

RESEARCH

Open Access



New coincidence point results for generalized graph-preserving multivalued mappings with applications

Hasanen A. Hammad^{1*} , Manuel De la Sen²  and Praveen Agarwal³ 

*Correspondence:

hassanein_hamad@science.sohag.edu.eg

¹Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science, Sohag University,
Sohag 82524, Egypt

Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

This research aims to investigate a novel coincidence point (cp) of generalized multivalued contraction (gmc) mapping involved a directed graph in b -metric spaces (b -ms). An example and some corollaries are derived to strengthen our main theoretical results. We end the manuscript with two important applications, one of them is interested in finding a solution to the system of nonlinear integral equations (nie) and the other one relies on the existence of a solution to fractional integral equations (fie).

MSC: 46T99; 54H25; 34A08; 34B24

Keywords: Coincidence point; Directed graph; Fractional integral equation; b -metric space; Set valued graph-preserving mappings

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Fractional calculus is the official modeling tool to represent the conduct of nanofluids, the dynamics of ions over the membrane and many more. In past years, this branch [1, 2] has attracted great interest. There exist many kinds of proposed fractional operators, for instance, we have the well-known Caputo, Riemann–Liouville, and Grunwald–Letnikov derivatives. Among all the papers dealing with fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, as an important research field, have attained a great deal of attention from many researchers; see [3–8].

Differential equations of fractional order have been the focus of many studies due to their frequent appearance in various applications in fluid mechanics, viscoelasticity, physics, engineering and biology. Recently, a large amount of literature developed concerning the application of fractional differential equations in nonlinear dynamics [9, 10].

Metric fixed point theory has enormous applications in distinct sciences, one of them on graphs was shown by Echenique [11]. After that, a lot of papers concerning the concept of graph contractions, techniques of fixed points on the graph theory and generalizations of the Banach contraction principle in the directed graph were discussed in various spaces [11–15].

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

The idea of a metric was expanded by the problem of the convergence with respect to a measure of the measurable functions. So by this notion, the concept of b-ms was introduced by Czerwik [16] and Bakhtin [17]. Interesting results under the mentioned space are obtained. For expansion, we recommend to refer to [18–20].

Under the mentioned space, this manuscript is interested in studying some of cp for gmc mapping involved a directed graph. After that, we used the theoretical results obtained in studying the solution of nie and fde [21–27].

We consider here all sets and subsets are non-empty and Ω is a directed graph with vertex set $\mathfrak{V}(\Omega)$ which is coincided with a b-ms β and edge set $\Upsilon(\Omega)$ which contains Ξ , where Ξ is the diagonal of $\beta \times \beta$. Also, we suppose that Ω has no parallel edges. We say that a mapping $\zeta : \beta \rightarrow \beta$ is an Ω -contraction if

- for all $c, e \in \beta$, $(c, e) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$ implies $(\zeta c, \zeta e) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, i.e., ζ preserves edges of Ω ,
- for $c, e \in \beta$, there is $0 < \varrho < 1$, so that $(c, e) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$ implies $\xi(\zeta(c), \zeta(e)) \leq \varrho \xi(c, e)$.

Definition 1.1 ([16]) Let $\beta \neq \emptyset$ be a set and $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $\xi : \beta \times \beta \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a *b*-metric iff, for all $c, e, f \in \beta$, the following stipulations are realized:

- $\xi(c, e) = 0 \Rightarrow e = c$;
- $\xi(c, e) = \xi(e, c)$;
- $\xi(c, f) \leq s(\xi(c, e) + \xi(e, f))$.

The triplet (β, ξ, s) is called a *b*-ms with coefficient *s*.

For more details about topological properties of this space see [16, 17].

Let (β, ξ, s) be a b-ms. Denote by $\chi_{b,cl}(\beta)$ the set of all non-empty bounded closed set in β . Define the function $\Upsilon : \chi_{b,cl}(\beta) \times \chi_{b,cl}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ as

$$\Upsilon(M_1, M_2) = \max \left\{ \sup_{\varphi \in M_1} \xi(\varphi, M_2), \sup_{\varphi \in M_2} \xi(\varphi, M_1) \right\},$$

where $\xi(\varpi, M_1) = \inf\{\varpi(\varpi, \varphi) : \varphi \in M_1\}$, for $M_1, M_2 \in \chi_{b,cl}(\beta)$. Υ is the famous Hausdorff metric.

Consider

$$\delta(M_1, M_2) = \sup \{ \xi(\varphi, \varpi) : \varphi \in M_1, \varpi \in M_2 \}$$

and

$$\Delta(M_1, M_2) = \inf \{ \xi(\varphi, \varpi) : \varphi \in M_1, \varpi \in M_2 \}.$$

The properties below can be deduced from the definition of δ , for $M_1, M_2, M_3 \in \chi_{b,cl}(\beta)$,

- $\delta(M_1, M_2) = \delta(M_2, M_1)$;
- $\delta(M_1, M_2) = 0$ iff $M_1 = M_2 = \{\varphi\}$;
- $\delta(M_1, M_3) \leq \delta(M_1, M_2) + \delta(M_2, M_3)$;
- $\delta(M_1, M_1) = \text{diam } M_1$.

Lemma 1.2 ([16]) Let (β, ξ, s) be a b-ms with a coefficient $s \geq 1$. For any $M_1, M_2, M_3 \in \chi_{b,cl}(\beta)$ and $c, e \in \beta$, the following assertions are valid:

- $\xi(c, M_2) \leq \xi(c, e)$ for $e \in M_2$;

- $\delta(M_1, M_2) \leq \nabla(M_1, M_2)$;
- $\xi(c, M_2) \leq \nabla(M_1, M_2)$;
- $\nabla(M_1, M_1) = 0$;
- $\nabla(M_1, M_2) = \nabla(M_2, M_1)$;
- $\nabla(M_1, M_3) \leq s[\nabla(M_1, M_2) + \nabla(M_2, M_3)]$;
- $\xi(c, M_1) \leq s[\xi(c, e) + \xi(e, M_1)]$.

