RESEARCH

Open Access

Sharing values of *q*-difference-differential polynomials



Jian Li¹ and Kai Liu^{1*}

*Correspondence: liukai418@126.com; liukai@ncu.edu.cn ¹Department of Mathematics, Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China

Abstract

This paper is devoted to the uniqueness of *q*-difference-differential polynomials of different types. Using the idea of common zeros and common poles (Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. A 35:675–684, 2014), we improve the conditions of the former theorems and obtain some new results on the uniqueness of *q*-difference-differential polynomials of meromorphic functions.

MSC: 30D35

Keywords: Meromorphic functions; *q*-Difference-differential polynomials; Sharing values; Common zeros; Common poles

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, a meromorphic function is assumed meromorphic in the whole complex plane. We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic symbols and fundamental results of Nevanlinna theory; see, for example, [2, 3, 10]. We say that two meromorphic functions f and g share a point a CM (IM) if f(z) - a and g(z) - a have the same zeros counting multiplicities (ignoring multiplicities). The logarithmic density of the set E is defined by

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{\log r}\int_{[1,r]\cap E}\frac{1}{t}\,dt.$$

Denote by S(r, f) a quantity of o(T(r, f)) as $r \to \infty$ outside a possible exceptional set *E* of logarithmic density 0.

Yang and Hua [9] obtained an important result on the uniqueness when the differential polynomials $f^n f'$ and $g^n g'$ share one value CM. Recently, many studies are devoted to the uniqueness of difference and *q*-difference polynomials; see [4–6, 11–14]. Zhang [12] obtained the following result.

Theorem A ([12]) Let f(z) and g(z) be transcendental entire functions of zero order, and let n, m, d be positive integers. If $n \ge m + 5d$ and $f(z)^n (f(z)^m - 1) \prod_{i=1}^d f(q_i z)$ and $g(z)^n \times (g(z)^m - 1) \prod_{i=1}^d g(q_i z)$ share 1 CM, then $f(z) \equiv tg(z), t^{n+d} = t^m = 1$.

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



Liu, Liu, and Cao [4] and Zhang and Korhonen [11] obtained the following two theorems.

Theorem B ([4, Theorem 1.5]) Let f(z) and g(z) be transcendental zero-order entire functions, and let m be a positive integer. If $n \ge m + 5$ and $f(z)^n (f(z)^m - a)f(qz + c)$ and $g(z)^n (g(z)^m - a)g(qz + c)$ share a nonzero polynomial p(z) CM, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

Theorem C ([11, Theorem 5.1]) Let f(z) and g(z) be transcendental zero-order meromorphic functions. If $n \ge 8$ and $f(z)^n f(qz)$ and $g(z)^n g(qz)$ share 1 and ∞ CM, then $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$, $t^{n+1} = 1$.

Zhao and Zhang [13] proved the following theorem.

Theorem D ([13, Theorem 1.4]) Let f(z) and g(z) be transcendental zero-order entire functions, and let k be a positive integer. If $n \ge 2k + 6$ and $(f(z)^n f(qz+c))^{(k)}$ and $(g(z)^n g(qz+c))^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$, where $t^{n+1} = 1$.

Wang and Ye [8] improved the conditions of Theorems B and C to $n \ge m + 4$ and $n \ge 6$, respectively, by using the idea of common zeros and common poles. Here we give the main idea of common zeros and common poles. Let f, g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. Denote by $\overline{n}_0(r)$ or $\overline{n}_1(r)$ the numbers of common zeros or poles of fg and g, ignoring multiplicities. Let p, q be positive integers. We assume that the Laurent series of f and g at z_0 are as follows:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(z-z_0)^p} f_1(z), \qquad g(z) = (z-z_0)^q g_1(z),$$

