

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero of third-order neutral dynamic equations on time scales

Yang-Cong Qiu<sup>1</sup>, Irena Jadlovská<sup>2</sup>, Kuo-Shou Chiu<sup>3</sup> and Tongxing Li<sup>4,5\*</sup>

\*Correspondence:

litongx2007@163.com

<sup>4</sup>School of Control Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan, P.R. China

<sup>5</sup>LinDa Institute of Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Network Based Intelligent Computing, Linyi University, Linyi, P.R. China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

We study the existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero of a class of third-order nonlinear neutral dynamic equations on time scales by employing Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem. Two examples are given to illustrate the significance of the conclusions.

**MSC:** 34K11; 34N05; 39A10; 39A13

**Keywords:** Nonoscillatory solution; Neutral dynamic equation; Third-order; Time scale

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero of a class of third-order nonlinear neutral dynamic equations

$$(r_1(t)(r_2(t)(x(t) + p(t)x(g(t)))^\Delta)^\Delta)^\Delta + f(t, x(h(t))) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

on a time scale  $\mathbb{T}$  satisfying  $\sup \mathbb{T} = \infty$ , where  $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} = [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$  with  $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ . The following conditions are assumed to hold throughout this paper:

(C1)  $r_1, r_2 \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, (0, \infty))$ ;

(C2)  $p \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) = p_0$ , where  $|p_0| < 1$ ;

(C3)  $g, h \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{T})$ ,  $g(t) \leq t$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = \infty$ ; if  $p_0 \in (-1, 0]$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{c_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} c_k = \infty$  and  $g(c_{k+1}) = c_k$ ;

(C4)  $f \in C([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $f(t, x)$  is nondecreasing in  $x$ , and  $xf(t, x) > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ .

The details of the theory of time scales can be found in [1–4, 8, 9] and hence they are omitted here. In recent years, the existence of nonoscillatory solutions of neutral dynamic equations on time scales has been studied successively in [6, 7, 11, 13–17]. Zhu and Wang [17] were concerned with a first-order neutral dynamic equation

$$[x(t) + p(t)x(g(t))]^\Delta + f(t, x(h(t))) = 0.$$

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Afterward, Deng and Wang [6] and Gao and Wang [7] investigated a second-order neutral dynamic equation

$$[r(t)(x(t) + p(t)x(g(t)))^\Delta]^\Delta + f(t, x(h(t))) = 0$$

under the different assumptions  $\int_{t_0}^\infty 1/r(t)\Delta t = \infty$  and  $\int_{t_0}^\infty 1/r(t)\Delta t < \infty$ , respectively. Furthermore, Qiu [11] studied (1.1) with  $\int_{t_0}^\infty 1/r_1(t)\Delta t = \int_{t_0}^\infty 1/r_2(t)\Delta t = \infty$ , whereas other cases of the convergence and divergence of  $\int_{t_0}^\infty 1/r_1(t)\Delta t$  and  $\int_{t_0}^\infty 1/r_2(t)\Delta t$  were considered in [14–16]. Similar sufficient conditions for the existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero of neutral dynamic equations have been presented. However, it is not easy to find a necessary condition for equations to have a nonoscillatory solution tending to zero asymptotically.

Mojsej and Tartal'ová [10] studied the asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions to a third-order differential equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{p(t)}\left(\frac{1}{r(t)}x'(t)\right)'\right)' + q(t)f(x(t)) = 0.$$

They stated some necessary and sufficient conditions ensuring the existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero. Motivated by [10], Qiu [12] studied the existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero of (1.1) under the conditions  $0 \leq p_0 < 1$  and  $g(t) \geq t$ . The conclusions extend and improve the results reported in the papers [11, 14–16].

The purpose of this paper is to further discuss the same problem of (1.1) with  $|p_0| < 1$  and  $g(t) \leq t$ . The existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero of (1.1) is established by employing Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem. Finally, two examples are presented to show the versatility of the conclusions.