Lemma 1.3 ([28]) *Let a trio (β, ξ, s) be a b -metric space and $\varpi_1, \varpi_2 \in \chi_{b,cl}(\beta)$. then, for $\hbar_1 \geq 1, c \in \varpi_1$ there exists $e(c) \in \varpi_2$ such that $\xi(c, e) \leq \hbar_1 \nabla(\varpi_1, \varpi_2)$.*

Definition 1.4 ([29]) For a set β , assume that $\Omega = (\mathfrak{N}(\Omega), \Upsilon(\Omega))$ is a graph with $\mathfrak{N}(\Omega) = \beta$, then:

- A multivalued map $\wp : \beta \rightarrow \chi_{b,cl}(\beta)$ is called graph preserving if for all $\theta \in \wp(c), \vartheta \in \wp(e)$ we have if $(c, e) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, then $(\theta, \vartheta) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, i.e., it preserves the edges.
- Two multivalued maps $\wp_1, \wp_2 : \beta \rightarrow \chi_{b,cl}(\beta)$ are called mixed graph preserving respect to a_1 and a_2 if $(a_1(c), a_2(e)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, then $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$ for all $\sigma_1 \in \wp_1(c)$ and $\sigma_2 \in \wp_2(e)$ and if $(a_2(e), a_1(c)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, then $(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$ for all $\mu_1 \in \wp_2(c)$ and $\mu_2 \in \wp_1(e)$.

In this manuscript, some coincidence points for generalized multivalued contraction mappings implicating a directed graph in the setting of b -metric spaces are established. Also, to support our theoretical results, we present an example and some consequences. Ultimately, the existence solution of the system of nonlinear integral and fractional integral equations are derived.

2 Main results

We will start this part by defining the concept of the generalized Ω -multivalued mapping.

Definition 2.1 Assume that $\Omega = (\mathfrak{N}(\Omega), \Upsilon(\Omega))$ is a graph with vertex set $\mathfrak{N}(\Omega) = \beta$ and edges set $\Upsilon(\Omega)$ such that $\Xi \subseteq \Upsilon(\Omega)$ on a b -ms (β, ξ, s) . For $a_1, a_2 : \beta \rightarrow \beta$ and $\wp_1, \wp_2 : \beta \rightarrow \chi_{b,cl}(\beta)$; \wp_1, \wp_2 are called generalized $(a_1, a_2) - \Omega$ -multivalued mappings if the following assumptions hold:

- with respect to a_1, a_2, \wp_1, \wp_2 are mixed graph preserving;
- for $c, e \in \beta$ with $(a_1(c), a_2(e)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, there is $\ell \geq 0$ such that, for

$$\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c), a_2(e)) = \max \left\{ \xi(a_1(c), a_2(e)), \frac{\Delta(a_1(c), \wp_2 c) \cdot \Delta(a_2(e), \wp_1 e)}{1 + \xi(a_1(c), a_2(e))} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{N}^*(b_1(c), b_2(e)) = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \xi(a_1(c), a_2(e)), \Delta(a_1(c), \wp_2 c), \Delta(a_2(e), \wp_1 e), \\ \Delta(a_1(c), \wp_1 e), \Delta(a_2(e), \wp_2 c) \end{array} \right\},$$

we get

$$\Theta(s \nabla(\wp_1 c, \wp_2 e)) \leq \lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c), a_2(e)))) \Theta(\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c), a_2(e))) + \ell(\mathfrak{N}^*(a_1(c), a_2(e))),$$

and if $(a_2(c), a_1(e)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, then we get

$$\Theta(s \Upsilon(\wp_1 c, \wp_2 e)) \leq \lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{N}(a_2(c), a_1(e)))) \Theta(\mathfrak{N}(a_2(c), a_1(e))) + \ell(\mathfrak{N}^*(a_2(c), a_1(e))),$$

where

- $\Theta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a non-decreasing and continuous function with $\Theta(\alpha \varkappa) \leq \alpha \Theta(\varkappa)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and $\Theta(0) = 0$,
- $\lambda : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is an increasing function with $\lambda(0) = 0$.

Theorem 2.2 *Suppose that (β, ξ, s) is a complete b-ms with $s \geq 1$ involved with a graph $\Omega = (\mathfrak{N}(\Omega), \Upsilon(\Omega))$, $\wp_1, \wp_2 : \beta \rightarrow \chi_{b,cl}(\beta)$ generalized (a_1, a_2) - Ω -multivalued mappings with surjective mappings $a_1, a_2 : \beta \rightarrow \beta$. Then there are $\theta, \vartheta \in \beta$ such that $a_1(\theta) \in \wp_1(\theta)$ or $a_2(\vartheta) \in \wp_2(\vartheta)$, provided that*

- (†_i) *for some $\theta \in \wp_1(c_o)$, there is $c_o \in \beta$ such that $(a_1(c_o), \theta) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$,*
- (†_{ii}) *if $(a_1(c), a_2(e)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, then $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$ for all $\sigma_1 \in \wp_1(c)$ and $\sigma_2 \in \wp_2(e)$ and if $(a_2(e), a_1(c)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, then $(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$ for all $\mu_1 \in \wp_2(e)$ and $\mu_2 \in \wp_1(e)$,*
- (†_{iii}) *for $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \beta$, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = c$ and $(c_n, c_{n+1}) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists a subsequence $\{c_{n_j}\}_{n_j \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that $(c_{n_j}, c) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$ for $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof Since a_2 is surjective, there is $c_1 \in \beta$ so that $a_2(c_1) \in \wp_2(c_o)$ and $(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$. Put $\zeta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))))}}$, then $\zeta > 1$, so that

$$0 < \Delta(a_2(c_1), \wp_1(c_1)) \leq \Upsilon(\wp_2(c_o), \wp_1(c_1)) \leq \zeta \Upsilon(\wp_2(c_o), \wp_1(c_1)).$$

