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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the generalized $q$-theory of the $q$-Mellin transform and its certain properties in a set of $q$-generalized functions. Some related $q$-equivalence relations, $q$-quotients of sequences, $q$-convergence definitions, and $q$-delta sequences are represented. Along with that, a new $q$-convolution theorem of the estimated operator is obtained on the generalized context of $q$-Boehmians. On top of that, several results and $q$-Mellin spaces of $q$-Boehmians are discussed. Furthermore, certain continuous $q$-embeddings and an inversion formula are also discussed.
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## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

The quantum calculus or the $q$-calculus theory has been given a noticeable importance and popularity due to its wide application in various fields of mathematics, statistics, and physics [1]. The $q$-calculus theory has appeared as a connection between mathematics and physics. Recently, this topic has attracted the attention of several researchers, and a variety of results have been derived in various areas of research including number theory, hypergeometric functions, orthogonal polynomials, quantum theory, combinatorics, and electronics as well. The $q$-calculus begins with the definition of the $q$-analogue $d_{q} g$ of the differential

$$
d_{q} g(t)=g(q t)-g(t)
$$

of the function $g$, where $q$ is a fixed real number such that $0<q<1$ (see [1-3]). Having said this, we immediately get the $q$-analogue of the derivative of $g$ as

$$
D_{q} g(t):=\frac{d_{q} g(t)}{d_{q} t}:=\frac{g(t)-g(q t)}{(1-q) t} \quad \text { for } t \neq 0
$$

and $D_{q} g(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} D_{q} g(t)=g^{\prime}(0)$ provided $g^{\prime}(0)$ exists. Also, when $g$ is differentiable, the $q$-derivative $D_{q} g$ tends to $g^{\prime}(0)$ as $q$ tends to 1 . It also satisfies the $q$-analogue of the Leibniz
rule

$$
D_{q}\left(g_{1}(t) g(t)\right)=g(t) D_{q} g_{1}(t)+g_{1}(q t) D_{q} g(t)
$$

The Jackson $q$-integrals from 0 to $x$ and respectively from 0 to $\infty$ are defined by [1,4]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{x} g(t) d_{q} t=(1-q) t \sum_{0}^{\infty} g\left(t q^{k}\right) q^{k}  \tag{1}\\
& \int_{0}^{\infty} g(t) d_{q} t=(1-q) t \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} g\left(q^{k}\right) q^{k} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

when the sums converge absolutely. The Jackson $q$-integral on the generic interval $[a, b]$ is, therefore, given by $[1,5]$

$$
\int_{a}^{b} g(t) d_{q} t=\int_{0}^{b} g(t) d_{q} t-\int_{0}^{a} g(t) d_{q} t
$$

The $q$-integration by parts for two functions $f$ and $g$ is defined by

$$
\int_{0}^{b} g_{2}(t) D_{q} g_{1}(t) d_{q} t=g_{1}(b) g_{2}(b)-g_{1}(a) g_{2}(a)-\int_{a}^{b} g_{1}(q t) D_{q} g_{2}(t) d_{q} t
$$

Arising from the notion of regular operators [6], the notion of a Boehmian was firstly introduced by Mikusinski and Mikusinski [7] to generalize distributions and regular operators [8]. Boehmians are equivalence classes of quotients of sequences constructed from an integral domain when the operations are interpreted as addition and convolution, see, e.g., [9-20]. In terms of the $q$-calculus concept, we introduce the concept of $q$-Boehmians to popularize the concept of $q$-calculus theory as follows:

For a complex linear space $V$ and a subspace $\left(W, *^{q}\right)$ of $V$, let ${ }^{q}: V \times W \rightarrow V$ be a binary operation such that the undermentioned axioms (1)-(5) hold:
(1) $\left(g_{1}+g_{2}\right)^{q} \psi=g_{1}{ }^{q} \bullet \psi+g_{2} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \psi, \forall g_{1}, g_{2} \in V$ and $\psi \in W$.
(2) $(\alpha g)^{q} \bullet \psi=\alpha\left(g{ }^{q} \bullet \psi\right), \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \forall g \in V$ and $\psi \in W$.
(3) $g^{q} \bullet\left(\psi_{1}{ }^{q} \psi_{2}\right)=\left(g^{q} \bullet \psi_{1}\right)^{q} \bullet \psi_{2}, \forall g \in V$ and $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in W$.
(4)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { If } g_{n} \rightarrow g \text { in } V \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text { and } \psi \in W, \\
& \text { then } g_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \psi \rightarrow g^{q} \bullet \psi \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text { in } V . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

(5) A collection $\Delta_{q}$ of sequences from $W$ such that, for all $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right),\left(\phi_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q}$ and $\left(g_{n}\right) \in W$, we have $\varepsilon_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \phi_{n} \in \Delta_{q}$ and

$$
\text { if } g_{n} \rightarrow g \text { in } V \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text {, then } g_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow g \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text {. }
$$

