

RESEARCH

Open Access



Generalized homogeneous q -difference equations for q -polynomials and their applications to generating functions and fractional q -integrals

Jian Cao¹, Hong-Li Zhou¹ and Sama Arjika^{2*}

*Correspondence:

rjksama2008@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Agadez, Agadez, Niger

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, our aim is to build generalized homogeneous q -difference equations for q -polynomials. We also consider their applications to generating functions and fractional q -integrals by using the perspective of q -difference equations. In addition, we also reveal relevant relations of various special cases of our main results involving some known results.

MSC: 05A30; 11B65; 33D15; 33D45; 33D60; 39A13; 39B32

Keywords: Homogeneous q -difference equations; Verma–Jain polynomials; Srivastava–Agarwal type generating functions; Fractional q -integrals; $U(n + 1)$ type generating function

1 Introduction

The objective of this paper is to give an extension of know results on generalized Verma–Jain polynomials [13] and the Hahn polynomials [1, 6, 12, 30]. Here, we will give and prove generating functions for the q -polynomials $\omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q)$, $\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q)$, and several q -identities by using the q -difference equations and the fractional q -integrals. In this article, we begin our investigation by reviewing some definitions as in [33] with $0 < q < 1$. The basic hypergeometric function ${}_t\Phi_s$ is defined in [16, 25] (see also for details [24, Chap. 3] and [32, p. 347, Eq. (272)]):

$${}_t\Phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t; \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; \end{matrix} q; z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t; q)_n}{(q, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q)_n} [(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}]^{1+s-t} z^n. \quad (1.1)$$

For all z if $t \leq s$ and for $|z| < 1$ if $t = s + 1$, the basic hypergeometric function converges absolutely. (See [31] for some recent applications of the basic hypergeometric function.) For any real or complex parameter x , the q -shifted factorials of ${}_t\Phi_s$ are defined, respectively,

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

by

$$(x; q)_0 = 1, \quad (x; q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - xq^k), \quad n \geq 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{C} \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$(x; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - xq^k). \tag{1.3}$$

For $m \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, the product of several q -shifted factorials are given by

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m; q)_n = (x_1; q)_n (x_2; q)_n \dots (x_m; q)_n,$$

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m; q)_\infty = (x_1; q)_\infty (x_2; q)_\infty \dots (x_m; q)_\infty.$$

Taking $x = aq^{-n}, a \neq q^n$ in (1.2), we have the following relation:

$$(aq^{-n}; q)_n = \frac{(aq^{-n}; q)_\infty}{(a; q)_\infty} = (q/a; q)_n (-a)^n q^{-n-\binom{n}{2}}. \tag{1.4}$$

The q -binomial coefficient is defined as [16]

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} (-1)^k q^{n\binom{k}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n. \tag{1.5}$$

Chen *et al.* [15] introduced the homogeneous q -difference operator D_{xy} , Saad and Sukhi [23] introduced the dual homogeneous q -difference operator θ_{xy} as

$$D_{xy}\{f(x, y)\} := \frac{f(x, q^{-1}y) - f(qx, y)}{x - q^{-1}y}, \quad \theta_{xy}\{f(x, y)\} := \frac{f(q^{-1}x, y) - f(x, qy)}{q^{-1}x - y}. \tag{1.6}$$

Al-Salam and Carlitz [2, Eqs. (1.11) and (1.15)] have introduced the following polynomials:

$$\phi_n^{(a)}(x|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (a; q)_k x^k \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_n^{(a)}(x|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{k(k-n)} (aq^{1-k}; q)_k x^k. \tag{1.7}$$

Since then, these polynomials are called “*Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials*” by many authors. Because of their considerable role in the theories of q -series and q -orthogonal polynomials, many authors investigated an extension of the Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials (see [7, 12, 28, 35]).

Recently, Cao [7, Eq. (4.7)] has introduced two families of generalized Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials,

$$\phi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(c; q)_k} x^k y^{n-k}, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\psi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x,y|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k+1}{2}-nk} (a,b;q)_k}{(c;q)_k} x^k y^{n-k}, \tag{1.9}$$

together with the following generating functions [7, Eqs. (4.10) and (4.11)]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x,y|q) \frac{t^n}{(q;q)_n} = \frac{1}{(xt;q)_{\infty}} {}_2\Phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a,b; \\ c; \end{matrix} q; yt \right] \quad (\max\{|yt|, |xt|\} < 1), \tag{1.10}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x,y|q) \frac{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} t^n}{(q;q)_n} = (xt;q)_{\infty} {}_2\Phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a,b; \\ c; \end{matrix} q; yt \right] \quad (|xt| < 1). \tag{1.11}$$

Motivated by the work of Cao [7], the authors [12] introduced a new extension of the Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials $\phi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x,y|q)$, $\psi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x,y|q)$,

$$\phi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x,y|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(a,b,c;q)_k}{(d,e;q)_k} x^{n-k} y^k, \tag{1.12}$$

$$\psi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x,y|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k-n)} (a,b,c;q)_k}{(d,e;q)_k} x^{n-k} y^k, \tag{1.13}$$

and obtained the following results.