## 2 Auxiliary results

Let  $BC[T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  denote the Banach space of all bounded continuous functions mapping  $[T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  with the norm  $\|x\| = \sup_{t \in [T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}} |x(t)|$ . For the sake of convenience, we define

$$z(t) = x(t) + p(t)x(g(t)), \tag{2.1}$$

and state the following lemmas which will be used in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [5, Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem]) *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $\Omega$  be a bounded, convex, and closed subset of  $X$ . If there exist two operators  $U, V : \Omega \rightarrow X$  such that  $Ux + Vy \in \Omega$  for all  $x, y \in \Omega$ , where  $U$  is a contraction mapping and  $V$  is completely continuous, then  $U + V$  has a fixed point in  $\Omega$ .*

**Lemma 2.2** *Suppose that  $x$  is an eventually positive solution of (1.1) and there exists a constant  $a \geq 0$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = a$ . Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \frac{a}{1 + p_0}.$$

The proof is similar to those of [6, Lemma 2.3], [7, Theorem 1], and [17, Theorem 7], and thus is omitted.

### 3 Main results

In this section, our existence criteria for eventually positive solutions tending to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  of (1.1) are established by employing Krasnoselskii’s fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** *Assume that*

$$H_1(t_0) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^s \frac{f(u, 2H_1(h(u)))}{r_1(s)} \Delta u \Delta s < \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$H_1(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \frac{\Delta v}{r_2(v)},$$

which satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_1(g(t))/H_1(t) = 1$ . Then (1.1) has an eventually positive solution  $x$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ , where  $r_2 z^\Delta$  and  $r_1(r_2 z^\Delta)^\Delta$  are both eventually negative.

*Proof* Suppose that (3.1) holds. There will be two cases to be considered.

Case (i).  $0 \leq p_0 < 1$ . Take  $p_1$  such that  $p_0 < p_1 < (1 + 4p_0)/5 < 1$ . When  $p_0 > 0$ , choose a sufficiently large  $T_0 \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) > 0, \quad \frac{5p_1 - 1}{4} \leq p(t) \leq p_1 < 1, \quad p(t) \frac{H_1(g(t))}{H_1(t)} \geq \frac{5p_1 - 1}{4}, \quad t \in [T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ \int_{T_0}^{\infty} \int_{T_0}^s \frac{f(u, 2H_1(h(u)))}{r_1(s)} \Delta u \Delta s \leq \frac{1 - p_1}{4}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

When  $p_0 = 0$ , choose  $p_1$  such that  $|p(t)| \leq p_1 \leq 1/13$  for  $t \in [T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ . In view of (C3), there exists a  $T_1 \in (T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  such that  $g(t) \geq T_0$  and  $h(t) \geq T_0$  for  $t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

Define

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in BC[T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} : H_1(t) \leq x(t) \leq 2H_1(t)\}.$$

It is easy to prove that  $\Omega_1$  is a bounded, convex, and closed subset of  $BC[T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Define  $U_1$  and  $V_1: \Omega_1 \rightarrow BC[T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (U_1 x)(t) &= \begin{cases} (U_1 x)(T_1), & t \in [T_0, T_1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ 3p_1 H_1(t)/2 - p(t)x(g(t)), & t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \end{cases} \\ (V_1 x)(t) &= \begin{cases} (V_1 x)(T_1), & t \in [T_0, T_1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ 3H_1(t)/2 \\ \quad + \int_t^{\infty} \int_{T_1}^v \int_{T_1}^s f(u, x(h(u)))/(r_1(s)r_2(v)) \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v, & t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

We can prove that  $U_1$  and  $V_1$  satisfy all conditions in Lemma 2.1. The proof is expatiatory but similar to those of [6, Theorem 2.5], [7, Theorem 2], [12, Theorem 3.1], and [17,

Theorem 8]; so we omit it here. By virtue of Lemma 2.1, there exists an  $x \in \Omega_1$  such that  $(U_1 + V_1)x = x$ . Hence, for  $t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we have

$$x(t) = \frac{3(1 + p_1)}{2}H_1(t) - p(t)x(g(t)) + \int_t^\infty \int_{T_1}^v \int_{T_1}^s \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v.$$