where $f_1(z)$ and $g_1(z)$ are analytic functions at z_0 , and $f_1(z_0) \neq 0$, $g_1(z_0) \neq 0$; the other cases can be discussed in a similar way. So z_0 is a zero of g(z) with multiplicities q. If q > p, then z_0 is a zero of f(z)g(z) with multiplicity q-p, and thus the contribution to $\overline{n}_0(r)$ is 1 at z_0 . If $q \leq p$, then z_0 is a pole of f(z)g(z) with multiplicity p-q or an analytic point of f(z)g(z), and thus the contribution to $\overline{n}_0(r)$ is 0 at z_0 . A similar method can be discussed for $\overline{n}_1(r)$. As usual, denote by $\overline{N}_0(r)$ or $\overline{N}_1(r)$ the counting functions of the common zeros or poles of fg and g, ignoring multiplicities. Thus we have $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{fg}) \leq \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + \overline{N}_0(r)$ and $\overline{N}(r, fg) \leq \overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}_1(r)$. In this paper, we continue to consider the uniqueness of q-difference-differential polynomials. Firstly, we improve the condition $n \geq m + 5d$ in Theorem A to $n \geq m + d + 3$ in Theorem 1.1. Set

$$L(z,f) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} f(q_i z + c_i),$$

where c_i and $q_i \neq 0$ (i = 1, ..., d) are constants, and d is a positive integer.

Theorem 1.1 Let f(z) and g(z) be transcendental zero-order entire functions, and let m be a positive integer. If $n \ge m + d + 3$ and $f(z)^n (f(z)^m - 1)L(z, f)$ and $g(z)^n (g(z)^m - 1)L(z, g)$ share 1 CM, then $f(z) \equiv c_1 g(z)$, $c_1^{n+d} = c_1^m = 1$.

In the following theorem, we improve the condition $n \ge 2k + 6$ in Theorem D to $n \ge 6$.

Theorem 1.2 Let f(z) and g(z) be transcendental zero-order meromorphic functions, and let k be a positive integer. If $n \ge 6$ and $(f(z)^n f(qz + c))^{(k)}$ and $(g(z)^n g(qz + c))^{(k)}$ share 1 and ∞ CM, then $f(z) \equiv c_2 g(z), c_2^{n+1} = 1$.

We also consider the following theorems for *q*-difference polynomials of different types. The following theorem is also an improvement of Theorem C.

Theorem 1.3 Let f(z) and g(z) be transcendental zero-order meromorphic functions, and let *s* be a positive integer. If $n \ge (d + 1)s + 4$ and $f(z)^n L(z, f)^s$ and $g(z)^n L(z, g)^s$ share 1 and ∞ CM, then $f(z) \equiv c_3 g(z), c_3^{n+sd} = 1$.

Theorem 1.4 Let f(z) and g(z) be transcendental zero-order meromorphic functions, $q, c \in \mathbb{C}$, and $q \neq 0$. If $n \geq 7$, and $f(z)^n (f(qz + c) - f(z))$ and $g(z)^n (g(qz + c) - g(z))$ share 1 and ∞ *CM*, then

 $f(z)^{n} (f(qz + c) - f(z)) = g(z)^{n} (g(qz + c) - g(z)).$

If $\frac{g(z)}{g(az+c)}$ is transcendental with only finitely many zeros, then $f(z) \equiv c_4 g(z)$, where $c_4^{n+1} = 1$.

2 Lemmas

Combining [11, Theorem 1.1] and [1, Theorem 2.1], we easily get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 Let f(z) be a transcendental zero-order meromorphic function, $q, c \in \mathbb{C}$, and $q \neq 0$. Then

$$T(r,f(qz+c)) = T(r,f) + S(r,f)$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1.

Lemma 2.2 ([7]) Let f(z) be a zero-order meromorphic function $q, c \in \mathbb{C}$, and $q \neq 0$. Then

$$m\left(r, \frac{f(qz+c)}{f(z)}\right) = S(r, f)$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1.

Lemma 2.3 If f is a transcendental zero-order entire function, then

$$T(r,f(z)^{n}(f(z)^{m}-1)L(z,f)) = (m+n+d)T(r,f) + S(r,f)$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1.