It follows from Lemma 1.3, (†_{ii}) and a_1 being surjective that there is $c_2 \in \beta$ with $a_1(c_2) \in \wp_1(c_1)$ and $(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{s} \Theta(\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))) &\leq \Theta(s \xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))) \\ &< \Theta(s \zeta \Upsilon(\wp_2(c_o), \wp_1(c_1))) \\ &\leq \zeta \Theta(s \Upsilon(\wp_2(c_o), \wp_1(c_1))) \\ &\leq \zeta \lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)))) \Theta(\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))) \\ &\quad + \zeta \ell(\mathfrak{N}^*(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)) &= \max \left\{ \xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)), \frac{\Delta(a_1(c_o), \wp_2(c_o)) \cdot \Delta(a_2(c_1), \wp_1(c_1))}{1 + \xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \{ \xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)), \xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2)) \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{N}^*(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)) = \min \left\{ \xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)), \Delta(a_1(c_o), \wp_2(c_o)), \Delta(a_2(c_1), \wp_1(c_1)), \Delta(a_1(c_o), \wp_1(c_1)), \Delta(a_2(c_1), \wp_2(c_o)) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)), \xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)), \\ \xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2)), \xi(a_1(c_o), a_1(c_2)), \xi(a_2(c_1), a_2(c_1)) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $\xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)) < \xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))$, then, by (2.1), one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{s}\Theta(\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))) &\leq \zeta \lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))))\Theta(\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))) \\ &\quad + \zeta \ell(\mathfrak{N}^*(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))) \\ &= \zeta \lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))))\Theta(\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))) \\ &= \zeta \lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))))\Theta(\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))). \end{aligned}$$

If we take $\zeta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))))}}$, by the definition of Θ and since $\lambda < 1$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{s}\Theta(\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))) &\leq \frac{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))))}{\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))))}}\Theta(\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))) \\ &= \sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))))}\Theta(\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))). \\ &< \Theta(\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))), \end{aligned}$$

this leads $s < 1$ or $\Theta(\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))) < 0$. In both cases we get a contradiction, hence we have $\max\{\xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)), \xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))\} = \xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))$. Substituting in (2.1), we have

$$\Theta(\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2))) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))))}\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))). \tag{2.2}$$

Since Θ is non-decreasing, we get

$$\xi(a_2(c_1), a_1(c_2)) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))))}\xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)). \tag{2.3}$$

Once again $a_1(c_2) \in \wp_1(c_1)$ and $a_2(c_1) \in \wp_2(c_o)$. Take

$$\zeta_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_1), a_2(c_2))))}}.$$

By (2.2), we observe that $\zeta_1 > 1$. If $a_1(c_2) \in \wp_2(c_2)$, then c_2 is a cp for a_1 and \wp_2 . Assume that $a_1(c_2) \notin \wp_2(c_2)$, we have

$$0 < \Theta(\xi(a_1(c_2), \wp_2(c_2))) \leq \Theta(\mathfrak{T}(\wp_1(c_1), \wp_2(c_2))) < \zeta_1\Theta(\mathfrak{T}(\wp_1(c_1), \wp_2(c_2))).$$

Thus, there is $a_2(c_3) \in \wp_2(c_2)$ so that $(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{s}\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3))) &\leq \Theta(s\xi(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3))) \\ &< \zeta_1\Theta(s\mathfrak{T}(\wp_1(c_1), \wp_2(c_2))) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \zeta_1 \lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{R}(a_1(c_1), a_2(c_2)))) \Theta(\mathfrak{R}(a_1(c_1), a_2(c_2))) \\ &\quad + \zeta_1 \ell(\mathfrak{R}^*(a_1(c_1), a_2(c_2))). \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, we have $\mathfrak{R}(a_1(c_1), a_2(c_2)) \leq \xi(a_1(c_1), a_2(c_2))$ and $\mathfrak{R}^*(a_1(c_1), a_2(c_2)) = 0$. By property of (λ) and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{s} \Theta(\xi(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3))) &\leq \frac{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_1), a_2(c_2)))) \Theta(\xi(a_1(c_1), a_2(c_2)))}{\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_1), a_2(c_2))))}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_1), a_2(c_2))))} \Theta(\xi(a_1(c_1), a_2(c_2))) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_1), a_2(c_2))))} \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_0), a_2(c_1))))} \Theta(\xi(a_1(c_0), a_2(c_1))). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Since $\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_0), a_2(c_1))))} < 1$, by (2.2), we have

$$\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_1), a_2(c_2))) \leq \Theta(\xi(a_1(c_0), a_2(c_1))). \tag{2.5}$$

Since (λ) is increasing, by (2.4) and (2.5), we can write

$$\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3))) \leq \frac{1}{(\sqrt{s})^2} (\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_0), a_2(c_1))))})^2 \Theta(\xi(a_1(c_0), a_2(c_1))). \tag{2.6}$$

Again by (2.3), we have

$$\xi(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3)) \leq \frac{1}{(\sqrt{s})^2} (\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_0), a_2(c_1))))})^2 \xi(a_1(c_0), a_2(c_1)).$$

Manifestly $a_1(c_2)$ is distinct from $a_2(c_3)$ since $a_1(c_0) \neq a_2(c_1)$. Set

$$\zeta_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3))))}}.$$

Then $\zeta_2 > 1$.

If $a_2(c_3) \in \wp_1(c_3)$ then c_3 is a cp for a_2 and \wp_1 . Let $a_2(c_3) \neq \wp_1(c_3)$. Hence

$$0 < \Theta(\xi(a_2(c_3), \wp_1(c_3))) \leq \Theta(\Upsilon(\wp_2(c_2), \wp_1(c_3))) < \zeta_2 \Theta(\Upsilon(\wp_2(c_2), \wp_1(c_3))).$$

Thus, there is $a_1(c_4) \in \wp_1(c_3)$ so that $(a_2(c_3), a_1(c_4)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{s} \Theta(\xi(a_2(c_3), a_1(c_4))) &< \zeta_2 \Theta(s \Upsilon(\wp_2(c_2), \wp_1(c_3))) \\ &\leq \zeta_1 \lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{R}(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3)))) \Theta(\mathfrak{R}(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3))) \\ &\quad + \zeta_1 \ell(\mathfrak{R}^*(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3))). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

In the same scenario, we get $\mathfrak{R}(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3)) \leq \xi(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3))$ and $\mathfrak{R}^*(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3)) = 0$. Consequently by (2.7), one can get

$$\sqrt{s} \Theta(\xi(a_2(c_3), a_1(c_4))) \leq \sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3))))} \Theta(\xi(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3)))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3))))} \Theta(\xi(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3))) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\sqrt{s})^2} \sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3))))} \\ &\quad \times (\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))))})^2 \Theta(\xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Since $(\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))))})^2 < 1$, by (2.6), we get

$$\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_2), a_2(c_3))) \leq \Theta(\xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))).$$