Since

$$\int_t^\infty \int_{T_1}^v \int_{T_1}^s \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v \leq H_1(t) \int_{T_1}^\infty \int_{T_1}^s \frac{f(u, 2H_1(h(u)))}{r_1(s)} \Delta u \Delta s$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_1(t) \int_{T_1}^\infty \int_{T_1}^s \frac{f(u, 2H_1(h(u)))}{r_1(s)} \Delta u \Delta s = 0,$$

we arrive at  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = 0$ , which implies that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$  with the help of Lemma 2.2. For  $t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we obtain

$$r_2(t)z^\Delta(t) = -\frac{3(1 + p_1)}{2} - \int_{T_1}^t \int_{T_1}^s \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)} \Delta u \Delta s < 0$$

and

$$r_1(t)(r_2(t)z^\Delta(t))^\Delta = - \int_{T_1}^t f(u, x(h(u))) \Delta u < 0.$$

Case (ii).  $-1 < p_0 < 0$ . Take  $p_1$  satisfying  $-p_0 < p_1 < (1 - 4p_0)/5 < 1$ . Choose a sufficiently large  $T_0 \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  such that (3.2) holds and

$$p(t) < 0, \quad \frac{5p_1 - 1}{4} \leq -p(t) \leq p_1 < 1, \quad -p(t) \frac{H_1(g(t))}{H_1(t)} \geq \frac{5p_1 - 1}{4}, \quad t \in [T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Case (i), define  $V_1$  as in (3.3) and  $U'_1$  on  $\Omega_1$  as follows:

$$(U'_1 x)(t) = \begin{cases} (U'_1 x)(T_1), & t \in [T_0, T_1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ -3p_1 H_1(t)/2 - p(t)x(g(t)), & t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, there exists an  $x \in \Omega_1$  such that  $(U'_1 + V_1)x = x$ . For  $t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we have

$$x(t) = \frac{3(1 - p_1)}{2}H_1(t) - p(t)x(g(t)) + \int_t^\infty \int_{T_1}^v \int_{T_1}^s \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v$$

and we arrive at the same conclusions as in Case (i). This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 3.2** *Assume that*

$$H_1(t_0) = \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{t_0}^\infty \int_{t_0}^v \frac{1}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta s \Delta v = \infty.$$

*Then (1.1) has no eventually positive solutions  $x$  satisfying that  $r_2 z^\Delta$  and  $r_1 (r_2 z^\Delta)^\Delta$  are both eventually negative.*

*Proof* Suppose that  $x$  is an eventually positive solution of (1.1), and there exists a  $T_0 \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  such that

$$r_2(t)z^\Delta(t) < 0, \quad r_1(t)(r_2(t)z^\Delta(t))^\Delta < 0, \quad t \in [T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

From (C3), there exists a  $T_1 \in (T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  such that  $h(t) \geq T_0$  for  $t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Integrating (1.1) from  $T_1$  to  $s, s \in [\sigma(T_1), \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , by (C4) we obtain

$$r_1(s)(r_2(s)z^\Delta(s))^\Delta - r_1(T_1)(r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1))^\Delta = - \int_{T_1}^s f(u, x(h(u))) \Delta u < 0,$$

which yields

$$(r_2(s)z^\Delta(s))^\Delta < \frac{r_1(T_1)(r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1))^\Delta}{r_1(s)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Integrating (3.4) from  $T_1$  to  $v, v \in [\sigma(T_1), \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we get

$$r_2(v)z^\Delta(v) - r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1) < r_1(T_1)(r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1))^\Delta \int_{T_1}^v \frac{1}{r_1(s)} \Delta s$$

or

$$z^\Delta(v) < \frac{r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1)}{r_2(v)} + \frac{r_1(T_1)(r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1))^\Delta}{r_2(v)} \int_{T_1}^v \frac{1}{r_1(s)} \Delta s. \tag{3.5}$$

Integrating (3.5) from  $T_1$  to  $t, t \in [\sigma(T_1), \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &< z(T_1) + r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1) \int_{T_1}^t \frac{1}{r_2(v)} \Delta v \\ &\quad + r_1(T_1)(r_2(T_1)z^\Delta(T_1))^\Delta \int_{T_1}^t \int_{T_1}^v \frac{1}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta s \Delta v. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $z(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ . From (2.1), it follows that  $p_0 \in (-1, 0]$ , and then there exist a  $T_2 \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  and a  $p_1$  with  $-p_0 < p_1 < 1$  such that  $z(t) < 0$  or

$$x(t) < -p(t)x(g(t)) \leq p_1x(g(t)), \quad t \in [T_2, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