Proposition 1 ([12, Theorem 4]) *Let $f(a,b,c,d,e,x,y)$ be a seven-variable analytic function in a neighborhood of $(a,b,c,d,e,x,y) = (0,0,0,0,0,0,0) \in \mathbb{C}^7$.*

(I) *$f(a,b,c,d,e,x,y)$ can be expanded in terms of $\phi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x,y|q)$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} &x\{f(a,b,c,d,e,x,y) - f(a,b,c,d,e,x,yq)\} \\ &\quad - (d+e)q^{-1}\{f(a,b,c,d,e,x,yq) - f(a,b,c,d,e,x,yq^2)\} \\ &\quad + deq^{-2}\{f(a,b,c,d,e,x,yq^2) - f(a,b,c,d,e,x,yq^3)\} \\ &= y\{[f(a,b,c,d,e,x,y) - f(a,b,c,d,e,xq,y)] \\ &\quad - (a+b+c)[f(a,b,c,d,e,x,yq) - f(a,b,c,d,e,xq,yq)] \\ &\quad + (ab+ac+bc)[f(a,b,c,d,e,x,yq^2) - f(a,b,c,d,e,xq,yq^2)] \\ &\quad - abc[f(a,b,c,d,e,x,yq^3) - f(a,b,c,d,e,xq,yq^3)]\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

(II) *$f(a,b,c,d,e,x,y)$ can be expanded in terms of $\psi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x,y|q)$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} &x\{f(a,b,c,d,e,xq,y) - f(a,b,c,d,e,xq,yq)\} \\ &\quad - (d+e)q^{-1}\{f(a,b,c,d,e,xq,yq) - f(a,b,c,d,e,xq,yq^2)\} \\ &\quad + deq^{-2}\{f(a,b,c,d,e,xq,yq^2) - f(a,b,c,d,e,xq,yq^3)\} \\ &= y\{[f(a,b,c,d,e,xq,yq) - f(a,b,c,d,e,x,yq)] \\ &\quad - (a+b+c)[f(a,b,c,d,e,xq,yq^2) - f(a,b,c,d,e,x,yq^2)] \\ &\quad + (ab+ac+bc)[f(a,b,c,d,e,xq,yq^3) - f(a,b,c,d,e,x,yq^3)]\} \end{aligned}$$

$$- abc[f(a, b, c, d, e, xq, yq^4) - f(a, b, c, d, e, x, yq^4)] \}. \tag{1.15}$$

Subsequently, Cao *et al.* [13], gave another extension of Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials called “generalized Verma–Jain polynomials”,

$$\omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(a, b, c; q)_k}{(d, e; q)_k} P_{n-k}(x, y) z^k, \tag{1.16}$$

$$\mu_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(a, b, c; q)_k}{(d, e; q)_k} P_{n-k}(y, x) z^k, \tag{1.17}$$

where

$$P_n(x, y) = (x - y)(x - qy) \dots (x - q^{n-1}y) = (y/x; q)_n x^n \tag{1.18}$$

are the Cauchy polynomials.

Remark 2 Upon setting $(y, z) = (0, y)$, the polynomial (1.16) reduces to (1.12).

Motivated by the recent work of Cao [7], Cao *et al.* [12, 13] and with the aid of the polynomials (1.17), we introduce the q -polynomials $\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q)$.

Definition 3 The q -polynomials $\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q)$ are defined by

$$\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{\binom{k}{2}}(a, b, c; q)_k}{(d, e; q)_k} P_{n-k}(y, x) z^k. \tag{1.19}$$

Remark 4 The q -polynomials (1.19) can be viewed as a general form of the Hahn polynomials.

- (1) Taking $r = s = 3$, $\mathbf{a} = (a, b, c)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (d, e, 0)$ in [29, Definition 1], the q -polynomials (1.19) is a special case of the generalized q -hypergeometric polynomials $\Psi_n^{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}(x, y, z|q)$, i.e.,

$$\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q) = (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \Psi_n^{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}(x, y, z|q).$$

- (2) Upon setting $(y, z) = (0, y)$, the q -polynomials $\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q)$ defined in (1.19) reduce to the polynomials $\psi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q)$ [12],

$$\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, 0, y|q) = (-1)^n q^{-\binom{n}{2}} \psi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q).$$

- (3) For $b = c = d = e = 0$ and $z = -b$, the q -polynomials $\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q)$ reduce to the generalized Hahn polynomials $h_n(x, y, a, b|q)$ [30],

$$\zeta_n^{(a,0,0)}(x, y, -b|q) = h_n(x, y, a, b|q).$$

- (4) Setting $a = b = c = d = e = 0$ and $z = -z$, the q -polynomials $\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q)$ reduce to the trivariate q -polynomials $F_n(x, y, z; q)$ [1],

$$\zeta_n^{(0,0,0)}(x, y, -z|q) = (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} F_n(x, y, z; q).$$

- (5) If we let $a = b = c = d = e = 0, y = ax$ and $z = -y$, the q -polynomials $\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q)$ reduce to $\psi_n^{(a)}(x, y|q)$ [6],

$$\zeta_n^{(0,0,0)}(x, ax, -y|q) = (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \psi_n^{(a)}(x, y|q).$$

- (6) For $b = c = d = e = 0, x = 0, y = x$ and $z = -y$, the q -polynomials $\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q)$ reduce to the polynomials $P_n(x, y, a)$ [3],

$$\zeta_n^{(a,0,0)}(0, x, -y|q) = P_n(x, y, a).$$

- (7) Also, $a = b = c = d = e = 0, y = ax$ and $z = -1$, the q -polynomials $\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q)$ reduce to Hahn polynomials $\psi_n^{(a)}(x|q)$ [2],

$$\zeta_n^{(0,0,0)}(x, ax, -1|q) = (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \psi_n^{(a)}(x|q).$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we give and prove our main results to be used in the sequel. In Sect. 3, we obtain generating function for q -polynomials. In Sect. 4, we obtain the Srivastava–Agarwal type generating function for q -hypergeometric polynomials. In Sect. 5, we deduce mixed generating functions for the Rajković–Marinković–Stanković polynomials. In Sect. 6, we derive $U(n + 1)$ generalizations of the generating functions for q -hypergeometric polynomials.

2 Proof of main results

In this section, we will give and prove our main results to be used in the sequel.

