(1+\tau_{j} x\right)\right]^{\tau_{j}^{\theta}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left[\Gamma\left(1+\lambda_{i j} x\right)\right]^{\rho \lambda_{i j}^{\theta}}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} p_{i j}^{\lambda_{i j}}\right)^{\varrho x} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the infinite interval $(0, \infty)$.
Can one find monotonicity properties for the function $Q_{m, n ; \lambda ; p ; \rho ; ; ; \theta}(x)$ defined in equation (4.1)?

Remark 4.1 This paper is a slightly revised version of the electronic preprint [30].

## Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to anonymous referees for their careful corrections, helpful suggestions, and valuable comments on the original version of this paper.

## Funding

The second author was partially supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea under Grant NRF-2018R1D1A1B07041846, South Korea.

Availability of data and materials
Not applicable.
Competing interests
None of the authors has any competing interests.

## Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

## Author details

${ }^{1}$ Institute of Mathematics, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo, China. ${ }^{2}$ College of Mathematics, Inner Mongolia University for Nationalities, Tongliao, China. ${ }^{3}$ School of Mathematical Sciences, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin, China. ${ }^{4}$ Department of Mathematics Education, Andong National University, Andong, Republic of Korea.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.
Received: 19 February 2020 Accepted: 20 April 2020 Published online: 01 May 2020

## References

1. Abramowitz, M., Stegun, I.A. (eds.): Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, National Bureau of 10th printing edn. Standards, Applied Mathematics Series, vol. 55. Dover, New York (1972)
2. Alzer, H.: Complete monotonicity of a function related to the binomial probability. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 459, 10-15 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2017.10.077
3. Atanassov, R.D., Tsoukrovski, U.V.: Some properties of a class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions. C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. 41, 21-23 (1988)
4. Berg, C.: Integral representation of some functions related to the gamma function. Mediterr. J. Math. 1, 433-439 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-004-0022-6
5. Chen, Y.-C., Mansour, T., Zou, Q.: On the complete monotonicity of quotient of gamma functions. Math. Inequal. Appl. 15, 395-402 (2012). https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-15-33
6. Chung, W.S., Kim, T., Mansour, T.: The $q$-deformed gamma function and $q$-deformed polygamma function. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 51, 1155-1161 (2014). https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2014.51.4.1155
7. Guo, B.-N., Qi, F.: A property of logarithmically absolutely monotonic functions and the logarithmically complete monotonicity of a power-exponential function. Sci. Bull. "Politeh." Univ. Buchar., Ser. A, Appl. Math. Phys. 72, 21-30 (2010)
8. Guo, B.-N., Qi, F.: Two new proofs of the complete monotonicity of a function involving the psi function. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 47, 103-111 (2010). https://doi.org/10.4134/bkms.2010.47.1.103
9. Guo, B.-N., Qi, F., Zhao, J.-L., Luo, Q.-M.: Sharp inequalities for polygamma functions. Math. Slovaca 65, 103-120 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1515/ms-2015-0010
10. Krasniqi, V., Mansour, T., Shabani, A.Sh.: Some monotonicity properties and inequalities for $\Gamma$ and $\zeta$-functions. Math. Commun. 15, 365-376 (2010)
11. Leblanc, A., Johnson, B.C.: On a uniformly integrable family of polynomials defined on the unit interval. J. Inequal Pure Appl. Math. 8(3), Article ID 67 (2007) https://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article878.html
12. Leblanc, A., Johnson, B.C.: A family of inequalities related to binomial probabilities. Tech. Report, Department of Statistics, University of Manitoba, 2006-03
13. Mitrinović, D.S.: Analytic Inequalities. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 165. Springer, New York (1970) In cooperation with P.M. Vasić
14. Mitrinović, D.S., Pečarić, J.E., Fink, A.M.: Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis. Kluwer Academic, Norwell (1993). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-1043-5
15. Olver, F.W.J., Lozier, D.W., Boisvert, R.F., Clark, C.W. (eds.): NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions Cambridge University Press, New York (2010). Available online at http://dlmf.nist.gov/
16. Ouimet, F.: Complete monotonicity of multinomial probabilities and its application to Bernstein estimators on the simplex. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 466, 1609-1617 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2018.06.049
17. Ouimet, F.: Complete monotonicity of a ratio of gamma functions and some combinatorial inequalities for multinomial coefficients (2019). arXiv preprint, available online at https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.05262
18. Qi, F.: Limit formulas for ratios between derivatives of the gamma and digamma functions at their singularities. Filomat 27, 601-604 (2013). https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1304601Q
19. Qi, F.: A logarithmically completely monotonic function involving the $q$-gamma function (2018). HAL preprint, available online at https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01803352v1
20. Qi, F.: A double inequality for the ratio of two non-zero neighbouring Bernoulli numbers. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 351, 1-5 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2018.10.049
21. Qi, F.: Complete monotonicity for a new ratio of finite many gamma functions (2020). HAL preprint, available online at https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02511909v1
22. Qi, F., Agarwal, R.P.: On complete monotonicity for several classes of functions related to ratios of gamma functions. J. Inequal. Appl. 2019, Paper No. 36, 42 pages (2019) https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-019-1976-z
23. Qi, F., Chapman, R.J.: Two closed forms for the Bernoulli polynomials. J. Number Theory 159, 89-100 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2015.07.021
24. Qi, F., Chen, C.-P.: A complete monotonicity property of the gamma function. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 296, 603-607 (2004) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2004.04.026
25. Qi, F., Guo, B.-N.: Complete monotonicity of divided differences of the di- and tri-gamma functions with applications. Georgian Math. J. 23, 279-291 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1515/gmj-2016-0004
26. Qi, F., Guo, B.-N.: Integral representations and complete monotonicity of remainders of the Binet and Stirling formulas for the gamma function. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat. 111, 425-434 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-016-0302-6
27. Qi, F., Guo, B.-N.: From inequalities involving exponential functions and sums to logarithmically complete monotonicity of ratios of gamma functions (2020). arXiv preprint, available online at https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.02175v1
28. Qi, F., Li, W.-H.: Integral representations and properties of some functions involving the logarithmic function. Filomat 30, 1659-1674 (2016). https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1607659Q
29. Qi, F., Li, W.-H., Yu, S.-B., Du, X.-Y., Guo, B.-N..: A ratio of many gamma functions and its properties with applications (2019). arXiv preprint, available online at https://arXiv.org/abs/1911.05883v1
30. Qi, F., Lim, D.: Monotonicity properties for a ratio of finite many gamma functions (2020). HAL preprint, available online at https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02511883v1
31. Qi, F., Liu, A.-Q.: Completely monotonic degrees for a difference between the logarithmic and psi functions. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 361, 366-371 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2019.05.001
32. Qi, F., Niu, D.-W., Lim, D., Guo, B.-N.: Some logarithmically completely monotonic functions and inequalities for multinomial coefficients and multivariate beta functions (2018). HAL preprint, available online at https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01769288v1
33. Schilling, R.L., Song, R., Vondraček, Z.: Bernstein Functions—Theory and Applications, 2nd edn. de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 37. de Gruyter, Berlin (2012). https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110269338.
34. Temme, N.M.: Special Functions: An Introduction to Classical Functions of Mathematical Physics. Wiley-Interscience, New York (1996). https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118032572
35. Widder, D.V.: The Laplace Transform. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1946)
36. Yang, Z.-H., Tian, J.-F.: A class of completely mixed monotonic functions involving the gamma function with applications. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 146, 4707-4721 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/14199

## Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen ${ }^{\bullet}$ journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at $>$ springeropen.com