By (C3), choose some positive integer  $k_0$  such that  $c_k \in [T_2, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ . Then, for any  $k \geq k_0 + 1$ , we have

$$x(c_k) < p_1x(c_{k-1}) < p_1^2x(c_{k-2}) < \dots < p_1^{k-k_0}x(c_{k_0}).$$

This inequality implies that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x(c_k) = 0$ . It follows from (2.1) that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z(c_k) = 0$  which contradicts  $z(t) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** *Assume that*

$$H_2(t_0) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{t_0}^\infty f(t, 2H_2(h(t))) \Delta t < \infty, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$H_2(t) = \int_t^\infty \int_v^\infty \frac{1}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta s \Delta v,$$

which satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_2(g(t))/H_2(t) = 1$ . Then (1.1) has an eventually positive solution  $x$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ , where  $r_2 z^\Delta$  is eventually negative and  $r_1(r_2 z^\Delta)^\Delta$  is eventually positive.

*Proof* Suppose that (3.6) holds. There are two cases to be considered.

Case (i).  $0 \leq p_0 < 1$ . Take  $p_1$  as in Case (i) of Theorem 3.1. When  $p_0 > 0$ , choose a sufficiently large  $T_0 \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  such that

$$p(t) > 0, \quad \frac{5p_1 - 1}{4} \leq p(t) \leq p_1 < 1, \quad p(t) \frac{H_2(g(t))}{H_2(t)} \geq \frac{5p_1 - 1}{4}, \quad t \in [T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}},$$

$$\int_{T_0}^\infty f(t, 2H_2(h(t))) \Delta t \leq \frac{1 - p_1}{4}.$$

When  $p_0 = 0$ , choose  $p_1$  such that  $|p(t)| \leq p_1 \leq 1/13$  for  $t \in [T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ . By virtue of (C3), there exists a  $T_1 \in (T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  such that  $g(t) \geq T_0$  and  $h(t) \geq T_0$  for  $t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

Define

$$\Omega_2 = \{x \in BC[T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} : H_2(t) \leq x(t) \leq 2H_2(t)\} \tag{3.7}$$

and  $U_2, V_2: \Omega_2 \rightarrow BC[T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  as follows:

$$(U_2x)(t) = \begin{cases} (U_2x)(T_1), & t \in [T_0, T_1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ 3p_1H_2(t)/2 - p(t)x(g(t)), & t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \end{cases}$$

$$(V_2x)(t) = \begin{cases} (V_2x)(T_1), & t \in [T_0, T_1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ 3H_2(t)/2 + \int_t^\infty \int_v^\infty \int_s^\infty f(u, x(h(u)))/(r_1(s)r_2(v)) \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v, & t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

The remainder of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 and so is omitted. By Lemma 2.1, there exists an  $x \in \Omega_2$  such that  $(U_2 + V_2)x = x$ . For  $t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we have

$$x(t) = \frac{3(1 + p_1)}{2} H_2(t) - p(t)x(g(t)) + \int_t^\infty \int_v^\infty \int_s^\infty \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v.$$

Since

$$\int_t^\infty \int_v^\infty \int_s^\infty \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v \leq H_2(t) \int_{T_1}^\infty f(u, 2H_2(h(u))) \Delta u$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_2(t) \int_{T_1}^\infty f(u, 2H_2(h(u))) \Delta u = 0,$$

we get  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = 0$ , which implies that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$  due to Lemma 2.2. For  $t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we obtain

$$r_2(t)z^\Delta(t) = -\frac{3(1+p_1)}{2} \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{r_1(s)} \Delta s - \int_t^\infty \int_s^\infty \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)} \Delta u \Delta s < 0$$

and

$$r_1(t)(r_2(t)z^\Delta(t))^\Delta = \frac{3(1+p_1)}{2} + \int_t^\infty f(u, x(h(u))) \Delta u > 0.$$