Theorem 5 *Let $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ be an eight-variable analytic function in a neighborhood of $(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^8$.*

- (I) *If $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ can be expanded in terms of $\omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q)$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} & (x - q^{-1}y) \{ [f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) - f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, qz)] \\ & \quad - (d + e)q^{-1} [f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, qz) - f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, q^2z)] \\ & \quad + deq^{-2} [f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, q^2z) - f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, q^3z)] \} \\ & = z \{ [f(a, b, c, d, e, x, q^{-1}y, z) - f(a, b, c, d, e, qx, y, z)] \\ & \quad - (a + b + c) [f(a, b, c, d, e, x, q^{-1}y, qz) - f(a, b, c, d, e, qx, y, qz)] \\ & \quad + (ab + ac + bc) [f(a, b, c, d, e, x, q^{-1}y, q^2z) - f(a, b, c, d, e, qx, y, q^2z)] \\ & \quad - abc [f(a, b, c, d, e, x, q^{-1}y, q^3z) - f(a, b, c, d, e, qx, y, q^3z)] \}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

(II) If $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ can be expanded in terms of $\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (q^{-1}x - y) \{ [f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) - f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, qz)] \\
 & \quad - (d + e)q^{-1} [f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, qz) - f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, q^2z)] \\
 & \quad + deq^{-2} [f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, q^2z) - f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, q^3z)] \} \\
 & = z \{ [f(a, b, c, d, e, x, qy, qz) - f(a, b, c, d, e, q^{-1}x, y, qz)] \\
 & \quad - (a + b + c) [f(a, b, c, d, e, x, qy, q^2z) \\
 & \quad - f(a, b, c, d, e, q^{-1}x, y, q^2z)] \\
 & \quad + (ab + ac + bc) [f(a, b, c, d, e, x, qy, q^3z) - f(a, b, c, d, e, q^{-1}x, y, q^3z)] \\
 & \quad - abc [f(a, b, c, d, e, x, qy, q^4z) - f(a, b, c, d, e, q^{-1}x, y, q^4z)] \}. \tag{2.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6 For $(y, z) = (0, y)$, Eq. (2.1) reduces to (1.14).

To prove Theorem 5, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 7 ([17, Hartogs theorem]) *If a complex-valued function is holomorphic (analytic) in each variable separately in an open domain $D \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then it is holomorphic (analytic) in D .*

Lemma 8 ([20, Proposition 1]) *If $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ is analytic at the origin $(0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^k$, then f can be expanded in an absolutely convergent power series,*

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_k^{n_k}.$$

Proof of Theorem 5 (I) From Lemmas 7 and 8, we assume that there exists a sequence $\{A_n\}$ such that

$$f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, y) z^n. \tag{2.3}$$

First, substituting (2.3) into Eq. (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (x - q^{-1}y) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [1 - q^n - (d + e)q^{n-1} + (d + e)q^{2n-1} + deq^{2n-2} - deq^{3n-2}] \\
 & \quad \times A_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, y) z^n \\
 & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [1 - (a + b + c)q^n + (ab + bc + ac)q^{2n} - abcq^{3n}] \\
 & \quad \times [A_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, q^{-1}y) - A_n(a, b, c, d, e, qx, y)] z^{n+1},
 \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to

$$(x - q^{-1}y) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 - dq^{n-1})(1 - eq^{n-1}) A_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, y) z^n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^n)(1 - bq^n)(1 - cq^n) \\
 &\quad \times [A_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, q^{-1}y) - A_n(a, b, c, d, e, qx, y)]z^{n+1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Comparing coefficients of $z^n, n \geq 1$, on both sides of Eq. (2.4), we readily find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(x - q^{-1}y)(1 - q^n)(1 - dq^{n-1})(1 - eq^{n-1})A_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, y) \\
 &= (1 - aq^{n-1})(1 - bq^{n-1})(1 - cq^{n-1}) \\
 &\quad \times [A_{n-1}(a, b, c, d, e, x, q^{-1}y) - A_{n-1}(a, b, c, d, e, qx, y)],
 \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$A_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, y) = \frac{(1 - aq^{n-1})(1 - bq^{n-1})(1 - cq^{n-1})}{(1 - q^n)(1 - dq^{n-1})(1 - eq^{n-1})} D_{xy} A_{n-1}(a, b, c, d, e, x, y).$$

By iteration, we obtain

$$A_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, y) = \frac{(a, b, c; q)_n}{(q, d, e; q)_n} D_{xy}^n \{A_0(a, b, c, d, e, x, y)\}. \tag{2.5}$$

Taking $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, 0) = A_0(a, b, c, d, e, x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n P_n(x, y)$ yields

$$A_k(a, b, c, d, e, x, y) = \frac{(a, b, c; q)_k}{(q, d, e; q)_k} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_{n-k}} P_{n-k}(x, y), \tag{2.6}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, b, c; q)_k}{(q, d, e; q)_k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_{n-k}} P_{n-k}(x, y) z^k \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(a, b, c; q)_k}{(d, e; q)_k} P_{n-k}(x, y) z^k \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \omega_n^{(a, b, c; d, e)}(x, y, z|q).
 \end{aligned}$$

Second, if $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ can be expanded in terms of $\omega_n^{(a, b, c; d, e)}(x, y, z|q)$, we can verify that it satisfies (2.1).

In almost the same way, we assume that there exists a sequence $\{B_n\}$ such that

$$f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, y) z^n. \tag{2.7}$$

Now, substituting Eq. (2.7) into Eq. (2.2), we have

$$(q^{-1}x - y) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [1 - q^n - (d + e)q^{n-1} + (d + e)q^{2n-1} + deq^{2n-2} - deq^{3n-2}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times B_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, y)z^n \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [q^n - (a + b + c)q^{2n} + (ab + bc + ac)q^{3n} - abcq^{4n}] \\ & \quad \times [B_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, qy) - B_n(a, b, c, d, e, q^{-1}x, y)]z^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (q^{-1}x - y) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 - dq^{n-1})(1 - eq^{n-1})B_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, y)z^n \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n (1 - aq^n)(1 - bq^n)(1 - cq^n) \\ & \quad \times [B_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, qy) - B_n(a, b, c, d, e, q^{-1}x, y)]z^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Comparing coefficients of $z^n, n \geq 1$, on both sides of Eq. (2.8), we readily find that

$$\begin{aligned} & (q^{-1}x - y)(1 - q^n)(1 - dq^{n-1})(1 - eq^{n-1})B_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, y) \\ & = q^{n-1}(1 - aq^{n-1})(1 - bq^{n-1})(1 - cq^{n-1}) \\ & \quad \times [B_{n-1}(a, b, c, d, e, x, qy) - B_{n-1}(a, b, c, d, e, q^{-1}x, y)], \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$B_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, y) = -q^{n-1} \frac{(1 - aq^{n-1})(1 - bq^{n-1})(1 - cq^{n-1})}{(1 - q^n)(1 - dq^{n-1})(1 - eq^{n-1})} \theta_{xy} B_{n-1}(a, b, c, d, e, x, y).$$