Proof Set $F(z) = f(z)^n (f(z)^m - 1)L(z, f)$. By Lemma 2.2 and the standard Valiron–Mohon'ko theorem, if *f* is a transcendental zero-order entire function, then

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m+d)T(r,f) &= T\big(r,f^{n+d}\big(f^m-1\big)\big) + S(r,f) \\ &= m\big(r,f^{n+d}\big(f^m-1\big)\big) + S(r,f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq m\left(r, \frac{f^{n+d}(f^m - 1)}{f^n(f^m - 1)L(z, f)}\right) + m(r, F) + S(r, f)$$

$$\leq m\left(r, \frac{f^d}{L(z, f)}\right) + m(r, F) + S(r, f)$$

$$\leq T(r, F) + S(r, f)$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1. On the other hand, combining Lemma 2.1 with the fact that f is a transcendental zero-order function, we have

$$T(r,F) \le T(r,f^n(f^m-1)) + T(r,L(z,f))$$
$$\le (n+m+d)T(r,f) + S(r,f)$$

1

on a set of logarithmic density 1.

3 Proofs of theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Let $F(z) = f^n(f^m - 1)L(z, f)$ and $G(z) = g^n(g^m - 1)L(z, g)$. Since F(z)and G(z) share 1 and ∞ CM, we have that $\frac{F-1}{G-1} = B$, that is,

$$F = BG + 1 - B,\tag{1}$$

where B is a nonzero constant.

If $B \neq 1$, then from the second main theorem of Nevanlinna theory, Lemma 2.1, and Lemma 2.3 we obtain

$$(n+m+d)T(r,f) = T(r,F) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,F) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1+B}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^m-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{L(z,f)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq (m+d+1)\left(T(r,f) + T(r,g)\right) + S(r,f) + S(r,g). \tag{2}$$

Using the same method, we have

$$(n+m+d)T(r,g) \le (m+d+1)\big(T(r,f)+T(r,g)\big) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(3)

Combining (2) with (3), we have

$$(n-m-d-2)(T(r,f)+T(r,g)) \le S(r,f)+S(r,g),$$

which contradicts to $n \ge m + d + 3$. Thus B = 1, and from (1) we have

$$f^{n}(f^{m}-1)L(z,f) = g^{n}(g^{m}-1)L(z,g).$$
(4)

Let
$$h(z) = \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$$
. So $\frac{L(z,f)}{L(z,g)} = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{f(q_i z + c_i)}{g(q_i z + c_i)} = \prod_{i=1}^{d} h(q_i z + c_i) = L(z, h)$, and then (4) can be written as

$$g^{m}(h^{n+m}L(z,h)-1) = h^{n}L(z,h)-1.$$
(5)

Next, we prove that $h(z) \equiv c_1$ and $c_1^{n+d} = c_1^m = 1$, where c_1 is a constant. Assume on the contrary that h(z) is not a constant. From Lemma 2.1 we have

$$T(r,h^{n+m}L(z,h)) \leq (n+m+d)T(r,h) + S(r,h).$$

We also have

$$(n+m)T(r,h) = T(r,h^{n+m})$$

$$\leq T\left(r,\frac{1}{h^{n+m}L(z,h)}\right) + T(r,L(z,h)) + O(1)$$

$$\leq T\left(r,h^{n+m}L(z,h)\right) + dT(r,h) + S(r,h).$$

Since $n \ge m + d + 3$, from the last two inequalities it follows that $S(r, h^{n+m}L(z, h)) = S(r, h)$. Denote by $\overline{N}_1(r)$ the counting function of the common poles of $h^{n+m}L(z, h)$ and L(z, h) ignoring multiplicities. Then

$$\overline{N}(r,h^{n+m}L(z,h)) \leq \overline{N}(r,h) + \overline{N}_1(r).$$

Here we should remark that the poles of L(z, h) may be the zeros of h and the zeros of L(z, h) may be the poles of h. Similarly, denote by $\overline{N}_0(r)$ the counting function of the common zeros of $h^{n+m}L(z,h)$ and L(z,h) ignoring multiplicities, and then

$$\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{h^{n+m}L(z,h)}\right) \leq \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{h}\right) + \overline{N}_0(r).$$

From the second main theorem of Nevanlinna theory and the last two inequalities we have

$$T(r,h^{n+m}L(z,h)) \leq \overline{N}(r,h^{n+m}L(z,h)) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{h^{n+m}L(z,h)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{h^{n+m}L(z,h)-1}\right) + S(r,h^{n+m}L(z,h)) \leq \overline{N}(r,h) + \overline{N}_{1}(r) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{h}\right) + \overline{N}_{0}(r) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{h^{n+m}L(z,h)-1}\right) + S(r,h).$$
(6)