Once the preceding axioms are applied, the name of a $q$-Boehmian is set to mean the equivalence class $\frac{g_{n}}{\delta_{n}}$ that arises from the equivalence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \varepsilon_{m}=g_{m} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \varepsilon_{n}, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(g_{n}\right) \in V$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q}$. The collection of all $q$-Boehmians is denoted by $\mathbb{B}_{q}$ which is the so-called Boehmian space. The classical linear space $V$ is identified as a subset of the space $\mathbb{B}_{q}$ which can be recognized from the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \longrightarrow \frac{g^{q} \bullet \varepsilon_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q}$ is arbitrary. Two $q$-Boehmians $\frac{g_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}$ and $\frac{\varphi_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}$ are said to be equal in $\mathbb{B}_{q}$ if $g_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \epsilon_{m}=\varphi_{m} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \varepsilon_{n}, \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Addition in the space $\mathbb{B}_{q}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}+\frac{\varphi_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}=\frac{g_{n} \cdot q \cdot \epsilon_{n}+\varphi_{n} \bullet \varepsilon_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \epsilon_{n}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scalar multiplication in the space $\mathbb{B}_{q}$ is defined as

$$
\alpha \frac{g_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}=\frac{\alpha g_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

The $q$-convergence of type $\delta, \beta_{n} \xrightarrow{\delta} \beta$, is defined in the space $\mathbb{B}_{q}$ when for $\left(\psi_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q}$ and each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n} \bullet \varepsilon_{k} \in V, \quad \forall k, n \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \bullet \varepsilon_{k} \in V, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $\beta_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \varepsilon_{k} \rightarrow \beta \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \varepsilon_{k}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $V$. The $q$-convergence $\beta_{n} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{q}} \beta$ of type $\Delta_{q}$ is defined when for some $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\beta_{n}-\beta\right){ }^{q} \cdot \varepsilon_{n} \in V, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\beta_{n}-\beta\right) \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text { in } V . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The space of $q$-Boehmians emerging from the $q$-convergence assigns a complete quasinormed space.

In recent work, several remarkable integral transforms were given different $q$-analogues in a $q$-calculus context [4, 21-24]. In the sequence of such $q$-integral transforms, we recall the $q$-Laplace integral transform [25-29], the $q$-Sumudu integral transform [2, 30-32], the $q$-Weyl fractional integral transform [33], the $q$-wavelet integral transform [34], the $q$ Mellin type integral transform [35], the Mangontarum integral transform [36, 37], the $E_{2 ; 1}$ integral transform [38,39], the natural integral transform [3], and many others, to mention but a few. In this paper, we discuss the generalized $q$-theory of the $q$-Mellin transform and obtain several results.
Let $g$ be a function defined on $\mathbb{R}_{q,+}, \mathbb{R}_{q,+}=\left\{q^{n}: n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$, then the $q$-Mellin transform was defined by [40], p. 521 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{q}(g(t))(\zeta)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\zeta-1} g(t) d_{q} t \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided the $q$-integral converges. The integral (9) is analytic on the fundamental strip $\left\langle\alpha_{q, g} ; \beta_{q, g}\right\rangle$ and periodic with period $2 i \pi \log (q)$. The inversion formula for the $q$-analogue
(9) is given by

$$
g(t)=\frac{\log (q)}{2 i \pi(1-q)} \int_{c-\frac{i \pi}{\log (q)}}^{c+\frac{i \pi}{\log (q)}} M_{q}(g)(\zeta) t^{-\zeta} d \zeta, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{q,+}
$$

where $\alpha_{q, g}<c<\beta_{q, g}$. The asymptotic properties as well as the asymptotic singularities of the $q$-Mellin transform into asymptotic expansions of the original function for $x \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow \infty$ are given in [40]. Additionally, the asymptotic behavior at 0 or $\infty$ is studied using the $q$-Mellin transform.

Definition 1 The function $g$ is said to be $q$-integrable on an interval $[0, \infty[$ provided the infinite series

$$
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n} g\left(q^{n}\right)
$$

converges absolutely. The space of all $q$-integrable functions on $[0, \infty[$ is denoted by $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$. In a better recognition, the space $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ is defined to be the space of all $q$ integrable functions $g$ on $\mathbb{R}_{q,+}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{q}^{1} g(t)=\frac{1}{1-q} \int_{0}^{\infty}|g(t)| d_{q} t<\infty \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\mathbb{D}_{q}$ the $q$-space of test functions of compact supports on $\mathbb{R}_{q,+}$, i.e., $\mathbb{D}_{q}$ is the $q$-space of all smooth functions $\kappa \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}_{q}=\left\{\kappa \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right): \sup _{0<t<\infty}\left|D_{q} \kappa(t)\right|<\infty\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, this theory is new and might be developing a new area of research. It investigates a generalization to the $q$-theory of calculus [40] and hence all results can be popularized. Every element in the space $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ is identified as a member in the generalized theory. To this aim, we spread our results into five sections. In Sect. 1, we recall some definitions and preliminaries from the $q$-calculus theory. In Sect. 2, we derive $q$-delta sequences, $q$-convolution theorems and establish a space of $q$-Boehmians. In Sect. 3, we establish a space of $q$-ultraBoehmians. In Sect. 4, we generalize definitions and obtain several properties of the $q$-Mellin transform. In Sect. 5 we include several results.

## 2 The space $\mathbb{B}$

In this section, we strive to establish the space $\mathbb{B}$ of $q$-Boehmians. Henceforth, we denote by $\Delta_{q}$ the set of all sequences from $\mathbb{D}_{q}$ such that the undermentioned identities $\Delta_{q}^{1}-\Delta_{q}^{3}$ hold, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{q}^{1}: \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\varepsilon_{n}(t)\right| d_{q} t=1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \\
& \Delta_{q}^{2}:\left|\varepsilon_{n}(t)\right|<M, \quad M>0, M \in \mathbb{R}_{+},  \tag{12}\\
& \Delta_{q}^{3}: \operatorname{supp}\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right) \subseteq\left(0, b_{n}\right), \quad b_{n} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, 0<b_{n}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we denote by ${ }^{q}$ the Mellin type $q$-convolution product defined on $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{1}{ }^{q} g_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1} g_{1}\left(t^{-1} x\right) g_{2}(t) d_{q} t, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided the integral part exists for every $x>0$. It is clear from the context that $g_{1}{ }^{q} g_{2} \in$ $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ for all $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ in $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$. On that account, the $q$-convolution theorem of the $q$-Mellin transform of the product $g_{1}{ }^{q} g_{2}$ can be easily established as follows.