By iteration, we obtain

$$B_n(a, b, c, d, e, x, y) = \frac{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}(a, b, c; q)_n}{(q, d, e; q)_n} \theta_{xy} \{B_0(a, b, c, d, e, x, y)\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Upon setting $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, 0) = B_0(a, b, c, d, e, x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n P_n(y, x)$,

$$B_k(a, b, c, d, e, x, y) = \frac{q^{\binom{k}{2}}(a, b, c; q)_k}{(q, d, e; q)_k} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_{n-k}} P_{n-k}(y, x), \tag{2.10}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) & = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\binom{k}{2}}(a, b, c; q)_k}{(q, d, e; q)_k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_{n-k}} P_{n-k}(x, y)z^k \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{\binom{k}{2}}(a, b, c; q)_k}{(d, e; q)_k} P_{n-k}(x, y)z^k \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \zeta_n^{(a, b, c)}(x, y, z|q). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ can be written in terms of $\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q)$, we can verify that $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ satisfies (2.2). The proof of Theorem 5 is complete. \square

3 Generating function for new generalized q -polynomials

In this section, our aim is to give and prove the generating functions for q -polynomials by means of the q -difference equations.

Theorem 9 *It is asserted that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(yt; q)_{\infty}}{(xt; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, c; \\ d, e; \end{matrix} q; zt \right] \quad (\max\{|xt|, |zt|\} < 1) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(xt; q)_{\infty}}{(yt; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\Phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, c; \\ 0, d, e; \end{matrix} q; -zt \right] \quad (|yt| < 1). \quad (3.2)$$

Remark 10 Equations (3.1) and (3.2) reduce to Eqs. (1.10) and (1.11), respectively, when $c = e = y = 0$ in Theorem 9.

Proof of Theorem 9 We denote the right-hand side of Eq. (3.1) by $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$. One can verify that $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ satisfies (2.1). So, there exists a sequence $\{\beta_n\}$, such that

$$f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q). \quad (3.3)$$

Upon setting $z = 0$ in Eq. (3.3) and then using the obvious fact $\omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, 0|q) = P_n(x, y)$, we have

$$f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n P_n(x, y) = \frac{(yt; q)_{\infty}}{(xt; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x, y) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n}.$$

So, the function $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ is equivalent to

$$f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} \omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q),$$

which equals the right-hand side of Eq. (3.1). Similarly, we prove Eq. (3.2).

The proof of Theorem 9 is complete. \square

4 Srivastava–Agarwal type generating function for q -hypergeometric polynomials

We recall that the following Srivastava–Agarwal type generating functions for the Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials. See also [10, 19] for some recent work on generating functions.

Proposition 11 ([27, Eq. (3.20)] and [5, Eq. (5.4)]) *We have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(\alpha)}(z|q) \frac{(\lambda; q)_n t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(\lambda t; q)_{\infty}}{(t; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\Phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \lambda, \alpha; \\ \lambda t; \end{matrix} q; zt \right] \quad (\max\{|t|, |xt|\} < 1) \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n^{(\alpha)}(x|q) (1/\lambda; q)_n \frac{(\lambda tq)^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(xtq; q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda xtq; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\Phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 1/\lambda, 1/(\alpha x); \\ 1/(\lambda xt); \end{matrix} q; \alpha q \right] \tag{4.2}$$

($\max\{|\lambda xtq|, |\alpha q|\} < 1$).

In this section, we state and prove the Srivastava–Agarwal type bilinear generating functions for q -hypergeometric polynomials by the method of homogeneous q -difference equations.

Theorem 12 *It is asserted that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(\alpha)}(x|q) \omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(u, v, z|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} \\ &= \frac{(vt, \alpha x; q)_{\infty}}{(ut, x; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ut, \alpha; q)_k q^k}{(q/x, vt, q; q)_k} {}_3\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, c; \\ d, e; \end{matrix} q; ztq^k \right] \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

($\max\{|ut|, |zt|, |x|\} < 1$)

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n^{(\alpha)}(x|q) \zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(u, v, z|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} \\ &= \frac{(q/x, uxtq; q)_{\infty}}{(\alpha q, vxtq; q)_{\infty}} \\ & \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} (1/(\alpha x), 1/(uxt); q)_n}{(q/x, 1/(vxt), q; q)_n} \left(\frac{\alpha u q}{v}\right)^n {}_3\Phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, c; \\ 0, d, e; \end{matrix} q; -zxtq^{1-n} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

($\max\{|\alpha q|, |vxt|\} < 1$).

Remark 13 For $c = e = 0, b = d$ and $y = 0, x = 1$ in Theorem 12, Eq. (4.3) reduces to (4.1)

To prove Theorem 12, the following proposition is necessary.