On the other hand,

$$(n+m)m(r,h) = m(r,h^{n+m}) \le m(r,h^{n+m}L(z,h)) + m\left(r,\frac{1}{L(z,h)}\right) + O(1),$$
(7)

$$(n+m)N(r,h) = N(r,h^{n+m}) = N\left(\frac{h^{n+m}L(z,h)}{L(z,h)}\right)$$
$$\leq N(r,h^{n+m}L(z,h)) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{L(z,h)}\right) - \overline{N}_1(r) - \overline{N}_0(r).$$
(8)

From (7) and (8) we get

$$(n+m)T(r,h) \le T\left(r,h^{n+m}L(z,h)\right) + T\left(r,\frac{1}{L(z,h)}\right)$$
$$-\overline{N}_{1}(r) - \overline{N}_{0}(r) + O(1).$$
(9)

From (6) and (9) we get

$$(n+m)T(r,h) \leq \overline{N}(r,h) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{h}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{h^{n+m}L(z,h)-1}\right) + T\left(r,\frac{1}{L(z,h)}\right) + S(r,h)$$
$$\leq (d+2)T(r,h) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{h^{n+m}L(z,h)-1}\right) + S(r,h).$$
(10)

Since $n \ge m + d + 3$, the value 1 is not the Picard exceptional value of $h^{n+m}L(z,h)$ from (10). Furthermore, we prove $h^{n+m}L(z,h) \equiv 1$ and $h(z) \equiv c_1$ is a nonzero constant. If $h^{n+m}L(z,h) \not\equiv 1$, then since 1 is not the Picard exceptional value of $h^{n+m}L(z,h)$, there exists a point z_0 satisfying $h(z_0)^{n+m}L(z,h(z_0)) = 1$. From the condition that g(z) is an entire function and (5) we have $h(z_0)^m = 1$ and

$$\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{h^{n+m}L(z,h)-1}\right) \le \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{h^m-1}\right) \le mT(r,h) + S(r,h).$$
(11)

Substituting (11) into (10), we get a contradiction to $n \ge m + d + 3$, so $h^{n+m}L(z,h) \equiv 1$, that is, $h^{n+m} = \frac{1}{L(z,h)}$. From Lemma 2.1 we have

$$(n+m)T(r,h) = T(r,L(z,h)) \leq dT(r,h) + S(r,h),$$

which also contradicts to $n \ge m + d + 3$, so $h(z) \equiv c_1$, where c_1 is a nonzero constant, that is, $f(z) \equiv c_1g(z)$, and $L(z,h) = c_1^d$. From (5) we can get $c_1^m = c_1^{n+d} = 1$. Thus the theorem is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Let $F(z) = f(z)^n f(qz+c)$ and $G(z) = g(z)^n g(qz+c)$. From the condition in Theorem 1.2 we know that $F^{(k)}$ and $G^{(k)}$ share 1 and ∞ CM, so

$$\frac{F^{(k)}-1}{G^{(k)}-1}=C,$$

where *C* is a nonzero constant, that is,

$$F^{(k)} = CG^{(k)} - C + 1.$$
(12)

Integrating both sides of (12), we have

$$F = CG + \frac{1 - C}{k!} z^k + p_1(z), \tag{13}$$

where $p_1(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most k - 1. Denote $\frac{1-C}{k!}z^k + p_1(z)$ by p(z). If $p(z) \neq 0$, then by the second main theorem of Nevanlinna theory, Lemma 2.1, and (13) we obtain

$$T(r,F) \leq \overline{N}(r,F) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-p}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,f) + \overline{N}_{1}(r) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}_{0}(r) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq 2T(r,f) + 2T(r,g) + \overline{N}_{1}(r) + \overline{N}_{0}(r) + S(r,f) + S(r,g), \qquad (14)$$

where $\overline{N}_0(r)$ denotes the counting function ignoring multiplicities of the common zeros of F(z) and f(qz + c), and $\overline{N}_1(r)$ denotes the counting function ignoring multiplicities of the common poles of F(z) and f(qz + c). On the other hand,

