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#### Abstract

A Kirchhoff-type problem with concave-convex nonlinearities is studied. By constrained variational methods on a Nehari manifold, we prove that this problem has a sign-changing solution with least energy. Moreover, we show that the energy level of this sign-changing solution is strictly larger than the double energy level of the ground state solution.
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## 1 Introduction

We study the following Kirchhoff-type equation with concave-convex nonlinearities:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(a+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2}+\lambda b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u^{2}\right)(-\Delta u+b u)  \tag{1.1}\\
\quad=Q(x)|u|^{p-1} u+\kappa G(x)|u|^{q-1} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a>0, b>0, \lambda>0, \kappa<0, p \in(3,5), q \in(0,1)$, and $Q, G \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$satisfying the following conditions:
$\left(Q_{1}\right)$ There exists $\beta \in[0, p-2)$ such that $\limsup _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{Q(x)}{|x|^{\beta}}<+\infty$;
$\left(G_{1}\right) G(x) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$.
In recent years, the following elliptic problem has been investigated by many researchers $[1,3,6,9,17,20]:$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(a+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \Delta u=f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3},  \tag{1.2}\\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $a>0, b>0$. The term $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2}$ in (1.2) has an interesting physical application. Moreover, this problem is related to the stationary analogue of the

[^0]following equation proposed by Kirchhoff [10]:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \Delta u=f(x, u) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Inspired by the variational framework given by Lions [12], problem (1.3) has been investigated by many researchers, and the reader is referred to [ $5,7,11,13,19,22$ ] and the references therein for more details.

Shuai [16] studied the ground state sign-changing solution of problem (1.2) by using Brouwer degree theory, where $f(x, u)$ is replaced with $f(u)$ with the following hypotheses:
$\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right): f(s)=o(|s|)$ as $s \rightarrow 0$;
$\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\right):$ For some constant $p \in\left(4,2^{*}\right), \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s^{p-1}}=0$, where $2^{*}=+\infty$ for $N=1,2$ and $2^{*}=6$ for $N=3$;
$\left(f_{3}^{\prime}\right): \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(s)}{s^{4}}=+\infty$, where $F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(t) d t$;
$\left(f_{4}^{\prime}\right): \frac{f(s)}{|s|^{3}}$ is an increasing function with respect to $s \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.
Huang and Liu [8] obtained the ground state sign-changing solutions of problem (1.4) with accurately two nodal domains

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(1+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right)\right)[\Delta u+V(x) u]=|u|^{p-1} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \in(3,5), \lambda>0$ and $V \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ is to ensure the establishment of compactness.
Deng et al. [4] showed the existence of radial sign-changing solutions $u_{k}^{b}$ of problem (1.5)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(a+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \Delta u+V(x) u=f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}  \tag{1.5}\\
u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

by constrained minimization on the Nehari manifold, where $k$ is any positive integer. Ye [21] studied the existence of least energy sign-changing solutions for problem (1.5), where $f(x, u)$ is replaced with $f(u)$.

Shao and Mao [15] got at least one sign-changing solution of problem (1.6) with concaveconvex nonlinearities

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \Delta u=\mu g(x, u)+f(x, u), \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.6}\\
u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

by using the method of invariant sets of descending flow.
Motivated by the aforementioned works, we prove the existence of sign-changing solutions with least energy for problem (1.1) with concave-convex nonlinearities and unbounded potential by constrained variational methods on a Nehari manifold.

Now we will give the main results by Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Theorem 1.1 Assume that $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ and $\left(G_{1}\right)$ hold, then, for $a>0, b>0, \lambda>0$, and $\kappa<0$, problem (1.1) has one least energy sign-changing solution with accurately two nodal domains.

Theorem 1.2 Assume that $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ and $\left(G_{1}\right)$ hold, then, for $a>0, b>0, \lambda>0$, and $\kappa<0$, problem (1.1) has one least energy solution. Moreover $m_{\lambda}>2 c_{\lambda}$, where $m_{\lambda}$ and $c_{\lambda}$ are defined by (2.3) and (2.5) respectively.