Again, (λ) is increasing and by (2.8), we have

$$\xi(a_2(c_3), a_1(c_4)) \leq \frac{1}{(\sqrt{s})^3} (\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))))})^3 \xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)).$$

It is obvious that $a_2(c_3) \neq a_1(c_4)$. Consider

$$\zeta_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_3), a_2(c_4))))}}.$$

Certainly $\zeta_3 > 1$. By continuing in the same manner we can construct a sequence $\{a(c_n)\}$ in β as follows:

$$a_1(c_{2n}) \in \wp_1(c_{2n-1}), \quad a_2(c_{2n-1}) \in \wp_2(c_{2n-2}),$$

and $(a_1(c_{2n}), a_2(c_{2n-1})), (a_2(c_{2n-1}), a_1(c_{2n})) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$. Let the sequence $\{a(c_n)\}$ be defined as

$$a(c_n) = \begin{cases} a_1(c_n), & n \text{ even,} \\ a_2(c_n), & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Set $\theta = \sqrt{\lambda(\Theta(\xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1))))}$, then $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Take $\kappa = \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{s}}$, $s > 1$, we have

$$\xi(a_1(c_n), a_2(c_{n+1})) \leq \kappa^n \xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)).$$

Since $\kappa \in (0, 1)$, it follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi(a_1(c_n), a_2(c_{n+1})) \leq \xi(a_1(c_o), a_2(c_1)) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \kappa^n < \infty.$$

This implies that $\{a(c_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in β . The completeness of β leads to there being a point $\tau \in \beta$ so that the sequence $\{a(c_n)\}$ converges to it. Suppose that $\theta, \vartheta \in \beta$ with $a_1(\theta) = \tau = a_2(\vartheta)$. Using (†iii), there is $\{a(c_{n_j})\}$ so that $(a(c_{n_j}), a_1(\theta)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, we claim that $a_1(\theta) \in \wp_2(\theta)$ or $a_2(\vartheta) \in \wp_1(\vartheta)$.

Assume that $\Omega^* = \{n_j : n_j \text{ is even}\}$ and $\Omega = \{n_j : n_j \text{ is odd}\}$. It is clear that $\Omega \cup \Omega^*$ has infinitely many elements (we call it infinite, for simplicity). So at least Ω or Ω^* must be infinite. Let us consider Ω^* to be infinite, for each $a_2(c_{n_j+1}), n_j \in \Omega$, one can write, by Lemma 1.2,

$$\xi(a_2(\vartheta), \wp_1(\vartheta)) \leq s\xi(a_2(\vartheta), a_2(c_{n_j+1})) + s\xi(a_2(c_{n_j+1}), \wp_1(\vartheta))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq s\xi(a_2(\vartheta), a_2(c_{n_j+1})) + s\Upsilon(\wp_2(c_{n_j}), \wp_1(\vartheta)) \\
 &\leq s\xi(a_2(\vartheta), a_2(c_{n_j+1})) \\
 &\quad + \lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c_{n_j}), a_2(\vartheta))))\Theta(\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c_{n_j}), a_2(\vartheta))) \\
 &\quad + \ell(\mathfrak{N}^*(a_1(c_{n_j}), a_2(\vartheta))),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c_{n_j}), a_2(\vartheta)) \\
 &= \max \left\{ \xi(a_1(c_{n_j}), a_2(\vartheta)), \frac{\Delta(a_1(c_{n_j}), \wp_2(c_{n_j})) \cdot \Delta(a_2(\vartheta), \wp_1(\vartheta))}{1 + \xi(a_1(c_{n_j}), a_2(\vartheta))} \right\} \\
 &\leq \max \left\{ \xi(a_1(c_{n_j}), a_2(\vartheta)), \frac{\xi(a_1(c_{n_j}), \wp_2(c_{n_j})) \cdot \xi(a_2(\vartheta), \wp_1(\vartheta))}{1 + \xi(a_1(c_{n_j}), a_2(\vartheta))} \right\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathfrak{N}^*(a_1(c_{n_j}), a_2(\vartheta)) \\
 &= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \xi(a_1(c_{n_j}), a_2(\vartheta)), \Delta(a_1(c_{n_j}), \wp_2(c_{n_j})), \Delta(a_2(\vartheta), \wp_1(\vartheta)), \\ \Delta(a_1(c_{n_j}), \wp_1(\vartheta)), \Delta(a_2(\vartheta), \wp_2(c_{n_j})) \end{array} \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.10) and (2.11), we get

$$\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c_{n_j}), a_2(\vartheta)) = \mathfrak{N}^*(a_1(c_{n_j}), a_2(\vartheta)) = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.9), $\Theta(0) = 0$, and applying (2.12), we have

$$\xi(a_2(\vartheta), \wp_1(\vartheta)) \leq 0.$$

Because of the distance is non-negative and $\wp_1(\vartheta)$ is closed, this concludes the proof. In a similar way, we can show that $a_1(\theta) \in \wp_2(\theta)$ when Ω is infinite. This completes the proof. Note, if Ω and Ω^* are infinite, then we have the same result. \square

The following example supports Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.

Example 2.3 Let $\beta = [0, 1]$. Define $\xi : \beta \times \beta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$\xi(c, e) = |c - e|^2, \quad \forall c, e \in \beta.$$

It is obvious that a trio (β, ξ, s) is a b -ms with $s = 2$. Assume that $\Omega = (\mathfrak{N}(\Omega), \Upsilon(\Omega))$ is a directed graph with $\mathfrak{N}(\Omega) = \beta$ and

$$\Upsilon(\Omega) = \left\{ (c, c), \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right), \left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right), \left(\frac{1}{8}, 0\right), \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}\right), \left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\right) : c \in \beta \right\}.$$