Theorem 2 Let $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ be the space of all q-integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}_{q,+}$. Then the $q$ convolution theorem of the transform $M_{q}$ is given by

$$
M_{q}\left(g_{1}{ }^{q} g_{2}\right)(\zeta)=M_{q} g_{1}(\zeta) M_{q} g_{2}(\zeta) \quad \text { for } g_{1} \text { and } g_{2} \text { in } L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)
$$

Proof By applying the definition of the $M_{q}$ transform to the product $g_{1}{ }^{q} g_{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{q}\left(g_{1}^{q} \bullet g_{2}\right)(\zeta) & =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(g_{1}^{q} g_{2}\right)(x) x^{\zeta-1} d_{q} x \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} g_{1}(t) g_{2}\left(t^{-1} x\right) x^{-1} d_{q} t\right) x^{\zeta-1} d_{q} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, employing the substitution $z=t^{-1} x$ and, hence, $d_{q} z=t^{-1} d_{q} x$, in collaboration with simple computations, reveals

$$
M_{q}\left(g_{1}{ }^{q} g_{2}\right)(\zeta)=M_{q}\left(g_{1}\right)(\zeta) M_{q}\left(g_{2}\right)(\zeta)
$$

Hence, the proof of this theorem is completed.

The following is an imperative result for initiating the $q$-delta sequence concept.
Lemma 3 Let $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ and $\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)$ be sequences in $\Delta_{q}$. Then $\left(\varepsilon_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \epsilon_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in $\Delta_{q}$.
Proof To establish this lemma, we examine the performance of the sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}{ }^{q} \epsilon_{n}\right)$. To inspect the correctness of the property $\Delta_{q}^{1}$, we use the integral equation (3) to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\varepsilon_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \epsilon_{n}\right)(x) d_{q} x=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1} \epsilon_{n}(t)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{n}\left(t^{-1} x\right) d_{q} x\right) d_{q} t . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by using the change of variables $t^{-1} x=y$ and, hence, $d_{q} x=t d_{q} y$, (14) we indicate

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\varepsilon_{n}{ }^{q} \cdot \epsilon_{n}\right)(x) d_{q} x=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \epsilon_{n}(t) d_{q} t\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{n}(y) d_{q} y\right)=1
$$

This proves the $\Delta_{q}^{1}$ part. The proof of the $\Delta_{q}^{2}$ part follows from similar techniques, whereas the $\Delta_{q}^{3}$ part is clearly valid, by conducting the fact

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(\varepsilon_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \epsilon_{n}\right) \subset \operatorname{supp}\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)+\operatorname{supp}\left(\epsilon_{n}\right) \quad \text { for }\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right),\left(\epsilon_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q} .
$$

This ends the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 3, hence, displays that every sequence in $\Delta_{q}$ forms, to a great extent, the $q$-delta sequence concept.

Lemma 4 Let $g_{1}, g_{2} \in L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right), \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2} \in \mathbb{D}_{q}$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the following assertions are valid:

$$
\text { (i) } \kappa_{1} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \kappa_{2}=\kappa_{2} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \kappa_{1}, \quad \text { (ii) } \quad\left(g_{1}+g_{2}\right)^{q} \bullet \kappa_{1}=g_{1} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \kappa_{1}+g_{2}^{q} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \text {, }
$$

(iii) $\quad\left(\alpha g_{1}\right) \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \kappa_{1}=\alpha\left(g_{1} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \kappa_{1}\right)$, (iv) $g_{1} \stackrel{q}{\bullet}\left(\kappa_{1} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \kappa_{2}\right)=\left(g_{1}{ }^{q} \kappa_{1}\right)^{\bullet} \kappa_{2}$.

Proof (i) As the convolution product of the functions $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$ in $\mathbb{D}_{q}$ is exceptionally given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\kappa^{q} \bullet \kappa_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1} \kappa_{1}\left(t^{-1} x\right) \kappa_{2}(t) d_{q} t \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

the change of variables $t^{-1} x=y$ reveals us to write (15) into the form

$$
\left(\kappa_{1} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \kappa_{2}\right)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-1} \kappa_{2}\left(x^{-1} y\right) \kappa_{1}(y) d_{q} y
$$

Hence (i) follows. To prove (ii) and (iii), we merely follow simple integral calculus. To prove (iv), we employ the definition of the product ${ }^{q}$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(g_{1}^{q} \bullet\left(\kappa_{1} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \kappa_{2}\right)\right)(x) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1} g_{1}\left(t^{-1} x\right)\left(\kappa_{1}{ }^{q} \kappa_{2}\right)(t) d_{q} t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1} g_{1}\left(t^{-1} x\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-1} \kappa_{1}\left(y^{-1} t\right) \kappa_{2}(y) d_{q} y\right) d_{q} t
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{1} \cdot q\left(\kappa_{1} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \kappa_{2}\right)\right)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1} g_{1}\left(t^{-1} x\right) \kappa_{1}\left(y^{-1} t\right) d_{q} t\right) \kappa_{2}(y) d_{q} y \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by employing the change of variables $y^{-1} t=z$, we write down equation (16) into the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(g_{1}^{q} \bullet\left(\kappa_{1} \bullet \kappa_{2}\right)\right)(x) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} z^{-1} g_{1}\left(z^{-1}\left(y^{-1} x\right)\right) \kappa_{1}(z) d_{q} z\right) \kappa_{2}(y) d_{q} y \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-1}\left(g_{1} \bullet \kappa_{1}\right)\left(y^{-1} x\right) \kappa_{2}(y) d_{q} y
\end{aligned}
$$

This ends the proof of the lemma.