Proposition 14 ([4, Theorem 5.2] and [16, Eq. (III.4)]) *We have*

$${}_2\Phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b; \\ c; \end{matrix} q; z \right] = \frac{(abz/c; q)_{\infty}}{(az/c; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} b, c/a, 0; \\ qc/(az), c; \end{matrix} q; q \right] \tag{4.5}$$

and

$${}_2\Phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b; \\ c; \end{matrix} q; z \right] = \frac{(bz; q)_{\infty}}{(z; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} b, c/a; \\ bz, c; \end{matrix} q; az \right]. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof of Theorem 12 If we use $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ to denote the right-hand side of (4.3), we calculate that $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ satisfies (2.1). Thus, there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ independent of x, y and z such that

$$f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(u, v, z|q). \tag{4.7}$$

Letting $z = 0$ in Eq. (4.7) and utilizing the obvious fact $\omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(u, v, 0|q) = P_n(u, v)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, b, c, d, e, u, v, 0) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n P_n(u, v) = \frac{(vt, \alpha x; q)_{\infty}}{(ut, x; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ut, \alpha; q)_k q^k}{(q/x, vt, q; q)_k} \\ &= \frac{(vt, \alpha x; q)_{\infty}}{(ut, x; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} ut, \alpha, 0; \\ q/x, vt; \end{matrix} q; q \right] \quad \text{by (4.5)} \\ &= \frac{(vt; q)_{\infty}}{(ut; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\Phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} v/u, \alpha; \\ vt; \end{matrix} q; xut \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(\alpha)}(x) P_n(u, v) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f(a, b, c, d, e, u, v, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(u, v, z|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n},$$

which is equal to the left-hand side of (4.3).

Similarly, if we use $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ to denote the right-hand side of (4.4), we test that $g(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ satisfies (2.2). Thus, there exists a sequence $\{b_n\}$ independent of x, y and z such that

$$g(a, b, c, d, e, u, v, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(u, v, z|q). \tag{4.8}$$

Setting $z = 0$ in Eq. (4.8), using the obvious fact $\zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(u, v, 0|q) = P_n(v, u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(a, b, c, d, e, u, v, 0) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n P_n(v, u) = \frac{(q/x, uxtq; q)_{\infty}}{(\alpha q, vxtq; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} (1/(\alpha x), 1/(uxt); q)_n}{(q/x, 1/(vxt), q; q)_n} \left(\frac{\alpha u q}{v} \right)^n \\ &= \frac{(q/x, uxtq; q)_{\infty}}{(\alpha q, vxtq; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} 1/(\alpha x), 1/(uxt); \\ q/x, 1/(vxt); \end{matrix} q; \frac{\alpha u q}{v} \right] \quad \text{by (4.6)} \\ &= \frac{(uxtq; q)_{\infty}}{(vxtq; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} u/v, 1/(\alpha x); \\ 1/(vxt); \end{matrix} q; \alpha q \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n^{(\alpha)}(x|q) P_n(v, u) \frac{(qt)^n}{(q; q)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$g(a, b, c, d, e, u, v, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \zeta_n^{(a,b,c)}(u, v, z|q) \frac{(qt)^n}{(q; q)_n},$$

which is equal to the left-hand side of (2.2). This completes the proof of Theorem 12. \square

Theorem 15 For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n+s}^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(yt; q)_{\infty}}{t^s (xt; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^s \frac{(q^{-s}, xt; q)_k q^k}{(q; yt; q)_k} {}_3\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, c; \\ d, e; \end{matrix} q; ztq^k \right] \tag{4.9}$$

($\max\{|xt|, |zt|\} < 1$)

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta_{n+s}^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(xt; q)_{\infty}}{t^s (yt; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^s \frac{(q^{-s}, yt; q)_k q^k}{(q; xt; q)_k} {}_3\Phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, c; \\ d, e, 0; \end{matrix} q; -ztq^k \right] \tag{4.10}$$

($|yt| < 1$).

Corollary 16 ([35, Eq. (2.1)]) For $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\max\{|z|, |xz|, |b|\} < 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{n+s}(x; a, b|q) \frac{z^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(b, axz, bzq^s; q)_{\infty}}{(z, xz, bq^s; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-s}, a, x; \\ axz, q^{1-s}/b; \end{matrix} q; \frac{qx}{b} \right]. \tag{4.11}$$

Remark 17 For $c = e = 0, b = d$ and $x = 1$ in Theorem 15, Eq. (4.9) reduces to (4.11).

To prove Theorem 15, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 18 ([16, Eq. (II.6)]) The q -Chu–Vandermonde formula is given by

$${}_2\Phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, a; \\ c; \end{matrix} q; q \right] = \frac{(c/a; q)_n}{(c; q)_n} a^n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}). \tag{4.12}$$

Proof of Theorem 15 If we denote the right-hand side of Eq. (4.9) equivalently by

$$f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) = t^{-s} \sum_{k=0}^s \frac{(q^{-s}; q)_k q^k}{(q; q)_k} \frac{(ytq^k; q)_{\infty}}{(xtq^k; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, c; \\ d, e; \end{matrix} q; ztq^k \right],$$

we test that $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ satisfies Eq. (2.1). Thus, there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ independent of x, y and z such that

$$f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q).$$

We set $z = 0$ in the above equation, using the notable fact $\omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, 0|q) = P_n(x, y)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, 0) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n P_n(x, y) = \frac{(yt; q)_{\infty}}{t^s (xt; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\Phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-s}, xt; \\ yt; \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right] \text{ by (4.12)} \\ &= \frac{(ytq^s; q)_{\infty} P_s(x, y)}{(xt; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{n+s}(x, y) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} P_n(x, y) \frac{t^{n-s}}{(q; q)_{n-s}}. \end{aligned}$$

We immediately conclude that

$$f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q) \frac{t^{n-s}}{(q; q)_{n-s}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n+s}^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n},$$

which is equal to the left-hand side of (4.9).

Similarly, we get (4.10). This completes the proof of Theorem 15. □

5 Some new mixed generating functions for the Rajković–Marinković–Stanković polynomials

In this section, we give and prove the mixed generating functions for the Rajković–Marinković–Stanković polynomials.

