RESEARCH

Open Access



Asymptotic behavior of third order delay difference equations with a negative middle term

S.H. Saker^{1,2}, S. Selvarangam³, S. Geetha³, E. Thandapani⁴ and J. Alzabut^{5,6*}

*Correspondence: jalzabut@psu.edu.sa

⁵Department of Mathematics and General Sciences, Prince Sultan University, 11586 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁶Group of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Ostim Technical University, Ankara 06374, Turkey Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we establish some sufficient conditions which ensure that the solutions of the third order delay difference equation with a negative middle term

 $\Delta(a_n\Delta(\Delta w_n)^{\alpha}) - p_n(\Delta w_{n+1})^{\alpha} - q_nh(w_{n-1}) = 0, \quad n \ge n_0,$

are oscillatory. Moreover, we study the asymptotic behavior of the nonoscillatory solutions. Two illustrative examples are included for illustration.

MSC: 39A10

Keywords: Third order delay difference equations; Comparison method; Oscillation; Nonoscillation

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the asymptotic behavior of solutions of third order delay difference equations with a negative middle term of the form

$$\Delta \left(a_n \Delta (\Delta w_n)^{\alpha} \right) - p_n (\Delta w_{n+1})^{\alpha} - q_n h(w_{n-l}) = 0, \quad n \ge n_0, \tag{1.1}$$

where n_0 is a nonnegative integer and α is a quotient of odd positive integers. Throughout this paper, we assume without further mention that: $\{a_n\}$ is a positive real sequence, $\{p_n\}$ is a nonnegative real sequence, and $\{q_n\}$ is a positive real sequence for all $n \ge n_0$, l is a positive integer, h is a continuous, nondecreasing real-valued function such that $\eta h(\eta) > 0$ for $\eta \ne 0$, and $h(\eta\xi) \ge h(\eta)h(\xi)$ for $\eta\xi > 0$.

By a solution of equation (1.1) we mean a nontrivial real sequence $\{w_n\}$ that is defined for all $n \ge n_0 - l$ and satisfies equation (1.1) for all $n \ge n_0$. A nontrivial solution $\{w_n\}$ of equation (1.1) is said to be nonoscillatory if it is either eventually positive or eventually negative, and oscillatory otherwise. A difference equation is called nonoscillatory (oscillatory) if all its solutions are nonoscillatory (oscillatory). Following the terms used in [6],

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



we define

$$L_0w_n = w_n,$$
 $L_1w_n = (\Delta w_n)^{\alpha},$ $L_2w_n = a_n\Delta(L_1w_n)$ and
 $L_3w_n = \Delta(L_2w_n),$ $\forall n \ge n_0.$

Using these notations, one can write equation (1.1) as

$$L_3w_n-p_nL_1w_n-q_nh(w_{n-l})=0.$$

We introduce the following class of nonoscillatory (without loss of generality we say positive) solutions which give the sign structure of possible nonoscillatory solutions to equation (1.1):

$$w_n \in W_1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad w_n > 0, \qquad L_1 w_n > 0, \qquad L_2 w_n < 0, \qquad L_3 w_n > 0;$$
$$w_n \in W_3 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad w_n > 0, \qquad L_1 w_n > 0, \qquad L_2 w_n > 0, \qquad L_3 w_n > 0,$$

for all $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$. In Lemma 2.3, we will prove that if

$$\sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} P_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \infty, \quad \text{where } P_n = \prod_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} p_t \right), \tag{1.2}$$

then the set W of all positive solutions of equation (1.1) has the following decomposition:

$$W = W_1 \cup W_3.$$

According to the well-known results in [1, 2], the oscillation criteria are often accomplished by introducing the concepts having property (*A*) and/or (*B*). Equation (1.1) is said to have property (*B*) if $W = W_3$.