To proceed in our construction, we establish the following lemma.
Lemma 5 (i) Let $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ be integrable functions in $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ be a delta sequence in the set $\Delta_{q}$ such that $g_{1}{ }^{q} \varepsilon_{n}=g_{2}{ }^{q} \varepsilon_{n}$. Then $g_{1}=g_{2}$ in $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) Let $g$ and $\left(g_{n}\right)$ be integrable functions in $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ such that $g_{n} \rightarrow g$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$. Then

$$
g_{n}{ }^{q} \psi \rightarrow g^{q} \bullet \psi \quad \text { for every } \psi \in \mathbb{D}_{q} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Proof To prove (i), we merely need to show that $g_{1}{ }^{q} \varepsilon_{n}=g_{1} \in L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$. By using $\Delta_{q}^{1}$ and $\Delta_{q}^{3}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\left(g_{1}^{q} \bullet \varepsilon_{n}\right)(x)-g_{1}(x)\right| d_{q} x & \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|t^{-1} g_{1}\left(t^{-1} x\right)-g_{1}(x)\right|\left|\varepsilon_{n}(t)\right| d_{q} t d_{q} x \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}}\left|t^{-1} g_{1}\left(t^{-1} x\right)-g_{1}(x)\right|\left|\varepsilon_{n}(t)\right| d_{q} t d_{q} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} & \left|\left(g_{1}^{q} \bullet \varepsilon_{n}\right)(x)-g_{1}(x)\right| d_{q} x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}}\left|t^{-1} g_{1}\left(t^{-1} x\right)\right|\left|\varepsilon_{n}(t)\right| d_{q} t d_{q} x \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}}\left|g_{1}(x)\right|\left|\varepsilon_{n}(t)\right| d_{q} t d_{q} x \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, for $g_{1} \in L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$, by using (17) we turn to write

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\left(g_{1}^{q} \bullet \varepsilon_{n}\right)(x)-g_{1}(x)\right| d_{q} x \leq A \int_{0}^{b_{n}}\left|t^{-1}\right|\left|\varepsilon_{n}(t)\right| d_{q} t+A \int_{0}^{b_{n}}\left|\varepsilon_{n}(t)\right| d_{q} t
$$

Therefore, by the properties of the delta sequences $\Delta_{q}^{2}$ and $\Delta_{q}^{3}$, we conclude that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\left(g_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{n}\right)(x)-g_{1}(x)\right| d_{q} x \leq A M \ln \left(b_{n}\right)+A M\left(b_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof of (ii) follows from simple integration. We therefore omit the details. Hence the proof of this lemma is ended.

Lemma 6 Let $g_{1}$ be an integrable function in the space $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q_{,}+}\right)$. Then $g_{1}{ }^{q} \varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow g_{1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q}$.

The proof of this lemma is a straightforward conclusion from the proof of Lemma 4. Hence, we delete the details.

Thus, the space $\mathbb{B}$ with $\left(L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right),{ }^{q}\right),\left(\mathbb{D}_{q},{ }^{q}\right)$, and $\Delta_{q}$ is defined. The canonical embedding of $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ in $\mathbb{B}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \rightarrow \frac{g^{q} \bullet \varepsilon_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, every element in the space $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ can be identified as a member of the space $\mathbb{B}$. Addition, scalar multiplication, differentiation, $\Delta_{q}$ and $\delta_{q}$ convergence are defined in a natural way as follows:

If $\left(\varphi_{n}\right) \in L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q}$, then the pair $\left(\varphi_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right)$ (or $\left.\frac{\varphi_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)$ is said to be a $q$-quotient of sequences if $\varphi_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \varepsilon_{m}=\varphi_{m}{ }^{q} \varepsilon_{n}, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, if $\frac{\varphi_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}$ and $\frac{g_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}$ are $q$-quotients of sequences and $g \in L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$, then it is easy to see that

$$
\frac{g \bullet{ }^{q} \epsilon_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}} \text { and } \frac{\varphi_{n} \bullet \epsilon_{n}+g_{n} \bullet \epsilon_{n}}{\epsilon_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \varepsilon_{n}}
$$

are $q$-quotients of sequences. Two $q$-quotients of sequences $\frac{\varphi_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}$ and $\frac{g_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}$ are said to be equivalent if

$$
\varphi_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \varepsilon_{m}=g_{m} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \epsilon_{n}, \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

We can easily check the following equivalence relations:

$$
\frac{\varphi_{n}}{\epsilon_{n} \bullet g} \sim \frac{\varphi_{n}{ }^{q} \cdot g}{\epsilon_{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\varphi_{n}}{\epsilon_{n} \bullet g_{n}} \sim \frac{\varphi_{n} \bullet g_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}} .
$$

The equivalent class $\breve{w}=\left(\frac{\varphi_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}\right)$ of $q$-quotients of sequences containing $\frac{\varphi_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}$ is said to be a $q$-Boehmian. The space of such $q$-Boehmians is denoted by $\mathbb{B}$.