Let a and b be two real numbers, the Thomae–Jackson q -integral is defined as [16, 18, 34]

$$\int_a^b f(x) d_q x = (1 - q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [bf(bq^n) - af(aq^n)] q^n. \tag{5.1}$$

Assume that $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $0 < a < x < 1$, the generalized Riemann–Liouville fractional q -integral operator is defined by [22] (see [11])

$$(I_{q,a}^{\alpha} f)(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_a^x (qt/x; q)_{\alpha-1} f(t) d_q t. \tag{5.2}$$

Due to the q -integral (5.1), we rewrite fractional q -integral (5.2) equivalently as follows (see [9, 11, 14]):

$$(I_{q,a}^{\alpha} f)(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1}(1 - q)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [x(q^{n+1}; q)_{\alpha-1} f(xq^n) - a(aq^{n+1}/x; q)_{\alpha-1} f(aq^n)] q^n. \tag{5.3}$$

Recall that the Rajković–Marinković–Stanković polynomials are defined [22] (see [8, 11]) by

$$P_n(\alpha, a, x|q) = I_{q,a}^{\alpha} \{x^n\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{[k]_q! a^{n-k}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + k + 1)} x^{\alpha+k} (a/x; q)_{\alpha+k}, \tag{5.4}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and $0 < a < x < 1$.

We have the following lemmas.

Lemma 19 ([8, Lemma 10]) *For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+, 0 < a < x < 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n(\alpha, a, x|q) \frac{w^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(1-q)^\alpha}{(aw; q)_\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\alpha+k} (a/x; q)_{\alpha+k} w^k}{(q; q)_{\alpha+k}}. \tag{5.5}$$

Lemma 20 ([8, Theorem 3]) *For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+, 0 < a < x < 1$ and if $\max\{|at|, |az|\} < 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{q,a}^\alpha \left\{ \frac{(bxz, tx; q)_\infty}{(xs, xz; q)_\infty} \right\} &= \frac{(1-q)^\alpha (abz, at; q)_\infty}{(as, az; q)_\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\alpha+k} (a/x; q)_{\alpha+k}}{a^k (q; q)_{\alpha+k}} {}_3\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-k}, as, az; \\ at, abz; \end{matrix} q; q \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Remark 21 Upon taking $z = 0$ in (5.6) and by the means of the q -Chu–Vandermonde formula (4.12), we obtain

$$I_{q,a}^\alpha \left\{ \frac{(xt; q)_\infty}{(xs; q)_\infty} \right\} = \frac{(1-q)^\alpha (at; q)_\infty}{(as; q)_\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\alpha+k} (a/x; q)_{\alpha+k}}{(q; q)_{\alpha+k}} \frac{(t/s; q)_k s^k}{(at; q)_k}, \quad |as| < 1. \tag{5.7}$$

Using Lemma 20 and the theory of q -difference equations, we are able to deduce the following new mixed generating functions for the Rajković–Marinković–Stanković polynomials.

Theorem 22 *For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+, 0 < a < x < 1$, and $\max\{|aws|, |awt|\} < 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n(\alpha, a, x|q) \omega_n^{(a_1, b_1, c_1)}_{d_1, e_1}(s, t, r|q) \frac{w^n}{(q; q)_n} &= \frac{(1-q)^\alpha (awt; q)_\infty}{(aws; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, b_1, c_1, awt; q)_n (r/s)^n}{(q, d_1, e_1, t/s; q)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, aws; q)_k q^k}{(q, awt; q)_k} \\ &\times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\alpha+m} (a/x; q)_{\alpha+m}}{a^m (q; q)_{\alpha+m}} {}_3\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, awsq^k, awtq^n; \\ awt, awtq^k; \end{matrix} q; q \right] \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n(\alpha, a, x|q) \zeta_n^{(a_1, b_1, c_1)}_{d_1, e_1}(s, t, r|q) \frac{w^n}{(q; q)_n} &= \frac{(1-q)^\alpha (aws; q)_\infty}{(awt; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(n)}(a_1, b_1, c_1, aws; q)_n (r/t)^n}{(q, d_1, e_1, s/t; q)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, awt; q)_k q^k}{(q, aws; q)_k} \\ &\times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\alpha+m} (a/x; q)_{\alpha+m}}{a^m (q; q)_{\alpha+m}} {}_3\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, awtq^k, awsq^n; \\ aws, awsq^k; \end{matrix} q; q \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Proof of Theorem 22 The LHS of Eq. (5.8) is equal to

$$I_{q,a}^\alpha \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_n^{(a_1, b_1, c_1)}_{d_1, e_1}(s, t, r|q) \frac{(xw)^n}{(q; q)_n} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= I_{q,a}^\alpha \left\{ \frac{(xwt; q)_\infty}{xws; q_\infty} {}_3\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, b_1, c_1; \\ d_1, e_1; \end{matrix} q; rxw \right] \right\} \\
 &= I_{q,a}^\alpha \left\{ \frac{(xwt; q)_\infty}{xws; q_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(a_1, b_1, c_1; q)_n}{(q, d_1, e_1; q)_n} (rw)^n x^n \right\} \\
 &= I_{q,a}^\alpha \left\{ \frac{(xwt; q)_\infty}{xws; q_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(a_1, b_1, c_1; q)_n (rw)^n}{(q, d_1, e_1; q)_n} \frac{(xwt; q)_n}{(t/s; q)_n (ws)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, xws; q)_k}{(q, xwt; q)_k} q^k \right\} \\
 &= I_{q,a}^\alpha \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(a_1, b_1, c_1; q)_n}{(q, d_1, e_1; q)_n} (r/s)^n \frac{(xwt; q)_n}{(t/s; q)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(xwtq^k; q)_\infty (q^{-n}; q)_k}{(xwsq^k; q)_\infty (q; q)_k} q^k \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(a_1, b_1, c_1; q)_n}{(q, d_1, e_1; q)_n} \frac{(r/s)^n}{(t/s; q)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k q^k}{(q; q)_k} I_{q,a}^\alpha \left\{ \frac{(xwt, xwtq^k; q)_\infty}{(xwtq^n, xwsq^k; q)_\infty} \right\} \\
 &= \frac{(1-q)^\alpha (awt; q)_\infty}{(aws; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(a_1, b_1, c_1, awt; q)_n (r/s)^n}{(q, d_1, e_1, t/s; q)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, aws; q)_k q^k}{(q, awt; q)_k} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{x^{\alpha+m} (a/x; q)_{\alpha+m}}{a^m (q; q)_{\alpha+m}} {}_3\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, awsq^k, awtq^n; \\ awt, awtq^k; \end{matrix} q; q \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

which equals the RHS of Eq. (5.8) after using (5.6). Similarly, we get (5.9). This completes the proof of Theorem 22. □

Remark 23 For $(t, r) = (0, 0)$ in Theorem 22, we get (5.5).