Remark 1.3 Comparing with Shuai [16], Huang and Liu [8], Deng et al. [4], and Ye [21], the difference is to consider Kirchhoff-type equation with concave and convex terms, where $Q(x)$ is unbounded at infinity. Moreover, since $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is not compact for $q \in(0,1)$, this means that the appearance of concave and convex terms has greatly increased the difficulty of problem (1.1). Shao and Mao [15] got sign-changing solutions for Kirchhoff equation with concave and convex terms by using the method of invariant sets of descending flow. However, we want to obtain ground state sign-changing solutions of (1.1) by variational methods and constrained minimization on the sign-changing Nehari manifold. It should be addressed that our methods are different to those in [15].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we give some notations and the main lemmas related to the proof of our main results. Sections 3 and 4 give the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, respectively.

## 2 Some notations and preliminary lemmas

Here are some notations to be used in this paper.

- $C$ denotes a positive constant;
- $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ denotes the usual Sobolev space with the norm $\|u\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+b|u|^{2}\right)$;
- $|\cdot|$ denotes the usual norm $L^{\bar{q}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for $\bar{q} \in[1, \infty)$;
- $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right):=\left\{u: u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), u(x)=u(|x|)\right\}$;
- $u^{+}:=\max \{u, 0\}$ and $u^{-}:=\min \{u, 0\}$.

Lemma 2.1 (see Berestycki and Lions [2]) Let $N \geq 2$ and $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, Then

$$
|u(r)| \leq C_{0}\|u\| r^{\frac{1-N}{2}} \quad \text { for } r \geq 1
$$

where $C_{0}>0$ is only related to $N$.

Remark 2.2 For any $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, by $\left(Q_{1}\right)$, $\left(G_{1}\right)$, and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)|u|^{p+1} \leq C_{1}\|u\|^{p+1}
$$

and

$$
\left.\left.\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\right| u\right|^{q+1}\left|\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\right| G(x)| | u\right|^{q+1} \leq|G(x)|_{2}|u|_{2(q+1)}^{q+1} \leq C_{1}\|u\|^{q+1} .
$$

The energy functional $J_{\lambda} \in C^{1}\left(H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ is well defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} a\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \lambda\|u\|^{4}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)|u|^{p+1}-\frac{1}{q+1} \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)|u|^{q+1} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $u, v \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=a(u, v)+\lambda\|u\|^{2}(u, v)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)|u|^{p-1} u v-\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)|u|^{q-1} u v . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to get a sign-changing solution $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$ of (1.1), the following functionals need to be established:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\lambda}(u)=J_{\lambda}\left(u^{+}\right)+J_{\lambda}\left(u^{-}\right)+\frac{\lambda}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}, \\
& \left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u^{+}\right\rangle=\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u^{+}\right), u^{+}\right\rangle+\lambda\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}, \\
& \left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u^{-}\right\rangle=\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u^{-}\right), u^{-}\right\rangle+\lambda\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us define

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}=\left\{u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right): u^{ \pm} \neq 0,\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u^{+}\right\rangle=\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u^{-}\right\rangle=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\lambda}:=\inf \left\{J_{\lambda}(u): u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}\right\} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}=\left\{u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \backslash\{0\}:\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\lambda}:=\inf \left\{J_{\lambda}(u): u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}\right\} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3 Assume that $\left(Q_{1}\right),\left(G_{1}\right)$, and $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ hold, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)|u|^{q+1} .
$$

In particular,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{q+1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u^{ \pm}\right|^{q+1}
$$

Proof If $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, then $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{\bar{q}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for $\bar{q} \in(2,6)$. According to [18, Theorem A.4, p. 134], we can obtain that $\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} \rightarrow|u|^{q+1}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\right| u_{n}\right|^{q+1}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)|u|^{q+1} \mid \\
& \quad \leq\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|G(x)|| | u_{n}\right|^{q+1}-|u|^{q+1} \mid \\
& \quad \leq\left.|G(x)|_{2}| | u_{n}\right|^{q+1}-\left.|u|^{q+1}\right|_{2} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)|u|^{q+1}$. Similarly, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{q+1}=$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u^{ \pm}\right|^{q+1}$.