$$nm(r,f) = m(r,f^{n}) \leq m(r,F) + m\left(r,\frac{1}{f(qz+c)}\right) + O(1).$$

$$nN(r,f) = N\left(r,f^{n}\right) = N\left(\frac{F(z)}{f(qz+c)}\right)$$

$$\leq N(r,F) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f(qz+c)}\right) - \overline{N}_{1}(r) - \overline{N}_{0}(r).$$

$$(16)$$

From (15), (16), and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$(n-1)T(r,f) \le T(r,F) - \overline{N}_1(r) - \overline{N}_0(r) + O(1).$$
(17)

Substituting (14) into (17), we obtain

$$(n-3)T(r,f) \le 2T(r,g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(18)

Using the same method, we also get

$$(n-3)T(r,g) \le 2T(r,f) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(19)

Combining (18) with (19), we have

$$(n-5)\big(T(r,g)+T(r,f)\big) \le S(r,f)+S(r,g),$$

which contradicts to $n \ge 6$, and thus $p(z) \equiv 0$. Since the degree of $p_1(z)$ is at most k - 1, we have C = 1 and $p_1(z) \equiv 0$. From (13) we get

$$f^n f(qz+c) = g^n g(qz+c).$$

Assume that $h(z) = \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$. Then $h(qz+c)h(z)^n = 1$, that is, $h(z)^n = \frac{1}{h(qz+c)}$, and from Lemma 2.1 we have

$$nT(r,h) = T(r,h(qz+c)) \leq T(r,h) + S(r,h),$$

which also contradicts to $n \ge 6$, so h(z) is a nonzero constant, say c_2 . So $f(z) \equiv c_2 g(z)$, and $c_2^{n+1} = 1$. Thus the theorem is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Since f(z) and g(z) are transcendental zero-order meromorphic functions and $f(z)^n L(z, f)^s$ and $g(z)^n L(z, g)^s$ share 1 and ∞ CM, we have

$$\frac{f(z)^n L(z,f)^s - 1}{g(z)^n L(z,g)^s - 1} = E,$$
(20)

where E is a nonzero constant. Then (20) can be rewritten as

$$Eg(z)^{n}L(z,g)^{s} = f(z)^{n}L(z,f)^{s} - 1 + E.$$
(21)

Let $F(z) = f(z)^n L(z, f)^s$ and $G(z) = g(z)^n L(z, g)^s$. We affirm that E = 1. On the contrary, assume that $E \neq 1$. Using the second main theorem of Nevanlinna theory and Lemma 2.1 for (21), we get

$$T(r,F) \leq \overline{N}(r,F) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1+E}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,f) + \overline{N}_{1}(r) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}_{0}(r) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq 2T(r,f) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{g}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{L(z,g)}\right) + \overline{N}_{1}(r) + \overline{N}_{0}(r) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq 2T(r,f) + (d+1)T(r,g) + \overline{N}_{1}(r) + \overline{N}_{0}(r) + S(r,f) + S(r,g), \qquad (22)$$

where $\overline{N}_0(r)$ denotes the counting function ignoring multiplicities of the common zeros of F(z) and L(z, f), and $\overline{N}_1(r)$ denotes the counting function ignoring multiplicities of the common poles of F(z) and L(z, f).

Since $F(z) = f(z)^n L(z, f)^s$, we have

$$nm(r,f) = m(r,f^{n}) \le m(r,F) + sm\left(r,\frac{1}{L(z,f)}\right) + O(1),$$

$$nN(r,f) = N(r,f^{n}) = N\left(r,F(z)\left[\frac{1}{L(z,f)}\right]^{s}\right)$$

$$\le N(r,F) + sN\left(r,\frac{1}{L(z,f)}\right) - \overline{N}_{1}(r) - \overline{N}_{0}(r).$$

So

$$nT(r,f) \le T(r,F) + sT\left(r,\frac{1}{L(z,f)}\right) - \overline{N}_1(r) - \overline{N}_0(r) + O(1)$$

$$\le T(r,F) + dsT(r,f) - \overline{N}_1(r) - \overline{N}_0(r) + S(r,f).$$
(23)