In recent years the asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions and the oscillatory behavior of solutions to different classes of third order difference equations have been the interest of many researchers, see for example [3–8, 10, 12–19] and the references cited therein. Recently, in the papers [6, 16], the authors used the comparison method and the summation averaging technique to establish some sufficient conditions for oscillation of all solutions of the third order delay difference equation

$$\Delta(a_n\Delta(b_n(\Delta w_n)^{\alpha})) + p_n(\Delta w_{n+1})^{\alpha} + q_nh(w_{n-l}) = 0, \qquad (1.3)$$

where $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ are positive real sequences, and the auxiliary equation of second order

$$\Delta(a_n\Delta z_n)+\frac{p_n}{b_{n+1}}z_{n+1}=0$$

is nonoscillatory. In [11] the authors used the oscillation of a third order difference equation of the form

$$\Delta \left(a_n \Delta (d_n \Delta w_n)^{\gamma} \right) + q_n h(d_{n-\tau} w_{n-\tau+1}) = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

to obtain oscillation conditions for solutions of second order neutral delay difference equations.

Following this trend, in this paper, we study the asymptotic behavior of solutions of equation (1.1). Our approach depends on the application of a technique imposing one restrictive condition on the coefficients of the corresponding auxiliary equation. We show that any nonoscillatory solution $\{w_n\}$ of equation (1.1) satisfies $w_n \Delta w_n > 0$. Further, we obtain new sufficient conditions for all solutions of equation (1.1) to have property (*B*). Two examples are provided to illustrate the main results.

2 Main results

For the sake of simplicity, we define the following:

$$\bar{P}_n = \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} q_t, \qquad R_1(n, n_1) = \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_s}, \qquad Q_n = p_n \bar{P}_n + q_n,$$
$$\bar{Q}_n = \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} Q_s, \qquad B(n, n_1) = \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} R_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s, n_1)$$

for $s \ge n \ge n_1$, where $n_1 \ge n_0$. Throughout we assume that $R_1(n, n_1) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. To make sense of the definitions P_n and $\overline{P_n}$, we also assume that

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} p_n < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} q_n < \infty.$$

In the sequel, and without loss of generality, we can deal only with the positive solutions of equation (1.1), since the proof for the opposite case is similar. From our technique, which will be described later, we will see that the properties of solutions to equation (1.1) are closely related to nonoscillatory solutions of an auxiliary second order difference equation

$$\Delta(a_n \Delta z_n) - p_n z_{n+1} = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

First, we prove the following lemmas which will be used in the proofs of the main results.

Lemma 2.1 Let $\{z_n\}$ be a positive solution of (2.1) for all $n \ge n_0$. Then (1.1) can be written in the form

$$\Delta\left(a_n z_n z_{n+1} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{z_n} (\Delta w_n)^{\alpha}\right)\right) - q_n z_{n+1} h(w_{n-l}) = 0$$
(2.2)

for all $n \ge n_0$.

Proof It is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{z_{n+1}}\Delta\left(a_n z_n z_{n+1}\Delta\left(\frac{1}{z_n}(\Delta w_n)^{\alpha}\right)\right)$$
$$=\frac{1}{z_{n+1}}\Delta\left(a_n z_{n+1}\Delta\left((\Delta w_n)^{\alpha}\right)-a_n(\Delta w_{n+1})^{\alpha}\Delta z_n\right)$$

$$= \Delta \left(a_n \Delta \left((\Delta w_n)^{\alpha} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{z_{n+1}} a_{n+1} \Delta \left((\Delta w_{n+1})^{\alpha} \right) \Delta z_{n+1} - \frac{1}{z_{n+1}} a_{n+1} \Delta \left((\Delta w_{n+1})^{\alpha} \right) \Delta z_{n+1} - \frac{1}{z_{n+1}} (\Delta w_{n+1})^{\alpha} \Delta (a_n \Delta z_n) = \Delta \left(a_n \Delta \left((\Delta w_n)^{\alpha} \right) \right) - p_n (\Delta w_{n+1})^{\alpha},$$

where we have used (2.1). Using the above equality in equation (1.1) and rearranging, we obtain equation (2.2). This completes the proof. \Box

We recall that equation (2.1) (see Theorem 6.3.4 of [1]) always has a couple of nonoscillatory solutions $\{z_n\}$ such that either

$$z_n \Delta z_n > 0 \tag{2.3}$$

or

$$z_n \Delta z_n < 0 \tag{2.4}$$

for all $n \ge n_0$.