Remark 7 For two $q$-Boehmians $\breve{w}=\left(\frac{\varphi_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}\right)$ and $\breve{z}=\left(\frac{g_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)$ in $\mathbb{B}$, we have the following identities:
(i) $\breve{w}+\breve{z}=\left(\frac{\varphi_{n}{ }^{q} \bullet \epsilon_{n}+g_{n}{ }^{q} \bullet \epsilon_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}^{q} \bullet \varepsilon_{n}}\right)$,
(ii) $\beta \breve{w}=\left(\frac{\beta \varphi_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}\right)$,
(iii) $\breve{w}^{q} \breve{z}=\left(\frac{\varphi_{n}{ }^{q} \bullet g_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}^{q} \bullet \varepsilon_{n}}\right)$,
(iv) $D^{k} \breve{w}=\left(\frac{D^{k} \varphi_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}\right)$,
(v) $\breve{w} \bullet q=\left(\frac{\varphi_{n} \bullet g}{\epsilon_{n}}\right)$,
where $k \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $D^{k} \breve{w}$ is the $k$ th derivative of $\breve{w}$, and $\psi \in L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$.

Definition 8 (i) For $n=1,2,3, \ldots$ and $\breve{w}_{n}, \breve{w} \in \mathbb{B}$, the sequence $\left(\breve{w}_{n}\right)$ is $\delta_{q}$-convergent to $\breve{w}$, denoted by $\delta_{q}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \breve{w}_{n}=\breve{w}$, provided there can be found a $q$-delta sequence $\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(\breve{w}_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \epsilon_{k}\right),\left(\breve{w}^{\bullet} \bullet \epsilon_{k}\right) \quad \text { in } L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \breve{w}_{n} \stackrel{q}{\bullet} \epsilon_{k}=\breve{w}^{q} \bullet \epsilon_{k} \quad \text { in } L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)(\forall k \in \mathbb{N}) .
$$

(ii) For $n=1,2,3, \ldots$ and $\breve{w}_{n}, \breve{w} \in \mathbb{B}$, the sequence $\left(\breve{w}_{n}\right)$ is said to be $\Delta_{q}$-convergent to $\breve{w}$, denoted by $\Delta_{q}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \breve{w}_{n}=\breve{w}$, provided there can be found a $q$-delta sequence $\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(\breve{w}_{n}-\breve{w}\right)^{q} \bullet \epsilon_{n} \in L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right) \quad(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\breve{w}_{n}-\breve{w}\right)^{q} \bullet \epsilon_{n}=0 \quad \text { in } L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right) .
$$

Now we have the following few corollaries.

Corollary 9 (i) Let $g \in L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ and $\left(\epsilon_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q}$ be fixed. Then the mapping

$$
g \rightarrow \breve{w},
$$

where $\breve{w}=\frac{g^{q} \epsilon_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}$ is an injective mapping from $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ into $\mathbb{B}$.
(ii) Let $\left(\epsilon_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q}$. Then, if $g_{n} \rightarrow g$ in $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
g_{n}{ }^{q} \epsilon_{k} \rightarrow g \cdot q \cdot \epsilon_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad \breve{w}_{n} \rightarrow \breve{w} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{B} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Therefore, it can be easily checked that $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ can be mathematically identified as a subspace of $\mathbb{B}$.

The above corollary leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 10 The q-embedding, $g \rightarrow \breve{w}$, $\breve{w}=\frac{g^{q} \epsilon_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}$, of the space $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ into the space $\mathbb{B}$ is continuous.

## 3 The $q$-ultraBoehmian space $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$

In this section, we provide sufficient axioms to define the $q$-ultraBoehmian space $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$ with the set $\left(L_{\mathbb{M}}, \circ\right)$, the subset $\left(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{M}}, \circ\right)$, the set $\left(\Delta_{q, \mathbb{M}}, \circ\right)$ of $q$-delta sequences, and the product $\circ$, where $L_{\mathbb{M}}, \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{M}}$, and $\Delta_{q, \mathbb{M}}$ are the $q$-Mellin transforms of the sets $L_{q}^{1}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{D}_{q}$, and $\Delta_{q}$ respectively. To this end, we introduce the following convolution operation.

Definition 11 Let $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ be in $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$. For $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$, we define a product o as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega_{1} \circ \omega_{2}\right)(t)=\omega_{1}(t) \omega_{2}(t) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following assertion holds in the space $L_{\mathbb{M}}$.

Theorem 12 Let $\omega_{1}$ be in $L_{\mathbb{M}}$. Then $\omega_{1} \circ \eta \in L_{\mathbb{M}}$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{M}}$.

Proof Let $\omega_{1} \in L_{\mathbb{M}}$. Then, by the definition of the space $L_{\mathbb{M}}$ and the definition of the product o , we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega_{1} \circ \omega_{2}\right)(t)=\omega_{1}(t) \omega_{2}(t)=M_{q}\left(g_{1}\right) M_{q}\left(g_{2}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $g_{1}, g_{2} \in L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$. Hence, by virtue of Def. 11, (20) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega_{1} \circ \omega_{2}\right)(t)=M_{q}\left(g_{1}^{q} \bullet g_{2}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, as $g_{1} \circ g_{2} \in L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$, it follows from (21) that $\omega_{1} \circ \eta \in L_{\mathbb{M}}$. This ends the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 13 Let $\omega$ be an integrable function in $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{M}}$. Then $\omega \circ\left(\eta_{1} \circ \eta_{2}\right)=\left(\omega \circ \eta_{1}\right) \circ \eta_{2}$ for all $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} \in \mathbb{D}_{q}$.