Lemma 2.4 Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. If $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$, there exists a unique pair $\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right) \in(0,+\infty) \times(0,+\infty)$ such that $s_{u} u^{+}+t_{u} u^{-} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$. Moreover,

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(s_{u} u^{+}+t_{u} u^{-}\right)=\max _{s, t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}\left(s u^{+}+t u^{-}\right) .
$$

Proof Let $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{1}(s, t)= & a s^{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}+\lambda s^{4}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{4}+\lambda s^{2} t^{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2} \\
& -s^{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{3}} Q(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{p+1}-\kappa s^{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{3}} G(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{q+1},  \tag{2.6}\\
g_{2}(s, t)= & a t^{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}+\lambda t^{4}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{4}+\lambda s^{2} t^{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2} \\
& -t^{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{3}} Q(x)\left|u^{-}\right|^{p+1}-\kappa t^{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{3}} G(x)\left|u^{-}\right|^{q+1} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

According to Remark 2.2, for $\kappa<0$, we have $g_{i}(s, s)>0$ as $s>0$ small and $g_{i}(t, t)<0$ as $t>0$ large, where $i=1,2$. Then there exists $0<\mu<\nu$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}(\mu, \mu)>0, \quad g_{i}(\nu, \nu)<0 . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), we have that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
g_{1}(\mu, t)>0, & g_{1}(\nu, t)<0, & t \in[\mu, \nu], \\
g_{2}(s, \mu)>0, & g_{2}(s, \nu)<0, & s \in[\mu, \nu] .
\end{array}
$$

From Miranda's theorem [14], there exists a pair $\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right)$ such that

$$
g_{1}\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right)=0, \quad g_{2}\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right)=0, \quad \mu<s_{u}, t_{u}<v .
$$

Thus, $s_{u} u^{+}+t_{u} u^{-} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$.
Secondly, we prove the uniqueness. Let both $\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ and ( $s_{2}, t_{2}$ ) satisfy $u_{i}=s_{i} u^{+}+t_{i} u^{-} \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}(i=1,2)$ and $u_{1}=s_{1} u^{+}+t_{1} u^{-}=m s_{2} u^{+}+n t_{2} u^{-}=m u_{2}^{+}+n u_{2}^{-}$, where $m=\frac{s_{1}}{s_{2}}, n=\frac{t_{1}}{t_{2}}$. By (2.6) and (2.7),

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}^{u_{1}}(1,1)=g_{1}^{u_{2}}(m, n)=g_{1}^{u_{2}}(1,1)=0  \tag{2.9}\\
& g_{2}^{u_{1}}(1,1)=g_{2}^{u_{2}}(m, n)=g_{2}^{u_{2}}(1,1)=0 . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

We only need to prove that $m=n=1$. Now, assume that $0<m \leq n$. By (2.9) and (2.10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}^{u_{2}}(1,1)-\frac{g_{1}^{u_{2}}(m, n)}{m^{4}}=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}^{u_{2}}(1,1)-\frac{g_{2}^{u_{2}}(m, n)}{n^{4}}=0 . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m<1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-\frac{1}{m^{2}}\right) a\left\|u_{2}^{+}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\frac{n^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \lambda\left\|u_{2}^{-}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{2}^{+}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad=\left(1-m^{p-3}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)\left|u_{2}^{+}\right|^{p+1}+\left(1-m^{q-3}\right) \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u_{2}^{+}\right|^{q+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

this is impossible for $\kappa<0$. Then $m \geq 1$. Similarly, if $n>1$, (2.12) is impossible. Then $n \leq 1$. Thus $m=n=1$.

At last, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\lambda}(s, t)= & J_{\lambda}\left(s u^{+}+t u^{-}\right) \\
= & \frac{a}{2} s^{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{4} s^{4}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{4}-\frac{s^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{p+1}-\frac{s^{q+1}}{q+1} \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}_{3}} G(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{q+1} \\
& +\frac{a}{2} t^{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{4} t^{4}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{4}-\frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)\left|u^{-}\right|^{p+1}-\frac{t^{q+1}}{q+1} \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}_{3}} G(x)\left|u^{-}\right|^{q+1} \\
& +\frac{\lambda}{2} s^{2} t^{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, for $\kappa<0$, we have $H_{\lambda}(s, t)>0$ as $|(s, t)| \rightarrow 0, H_{\lambda}(s, t)<0$ as $|(s, t)| \rightarrow \infty$, and $H_{\lambda}$ cannot achieve the maximum point on $\partial \mathbb{R}^{+2}$. Without loss of generality, we only prove that $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ is not a maximum point of $H_{\lambda}$. For $s>0$ small enough,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial H_{\lambda}}{\partial s}\left(s, t_{0}\right)= & a s\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}+\lambda s^{3}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{4}+\lambda s t_{0}^{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2} \\
& -s^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{p+1}-s^{q} \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}_{3}} G(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{q+1}>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

this implies that $H_{\lambda}\left(s, t_{0}\right)$ is an increasing function with respect to $s$, where $s>0$ is small enough, then $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ is not a maximum point of $H_{\lambda}$. Thus, there exists $\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{+2}$ such that