Define $\wp_1, \wp_2 : \beta \rightarrow \chi_{b,cl}(\beta)$ by

$$\wp_1(c) = \begin{cases} \{\frac{1}{8}\} & \text{if } c \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}, 1\}, \\ \{0, \frac{1}{8}\} & \text{if } c \in (0, 1) - \{\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\}, \end{cases}$$

$$\wp_2(c) = \begin{cases} \{\frac{1}{4}\} & \text{if } c = 1, \\ \{0, \frac{1}{4}\} & \text{if } c \in (0, 1) - \{\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{8}\}, \\ \{\frac{1}{8}\} & \text{if } c \in \{0, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\}, \end{cases}$$

and $a_1, a_2 : \beta \rightarrow \beta$ by $a_1(c) = c^3$ and $a_2(c) = c$. Let $\Theta(\omega) = \omega$ and $\lambda(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{2}$, for $\omega \in [0, \infty)$. Because of for every $c, e \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}\}$, we have $\Upsilon(\wp_1(c), \wp_2(e)) = 0$ and $(a_1(c), a_2(e)), (a_1(e), a_2(c)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$. Thus \wp_1, \wp_2 are generalized Ω -multivalued respect to a_1 and a_2 . Now, we discuss the case when $c = e = 1$, then $(a_1(c), a_2(e)) = (1, 1) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$ and $\Upsilon(\wp_1(c), \wp_2(e)) = \Upsilon(\wp_1 1, \wp_2 1) = \frac{1}{64}$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}(a_1(1), a_2(1)) &= \max \left\{ \xi(a_1(1), a_2(1)), \frac{\Delta(a_1(1), \wp_2 1) \cdot \Delta(a_2(1), \wp_1 1)}{1 + \xi(a_1(1), a_2(1))} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ 0, \frac{\Delta(1, \frac{1}{4}) \cdot \Delta(1, \frac{1}{8})}{1} \right\} = \frac{441}{1024} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{N}^*(b_1(1), b_2(1)) = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \xi(a_1(1), a_2(1)), \Delta(a_1(1), \wp_2 1), \Delta(a_2(1), \wp_1 1), \\ \Delta(a_1(1), \wp_1 1), \Delta(a_2(1), \wp_2 1) \end{array} \right\} = 0.$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(s \Upsilon(\wp_1 c, \wp_2 e)) &= 2 \Upsilon(\wp_1 c, \wp_2 e) = 0.031125 \\ &\leq 0.092736 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{441}{1024} \right) \times \frac{441}{1024} \\ &= \lambda(\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c), a_2(e))) \Theta(\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c), a_2(e))) \\ &= \lambda(\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c), a_2(e))) \Theta(\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c), a_2(e))) \\ &\quad + \ell(\mathfrak{N}^*(a_1(c), a_2(e))). \end{aligned}$$

Also, \wp_1, \wp_2 are generalized Ω -multivalued with respect to a_1 and a_2 . Also, the same results can be obtained if $(a_1(c), a_2(e)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, for $c, e \in \beta$. Note that the stipulations (\dagger_i) – (\dagger_{iii}) of Theorem 2.2 hold. So, there are $\theta, \vartheta \in \beta$ such that $a_1(\theta) \in \wp_1(\theta)$ or $a_2(\vartheta) \in \wp_2(\vartheta)$. Here $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ or $\vartheta = \frac{1}{8}$.

Theorem 2.2 reduces to the following corollary, if we set $a_1 = a_2 = a$, $\ell = 0$, and $\mathfrak{N}(a_1(c), a_2(e)) = \xi(a(c), a(e))$.

Corollary 2.4 *Let (β, ξ, s) be a complete b -ms with $s \geq 1$ involving a directed graph Ω , $a : \beta \rightarrow \beta$ being a surjective map and $\wp_1, \wp_2 : \beta \rightarrow \chi_{b,cl}(\beta)$ being a-graph preserving with*

$$\Theta(s \Upsilon(\wp_1(c), \wp_2(e))) \leq \lambda(\Theta(\xi(a(c), a(e)))) \Theta(\xi(a(c), a(e)))$$

for each $c, e \in \beta$ with $(a(c), a(e)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$. Assume that

(\dagger_1) for some $\theta \in \wp_1 c_o$, there is $c_o \in \beta$ such that $(a(c_o), \theta) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$,

(\dagger_2) for $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \beta$, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = c$ and $(c_n, c_{n+1}) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists a subsequence $\{c_{n_j}\}_{n_j \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that $(c_{n_j}, c) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$ for $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then there are $\theta, \vartheta \in \beta$ such that $a(\theta) \in \wp_1(\theta)$ or $a(\vartheta) \in \wp_2(\vartheta)$.

We believe that studying the uniqueness in the current situation is very complicated, so we will reduce Corollary 2.4 to one multivalued mapping and define a partially order set as follows.

Definition 2.5 Suppose that (β, ξ) is a b -metric space with $s > 1$ and a partially ordered set \leq . Assume that, for all $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \beta$, $\beta_1 \leq \beta_2$ if $m_1 \leq m_2$ for any $m_1 \in \beta_1$ and $m_2 \in \beta_2$. Let $a : \beta \rightarrow \beta$ be a surjective map, and $\wp_1 : \beta \rightarrow \chi_{b,cl}(\beta)$. \wp_1 is called a -increasing if $a(c) \leq a(e)$ implies $\wp_1(c) \leq \wp_1(e)$ for any $c, e \in \beta$.

Theorem 2.6 Let (β, ξ, s) be a complete b -ms with $s \geq 1$ and \leq be partially order set, $a : \beta \rightarrow \beta$ be a surjective map, and $\wp_1 : \beta \rightarrow \chi_{b,cl}(\beta)$ be a multivalued mapping. Then there is $\theta \in \beta$ such that $a(\theta) \in \wp_1(\theta)$ if the following hypotheses are fulfilled:

- (h₁) \wp_1 is a -increasing;
- (h₂) there are $c_o \in \beta$ and $\theta \in \wp_1(c_o)$ such that $(a(c_o), \theta) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$;
- (h₃) for some $c \in \beta$ and for each sequence c_i such that $a(c_i)$ converges to $a(c)$ and $a(c_i) \leq a(c_{i+1})$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $a(c_i) \leq a(c)$;
- (h₄) for $c, e \in \beta$ with $a(c) \leq a(e)$, we get

$$\Theta(s\Upsilon(\wp_1(c), \wp_1(e))) \leq \lambda(\Theta(\xi(a(c), a(e))))\Theta(\xi(a(c), a(e))),$$

where Θ and λ are defined in Definition 2.1.

In addition, if $a : \beta \rightarrow \beta$ is injective then θ is unique.