To find the structure of positive nonoscillatory solutions of equation (1.1), the following property of a nonoscillatory solution $\{z_n\}$ satisfying (2.4) plays a crucial role.

Lemma 2.2 If (1.2) holds, then (2.1) has a positive solution $\{z_n\}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n z_n z_{n+1}} = \sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} z_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \infty.$$
(2.5)

Proof Let $\{z_n\}$ be a positive solution of equation (2.1) such that (2.4) holds for all $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$. It is clear from the fact that $\Delta z_n < 0$, there is a constant M > 0 such that $z_n \le M$. Hence

$$\sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n z_n z_{n+1}} = \infty.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\Delta(a_n \Delta z_n) = p_n z_{n+1} \ge 0,$$

then $a_n \Delta z_n$ is increasing and there exists a constant $c \le 0$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n \Delta z_n = c$. We claim that c = 0, if not, then

$$z_n \leq z_{n_1} + c \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_s} \to -\infty$$
, as $n \to \infty$,

a contradiction. Hence c = 0. Summing (2.1) from *n* to ∞ , we have

$$-a_n \Delta z_n = \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} p_s z_{s+1} \le z_n \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} p_s$$

or

$$\frac{z_{n+1}}{z_n} \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} p_s.$$
 (2.6)

Then from (2.6) we obtain

$$z_n \geq z_{n_1} \prod_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} p_t \right),$$

which yields

$$z_{n}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \ge z_{n_{1}}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} P_{n}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$
 (2.7)

Now summing (2.7) from n_1 to n-1 and then combining with (1.2) implies that the second summation in (2.5) is divergent. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.3 Assume that condition (1.2) holds. If $\{w_n\}$ is a positive solution of (1.1) for all $n \ge n_0$, then there is an integer n_1 such that either $w_n \in W_1$ or $w_n \in W_3$ for all $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$.

Proof Assume that $\{w_n\}$ is a positive solution of equation (1.1) for all $n \ge n_0$. By Lemma 2.1, we may write (1.1) in an equivalent form (2.2). From Lemma 2.2, there is a positive sequence $\{z_n\}$ of (2.1) which satisfies (2.5), and so we see that

$$\Delta\left(a_n z_n z_{n+1} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{z_n} (\Delta w_n)^{\alpha}\right)\right) > 0.$$

Then, by discrete Kneser's theorem [1], we have

$$w_n > 0,$$
 $(\Delta w_n)^{\alpha} > 0,$ $\Delta \left(\frac{1}{z_n} (\Delta w_n)^{\alpha}\right) < 0,$

or

$$w_n > 0,$$
 $(\Delta w_n)^{\alpha} > 0,$ $\Delta \left(\frac{1}{z_n} (\Delta w_n)^{\alpha} \right) > 0,$

for all $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$. Note that in both cases we have $\Delta w_n > 0$, and by virtue of (1.1) we see that $L_3w_n > 0$. The rest sign properties of L_iw_n , i = 1, 2, immediately follow from discrete Kneser's theorem. The proof is now complete.

Next, we state and prove some useful estimates which will play an important role in the proofs of our main results.