Substituting (22) into (23), we obtain

$$(n - ds - 2)T(r, f) \le (d + 1)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$
(24)

Using the same method, we can get

$$(n - ds - 2)T(r,g) \le (d + 1)T(r,f) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(25)

Combining (24) with (25), it follows

$$(n - d - ds - 3)(T(r,g) + T(r,f)) \le S(r,f) + S(r,g),$$

which contradicts to $n \ge (d + 1)s + 4$, and thus E = 1. From (21) we get

$$f(z)^{n}L(z,f)^{s} = g(z)^{n}L(z,g)^{s}.$$

Let $h(z) = \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$. So $\frac{L(z,f)}{L(z,g)} = L(z,h)$. Then

$$h(z)^{n} [L(z,h)]^{s} = 1.$$
 (26)

So $nT(r,h) = sT(r,L(z,h)) \le sdT(r,h) + S(r,h)$. Since $n \ge (d+1)s + 4$, h(z) must be a constant, say c_3 , that is, $f(z) \equiv c_3g(z)$. Then from (26) it follows that $c_3^{n+sd} = 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4 Letting L(z,f) = f(qz + c) - f(z) and L(z,g) = g(qz + c) - g(z), s = 1 in Theorem 1.3, we obtain that if $n \ge 7$, then

$$f(z)^n (f(qz+c) - f(z)) = g(z)^n (g(qz+c) - g(z)).$$

Let $h(z) = \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$ and $H(z) = h(qz + c)h(z)^n$. The last equation implies that

$$g(qz+c)(H(z)-1) = g(z)(h(z)^{n+1}-1).$$
(27)

We know that $T(r,H) \le (n+1)T(r,h) + S(r,h)$ from the expression of H(z) and Lemma 2.1. Thus S(r,H) = S(r,h). Next, we prove that $h(z) \equiv c_4$, where $c_4^{n+1} = 1$, when $\frac{g(z)}{g(qz+c)}$ is transcendental with only finitely many zeros. Obviously, h(z) is neither a constant except c_4 nor a rational function from (27) since $\frac{g(z)}{g(qz+c)}$ is transcendental. Thus we assume that h(z) is a transcendental meromorphic function.

First, we affirm that H(z) - 1 has infinitely many zeros. Otherwise, by the second main theorem of Nevanlinna theory and Lemma 2.1

$$T(r,H(z)) \leq \overline{N}(r,H(z)) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{H(z)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{H(z)-1}\right) + S(r,H)$$

$$\leq 2T(r,h(z)) + 2T(r,h(qz+c)) + S(r,h)$$

$$\leq 4T(r,h(z)) + S(r,h).$$
(28)

From the Valiron-Mohon'ko theorem, Lemma 2.1, and (28) we obtain

$$nT(r,h) = T(r,h(z)^n) \le T(r,H(z)) + T\left(r,\frac{1}{h(qz+c)}\right) + S(r,h)$$
$$\le 5T(r,h(z)) + S(r,h),$$

which contradicts to $n \ge 7$. Thus H(z) - 1 has infinitely many zeros.

Then we prove that $H(z) \equiv 1$ and $h(z) \equiv c_4$ is a nonzero constant, that is, $c_4^{n+1} = 1$. If $H(z) \neq 1$, then since H(z) - 1 has infinitely many zeros, we can choose a point z_0 satisfying $H(z_0) = 1$, and z_0 is not the zero of $\frac{g(z)}{g(qz+c)}$. From (27) we have $h(qz_0 + c) = h(z_0)$. By Lemma 2.1

$$\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{H(z)-1}\right) \le \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{h(qz+c)-h(z)}\right) \le 2T\left(r,h(z)\right) + S(r,h).$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Using the second main theorem of Nevanlinna theory, Lemma 2.1, and (29), we obtain

$$T(r,H(z)) \leq \overline{N}(r,H(z)) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{H(z)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{H(z)-1}\right) + S(r,h)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,h) + \overline{N}_{1}(r) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{h}\right) + \overline{N}_{0}(r) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{H(z)-1}\right) + S(r,h)$$

$$\leq 4T(r,h) + \overline{N}_{1}(r) + \overline{N}_{0}(r) + S(r,h), \qquad (30)$$

where $\overline{N}_0(r)$ denotes the counting function ignoring multiplicities of the common zeros of H(z) and h(qz + c), and $\overline{N}_1(r)$ denotes the counting function ignoring multiplicities of the common poles of H(z) and h(qz + c).