Proof Suppose that $\Omega = (\mathfrak{N}(\Omega), \Upsilon(\Omega))$ is a graph with vertex set $\mathfrak{N}(\Omega) = \beta$, and $\Upsilon(\Omega) = \{(c, e) : c \leq e\}$. For $(a(c), a(e)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, we have $a(c) \leq a(e)$ and by (h₁), we get $\wp_1(c) \leq \wp_1(e)$. For all $\theta \in \wp_1(c)$, $\vartheta \in \wp_1(e)$, we can find $\theta \leq \vartheta$, thus $(\theta, \vartheta) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$. This illustrates that \wp_1 is a -graph preserving. Hypothesis (h₁) leads to there being $c_o \in \beta$ and $\theta \in \wp_1(c_o)$ such that $a(c_o) \leq \theta$. Thus $(a(c_o), \theta) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, this fulfills the property (‡₁) of Corollary 2.4. Also the property (‡₂) follows immediately by hypothesis (h₃). Take $\wp_1 = \wp_2$, then \wp_1, \wp_2 are a -graph-preserving maps and verify

$$\Theta(s\Upsilon(\wp_1(c), \wp_1(e))) \leq \lambda(\Theta(\xi(a(c), a(e))))\Theta(\xi(a(c), a(e))),$$

for $c, e \in \beta$ with $(a(c), a(e)) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$. Thus all properties of Corollary 2.4 are fulfilled, so there is $\theta \in \beta$ so that $a(\theta) \in \wp_1(\theta)$. Ultimately, let $\theta, \vartheta \in \beta$ so that $a(\theta) \in \wp_1(\theta)$ or $a(\vartheta) \in \wp_1(\vartheta)$, by contradiction, suppose that $\wp_1(\theta) \neq \wp_1(\vartheta)$ and $\wp_1(\theta) < \wp_1(\vartheta)$ without loss of generality. Since $a(\theta) \in \wp_1(\theta)$ or $a(\vartheta) \in \wp_1(\vartheta)$, it yields $\Delta(a(\theta), \wp_1\theta) = \Delta(a(\vartheta), \wp_1\vartheta)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\xi(a(\theta), a(\vartheta))) &\leq \Theta(s\xi(a(\theta), a(\vartheta))) \\ &\leq \Theta(s\Upsilon(\wp_1(\theta), \wp_1(\vartheta))) \\ &\leq \lambda(\Theta(\xi(a(\theta), a(\vartheta))))\Theta(\xi(a(\theta), a(\vartheta))) \\ &< \Theta(\xi(a(\theta), a(\vartheta))), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, thus $a(\theta) = a(\vartheta)$. Since a is injective, $\theta = \vartheta$. This finishes the required proof. □

The following result is very important in the next section.

Corollary 2.7 *Let (β, ξ, s) be a complete b -ms with $s \geq 1$ and graph $\Omega, \wp_1, \wp_2 : \beta \rightarrow \beta$ be a generalized mapping so that*

$$\Theta(s\xi(\wp_1(c), \wp_2(e))) \leq \lambda(\Theta(\xi(c, e)))\Theta(\xi(c, e)), \tag{2.13}$$

where Θ and λ are defined in Theorem 2.2. Assume that, for $c, e \in \beta$, the following assumptions are fulfilled:

- (a₁) there are $c_o \in \beta$ and $\theta \in \wp_1(c_o)$ such that $(c_o, \theta) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$;
- (a₂) if $(c, e) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, then $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$ for all $\sigma_1 \in \wp_1(c)$ and $\sigma_2 \in \wp_2(e)$ and if $(e, c) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, then $(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$ for all $\mu_1 \in \wp_2(c)$ and $\mu_2 \in \wp_1(e)$;
- (a₃) for $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \beta$, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = c$ and $(c_n, c_{n+1}) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists a subsequence $\{c_{n_j}\}_{n_j \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that $(c_{n_j}, c) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$ for $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$.
Then there are $\theta, \vartheta \in \beta$ so that $\theta \in \wp_1(\theta)$ or $\vartheta \in \wp_2(\vartheta)$.

3 Supportive applications

This section is divided into two parts, the first part discusses the existence and uniqueness of solutions to Fredholm integral equations of the second kind are discussed and in the second one, the existence of solution to the system of (fie) is showed.

First part: Consider the following system:

$$\begin{cases} c(\omega) = \Xi(\omega) + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Lambda(\omega, v)\Pi(\omega, v, c(v)) dv, \\ e(\omega) = \Xi(\omega) + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Lambda(\omega, v)\Pi(\omega, v, e(v)) dv, \end{cases} \quad \omega \in [r_1, r_2]. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\nabla = C[r_1, r_2]$ be the set of all continuous function defined on $[r_1, r_2]$. For $c, e \in \nabla$ and $q > 1$, define $\xi : \nabla \times \nabla \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$\xi(c, e) = \left(\sup_{\omega \in [r_1, r_2]} |c(\omega) - e(\omega)| \right)^q.$$

Then (ξ, β, s) is a complete b -ms on ∇ with $s = 2^{q-1}$.

Let Ω be a graph defined by $\mathfrak{S}(\Omega) = \nabla$ and

$$\Upsilon(\Omega) = \{(c, e) \in \nabla \times \nabla : c(\omega) \leq e(\omega) \text{ or } e(\omega) \leq c(\omega), \text{ for } \omega \in [r_1, r_2]\}.$$

To proceed we consider the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *Consider Problem (3.1) with the following hypotheses:*

(\heartsuit_1) $\Pi : [r_1, r_2] \times [r_1, r_2] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \Lambda(\omega, v) : [r_1, r_2] \times [r_1, r_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions so that

$$\sup_{\omega \in [r_1, r_2]} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Lambda(\omega, v) dv \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[q]{2}}, \quad q > 1,$$

(\heartsuit_2) for all $q > 1$, consider

$$|\Pi(\omega, v, c(v)) - \Pi(\omega, v, e(v))| \leq \frac{|c(v) - e(v)|^2}{\sqrt[q]{1 + |c(v) - e(v)|^q}}, \quad q > 1,$$

(♡₃) there is a function $c_o \in \nabla$ such that

$$c_o(\omega) \leq \Xi(\omega) + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Lambda(\omega, v)\Pi(\omega, v, \wp_2 c_o(v)) \, dv, \quad \omega \in [r_1, r_2],$$

(♡₄) $\wp_2 c(v) \leq \wp_1 e(v)$ and $\wp_1 c(v) \leq \wp_2 e(v)$, provided that $c(v) \leq e(v)$, \wp_1 and \wp_2 are defined in the proof below,

(♡₅) for $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \beta$, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = c$ and $c_n(\omega) \leq c_{n+1}(\omega) \in \Upsilon(\Omega)$, then there is a subsequence $\{c_{n_j}\}_{n_j \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that $c_{n_j}(\omega) \leq c(\omega)$ for $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega \in [r_1, r_2]$.