Lemma 2.4 Let $w_n \in W_1$ be a positive solution of (1.1) for all $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$. Then

$$\frac{w_n}{(n-n_1)} \text{ is nonincreasing,} \tag{2.8}$$

and there is an integer $n_2 > n_1$ such that

$$L_1 w_n \ge \bar{P}_n h(w_{n-l}) \quad \text{for all } n > n_2. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof Let $w_n \in W_1$ be a positive solution of equation (1.1) for $n \ge n_1$. From the monotonicity of L_1w_n , we have

$$w_n \ge w_n - w_{n_1} = \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} (L_1 w_s)^{1/\alpha} \ge (n - n_1) L_1^{1/\alpha} w_n.$$
(2.10)

Therefore

$$\Delta\left(\frac{w_n}{n-n_1}\right) = \frac{(n-n_1)L_1^{1/\alpha}w_n - w_n}{(n-n_1)(n+1-n_1)} \le 0,$$

and so $w_n/(n - n_1)$ is nonincreasing. Next, summing (1.1) from *n* to ∞ , we obtain

$$-L_2w_n \geq \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} p_s L_1w_s + \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} q_s h(w_{s-l}) \geq h(w_{n-l}) \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} q_s.$$

Again summing, we obtain

$$L_1w_n \geq \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{h(w_{s-l})}{a_s} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} q_t \geq \bar{P_n}h(w_{n-l}).$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.5 Let $w_n \in W_3$ be a positive solution of (1.1) for all $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$. If

$$\sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} \left[p_n R_1(s, n_1) + q_n h \left(B(n-l, n_1) \right) \right] = \infty,$$
(2.11)

and there is an integer $n_2 > n_1$ such that

$$\frac{w_n}{B(n,n_1)} \text{ is nondecreasing } \text{ for all } n \ge n_2.$$
(2.12)

Proof Let $w_n \in W_3$ be a positive solution of (1.1) for all $n \ge n_1$. Since L_2w_n is increasing, there is a constant M > 0 such that $L_2w_n \ge M$ for all $n \ge n_1$. Clearly,

$$L_1 w_n \ge M R_1(n, n_1)$$
 and $w_n \ge M^{1/\alpha} B(n, n_1)$ for $n \ge n_1$.

We claim that condition (2.11) implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} L_2 w_n = \infty$. Using the above estimates into (1.1), we obtain

$$L_{3}w_{n} \ge Mp_{n}R_{1}(n,n_{1}) + h(M^{1/\alpha})q_{n}h(B(n-l,n_{1})).$$
(2.13)

By summing (2.13) from n_1 to ∞ , we see that the claim holds. Therefore, for any $n \ge n_2 \ge n_1$, we have

$$L_1 w_n = L_1 w_{n_2} + \sum_{s=n_2}^{n-1} \frac{L_2 w_s}{a_s} \le L_1 w_{n_2} + R_1(n, n_2) L_2 w_n$$

= $L_1 w_{n_2} - R_1(n_2, n_1) L_2 w_n + R_1(n, n_1) L_2 w_n$
 $\le R_1(n, n_1) L_2 w_n,$

which yields

$$\Delta\left(\frac{L_1w_n}{R_1(n,n_1)}\right) = \frac{R_1(n,n_1)L_2w_n - L_1w_n}{a_nR_1(n,n_1)R_1(n+1,n_1)} \ge 0,$$

and hence $L_1w_n/R_1(n, n_2)$ is nondecreasing for all $n \ge n_2$. Again for any $n \ge n_3 \ge n_2$, we have

$$w_n = w_{n_3} + \sum_{s=n_3}^{n-1} \left(\frac{R_1(s, n_1) L_1 w_s}{R_1(s, n_1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \le w_{n_3} + B(n, n_3) \left(\frac{L_1 w_n}{R_1(n, n_1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$
$$\le w_{n_3} - B(n_3, n_1) \left(\frac{L_1 w_n}{R_1(n, n_1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + B(n, n_1) \left(\frac{L_1 w_n}{R_1(n, n_1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

It follows from discrete L'Hospital rule [1] that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{L_1w_n}{R_1(n,n_1)}=\lim_{n\to\infty}L_2w_n=\infty,$$

and so we have

$$w_n \leq B(n,n_1) \left(\frac{L_1 w_n}{R_1(n,n_1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \quad n \geq n_3.$$

Then

$$\Delta\left(\frac{w_n}{B(n,n_1)}\right) = \frac{B(n,n_1)(L_1w_n)^{1/\alpha} - R_1^{1/\alpha}(n,n_1)w_n}{B(n,n_1)B(n+1,n_1)} \ge 0.$$

.