6 The $U(n + 1)$ generalizations of generating functions for q -hypergeometric polynomials

Lemma 24 ([21, Theorem 5.42]) *Let b, z and x_1, \dots, x_n be indeterminate, and let $n \geq 1$. Suppose that none of the denominators in the following identity vanishes, $0 < |q| < 1$ and $|z| < |x_1, \dots, x_n| |x_m|^{-n} |q|^{(n-1)/2}$, for $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{\substack{y_k \geq 0 \\ k=1,2,\dots,n}} \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \left[\frac{1 - \frac{x_r}{x_s} q^{y_r - y_s}}{1 - \frac{x_r}{x_s}} \right] \prod_{r,s=1}^n \left(q \frac{x_r}{x_s}; q \right)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i)^{y_i - (y_1 + \dots + y_n)} (-1)^{(n-1)(y_1 + \dots + y_n)} \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. q^{y_2 + 2y_3 + \dots + (n-1)y_n + (n-1) \left[\binom{y_1}{2} + \dots + \binom{y_n}{2} \right] - e_2(y_1, \dots, y_n)} (b; q)_{y_1 + \dots + y_n} z^{y_1 + \dots + y_n} \right\} \\
 &= \frac{(bz; q)_\infty}{(z; q)_\infty}, \tag{6.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $e_2(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is the second elementary symmetric function of $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$.

In this part, using the method of homogeneous q -difference equations, we derive the following $U(n + 1)$ type generating functions for q -hypergeometric polynomials.

Theorem 25 *Let $b, z, x_1, \dots, x_n, n \geq 1$ be indeterminate. Suppose that none of the denominators in the following identity vanishes, and that $0 < |q| < 1$, and $|z| < |x_1, \dots, x_n| \times$*

$|x_m|^{-n}|q|^{(n-1)/2}$, for $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then we have the following:

$$\sum_{\substack{y_k \geq 0 \\ k=1,2,\dots,n}} \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \left[\frac{1 - \frac{x_r}{x_s} q^{y_r - y_s}}{1 - \frac{x_r}{x_s}} \right] \prod_{r,s=1}^n \left(q \frac{x_r}{x_s}; q \right)_{y_r}^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i)^{ny_i - (y_1 + \dots + y_n)} (-1)^{(n-1)(y_1 + \dots + y_n)} \right. \\ \left. \times q^{y_2 + 2y_3 + \dots + (n-1)y_n + (n-1)[\binom{y_1}{2} + \dots + \binom{y_n}{2}] - e_2(y_1, \dots, y_n)} \omega_{s+y_1+\dots+y_n}^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q) t^{y_1 + \dots + y_n} \right\} \\ = \frac{(yt; q)_\infty}{t^s (xt; q)_\infty} \sum_{k=0}^s \frac{(q^{-s}, xt; q)_k q^k}{(q, yt; q)_k} {}_3\Phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, c; \\ d, e; \end{matrix} q; ztq^k \right], \tag{6.2}$$

where $a = q^{-M}$ and $|xt| < 1$.

Remark 26 Setting $n = 1$ in Theorem 25, the assertion (6.2) reduces to (4.9).

Proof of Theorem 25 Upon taking $(b, z) = (yq^s/x, xt)$ in Eq. (6.1), we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{y_k \geq 0 \\ k=1,2,\dots,n}} \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \left[\frac{1 - \frac{x_r}{x_s} q^{y_r - y_s}}{1 - \frac{x_r}{x_s}} \right] \prod_{r,s=1}^n \left(q \frac{x_r}{x_s}; q \right)_{y_r}^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i)^{ny_i - (y_1 + \dots + y_n)} (-1)^{(n-1)(y_1 + \dots + y_n)} \right. \\ \left. \times q^{y_2 + 2y_3 + \dots + (n-1)y_n + (n-1)[\binom{y_1}{2} + \dots + \binom{y_n}{2}] - e_2(y_1, \dots, y_n)} P_{s+y_1+\dots+y_n}(x, yq^s) t^{y_1 + \dots + y_n} \right\} \\ = \frac{(ytq^s; q)_\infty}{(xt; q)_\infty}. \tag{6.3}$$

If we use $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ to denote the left-hand side of Eq. (6.2), we can verify that $f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z)$ satisfies Eq. (2.1). There exists a sequence $\{\beta_n\}$ such that

$$f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \beta_n \omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q). \tag{6.4}$$

Setting $z = 0$ in Eq. (6.4) and then, using the obvious fact $\omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, 0|q) = P_n(x, y)$, we have

$$f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, 0) \\ = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \beta_n P_n(x, y) \\ = \sum_{\substack{y_k \geq 0 \\ k=1,2,\dots,n}} \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \left[\frac{1 - \frac{x_r}{x_s} q^{y_r - y_s}}{1 - \frac{x_r}{x_s}} \right] \prod_{r,s=1}^n \left(q \frac{x_r}{x_s}; q \right)_{y_r}^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i)^{ny_i - (y_1 + \dots + y_n)} (-1)^{(n-1)(y_1 + \dots + y_n)} \right. \\ \left. \times q^{y_2 + 2y_3 + \dots + (n-1)y_n + (n-1)[\binom{y_1}{2} + \dots + \binom{y_n}{2}] - e_2(y_1, \dots, y_n)} P_{s+y_1+\dots+y_n}(x, yq^s) t^{y_1 + \dots + y_n} \right\} \\ = \frac{P_s(x, y) (ytq^s; q)_\infty}{(xt; q)_\infty} \\ = \sum_{n=0}^\infty P_{s+n}(x, y) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n}.$$

Hence

$$f(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y, z|q) \frac{t^{n-s}}{(q; q)_{n-s}},$$

which is equal to the right-hand side of (6.2) by (4.9). The proof of Theorem 25 is complete. \square

We remark in passing that, in a recently-published survey-cum-expository review article, the so-called (p, q) -calculus was exposed to be a rather trivial and inconsequential variation of the classical q -calculus, the additional parameter p being redundant or superfluous (see, for details, [26, p. 340]).