Proof By the concept of the convolution o, we get

$$
\left(\omega \circ\left(\eta_{1} \circ \eta_{2}\right)\right)(t)=\omega(t)\left(\eta_{1} \circ \eta_{2}\right)(t)=\omega(t) \eta_{1}(t) \eta_{2}(t) .
$$

By using Def. 11 twice, we write the preceding equation as

$$
\left(\omega \circ\left(\eta_{1} \circ \eta_{2}\right)\right)(t)=\left(\omega \circ \eta_{1}\right)(t) \eta_{2}(t)=\left(\left(\omega \circ \eta_{1}\right) \circ \eta_{2}\right)(t) .
$$

This ends the proof of the theorem.

The following axioms are straightforward.

Theorem 14 (i) Let $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ be in $L_{\mathbb{M}}$. Then $\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) \circ \eta=\omega_{1} \circ \eta+\omega_{2} \circ \eta$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{D}_{q}$.
(ii) Let $\omega_{1}$ be in $L_{\mathbb{M}}$. Then $\left(\alpha \omega_{1} \circ \eta\right)=\alpha\left(\omega_{1} \circ \eta\right)$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{D}_{q}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof (i) Let $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ be in $L_{\mathbb{M}}$. Then, by Def. 11, we write

$$
\left(\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) \circ \eta\right)(t)=\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right)(t) \eta(t)=\omega_{1}(t) \eta(t)+\omega_{2}(t) \eta(t)=\left(\omega_{1} \circ \eta\right)(t)+\left(\omega_{2} \circ \eta\right)(t) .
$$

The proof of the first part is finished. The proof of the second part is trivial. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 15 (i) Let $\omega_{1}$ and $\left(\omega_{n}\right)$ be members of the space $L_{\mathbb{M}}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{M}}$. If $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \omega_{1}$ in $L_{\mathbb{M}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\omega_{n} \circ \eta \rightarrow \omega_{1} \circ \eta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(ii) Let $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ be in $L_{\mathbb{M}}$ and $\left(v_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q, \mathbb{M} \cdot}$. If $\omega_{1} \circ v_{n}=\omega_{2} \circ v_{n}$, then $\omega_{1}=\omega_{2}$ in $L_{\mathbb{M}}$.
(iii) Let $\omega_{1}$ be an integrable function in $L_{\mathbb{M}}$ and $\left(v_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q, \mathbb{M}}, v_{n}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{q,+}$. Then $\omega_{1} \circ v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\mathbb{M}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof To prove (i), let $\omega_{1}$ and $\left(\omega_{n}\right)$ be members of $L_{\mathbb{M}}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{M}}$. If $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \omega_{1}$ in $L_{\mathbb{M}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then by Def. 11 and Theo. 14, we have

$$
\left(\omega_{n} \circ \eta-\omega_{1} \circ \eta\right)(t)=\left(\left(\omega_{n}-\omega_{1}\right) \circ \eta\right)(t)=\left(\omega_{n}-\omega_{1}\right)(t) \eta(t)=\omega_{n}(t) \eta(t)-\omega_{1}(t) \eta(t)
$$

Hence, by the hypothesis of the theorem, we obtain

$$
\omega_{n} \circ \eta-\omega_{1} \circ \eta \rightarrow \omega_{1} \circ \eta-\omega_{1} \circ \eta \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Hence, the first part of the theorem is completely proved. To prove (ii), let $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ be in $L_{\mathbb{M}}$ and $\left(v_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q, \mathbb{M}}$. If $\omega_{1} \circ v_{n}=\omega_{2} \circ v_{n}$, then $\omega_{1}(t) v_{n}(t)=\omega_{2}(t) v_{n}(t)$. Hence,

$$
\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right)(t) v_{n}(t)=0 \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_{q,+}
$$

Therefore, $\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right)(t)=0$ for all $\mathbb{R}_{q,+}$. Thus, $\omega_{1}=\omega_{2}$ in $L_{\mathbb{M}}$. The proof of (iii) is similar. Hence, the theorem is completely proved.
If $\left(\omega_{n}\right) \in L_{\mathbb{M}}$ and $\left(v_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q, \mathbb{M}}$, then the pair $\left(\omega_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ (or $\left.\frac{\omega_{n}}{v_{n}}\right)$ is said to be a $q$-quotient of sequences if

$$
\omega_{n} \circ v_{m}=\omega_{m} \circ v_{n}, \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Therefore, if $\frac{\omega_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}$ and $\frac{g_{n}}{v_{n}}$ are $q$-quotients of sequences and $\omega \in L_{\mathbb{M}}$, then it is easy to see that

$$
\frac{\omega \circ \epsilon_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}} \text { and } \frac{\omega_{n} \circ \epsilon_{n}+g_{n} \circ \epsilon_{n}}{\epsilon_{n} \circ v_{n}}
$$

are $q$-quotients of sequences. Furthermore, it is easy to see the following equivalence relations:

$$
\frac{\omega_{n}}{\epsilon_{n} \circ \omega} \sim \frac{\omega_{n} \circ \omega}{\epsilon_{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\omega_{n}}{\epsilon_{n} \circ g_{n}} \sim \frac{\omega_{n} \circ g_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}} .
$$

Two $q$-quotients of sequences $\frac{\omega_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}$ and $\frac{g_{n}}{v_{n}}$ are said to be equivalent if $\omega_{n} \circ v_{m}=g_{m} \circ$ $\epsilon_{n}, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The equivalent class $\breve{w}=\left(\frac{\omega_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}\right)$ of $q$-quotients of sequences containing $\frac{\varphi_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}$ is said to be a $q$-Boehmian. The space of such $q$-Boehmians is denoted by $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$.