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(s_{u} u^{+}+t_{u} u^{-}\right)=\max _{s, t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}\left(s u^{+}+t u^{-}\right) .
$$

Lemma 2.5 Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. If $\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u^{ \pm}\right\rangle \leq 0$, there exists $\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right) \in$ $(0,1] \times(0,1]$ such that $s_{u} u^{+}+t_{u} u^{-} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ for $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$.

Proof Let $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$, by Lemma 2.4 , there exists a pair $\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{u}^{2} a\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}+s_{u}^{4} \lambda\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{4}+s_{u}^{2} t_{u}^{2} \lambda\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad-s_{u}^{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{p+1}-s_{u}^{q+1} \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{q+1}=0 . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u^{ \pm}\right\rangle \leq 0$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}+\lambda\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{4}+\lambda\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{p+1}-\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{q+1} \leq 0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, assume that $0<t_{u} \leq s_{u}$. If $s_{u}>1$, by (2.13) and (2.14),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-\frac{1}{s_{u}^{2}}\right) a\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\frac{t_{u}^{2}}{s_{u}^{2}}\right) \lambda\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left(1-s_{u}^{p-3}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{p+1}+\left(1-s_{u}^{q-3}\right) \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{q+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is contradictory for $\kappa<0$. Then $s_{u} \leq 1$. From $0<t_{u} \leq s_{u}$, we obtain that $0<t_{u} \leq$ $s_{u} \leq 1$.

Lemma 2.6 Under the assumptions of Theorem $1.1, m_{\lambda}>0$ can be achieved.

Proof For all $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$
a\|u\|^{2} \leq a\|u\|^{2}+\lambda\|u\|^{4}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)|u|^{p+1}+\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)|u|^{q+1} \leq C_{1}\|u\|^{p+1} .
$$

Then there exists $C \geq C_{1}$ such that $\|u\| \geq\left(\frac{a}{C}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}>0$. Since

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\lambda}(u) & =J_{\lambda}(u)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)|u|^{p+1}-\frac{1}{q+1} \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)|u|^{q+1} \\
& -\frac{a}{4}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{4}\|u\|^{4}+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)|u|^{p+1}+\frac{1}{4} \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)|u|^{q+1} \\
& =\frac{a}{4}\|u\|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)|u|^{p+1}-\left(\frac{1}{q+1}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)|u|^{q+1} \\
& \geq \frac{a}{8}\|u\|^{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\kappa<0$. Then

$$
m_{\lambda}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}} J_{\lambda}(u)>0 .
$$

Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ and $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow m_{\lambda}$. By Remark 2.2, we have

$$
1+m_{\lambda} \geq J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p+1}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \geq \frac{a}{8}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}
$$

This shows that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Then there exists $u_{\lambda} \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that $u_{n}^{ \pm} \rightharpoonup$ $u_{\lambda}^{ \pm}$in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, $u_{n}^{ \pm} \rightarrow u_{\lambda}^{ \pm}$in $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for $q \in(2,6)$ and $u_{n}^{ \pm}(x) \rightarrow u_{\lambda}^{ \pm}(x)$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset$ $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$, we have

$$
0<C \leq a\left\|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|^{2}+\lambda\left\|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|^{4}+\lambda\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{p+1}+\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{q+1}
$$

By Fatou's lemma and Lemma 2.3,

$$
a\left\|u_{\lambda}^{ \pm}\right\|^{2}+\lambda\left\|u_{\lambda}^{ \pm}\right\|^{4}+\lambda\left\|u_{\lambda}^{+}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{\lambda}^{-}\right\|^{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)\left|u_{\lambda}^{ \pm}\right|^{p+1}+\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u_{\lambda}^{ \pm}\right|^{q+1}
$$

this implies that

$$
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right), u_{\lambda}^{ \pm}\right\rangle \leq 0 .
$$