On the other hand, from $H(z) = h(qz + c)h(z)^n$ we have

$$nm(r,h) = m(r,h^n) \le m(r,H(z)) + m\left(r,\frac{1}{h(qz+c)}\right) + O(1),$$
(31)

$$nN(r,h) = N(r,h^n) \le N(r,H(z)) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{h(qz+c)}\right) - \overline{N}_1(r) - \overline{N}_0(r).$$
(32)

From (31), (32), and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$nT(r,h) \leq T\left(r,H(z)\right) + T\left(r,\frac{1}{h(qz+c)}\right) - \overline{N}_{1}(r) - \overline{N}_{0}(r) + O(1)$$
$$\leq T\left(r,H(z)\right) + T(r,h) - \overline{N}_{1}(r) - \overline{N}_{0}(r) + S(r,h).$$
(33)

Substituting (30) into (33), we obtain

$$nT(r,h) \le 5T(r,h) + S(r,h),$$

which contradicts to $n \ge 7$, so $H(z) = h(qz + c)h(z)^n \equiv 1$, that is, $h(z)^n = \frac{1}{h(qz+c)}$. From Lemma 2.1 we have

$$nT(r,h) = T(r,h(qz+c)) \le T(r,h) + S(r,h),$$

which also contradicts to $n \ge 7$, so h(z) is a nonzero constant, say c_4 , and from (27) we get $c_4^{n+1} = 1$. Thus the theorem is proved.

Acknowledgements

The authors thanks the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions, which improved the presentation of this manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the NSFC (No. 11661052) and the outstanding youth scientist foundation plan of Jiangxi (No. 20171BCB23003).

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing not applicable to this paper as no data sets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Both authors drafted the manuscript, read, and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 10 June 2019 Accepted: 28 April 2020 Published online: 13 May 2020

References

- 1. Chiang, Y.M., Feng, S.J.: On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z + \eta)$ and difference equations in the complex plane. Ramanujan J. **16**(1), 105–129 (2008)
- 2. Hayman, W.K.: Meromorphic Functions. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1964)
- 3. Laine, I.: Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations. de Gruyter, Berlin (1993)
- Liu, K., Liu, X.L., Cao, T.B.: Uniqueness and zeros of q-shift difference polynomials. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 121(3), 301–310 (2011)
- Liu, K., Liu, X.L., Cao, T.B.: Value distribution and uniqueness of difference polynomials. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2011, Article ID 234215 (2011)
- Liu, K., Liu, X.L., Cao, T.B.: Some difference results on Hayman conjecture and uniqueness. Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 38(4), 1007–1020 (2012)
- 7. Liu, K., Qi, X.G.: Meromorphic solutions of q-shift difference equations. Ann. Pol. Math. 101, 215–225 (2011)
- 8. Wang, Q.Y., Ye, Y.S.: Value distribution and uniqueness of difference polynomials for meromorphic functions. Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. A **35**, 675–684 (2014) (in Chinese)
- 9. Yang, C.C., Hua, X.H.: Uniqueness and value sharing of meromorphic functions. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Math. 22(2), 395–406 (1997)
- 10. Yang, C.C., Yi, H.X.: Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (2003)
- Zhang, J.L., Korhonen, R.J.: On the Nevanlinna characteristic of *f(qz)* and its applications. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 369, 537–544 (2010)
- 12. Zhang, K.Y.: Uniqueness of *q*-difference polynomials of meromorphic functions. Adv. Mater. Res. **756–759**, 2948–2951 (2013)
- Zhao, Q.X., Zhang, J.L.: Zeros and shared one value of q-shift difference polynomials. J. Contemp. Math. Anal. 50(2), 63–69 (2015)
- 14. Zheng, X.M., Xu, H.Y.: On value distribution and uniqueness of meromorphic function with finite logarithmic order concerning its derivative and *q*-shift difference. J. Inequal. Appl. **2014**, Article ID 295 (2014)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com