Thus $w_n/B(n, n_1)$ is nondecreasing for all $n \ge n_3$. The proof is complete.

We conclude this section with the following remark.

Remark 2.6 It is easy to see that from Lemma 2.5, if (1.1) has property (*B*), then any positive solution of (1.1) satisfies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{w_n}{B(n,n_1)}=\infty,$$

which gives us information about the rate of convergence of possible positive solutions.

In the following, we present some sufficient conditions which ensure that equation (1.1) has property (*B*).

Theorem 2.7 Let condition (1.2) hold for all $n \ge n_1$. If the first order delay difference equation

$$\Delta x_n + \bar{Q_n} h(n - l - n_1) h(x_{n-l}^{1/\alpha}) = 0, \quad n \ge n_1,$$
(2.14)

is oscillatory, then (1.1) has property (B).

Proof Let $\{w_n\}$ be a positive solution of (1.1) for $n \ge n_0$. From Lemma 2.3 there exists an integer $n_1 \ge n_0$ such that either $w_n \in W_1$ or $w_n \in W_3$ for all $n \ge n_1$. If $w_n \in W_1$, then by equation (1.1) and (2.9), we have

$$L_3 w_n \ge (p_n P_n + q_n) h(w_{n-l}) = Q_n h(w_{n-l}).$$
(2.15)

Summing (2.15) from *n* to ∞ , we find

$$-L_2 w_n \ge \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} Q_s h(w_{s-l}) \ge \left(\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} Q_s\right) h(w_{n-l}).$$

$$(2.16)$$

Using (2.10) in the above inequality, we obtain

$$-\Delta(L_1w_n)\geq \frac{1}{a_n}\left(\sum_{s=n}^{\infty}Q_s\right)h(n-l-n_1)h(L_1^{1/\alpha}w_{n-l}).$$

Letting $x_n = L_1 w_n$, we see that the difference inequality

$$\Delta x_n + \bar{Q}_n h(n-l-n_1)h(x_{n-l}^{1/\alpha}) \le 0$$

has a positive solution. By Lemma 2.7 of [19], we see that equation (2.14) also has a positive solution, which is a contradiction. Therefore $w_n \in W_3$, which implies that equation (1.1) has property (*B*). This completes the proof.

Applying some known criteria for oscillation of first order delay difference equation (2.14), one can easily obtain criteria for equation (1.1) to have property (*B*). The following one is given in [9].

Corollary 2.8 Assume that $h(u) = u^{\alpha}$ and condition (1.2) hold. If

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \sum_{s=n-l}^{n-1} \bar{Q}_s (s-l-n_1)^{1/\alpha} > \left(\frac{l}{l+1}\right)^{l+1},\tag{2.17}$$

then (1.1) has property (B).

Finally, we present another result for equation (1.1) to have property (B) which is applicable even to the ordinary equation.

Theorem 2.9 Let condition (1.2) hold for all $n \ge n_1$. Assume that

$$\sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} Q_t \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \infty,$$
(2.18)

and the function h satisfies

$$\lim_{u=\pm\infty}\frac{u}{h^{1/\alpha}(u)} = M < \infty.$$
(2.19)

If

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \left\{ h^{1/\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{n - n_1} \right) \sum_{s = n_1}^{n - 1} \left(h(s - l - n_1) \sum_{t = s}^{\infty} \bar{Q}_t \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\} > M,$$
(2.20)

then (1.1) has property (B).