Then there are $\theta, \vartheta \in \nabla$ so that

$$\theta(\omega) = \Xi(\omega) + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Lambda(\omega, v)\Pi(\omega, v, \theta(v)) \, dv$$

or

$$\vartheta(\omega) = \Xi(\omega) + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Lambda(\omega, v)\Pi(\omega, v, \vartheta(v)) \, dv.$$

Proof Define $\wp_1, \wp_2 : C[r_1, r_2] \rightarrow C[r_1, r_2]$ by

$$\begin{cases} \wp_1 c(\omega) = \Xi(\omega) + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Lambda(\omega, v)\Pi(\omega, v, c(v)) \, dv, \\ \wp_2 e(\omega) = \Xi(\omega) + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Lambda(\omega, v)\Pi(\omega, v, e(v)) \, dv, \end{cases}$$

for each $\omega \in [r_1, r_2]$ and $c, e \in \nabla$. By hypotheses (♡₁) and (♡₂), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{q-1} \xi(\wp_1 c(\omega), \wp_2 e(\omega)) \\ &= 2^{q-1} \left(\sup_{\omega \in [r_1, r_2]} |\wp_1 c(\omega) - \wp_2 c(\omega)| \right)^q \\ &= 2^{q-1} \left(\sup_{\omega \in [r_1, r_2]} \left| \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Lambda(\omega, v)\Pi(\omega, v, c(v)) \, dv - \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Lambda(\omega, v)\Pi(\omega, v, e(v)) \, dv \right| \right)^q \\ &= 2^{q-1} \left(\sup_{\omega \in [r_1, r_2]} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Lambda(\omega, v) |\Pi(\omega, v, c(v)) - \Pi(\omega, v, e(v))| \, dv \right)^q \\ &\leq 2^{q-1} \left(\sup_{\omega, v \in [r_1, r_2]} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Lambda(\omega, v) \, dv \right)^q \times \sup_{\omega, v \in [r_1, r_2]} \left(\frac{|c(v) - e(v)|^2}{\sqrt[q]{1 + |c(v) - e(v)|^q}} \right)^q \\ &\leq 2^{q-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[q]{2}} \right)^q \left(\sup_{v \in [r_1, r_2]} \frac{|c(v) - e(v)|^{2q}}{1 + |c(v) - e(v)|^q} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sup_{v \in [r_1, r_2]} \frac{|c(v) - e(v)|^q}{1 + |c(v) - e(v)|^q} \right) \left(\sup_{v \in [r_1, r_2]} |c(v) - e(v)|^q \right) \\ &\leq \lambda \left(\sup_{v \in [r_1, r_2]} |c(v) - e(v)|^q \right) \left(\sup_{v \in [r_1, r_2]} |c(v) - e(v)|^q \right) \\ &= \lambda(\Theta(\xi(c, e)))\Theta(\xi(c, e)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Condition (2.13) of Corollary 2.7 holds by taking $\lambda(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega}{1+\omega}$ and $\Theta(\omega) = \omega$. It follows that by hypotheses (♡₃), (♡₄) and the definition of the graph Ω that the stipulations

(a_1) and (a_2) of Corollary 2.7 are fulfilled. Also hypothesis (\heartsuit_5) immediately fulfills stipulation (a_3) of Corollary 2.7. So, we get

$$\theta(\omega) = \Xi(\omega) + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Lambda(\omega, v)\Pi(\omega, v, \theta(v)) \, dv,$$

or

$$\vartheta(\omega) = \Xi(\omega) + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Lambda(\omega, v)\Pi(\omega, v, \vartheta(v)) \, dv.$$

Second part: Consider the problem below:

$$\begin{cases} {}^cD^\iota\theta(\omega) + \phi(\vartheta(\omega)) = 0, & \iota \in (1, 2], \omega \in [1, 0], \\ {}^cD^\iota\vartheta(\omega) + \psi(\theta(\omega)) = 0, & \iota \in (1, 2], \omega \in [1, 0], \\ \theta(0) = \vartheta(0) = \alpha, & \theta(1) = \vartheta(1) = \alpha^*, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

where α and α^* are constants, $\phi, \psi : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and ${}^cD^\iota$ is the famous Caputo derivative of order ι . □

The equivalent form of the Problem (3.2) is given by

$$\begin{cases} \theta(\omega) = \Xi(\omega) + \int_0^1 \Upsilon(\omega, \kappa)\phi(\vartheta(\kappa)) \, d\kappa, & \omega \in [0, 1], \\ \vartheta(\tau) = \Xi(\omega) + \int_0^1 \Upsilon(\omega, \kappa)\psi(\theta(\kappa)) \, d\kappa, & \omega \in [0, 1]. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Here the Green’s function $\Upsilon(\omega, \kappa)$ on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ is continuous and is defined as

$$\Upsilon(\omega, \kappa) = \begin{cases} \frac{(\omega-\kappa)^{\vartheta-1} - \omega(1-\kappa)^{\vartheta-1}}{\Gamma(\iota)}, & 0 \leq \kappa \leq \omega \leq 1, \\ \frac{-\omega(1-\kappa)^{\vartheta-1}}{\Gamma(\iota)}, & 0 \leq \omega \leq \kappa \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Now, put $\Pi(\omega, v, \theta(v)) = \phi(\vartheta(\kappa))$ and $\Pi(\omega, v, \vartheta(v)) = \psi(\theta(\kappa))$, then the System (3.3) turns into

$$\begin{cases} \theta(\omega) = \Xi(\omega) + \int_0^1 \Upsilon(\omega, \kappa)\Pi(\omega, v, \theta(v)) \, dv, & \omega \in [0, 1], \\ \vartheta(\tau) = \Xi(\omega) + \int_0^1 \Upsilon(\omega, \kappa)\Pi(\omega, v, \vartheta(v)) \, d\mu, & \omega \in [0, 1]. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

System (3.4) is equivalent to the System (3.1) when $r_1 = 0$ and $r_2 = 1$. So by using Theorem 3.1, there are $\theta, \vartheta \in \nabla$ so that

$$\theta(\omega) = \Xi(\omega) + \int_0^1 \Lambda(\omega, v)\Pi(\omega, v, \theta(v)) \, dv$$

or

$$\vartheta(\omega) = \Xi(\omega) + \int_0^1 \Lambda(\omega, v)\Pi(\omega, v, \vartheta(v)) \, dv,$$

i.e., Problem (3.2) has a solution, and by this we have finished this section.