Case (ii).  $-1 < p_0 < 0$ . Introduce  $BC[T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  and its subset  $\Omega_2$  as in (3.7). Define  $V_2$  as in (3.8) and  $U'_2$  on  $\Omega_2$  as follows:

$$(U'_2 x)(t) = \begin{cases} (U'_2 x)(T_1), & t \in [T_0, T_1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ -3p_1 H_2(t)/2 - p(t)x(g(t)), & t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}. \end{cases}$$

The following proof is similar to Case (i) and we omit it here. There exists an  $x \in \Omega_2$  such that  $(U'_2 + V_2)x = x$ . For  $t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we have

$$x(t) = \frac{3(1-p_1)}{2} H_2(t) - p(t)x(g(t)) + \int_t^\infty \int_v^\infty \int_s^\infty \frac{f(u, x(h(u)))}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta u \Delta s \Delta v$$

and obtain the similar results as in Case (i). This completes the proof. □

### 4 Examples

In this section, two examples are presented to show the applications of our results. The first example is given to illustrate Theorem 3.1.

*Example 4.1* Let  $\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty [3n - 2, 3n]$ . For  $t \in [4, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , consider

$$\left( t^4 \left( t^2 \left( x(t) - \frac{t-1}{2t} x(t-3) \right)^\Delta \right)^\Delta \right)^\Delta + tx^3(t) + \frac{x(t)}{t^2} = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Here,  $r_1(t) = t^4$ ,  $r_2(t) = t^2$ ,  $p(t) = -(t-1)/(2t)$ ,  $g(t) = t-3$ ,  $h(t) = t$ , and  $f(t, x) = tx^3 + x/t^2$ . It is obvious that the coefficients of (4.1) satisfy (C1)–(C4). Since

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{\Delta t}{r_2(t)} = \int_4^\infty \frac{\Delta t}{t^2} < 1$$

and

$$H_1(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{\Delta v}{v^2} < 1,$$

we obtain

$$f(u, 2H_1(h(u))) = u \cdot (2H_1(u))^3 + \frac{2H_1(u)}{u^2} < 8u + \frac{2}{u^2} < 9u$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^s \frac{f(u, 2H_1(h(u)))}{r_1(s)} \Delta u \Delta s &< 9 \int_4^{\infty} \int_4^s \frac{u}{s^4} \Delta u \Delta s \\ &< 9 \int_4^{\infty} \int_4^s \frac{1}{s^3} \Delta u \Delta s < 9 \int_4^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \Delta s < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1, we see that (4.1) has an eventually positive solution  $x$  satisfying  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ , where  $r_2 z^\Delta$  and  $r_1(r_2 z^\Delta)^\Delta$  are both eventually negative.

Now, we give the second example to demonstrate Theorems 3.2 and 3.3.

*Example 4.2* Let  $\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [2^n - 1, 2^n]$ . For  $t \in [3, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , consider

$$\left( t^3 \left( t \left( \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{t} \right) x(t) \right)^\Delta \right)^\Delta \right)^\Delta + \frac{1}{t^2} x \left( \frac{t}{2} \right) = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Here,  $r_1(t) = t^3$ ,  $r_2(t) = t$ ,  $p(t) = 1/2 + 1/t$ ,  $g(t) = t$ ,  $h(t) = t/2$ , and  $f(t, x) = x/t^2$ . It is obvious that the coefficients of (4.2) satisfy (C1)–(C4). Since

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta t}{r_2(t)} = \int_3^{\infty} \frac{\Delta t}{t} = \infty,$$

in terms of Theorem 3.2, we deduce that (4.2) has no eventually positive solutions  $x$  satisfying  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ , where  $r_2 z^\Delta$  and  $r_1(r_2 z^\Delta)^\Delta$  are both eventually negative. However, we have

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \int_v^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_1(s)r_2(v)} \Delta s \Delta v = \int_3^{\infty} \int_v^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^3 v} \Delta s \Delta v < \infty$$

and

$$H_2(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \int_v^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^3 v} \Delta s \Delta v.$$

Furthermore, there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$f(t, 2H_2(h(t))) = \frac{2}{t^2} H_2 \left( \frac{t}{2} \right) \leq \frac{2M}{t^2}$$

and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} f(t, 2H_2(h(t))) \Delta t \leq 2M \int_3^{\infty} \frac{\Delta t}{t^2} < \infty.$$

By virtue of Theorem 3.3, we conclude that (4.2) has an eventually positive solution  $x$  satisfying  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ , where  $r_2 z^\Delta$  is eventually negative and  $r_1(r_2 z^\Delta)^\Delta$  is eventually positive.