Proof Let $\{w_n\}$ be a positive solution of (1.1) for all $n \ge n_0$. Then from Lemma 2.3 there exists an integer $n_1 \ge n_0$ such that either $w_n \in W_1$ or $w_n \in W_3$ for all $n \ge n_1$. If $w_n \in W_1$, then as in the proof of Theorem 2.6 we obtain (2.16), and by summing it from n to ∞ , we find that

$$L_1 w_n \ge \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} h(w_{s-l}) \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} Q_t \ge \left(\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \bar{Q_s}\right) h(w_{n-l}).$$

$$(2.21)$$

Now, summing (2.21) from n_1 to n - 1, one can easily see that

$$w_n \ge \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \left(h(w_{s-l}) \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} \bar{Q}_t \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$
(2.22)

Using the monotonicity property (2.8) in (2.22), we have

$$w_n \ge h^{1/\alpha} \left(\frac{w_{n-l}}{(n-l-n_1)} \right) \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \left(h(s-l-n_1) \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} \bar{Q}_t \right)^{1/\alpha}$$
$$\ge h^{1/\alpha} \left(\frac{w_n}{(n-n_1)} \right) \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \left(h(s-l-n_1) \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} \bar{Q}_t \right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

Applying hypothesis (H_3) assumed on the function h and then dividing both sides of the last inequality by $h^{1/\alpha}(w_n)$, we see that

$$\frac{w_n}{h^{1/\alpha}(w_n)} \ge h^{1/\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{(n-n_1)}\right) \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \left(h(s-l-n_1)\sum_{t=s}^{\infty} \bar{Q}_t\right)^{1/\alpha}.$$
(2.23)

It follows from (2.18) that $\lim_{n\to\infty} w_n = \infty$. Taking the limit supremum on both sides of (2.23), we are led to a contradiction with (2.20). Thus $w_n \in W_3$, which means that equation (1.1) has property (*B*). This completes the proof.

We conclude this section with the following remark.

Remark 2.10 If all conditions of Theorem 2.7 (Theorem 2.9) are satisfied, then one can conclude that all bounded solutions of equation (1.1) are oscillatory.

3 Applications

In the following, we present two examples to illustrate the main results.

Example 3.1 Consider the third order delay difference equation

$$\Delta^3 w_n - \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} \Delta w_{n+1} - \frac{1}{n(n+1)} w_{n-1} = 0, \quad n \ge 1.$$
(3.1)

Here

$$p_n = \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)}, \qquad q_n = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}, \qquad \alpha = 1, \text{ and } l = 1.$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$P_n = \frac{1}{n}, \qquad \bar{P}_n = \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s} > \frac{1}{n},$$
$$Q_n > \frac{1}{n(n+1)(n+2)} + \frac{1}{n(n+1)}, \qquad \bar{Q}_n > \frac{1}{2n(n+1)} + \frac{1}{n},$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \sum_{s=n-1}^{n-1} \bar{Q}_s(s-2) > 1 > \frac{1}{4}.$$

Hence all conditions of Corollary 2.8 are satisfied, and therefore (3.1) has property (B).

Example 3.2 Consider the third order delay difference equation

$$\Delta^{2} \left((\Delta w_{n})^{3} \right) - \frac{(\Delta w_{n+1})^{3}}{(n+1)(n+2)} - \frac{w_{n-1}^{3}}{n(n+1)(n+2)} = 0, \quad n \ge 1.$$
(3.2)

Here

$$p_n = \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)}$$
, $q_n = \frac{2}{n(n+1)(n+2)}$, $\alpha = 3$, and $l = 1$.

A simple calculation shows that

$$P_n = \frac{1}{n}, \qquad \bar{P}_n = \frac{1}{n}, \qquad Q_n = \frac{3}{n(n+1)(n+2)}, \qquad \bar{Q}_n = \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n(n+1)}\right), \qquad M = 1.$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} Q_t \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} \frac{3}{t(t+1)(t+2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$
$$= \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (s-2) \left(\sum_{t=s}^{\infty} \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{1}{t(t+1)} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right\}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{(s-2)}{s^{1/3}} \right\} = \infty > 1,$$

we see that all conditions of Theorem 2.9 are satisfied, and hence (3.2) has property (B).