By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 , there exists $\left(s_{u_{\lambda}}, t_{u_{\lambda}}\right) \in(0,1] \times(0,1]$ such that $\widetilde{u}_{\lambda}=s_{u_{\lambda}} u_{\lambda}^{+}+t_{u_{\lambda}} u_{\lambda}^{-} \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{\lambda} & \leq J_{\lambda}\left(\widetilde{u}_{\lambda}\right)-\frac{1}{p+1}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{u}_{\lambda}\right), \widetilde{u}_{\lambda}\right\rangle \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) a\left\|\widetilde{u}_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \lambda\left\|\widetilde{u}_{\lambda}\right\|^{4}-\left(\frac{1}{q+1}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|\widetilde{u}_{\lambda}\right|^{q+1} \\
& \leq \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} a\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}+\frac{p-3}{4(p+1)} \lambda\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{4}-\frac{p-q}{(q+1)(p+1)} \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u_{\lambda}\right|^{q+1} \\
& \leq \liminf _{n}\left\{\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{p-3}{4(p+1)} \lambda\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}-\frac{p-q}{(q+1)(p+1)} \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}\right\} \\
& =\liminf _{n}\left(J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p+1}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =m_{\lambda},
\end{aligned}
$$

this implies that $s_{u_{\lambda}}=t_{u_{\lambda}}=1$. Thus, $\widetilde{u}_{\lambda}=u_{\lambda}$ and $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=m_{\lambda}$.

## 3 Sign-changing solutions

Lemma 3.1 Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. If $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ and $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=m_{\lambda}$, then $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=0$.

Proof Suppose that $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) \neq 0$, then there are $\sigma, \delta>0$ such that

$$
\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)\right\| \geq \sigma, \quad \forall\left\|u-u_{\lambda}\right\| \leq 3 \delta .
$$

Let $D=(0.5,1.5) \times(0.5,1.5)$. By Lemma 2.4, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota:=\max _{(s, t) \in \partial D} J_{\lambda}\left(s u_{\lambda}^{+}+t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right)<m_{\lambda} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\varepsilon:=\min \left\{\left(m_{\lambda}-\imath\right) / 2, \sigma \delta / 8\right\}$ and $S:=B\left(u_{\lambda}, \delta\right)$, Willem [18, Lemma 2.3] produce a deformation $\eta$ such that
(i) $\eta(1, u)=u$ if $u \notin J_{\lambda}^{-1}\left(\left[m_{\lambda}-2 \varepsilon, m_{\lambda}+2 \varepsilon\right]\right) \cap S_{2 \delta}$;
(ii) $\eta\left(1, J_{\lambda}^{m_{\lambda}+\varepsilon} \cap S\right) \subset J_{\lambda}^{m_{\lambda}-\varepsilon}$;
(iii) $J_{\lambda}(\eta(1, u)) \leq J_{\lambda}(u)$ for all $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

At first, we show that

$$
\max _{(s, t) \in \bar{D}} J_{\lambda}\left(\eta\left(1, s u_{\lambda}^{+}+t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right)\right)<m_{\lambda} .
$$

For all $(s, t) \in \bar{D}$, by Lemma 2.4, we obtain $J_{\lambda}\left(s u_{\lambda}^{+}+t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right) \leq m_{\lambda}<m_{\lambda}+\varepsilon$, that is, $s u_{\lambda}^{+}+t u_{\lambda}^{-} \in$ $J_{\lambda}^{m_{\lambda}+\varepsilon}$. Therefore, $J_{\lambda}\left(\eta\left(1, s u_{\lambda}^{+}+t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right)\right) \leq m_{\lambda}-\varepsilon$.

Next, we prove that

$$
\eta\left(1, s u_{\lambda}^{+}+t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right) \cap \mathcal{M}_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall(s, t) \in \bar{D} .
$$