Remark 16 For two $q$-Boehmians $\breve{w}=\left(\frac{\omega_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}\right)$ and $\breve{z}=\left(\frac{g_{n}}{v_{n}}\right)$ in $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$, the following are well defined on $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$ :
(i) $\breve{w}+\breve{z}=\left(\frac{\omega_{n} \circ \epsilon_{n}+g_{n} \circ \epsilon_{n}}{\epsilon_{n} \circ v_{n}}\right)$,
(ii) $\beta \breve{w}=\left(\frac{\beta \omega_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}\right)$,
(iii) $\breve{w} \circ \breve{z}=\left(\frac{\omega_{n} \circ g_{n}}{\epsilon_{n} \circ v_{n}}\right)$,
(iv) $D^{k} \breve{w}=\left(\frac{D^{k} \omega_{n}}{\epsilon_{n}}\right)$,
(v) $\breve{w} \circ \omega=\left(\frac{\omega_{n} \circ \omega}{\epsilon_{n}}\right)$,
where $k \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $D^{k} \breve{w}$ is the $k$ th derivative of $\breve{w}$, and $\psi \in L_{\mathbb{M}}$.

Definition 17 (i) For $n=1,2,3, \ldots$ and $\breve{w}_{n}, \breve{w} \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$, the sequence $\left(\breve{w}_{n}\right)$ is said to be $\delta_{q^{-}}$ convergent to $\breve{w}$, denoted by $\delta_{q}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \breve{w}_{n}=\breve{w}$, provided there can be found a $q$-delta sequence $\left(v_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(\breve{w}_{n} \circ v_{k}\right),\left(\breve{w} \circ v_{k}\right) \quad \text { in } L_{\mathbb{M}}(\forall n, k \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \breve{w}_{n} \circ v_{k}=\breve{w} \circ v_{k} \quad \text { in } L_{\mathbb{M}}(\forall k \in \mathbb{N}) \text {. }
$$

(ii) For $n=1,2,3, \ldots$ and $\breve{w}_{n}, \breve{w} \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$, the sequence $\left(\breve{w}_{n}\right)$ is said to be $\Delta_{q}$-convergent to $\breve{w}$, denoted by $\Delta_{q}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \breve{w}_{n}=\breve{w}$, provided there can be found a $q$-delta $q$-sequence $\left(v_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(\breve{w}_{n}-\breve{w}\right) \circ v_{n} \in L_{\mathbb{M}} \quad(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\breve{w}_{n}-\breve{w}\right) \circ v_{n}=0 \quad \text { in } L_{\mathbb{M}} .
$$

Corollary 18 (i) Let $\omega \in L_{\mathbb{M}}$ and $\left(v_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q}$ be fixed. Then the mapping

$$
\omega \rightarrow \breve{w},
$$

where $\breve{w}=\frac{\omega \circ v_{n}}{v_{n}}$ is an injective mapping from $L_{\mathbb{M}}$ into $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$.
(ii) Let $\left(v_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q, \mathbb{M}}$. Then, if $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \omega$ in $L_{\mathbb{M}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n} \circ v_{k} \rightarrow \omega \circ v_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad \breve{w}_{n} \rightarrow \breve{w} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it can be easily checked that $L_{\mathbb{M}}$ may be identified as a subspace of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$.

The above corollary can be stated as follows.

Corollary 19 The q-embedding $\psi \rightarrow \breve{w}, \breve{w}=\frac{\omega v_{n}}{v_{n}}$, of the space $L_{\mathbb{M}}$ into the space $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$ is continuous.

## 4 The $\boldsymbol{q}$-Mellin transform of the generalized $\boldsymbol{q}$-theory

This section aims to discuss a definition and some basic properties of the generalized $q$ Mellin transform in a context of the new $q$-theory. All results are brief and concise, and may give the reader a general overview of the generalized $q$-theory of the Mellin operator. However, by virtue of the preceding analysis, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 20 Let $\frac{g_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}} \in \mathbb{B}$, then we define the $q$-Mellin transform of the $q$-Boehmian $\frac{g_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{M}_{q} \frac{g_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}=\tilde{\omega}_{n} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_{n}=\frac{\omega_{n}}{v_{n}}, \omega_{n}=M_{q} g$, and $v_{n}=M_{q} \varepsilon_{n}$. Indeed $\tilde{\omega}_{n}$ belongs to $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$.
Theorem 21 The operator $\mathbb{M}_{q}: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$ is sequentially continuous, i.e., if $\Delta_{q}-\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\omega}_{n, k}=\tilde{\omega}_{n}$ in $\mathbb{B}$, then $\Delta_{q, \mathbb{M}}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{M}_{q} \tilde{\omega}_{n, k}=\mathbb{M}_{q} \tilde{\omega}_{n}$ in $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$.