Acknowledgements

J. Alzabut would like to thank Prince Sultan University for supporting this work.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The authors declare that the study was realized in collaboration with equal responsibility. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Galala University, Galala New City, Suez, Egypt. ²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt. ³Department of Mathematics, Presidency College (Autonomous), Chennai, 600 005, India. ⁴Ramanujan Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, University of Madras, Chennai, 600 005, India. ⁵Department of Mathematics and General Sciences, Prince Sultan University, 11586 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. ⁶Group of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Ostim Technical University, Ankara 06374, Turkey.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 15 January 2021 Accepted: 3 May 2021 Published online: 10 May 2021

References

- 1. Agarwal, R.P.: Difference Equations and Inequalities, Theory, Methods and Applications, 2nd edn. Dekker, New York (2000)
- 2. Agarwal, R.P., Bohner, M., Grace, S.R., O'Regan, D.: Discrete Oscillation Theory. Hindawi Publ. Corp., New York (2005)
- Agarwal, R.P., Grace, S.R.: Oscillation of certain third order difference equations. Comput. Math. Appl. 42, 379–384 (2001)
- Agarwal, R.P., Grace, S.R., O'Regan, D.: On the oscillation of certain third order difference equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2005(3), 345–367 (2005)
- Aktas, M.F., Tiryaki, A., Zafer, A.: Oscillation of third order nonlinear delay difference equations. Turk. J. Math. 36, 422–436 (2012)
- Bohner, M., Dharuman, C., Srinivasan, R., Thandapani, E.: Oscillation criteria for third-order nonlinear functional difference equations with damping. Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. 11(3), 669–676 (2017)
- 7. Grace, S.R., Agarwal, R.P., Graef, J.R.: Oscillation criteria for certain third order nonlinear difference equations. Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. **3**, 27–38 (2009)
- 8. Graef, J.R., Thandapani, E: Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of third order delay difference equations. Funkc. Ekvacioj **42**, 355–369 (1999)

- 9. Gyori, I., Ladas, G.: Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications. Clarendon, Oxford (1991)
- Parhi, N., Panda, A.: Oscillatory and nonoscillatory behavior of solutions of difference equations of the third order. Math. Bohem. 133, 99–112 (2008)
- Pinelas, S., Saker, S.H., Alrohet, M.A.: Oscillation criteria of second order neutral difference equations via third order difference equations. Int. J. Difference Equ. 12, 131–143 (2017)
- 12. Saker, S.H., Alzabut, J.O.: Oscillatory behavior of third order nonlinear difference equations with delayed argument. Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst., Ser. A Math. Anal. **17**, 707–723 (2010)
- Saker, S.H., Alzabut, J.O., Mukheimer, A.A.: On the oscillatory behavior for a certain class of third order nonlinear delay difference equations. Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 2010, 67 (2010)
- 14. Smith, B.: Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for third order quasi adjoint difference equations. Port. Math. 45, 229–243 (1988)
- Smith, B., Taylor, W.E. Jr.: Nonlinear third order difference equation: oscillatory and asymptotic behavior. Tamkang J. Math. 19, 91–95 (1988)
- 16. Srinivasan, R., Dharuman, C., Greaf, J.R., Thandapani, E.: Oscillatory and property (B) for the third order delay difference equations with damping term. Preprint
- Thandapani, E., Mahalingam, K.: Oscillatory properties of third order neutral delay difference equations. Demonstr. Math. 35, 325–337 (2002)
- Thandapani, E., Pandian, S., Balasubtamanian, R.K.: Oscillatory behavior of solutions of third order quasilinear delay difference equations. Stud. Univ. Žilina Math. Ser. 19, 65–78 (2005)
- Thandapani, E., Selvarangam, S.: Oscillation theorems of second order quasilinear neutral difference equations. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2, 866–879 (2012)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com