**Acknowledgements**

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the editors for the careful reading of the original manuscript and useful comments that helped to improve the presentation of the results and accentuate important details.



### Funding

The research of the first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P. R. China (Grant No. 11671406) and Natural Science Program for Young Creative Talents of Innovation Enhancing College Project of Department of Education of Guangdong Province (Grant Nos. 2017GKQNCX111 and 2018-KJZX039). The research of the second author was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency (Grant No. APVV-18-0373). The research of the third author was supported by FGI 10-18 DIUMCE and PGI 03-2020 DIUMCE. The research of the fourth author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P. R. China (Grant No. 61503171), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2015M582091), and Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (Grant No. ZR2016JL021).

### Availability of data and materials

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Authors' contributions

All four authors contributed equally to this work. They all read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>School of Humanities, Shunde Polytechnic, Foshan, P.R. China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Theoretical Informatics, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Informatics, Technical University of Košice, Košice, Slovakia. <sup>3</sup>Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad Metropolitana de Ciencias de la Educación, Santiago, Chile. <sup>4</sup>School of Control Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan, P.R. China. <sup>5</sup>LinDa Institute of Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Network Based Intelligent Computing, Linyi University, Linyi, P.R. China.

### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 27 March 2020 Accepted: 1 May 2020 Published online: 24 May 2020

### References

1. Agarwal, R.P., Bohner, M.: Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications. *Results Math.* **35**, 3–22 (1999)
2. Agarwal, R.P., Bohner, M., O'Regan, D., Peterson, A.: Dynamic equations on time scales: a survey. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **141**, 1–26 (2002)
3. Bohner, M., Peterson, A.: *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*. Birkhäuser, Boston (2001)
4. Bohner, M., Peterson, A.: *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*. Birkhäuser, Boston (2003)
5. Chen, Y.S.: Existence of nonoscillatory solutions of  $n$ th order neutral delay differential equations. *Funkc. Ekvacioj* **35**, 557–570 (1992)
6. Deng, X.-H., Wang, Q.-R.: Nonoscillatory solutions to second-order neutral functional dynamic equations on time scales. *Commun. Appl. Anal.* **18**, 261–280 (2014)
7. Gao, J., Wang, Q.R.: Existence of nonoscillatory solutions to second-order nonlinear neutral dynamic equations on time scales. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **43**, 1521–1535 (2013)
8. Hilger, S.: Ein Maßkettenkalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrumsmannigfaltigkeiten. Ph.D. thesis, Universität Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany (1988)
9. Hilger, S.: Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus. *Results Math.* **18**, 18–56 (1990)
10. Mojsej, I., Tartal'ová, A.: On nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero of third-order nonlinear differential equations. *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* **48**, 135–143 (2011)
11. Qiu, Y.-C.: Nonoscillatory solutions to third-order neutral dynamic equations on time scales. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2014**, 309 (2014)
12. Qiu, Y.-C.: On nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero of third-order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2016**, 10 (2016)
13. Qiu, Y.-C., Jadlovská, I., Lassoued, D., Li, T.X.: Nonoscillatory solutions to higher-order nonlinear neutral dynamic equations. *Symmetry* **11**, 302 (2019)
14. Qiu, Y.-C., Wang, H.X., Jiang, C.M., Li, T.X.: Existence of nonoscillatory solutions to third-order neutral functional dynamic equations on time scales. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **11**, 274–287 (2018)
15. Qiu, Y.-C., Wang, Q.-R.: Existence of nonoscillatory solutions to higher-order nonlinear neutral dynamic equations on time scales. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **41**, 1935–1952 (2018)
16. Qiu, Y.-C., Zada, A., Tang, S.H., Li, T.X.: Existence of nonoscillatory solutions to nonlinear third-order neutral dynamic equations on time scales. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10**, 4352–4363 (2017)
17. Zhu, Z.-Q., Wang, Q.-R.: Existence of nonoscillatory solutions to neutral dynamic equations on time scales. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **335**, 751–762 (2007)