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

This work was supported by the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. LY21A010019).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to this manuscript and approved its final version.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou City, Zhejiang Province 311121, China.

²Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Agadez, Agadez, Niger.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 17 March 2021 Accepted: 29 June 2021 Published online: 10 July 2021

References

1. Abdhusein, M.A.: Two operator representations for the trivariate q -polynomials and Hahn polynomials. *Ramanujan J.* **40**, 491–509 (2016)
2. Al-Salam, W.A., Carlitz, L.: Some orthogonal q -polynomials. *Math. Nachr.* **30**, 47–61 (1965)
3. Arjika, S.: q -difference equation for another homogeneous q -difference operators and their applications. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **26**(7), 987–999 (2020)
4. Arjika, S.: Certain generating functions for Cigler's polynomials, Montes Taurus. *J. Pure Appl. Math.* **3**(3), 284–296 (2021)
5. Cao, J.: Generalizations of certain Carlitz's trilinear and Srivastava–Agarwal type generating functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **396**, 351–362 (2012)
6. Cao, J.: On Carlitz's trilinear generating functions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 9839–9847 (2012)
7. Cao, J.: A note on q -integrals and certain generating functions. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **131**, 105–118 (2013)
8. Cao, J.: A note on fractional q -integrals and applications to generating functions and q -Mittag-Leffler function. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **10**(2), 136–146 (2019)
9. Cao, J., Arjika, S.: A note on fractional Askey–Wilson integrals. *J. Fract. Calc. Appl.* **12**(2), 1–8 (2021)
10. Cao, J., Srivastava, H.M.: Some q -generating functions of the Carlitz and Srivastava–Agarwal types associated with the generalized Hahn polynomials and the generalized Rogers–Szegő polynomials. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219**(15), 8398–8406 (2013)
11. Cao, J., Srivastava, H.M., Liu, Z.-G.: Some iterated fractional q -integrals and their applications. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **21**, 672–695 (2018)
12. Cao, J., Xu, B., Arjika, S.: A note on generalized q -difference equations for general Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, Article ID 668 (2020)
13. Cao, J., Zhou, H., Arjika, S.: Generalized homogeneous q -difference equations with q -operator solutions and some calculations (2020)
14. Cao, J., Zhou, H., Arjika, S.: A note on fractional q -integrals. *J. Fract. Calc. Appl.* **13**(1), 82–94 (2022)
15. Chen, W.Y.C., Fu, A.M., Zhang, B.: The homogeneous q -difference operator. *Adv. Appl. Math.* **31**, 659–668 (2003)
16. Gasper, G., Rahman, M.: *Basic Hypergeometric Series*. Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge (2004)

17. Gunning, R.: Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables. In: *Function Theory*, 1. Wadsworth and Brooks/Colc, Bclmont (1990)
18. Jackson, F.H.: On q -definite integrals. *Q. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **41**, 193–203 (1910)
19. Jain, V.K., Srivastava, H.M.: Some families of multilinear q -generating function and combinatorial q -series identities. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **192**, 413–418 (1995)
20. Malgrange, B.: *Lectures on the Theory of Functions of Several Complex Variables*. Springer, Berlin (1984)
21. Milne, S.C.: Balanced ${}_3\phi_2$ summation theorem for $U(n)$ basic hypergeometric series. *Adv. Math.* **131**, 93–187 (1997)
22. Rajković, P.M., Marinković, S.D., Stanković, M.S.: Fractional integrals and derivatives in q -calculus. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* **1**, 311–323 (2007)
23. Saad, H.L., Sukhi, A.A.: Another homogeneous q -difference operator. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **215**, 4332–4339 (2010)
24. Slater, L.J.: *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1966)
25. Srivastava, H.M.: Certain q -polynomial expansions for functions of several variables. I and II. *IMA J. Appl. Math.* **30**, 315–323 (1983); *Ibid.*, **33**, 205–209, 1984
26. Srivastava, H.M.: Operators of basic (or q -) calculus and fractional q -calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A, Sci.* **44**, 327–344 (2020)
27. Srivastava, H.M., Agarwal, A.K.: Generation functions for a lass of q -polynomials. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **154**, 99–109 (1989)
28. Srivastava, H.M., Arjika, S.: Generating functions for some families of the generalized Al-Salam–Carlitz q -polynomials. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, Article ID 498 (2020)
29. Srivastava, H.M., Arjika, S.: A general family of q -hypergeometric polynomials and associated generating functions. *Mathematics* **9**(11), Article ID 1161 (2021)
30. Srivastava, H.M., Arjika, S., Sherif Kelil, A.: Some homogeneous q -difference operators and the associated generalized Hahn polynomials. *Appl. Set-Valued Anal. Optim.* **1**, 187–201 (2019)
31. Srivastava, H.M., Chaudhary, M.P., Wakene, F.K.: A family of theta-function identities based upon q -binomial theorem and Heine's transformations, Montes Taurus. *J. Pure Appl. Math.* **2**(2), 1–6 (2020)
32. Srivastava, H.M., Karlsson, P.W.: *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series*. Halsted Press, Chichester (1985)
33. Taylor, J.: *Several Complex Variables with Connections to Algebraic Geometry and Lie Groups*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 46. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (2002)
34. Thomae, J.: Beiträge zur Theorie der durch die Heinesche Reihe Darstellbaren Function. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **70**, 258–281 (1869)
35. Verma, A., Jain, V.K.: Poisson kernel and multilinear generating function of some orthogonal polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **146**, 333–352 (1990)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)