4 Conclusion

The fixed point technique is considered an essential branch and a good tool in the field of nonlinear analysis, and due to its multiple applications in various fields of the mathematical and engineering sciences, it has received great interest from researchers. To be clear, we found in this work the solution to a system of nonlinear equations and fractional differential equations in the framework of the Caputo fractional derivative via new coincidence points of generalized multivalued contraction mappings involving a directed graph in b -metric spaces. The main benefit of our paper is to provide a solution to such types of equations as ones that serve numerical analysis scholars in finding a numeric solution, in addition to the new contributions made by the manuscript in the field of fixed point theory that serve many researchers in this field.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Basque Government for Grant IT1207-19.

Funding

This work was supported in part by the Basque Government under Grant IT1207-19.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Sohag University, Sohag 82524, Egypt. ²Institute of Research and Development of Processes, University of the Basque Country, 48940-Leioa, Bizkaia, Spain. ³Department of Mathematics, Anand International College of Engineering, Jaipur, 302012, India.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 17 April 2021 Accepted: 1 July 2021 Published online: 15 July 2021

References

1. Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J.: Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 204. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006)
2. Samko, S.G., Kilbas, A.A., Marichev, O.I.: Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Gordon & Breach, Yverdon (1993). Translated from the 1987 Russian original
3. Wang, G., Liu, S., Agarwal, R.P., Zhang, L.: Positive solutions of integral boundary value problem involving Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative. *J. Fract. Calc. Appl.* **4**(2), 312–321 (2013)
4. Watanabe, Y.: Notes on the generalized derivative of Riemann–Liouville and its application to Leibnitz's formula, II. *Tohoku Math. J.* **34**, 8–41 (1931)
5. Hammad, H.A., Aydi, H., Mlaiki, N.: Contributions of the fixed point technique to solve the 2D Volterra integral equations, Riemann–Liouville fractional integrals, and Atangana–Baleanu integral operators. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2021**, 97 (2021)
6. Samet, B., Aydi, H.: On some inequalities involving Caputo fractional derivatives and applications to special means of real numbers. *Mathematics* **6**(10), 193 (2018)
7. Samko, S.G., Kilbas, A.A., Marichev, O.I.: Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications. Gordon & Breach, Amsterdam (1993)
8. Lavoie, J.L., Osler, T.J., Tremblay, R.: Fractional derivatives and special functions. *SIAM Rev.* **18**(2), 240–268 (1976)
9. Gao, X., Yu, J.: Synchronization of two coupled fractional-order chaotic oscillators. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **26**(1), 141–145 (2005)
10. Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations. Academic Press, New York (1999)
11. Echenique, F.: A short and constructive proof of Tarski's fixed point theorem. *Int. J. Game Theory* **33**, 215–218 (2005)
12. Espinola, R., Kirk, W.A.: Fixed point theorems in R -trees with applications to graph theory. *Topol. Appl.* **153**, 1046–1055 (2006)
13. Jachymsk, J.: The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **136**(4), 1359–1373 (2008)
14. Hammad, H.A., De la Sen, M.: A solution of Fredholm integral equation by using the cyclic η_s^q -rational contractive mappings technique in b -metric-like spaces. *Symmetry* **11**, 1184 (2019)

15. Hammad, H.A., De la Sen, M.: Solution of nonlinear integral equation via fixed point of cyclic α_ϕ^2 -rational contraction mappings in metric-like spaces. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **51**, 81–105 (2020)
16. Czerwik, S.: Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b -metric spaces. *Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena* **46**(2), 263–276 (1998)
17. Bakhtin, I.A.: The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces. *Funct. Anal., Gos. Ped. Inst. Ulyanovsk* **30**, 26–37 (1989)
18. Azam, A., Mehmood, N., Ahmad, J., Radenović, S.: Multivalued fixed point theorems in cone b -metric spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, 582 (2013)
19. Popovi, B., Radenović, S., Shukla, S.: Fixed point results to TVS-cone b -metric spaces. *Gulf J. Math.* **1**, 51–64 (2013)
20. Piri, H., Kumam, P.: Fixed point theorems for generalized F -Suzuki contraction mappings in complete b -metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2016**, 90 (2016)
21. Fredholm, E.I.: Sur une classe d'équations fonctionnelles. *Acta Math.* **27**, 365–390 (1903)
22. Rus, M.D.: A note on the existence of positive solution of Fredholm integral equations. *Fixed Point Theory* **5**, 369–377 (2004)
23. Hammad, H.A., De la Sen, M.: A coupled fixed point technique for solving coupled systems of functional and nonlinear integral equations. *Mathematics* **7**, 634 (2019)
24. Abdeljawad, T., Agarwal, R.P., Karapinar, E., Kumari, P.S.: Solutions of the nonlinear integral equation and fractional differential equation using the technique of a fixed point with a numerical experiment in extended b -metric space. *Symmetry* **11**, 686 (2019)
25. Adiguzel, R.S., Aksoy, U., Karapinar, E., Erhan, I.M.: On the solution of a boundary value problem associated with a fractional differential equation. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.6652>
26. Atangana, A.: Blind in a commutative world: simple illustrations with functions and chaotic attractors. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **114**, 347–363 (2018)
27. Atangana, A., Qureshi, S.: Modeling attractors of chaotic dynamical systems with fractal fractional operators. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **123**, 320–337 (2019)
28. Murthy, P.P., Pathak, H.K.: Some fixed point theorems without continuity. *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* **82**, 212–215 (1990)
29. Tiammee, J.S., Suantai, S.: Coincidence point theorems for graph-preserving multi-valued mappings. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2014**(1), 70 (2014)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)