Define $h(s, t)=\eta\left(1, s u_{\lambda}^{+}+t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right)$and $\psi:[0,1] \times \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$, for any $\vartheta \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(\vartheta,(s, t))= & \left(\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\eta\left(\vartheta, s u_{\lambda}^{+}+t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right)\right),\left(\eta\left(\vartheta, s u_{\lambda}^{+}+t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right)\right)^{+}\right\rangle,\right. \\
& \left.\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\eta\left(\vartheta, s u_{\lambda}^{+}+t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right)\right),\left(\eta\left(\vartheta, s u_{\lambda}^{+}+t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right)\right)^{-}\right\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{0}=\psi_{0}(1, \cdot)=\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(s u_{\lambda}^{+}+t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right) s u_{\lambda}^{+}, J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(s u_{\lambda}^{+}+t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right) t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right\rangle, \\
& \psi_{1}=\psi_{1}(1, \cdot)=\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(h(s, t)) h^{+}(s, t), J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(h(s, t)) h^{-}(s, t)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a simple calculation, $\operatorname{deg}\left(\psi_{0}, D, 0\right)=1$. According to (3.1), we obtain that $u_{\lambda}=h$ on $\partial D$ and from homotopy invariance that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\psi_{1}, D, 0\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\psi_{0}, D, 0\right)=1
$$

Then there exists a pair $\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in D$ such that $\psi_{1}\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0$ and $\eta\left(1, s_{0} u_{\lambda}^{+}+t_{0} u_{\lambda}^{-}\right)=h\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$, which contradicts (3.1). Therefore, $u_{\lambda}$ is a critical point of $J_{\lambda}$, and so a sign-changing solution of (1.1).

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Firstly, by the preceding lemmas, there exists $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ such that $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=m_{\lambda}$ and $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=0$. Thus, problem (1.1) has one least energy sign-changing solution $u_{\lambda}$.
Secondly, we prove that $u_{\lambda}$ has only two nodal domains. Assume that $u_{\lambda}=u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{i} \not \equiv 0, \quad u_{1} \geq 0, \quad u_{2} \leq 0, \\
& \operatorname{supp}\left(u_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(u_{j}\right)=\emptyset, \quad i \neq j, i, j=1,2,3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $w=u_{1}+u_{2}$ with $w^{+}=u_{1}$ and $w^{-}=u_{2}$, i.e., $w^{ \pm} \neq 0$. Since $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w), w^{+}\right\rangle=\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right), u_{1}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right), u_{1}\right\rangle=0, \\
& \left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w), w^{-}\right\rangle=\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right), u_{2}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right), u_{2}\right\rangle=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.5, there exists $\left(s_{w}, t_{w}\right) \in(0,1] \times(0,1]$ such that

$$
s_{w} w^{+}+t_{w} w^{-}=s_{w} u_{1}+t_{w} u_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}, \quad m_{\lambda} \leq J_{\lambda}\left(s_{w} u_{1}+t_{w} u_{2}\right) .
$$

Note that $\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right), u_{\lambda}\right\rangle=0$ and $\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(s_{w} u_{1}+t_{w} u_{2}\right), s_{w} u_{1}+t_{w} u_{2}\right\rangle=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{\lambda}= & J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)-\frac{1}{p+1}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right), u_{\lambda}\right\rangle \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) a\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \lambda\left(\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{q+1}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|u_{\lambda}\right|^{q+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
> & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) a\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \lambda\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{4}+2\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{2}\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|^{4}\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{q+1}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left(\left|u_{1}\right|^{q+1}+\left|u_{2}\right|^{q+1}\right) \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) a\left(\left\|s_{w} u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|t_{w} u_{2}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \lambda\left(\left\|s_{w} u_{1}\right\|^{4}+2\left\|s_{w} u_{1}\right\|^{2}\left\|t_{w} u_{2}\right\|^{2}+\left\|t_{w} u_{2}\right\|^{4}\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{q+1}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left(\left|s_{w} u_{1}\right|^{q+1}+\left|t_{w} u_{2}\right|^{q+1}\right) \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) a\left\|s_{w} u_{1}+t_{w} u_{2}\right\|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \lambda\left(\|\left(\left\|s_{w} u_{1}+t_{w} u_{2}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{q+1}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left|s_{w} u_{1}+t_{w} u_{2}\right|^{q+1} \\
= & J_{\lambda}\left(s_{w} u_{1}+t_{w} u_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{p+1}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(s_{w} u_{1}+t_{w} u_{2}\right), s_{w} u_{1}+t_{w} u_{2}\right\rangle \\
= & J_{\lambda}\left(s_{w} u_{1}+t_{w} u_{2}\right) \\
\geq & m_{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction.