Proof Let $\Delta_{q}-\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\omega}_{n, k}=\tilde{\omega}_{n}$ in $\mathbb{B}$, then there is $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q}$ such that

$$
\Delta_{q}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{n, k}-\tilde{\omega}_{n}\right)^{q} \varepsilon_{n}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{B} .
$$

The continuity of the integral operator gives

$$
\Delta_{q, \mathbb{M}}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{M}_{q}\left(\left(\tilde{\omega}_{n, k}-\tilde{\omega}_{n}\right)^{q} \bullet \varepsilon_{n}\right)=\Delta-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left(\mathbb{M}_{q} \tilde{\omega}_{n, k}-\mathbb{M}_{q} \tilde{\omega}_{n}\right) \circ v_{n}\right)=0
$$

where $\mathbb{M}_{q} \varepsilon_{n}=v_{n}$. Thus, we have $\Delta_{q, \mathbb{M}}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{M}_{q} \tilde{\omega}_{n, k}=\mathbb{M}_{q} \tilde{\omega}_{n}$ in $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$.
This finishes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 22 (i) $\mathbb{M}_{q}$ is a linear isomorphism from the space $\mathbb{B}$ onto the space $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$.
(ii) $\mathbb{M}_{q}$ is continuous with respect to $\delta_{q}$ and $\Delta_{q}$-convergence.
(iii) The operator $\mathbb{M}_{q}$ coincides with the operator $M_{q}$.

Proof We prove Part (iii) since similar proofs for Part (i)-Part (ii) are available in literature. Let $g \in L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ and $\frac{{ }^{q} \varepsilon_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}$ be its representative in $\mathbb{B}$, where $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q}(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})$. Clearly, for all $n \in \mathbb{N},\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ is independent from the representative. Let $\mathbb{M}_{q} \varepsilon_{n}=v_{n}$, then, by the $q$ convolution theorem, we get

$$
\mathbb{M}_{q} \frac{g^{q} \cdot \varepsilon_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}=\mathbb{M}_{q} \frac{g \bullet \varepsilon_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}=\frac{M_{q} g \circ M_{q} \varepsilon_{n}}{M_{q} \varepsilon_{n}}=M_{q} g \circ \frac{M_{q} \varepsilon_{n}}{M_{q} \varepsilon_{n}}=\omega \circ \frac{v_{n}}{v_{n}} .
$$

Hence, the $q$-Boehmian $\frac{\omega \circ v_{n}}{v_{n}}$ is the representative of $\mathbb{M}_{q}$ in the space $L_{\mathbb{M}}$, where $\omega=M_{q} g$.
The proof is, therefore, ended.

We introduce the inverse transform of $\mathbb{M}_{q}$ as follows.

Definition 23 We define the inverse integral operator of $\mathbb{M}_{q}$ of a $q$-Boehmian $\frac{\omega_{n}}{v_{n}}$ in $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$ as follows:

$$
\mathbb{N}_{q} \frac{\omega_{n}}{v_{n}}=\frac{g_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}} \in \mathbb{B},
$$

where $v_{n}=\mathbb{M}_{q} \varepsilon_{n}$ and $\omega_{n}=M_{q} g_{n}$ for some $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{q}$ and $\left\{g_{n}\right\} \in L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$.
Theorem 24 Let $\frac{\omega_{n}}{v_{n}} \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$ and $\omega \in L_{\mathbb{M}}$. Then we have

$$
\mathbb{N}_{q}\left(\frac{\omega_{n}}{v_{n}} \circ \omega\right)=\frac{g_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}} \bullet q \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbb{M}_{q}\left(\frac{g_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}} \bullet g\right)=\frac{\omega_{n}}{v_{n}} \circ \omega .
$$

Proof Assume $\frac{\omega_{n}}{v_{n}} \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{M}}$ where $\omega_{n}=M_{q} g_{n}$. Then, for every $\omega=M_{q} g \in L_{\mathbb{M}}$ and $v_{n}=M_{q} \varepsilon_{n}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{N}_{q}\left(\frac{\omega_{n}}{v_{n}} \circ M_{q} g\right)=\mathbb{N}_{q} \frac{\omega_{n} \circ \omega}{v_{n}}=\mathbb{N}_{q} \frac{M_{q}\left(g_{n}^{q} \cdot g\right)}{v_{n}}=\frac{g_{n}{ }^{q} \bullet g}{\varepsilon_{n}}=\frac{g_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}} \bullet q .
$$

The proof of the first part is finished. The proof of the second part is almost similar. Hence, we omit the details.

This completely ends the proof of the theorem.

## 5 Conclusion

This paper has given an extension of the quantum theory of the $q$-Mellin transform operator [40] to sets of $q$-generalized functions named $q$-Boehmians and $q$-ultraBoehmians. Every element $g$ in the function space $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,}\right)$ is identified as a member in the generalized space $\mathbb{B}$ by the identification formula

$$
g \rightarrow \frac{g^{q} \bullet \varepsilon_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}
$$

where $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ is an arbitrary delta sequence. It also shows that the $q$-embedding

$$
g \rightarrow \breve{w}, \breve{w}=\frac{g^{q} \bullet \varepsilon_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}
$$

of the space $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ into the space $\mathbb{B}$ is continuous, $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ being an arbitrary $q$-delta sequence. The $q$-Mellin transform operator is extended to the generalized $q$-calculus theory, and many properties are discussed. Further, the inversion of the $q$-Mellin transform operator is also discussed.
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