## 4 Ground state solutions

Lemma 4.1 (Mountain pass theorem [18]) Let $X$ be a Banach space, $I \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R}), e \in X$, and $\rho>0$ such that $\|e\|>\rho$ and

$$
\inf _{\|u\|=\rho} I(u)>I(0) \geq I(e)
$$

If I satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I(\gamma(t)), \\
& \Gamma:=\{\gamma \in C([0,1], X): \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=e\},
\end{aligned}
$$

then $c$ is a critical value of $I$.

Lemma 4.2 Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, there exist $e \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $\rho>0$ such that $\|e\|>\rho$ and $\inf _{\|u\|=\rho} J_{\lambda}(u)>J_{\lambda}(0)>J_{\lambda}(e)$.

Proof For all $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, by Remark 2.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(u) & =\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)|u|^{p+1}-\frac{\kappa}{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)|u|^{q+1} \\
& \geq \frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{C_{1}}{p+1}\|u\|^{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

then there exists $\rho>0$ such that

$$
b:=\inf _{\|u\|=\rho} J_{\lambda}(u)>0=J_{\lambda}(0)
$$

Let $t \geq 0$, we have

$$
J_{\lambda}(t u)=\frac{t^{2}}{2} a\|u\|^{2}+\frac{t^{4}}{4} \lambda\|u\|^{4}-\frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)|u|^{p+1}-\frac{t^{q+1}}{q+1} \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)|u|^{q+1},
$$

then there exists $e:=t u$ such that $\|e\|>\rho$ and $J_{\lambda}(e)<0$.

Lemma 4.3 Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2. $J_{\lambda}$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition.

Proof Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (2.15) in Lemma 2.6 above, it is easy to see that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Going if necessary to a subsequence, $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for $s \in(2,6)$, and $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, then by $\left(G_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\right| u_{n}\right|^{q}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mid \\
& \quad \leq\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|G(x)|| | u_{n}\right|^{q}\left|u_{n}-u\right| \mid \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|G(x)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2 q}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \leq|G(x)|_{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2 q+2}\right)^{\frac{q}{2 q+2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2 q+2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 q+2}} \\
& \quad \leq C|G(x)|_{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q}\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{2 q+2} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0, \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}-|u|^{p}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a+ & \left.\lambda\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle+\lambda\left(\|u\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)\left\langle u, u_{n}-u\right\rangle \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}-|u|^{p}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} G(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}-|u|^{p}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

Set

$$
c_{1}=\inf _{u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \max _{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(t u) .
$$

Lemma 4.4 Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, we have $c=c_{\lambda}=c_{1}$.

Proof Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.4, for all $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \backslash\{0\}$, there exists unique $t_{u} u \in$ $\mathcal{N}$ such that $J_{\lambda}\left(t_{u} u\right)=\max _{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(t u)$, this implies that $c_{\lambda} \leq c_{1}$.

For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, it follows from the property of $\mathcal{N}$ that $\gamma(t)$ crosses $\mathcal{N}$ as $t$ varying over $[0,1]$. Since $\gamma(0)=0, J_{\lambda}(\gamma(1))<0$, then

$$
\max _{t \in[0,1]} J_{\lambda}(\gamma(t)) \geq \inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}} J_{\lambda}(u)=c_{\lambda} .
$$

Therefore $c \geq c_{\lambda}$. On the other hand, for $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \backslash\{0\}$, we have that $J_{\lambda}(t u)<0$ for $t$ large enough, and then

$$
\max _{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(t u) \geq \max _{t \in[0,1]} J_{\lambda}(t u) \geq \inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} J_{\lambda}(\gamma(t))=c .
$$

Therefore $c_{1} \geq c$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 According to Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4, we obtain that problem (1.1) has one least energy solution.

Now we prove $m_{\lambda}>2 c_{\lambda}$. By the proof of Theorem 1.1, there exists $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ such that $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=m_{\lambda}$. By Lemmas 2.4 and 4.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{\lambda} & =J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) \\
& \geq J_{\lambda}\left(s u_{\lambda}^{+}+t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right) \\
& =J_{\lambda}\left(s u_{\lambda}^{+}\right)+J_{\lambda}\left(t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right)+\frac{s^{2} t^{2}}{2} \lambda\left\|u_{\lambda}^{+}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{\lambda}^{-}\right\|^{2} \\
& >J_{\lambda}\left(s u_{\lambda}^{+}\right)+J_{\lambda}\left(t u_{\lambda}^{-}\right) \\
& \geq 2 c_{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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